PART 1

VERBATIM NOTES

OF

COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES
THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
IN THE 1990s

HELD AT

THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KNUTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5

ON

THURSDAY APRIL 14, 2011

PRESENT WERE:

COMMISSIONERS

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick **Bogle**

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION

Hon. Justice Henderson Downer (Retired)

SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Mr. Fernando DePeralto

ATTORNEY MARSHALING EVIDENCE FOR THE COMMISSION

Miss Judith Clarke- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING DR PAUL CHEN-YOUNG

Mr. Richard Small- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING JAMAICAN REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

Mrs. Sandra Minott-Phillips - Attorney-at-Law Mr. Gavin Goffe- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING MR PATRICK HYLTON

Mr Dave Garcia - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING BANK OF JAMAICA

Mr. Michael Hylton - Attorney-at-Law Mr. Kevin Powell- Attorney-at-Law Mr. Robin Sykes- Attorney-at-Law Melissa Weston- Attorney-at-Law Celeste McCalla- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING JEAN-MARIE DESULME

Mr. Anthony Levy- Attorney-at-Law

GIVING EVIDENCE

Dr. Paul Chen-Young

1		Thursday 14th April, 2011
2		COMMENCEMENT : 10:30 3
4	COMM. BOGLE:	Good morning ladies and gentlemen. The
5		Enquiry is now in session and may I just
6		ask all persons to either turn off their
7		cellphones or ensure that they are on
8		silence. And I will ask that we
9		minimize or keep our conversations to
10		the minimum or at least very quiet.
11		Today we are here to receive the
12		submission of Dr. Paul Chen-Young. We
13		are having this Enquiry via video
14		conferencing. At this time welcome to
15		you all. Welcome Dr. Chen-Young.
16 17	DR. CHEN-YOUNG: COMM. BOGLE:	Thank you. At this time therefore I would ask for
18		the names of the attorneys present for
19		the record.
20	MR. SMALL:	Mr. Chairman, I am Richard Small, I
21 22	COMM. BOGLE:	appear for Mr. Chen-Young. Thank you.
23	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, I am Anthony Levy.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	Representing?
25	MR. LEVY:	Representing the people of Jamaica and

1		Mr. Desulme.
2	MR. HYLTON:	Michael Hylton, Mr. Chairman,
3		representing the Bank of Jamaica and
4		Kevin Powell is with me.
5	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Sandra Minott-Phillips, instructed by
6		Myers Fletcher & Gordon for Jamaican
7		Redevelopment Foundation Inc.
8	MISS CLARKE:	Good morning Mr. Chairman. I am Judith
9		Clarke, on behalf of the Commission.
10	MR. SYKES:	Mr. Chairman, my name is Robin Sykes, I
11		am an Attorney at the Bank of Jamaica
12		and with me are Melissa Weston and
13		Celeste McCalla.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Thank you very much. At this time we
15		will have Dr. Chen-Young being sworn in.
16	MR. DEPERALTO:	Good morning, Dr. Chen-Young.
17		DR. PAUL CHEN-YOUNG SWORN
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Thank you very much, Dr. Chen-Young. We
19		will now ask Mr. Small to start. Mr.
20		Small?
21		EXAMINATION BY MR. SMALL
22	MR. SMALL:	Dr. Chen-Young, can you hear me?
23	A:	I can hear you, but maybe you can speak
24		a little bit louder.
25	Q:	Okay. Good morning.

1	A :	Good morning.
2	Q:	Dr. Chen-Young, did you submit a
3		submission to this Enquiry?
4	A:	I did.
5	Q:	
6	A:	And that is this document? (indicating)
7	Q:	That is correct.
8		I wish to ask you Dr. Chen-Young, just to
9		summarize the main provisions of your
		submission and then after you have completed
10		that you will be available for
11		cross-examination.
12	A:	Sure. Are you ready?
13	Q:	I beg you pardon. You were saying
14		something?
15	A:	
16	Q:	Are you ready for my response now? Yes,
17	A	I am.
18	-	All right.
	Q:	I want you to begin by making your first point
19		which relates to the high interest rate
20		policy and its appropriateness to the
21		situation that the country faced. Thank you.
22	A:	Before responding may I thank the
23		Commissioners for inviting me to testify and
24		
25		also for their kindness in arranging for
		this video conferencing. I

would respond to the question raised by 1 2 asking a question, what happened, why, why? 3 4 Because from what I have read from various 5 6 witnesses I could say it keeps popping up, 7 8 thus it follows my line. I will address by 9 10 asking why, and in this regard I turn your 11 attention to page 5 of my submission. On page 5 you will see a quotation from the 12 Honourable Minister of Finance, Dr. Davies 13 in his 1995/96 Budget presentations. The 14 quotation is as follows: "The impact of 15 monetary and fiscal policies...on interest 16 rates was not supportive of major expansion 17 of the economy. But this is a deliberate 18 choice." A deliberate choice. I submit that 19 this is the core reason for the problems 20 experienced with high interest rate and 21 other factors. So given the pre-Finsac era 22 we have this major and far-reaching 2.3 statement by the Minister of Finance in 2.4 Parliament. 'High interest rate even if we 25 have to sacrifice economic growth', that is certainly an alarming statement.

The other components or major factor which 1 had to be attributed to the high interest 2 rate and other problems which were 3 associated with it was the fiscal policy of Government. When the Government took over, I think it was 1989, there was a fiscal surplus amounting up to two percent or close 7 to three percent of Gross Domestic Product up to 1992. By 1996 even before the financial crisis and expenditures would 10 have resulted, the surplus, the fiscal 11 12 surplus had evaporated and we were looking 13 at a pre 1997, at a deficit of some six 14 percent of Gross Domestic Product. So I am suggesting that there were two major policy 15 initiatives or actions and that was the root 16 of the problem; the statement by the 17 Minister of Finance about sacrificing 18 growth to maintain high interest rate and 19 secondly, the fiscal problems which were 2.0 created where we moved from a surplus in 1992 21 to a deficit even before the financial 22 crisis. Arising out of these 23

1	two major actions or developments, the data
2	showed serious disruption in the economy.
3	For example, the manufacturing sector in
4	that short period lost over 50,000 jobs; the
5	agricultural sector, agricultural and
6	fisheries sector lost over 40,000 jobs. The
7	deficit with CARICOM which was about US\$66
8	Million in 1991/92 it rose to \$1.1 Billion
9	by 1997/98. We had devaluation almost every
10	year, where the rate of exchange moved from
11	approximately J\$12 to US\$1 around 1992 to
12	J\$36 to US\$1 around 1997. Of course, there
13	was no growth in the economy, GDP and these
14	were the consequences of these two
15	fundamental mistakes in the fiscal policy
16	and the financial policy and this trickled
17	over into the entire economy causing the
18	problem where banks, insurance
19	companies, businesses and individuals
20	suffered tremendously with the collapse
21	that followed.
22	I will give my first response on a macro
23	basis and I do not know, Mr. Chairman,
2.4	

 \cap Γ

1		whether you would like me to continue to
2		address the implications on a sector
3		basis dealing specifically with the
4		Banking and Life Insurance Companies.
5	MR. SMALL:	Yes, we can turn to that once you have
6		completed your summary of the overall
7		situation. You want to turn now to the
8		Banking and Insurance Industry?
9	A:	Thank you very much. Everything had been
10		blamed on high interest rate, that of
11		itself was a serious cause of the
12		problem and it spilled over into the
13		Life Insurance Industry. We had, for
14		example, surrendered life insurance
15		policies moving from 800 in 1992 to
16		6,400 in 1996/97 surrendered, so when
17		the high interest rate started hiking
18		and the economy started contracting,
19		people had to surrender or increase the
20		encashment for surrendering on their
21		life insurance policies. Life insurance
22		premium fell by some 25 percent and as
23		these two things happened, the Life
24		Insurance Companies were forced to seek
25		new sources of funding to meet their

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

obligations. And this is a fact not discussed and not recognized to the extent that it justifies it so far. To cite some very startling figures, the Life Insurance Industry in 1991 had only \$1 billion of commercial paper, that's short term paper which was very popular around that time, paying interest of about 30 percent. By 1997 that figure had jumped to \$24 billion. So the Life Insurance Industry as a result of the encashment, the fall in premium income, was forced to resort to short term borrowing at this excessive high interest rate of 30 percent or so. So when we look at the Life Insurance Industry and we try to find fault or reason why it collapsed, we must take into account the decline in its regular flow of income or cash flow as we call it from insurance premiums, new policies and with that decline there was no alternative but to turn to high short--term funds, and that contributed tremendously. I would say that was the

24

 \sim Γ

1		major factor in the decline or collapse
2		of the Life Insurance Industry, the high
3		cost of funds and again, the effect from
4		the high interest rate plus other
5		factors. The Life Insurance Industry
6		recognized that there was problem in the
7		Industry
8	Q:	Dr. Chen-Young, could you just repeat
9		what you just said because there seems
10		to have been a glitch in the
11		transmission?
12	A:	Okay. I don't know where to start, but
13		let me start somewhere that you didn't
14		get earlier, then I will try and go
15		back. What I said was that the Life
16		Industry feeling the impact, the adverse
17		impact of reliance on high short-term
18		debt realized that many of their
19		projects were no longer viable and a
20		crisis was imminent, almost, and
21		executives of the industry in trying to
22		do something about the problem met and
23		decided that the matter was so urgent
24		that we should bring it to the attention
25		of the Minister of Finance, but we

nount
type
d Mr.
e did
some
the
Ē
Hall
a
a a
y and
y and
and alp." Ly at
y and elp." Ly at Ls. I
y and elp." Ly at Ls. I
y and elp." Ly at Ls. I dut in
y and elp." Ly at Ls. I dut in c the e had
y and elp." Ly at Ls. I dut in
y and elp." Ly at Ls. I dut in c the e had
f

1	have a problem, the industry has a problem
2	let us try and see how we can assist. No
3	assistance came and therefore the industry
4	collapsed as we all know. Not just one
5	company, all of the major companies.
6	We now turn to the Banking Industry and here
7	I would like to introduce some factors which
8	have not been discussed in trying to
9	understand why the collapse. Around the mid
10	1990s the Banking Act was revised and there
11	were some major consequences on the balance
12	sheet of the Banking Industry. The first
13	stage, interest on loans which were not
14	current would have to be set aside in a
15	special reserve. T agreed with that and we
16	all agreed with that policy, but it was a
17	shock because it impacted on the profit and
18	loss of the bank. No disagreement with it,
19	it made sense, but that
20	happened during this very volatile
21	period.
22	Secondly, the bank decided that they
23	would move away from overdraft
2 4	

facilities, that would have been a sore point 1 with the regulators and others that 2 overdraft facility was not a proper way to 3 provide credit and so there was a definite 4 movement away from overdraft facilities and 5 this created problems for some of the 6 borrowers which resulted in higher debt 7 provision. Of course, the high interest rate loan loss provision had to be increased as 9 borrowers could not service their accounts. 10 Additionally, those are because of the Bank 11 changing the Banking Act as such. The Bank 12 of Jamaica regulations created a very, very 13 difficult time for the liquidity of the banks 14 on their profit and loss. And for some 15 extended period, 1 do not exactly recall for 16 how long, the commercial banks, following 17 the instructions and regulations of the Bank 18 of Jamaica, had to put away fifty cents out 19 of every dollar in non-interest building 20 account. So can you imagine the tremendous 21 impact that would have had on the liquidity 22 of the commercial banks 23 24

1		and also on their profit and loss
2		statement in having to put away fifty
3		cents of every deposit in a non-interest
4		payment account? These are things that
5		have not been discussed and not being
6		answered in trying to assess some of the
7		reasons why the banks collapsed.
8		Then the inflow of foreign exchange in
9		response to the high interest rate
10		prevailing in Jamaica created excess
11		liquidity with foreign exchange because
12		interest rates were averaging 50 percent
13		and so even with the devaluation, a lot
14		of short term money came into the
15		banking system and banks found it very
16		difficult to pay for some of these funds
17		and sometimes made mistakes in being
18		less conservative or not as conservative
19		as they should by being more lax in
20		their lending and even some of their
21		investments. So that excess liquidity
22		did create a problem.
23	MR. SMALL:	Have you completed the treatment of the
24		Insurance and Banking Industry?
25	A:	That, I think covers it for the time

1		being. And there is one element too
2		which is significant. Around that time
3		Governor Bussieres was very critical of
4		financial conglomerates, and he said it
5		on different radio stations. He wanted a
6		'Chinese wall' drawn between banks and
7		insurance companies.
8	MR. SMALL:	A what wall?
9	A:	'Chinese wall' it is called, that
10		separates banking from insurance et
11		cetera. So for those financial
12		conglomerates who had banks, insurance
13		companies, building societies and so on,
14		the criticism by the then Governor did
15		not get confidence and that cannot be
16		overlooked. So the banking and life
17		insurance companies, like the other
18		entities, business entities and
19		individuals, were operating in a very
20		hostile economic environment. One could
21		almost say it was like a ship going out
22		to sea with calm waters and then having
23		to face numerous hurricanes, high
24		interest rates, people not paying their
25		loans, people who borrowed can't service

1		their loans, devaluations, et cetera,
2		and so the adverse and harsh economic
3		climate impacted on the Banking and Life
4		Insurance Industries as well as, of
5		course, the businesses and individuals.
6		And so while I would not in anyway
7		suggest that the management must not
8		bear some responsibility, because
9		management must bear some responsibility
10		if your business fails, the reality is
11		that the hostile environment made it
12		extremely difficult to survive and to
13		operate on a normal basis and forced
14		entities into directions which they
15		would not normally have gone. I just
16		make that observation out of it.
17	MR. SMALL:	I assume you have completed the
18		treatment of the Banking and Life
19		Insurance Industries?
20	A:	Yes, I have.
21	Q:	I want you to turn now to the Manner in
22		which Finsac handled the Crisis?
23	A:	Well, may I draw your attention to page
24		18 of the submission.
25	Q:	Yes.

1	A:	And this is vital in the work of this
2		Commission in trying to understand and
3		assess the role of FINSAC.
4		In its Annual Report, 1998 Annual
5		Report, that's FINSAC, it's the first
6		annual report. I quote.
7		"FINSAC Limited was incorporated with
8		the specific mandate from the Government
9		to resolve the problems of solvency and
10		liquidity being experienced by the
11		financial sector."
12		That's the mandate. The report, that's
13		the first report continued:
14		"FINSAC will assist institutions in
15		developing workout plans, where
16		necessary, to return them to viability.
17		I repeat.
18		"FINSAC will assist institutions in
19		developing workout plans, where
20		necessary, to return them to viability.
21		Such plans
22		And I am still quoting.
23		will form the basis for the
24		conditions which FINSAC will attach to
25		financial assistance.

"FINSAC will monitor the implementation of such plans on a continuing basis and will evaluate their effectiveness in achieving their specific objective". Finally.

"FINSAC is excepted to have an active life of about five to seven years. Support is provided to companies on the basis of rehabilitation plans to be implemented over five years.

Nothing could be clearer about the role of FINSAC. When we looked at the operations of FINSAC, the outright sale --sorry, let me use the very-- I cannot think of any financial institution where FINSAC provided the type of assistance required under its mandate, I cannot think of one. And later on I will show the efforts of Eagle and how FINSAC under its mandate should have provided the support for Eagle to become viable by substituting high-cost funds for lower priced funds but I will come to that later. I can't think of one financial institution and if that is so,

 $^{\circ}$

then, it seems that the answer is 1 obvious that FINSAC abrogated its 2 responsibility. It's crystal clear and I 3 would say by extension, by not having workout plans with many businesses that 5 were in trouble and even individuals which 6 they tend to Finsac, that FINSAC failed 7 these individuals and these businesses 8 and, abrogated its responsibility. 9 I go on to say that the divestment of entities 10 which were taken over by FINSAC was done in 1 1 a manner which unnecessarily burdened the 12 Jamaican taxpayers. I say that on the basis 13 that in respect of the financial 14 institutions, there was no arrangement for 15 the Government to participate in any 16 improvement in the financial position of 17 entities that were supported. For example, 18 in the United States which is the most 19 current experience with financial problems, 20 plus other countries of course, the type of 21 assistance provided allowed the US Treasury 22 to profit by over US\$6 billion, 23 24 25

1		this is a recent Article just this
2		month; over US\$6 billion from its
3		support to the banking sector which sums are
4		estimated to run as high as \$20
4		5 billion. In Sweden
when	the banks were	
6		in trouble, there was provision for
7		participation in the improvement of the
8		banks' financial profile. In even
9		Trinidad
10	Q:	Sorry.
11	A:	What's that?
12	Q:	No, go ahead. When you are through.
13	A:	Even in Trinidad with CLICO, their most
14		glaring case as being problematic, the
15		Government of Trinidad provided support
16		but there was provision for
17		participating if the company turns
18		around. What did we do in Jamaica? The
19		Government inadvisedly cleaned up the
20		balance sheets of these institutions,
21		say we will take all the bad debts and
22		then sold the institutions with these
23		clean balance sheets without no facility
24		ever for any upside gain. The sell-out
25		of these institutions has further

1		implication which I will discuss later
2		on.
3	Q:	Dr. Chen-Young, before you move from
4		that, I want you to explain in simple
5		terms the contrast between what you say
6		took place in the United States; in
7		Trinidad and in other countries as
8		contrasted with the way in which the
9		Government intervened in the industry in
10		Jamaica.
11	A:	It is very simple. In Jamaica, the
12		Government said, okay, banks, life
13		insurance companies, you are in trouble,
14		we want to protect the depositors and
15		the policy holders, we will provide all
16		the moneys, we will take over bad debts,
17		and we will clean up the balance sheets
18		and then we sell out these entities. In
19		these other countries that's not so.
20		They provided long term loans with some
21		participation features and in some
22		cases, persons, in fact in these
23		companies. Quite a dramatic difference.
24	Q:	And how did they make the profit?
25	A:	Well, the companies turned around after

1		getting the assistance. Shares price
2		went up, if they owned shares then they
3		would benefit. If you have convertible
4		for example, convertible loans, once the
5		companies turn around they can convert
6		their loans into equity and participate
7		in the wellbeing of the companies. We
8		didn't use our imagination, we just
9		simple say yes, take the money, that's
10		all.
11	Q:	Okay, you were going on to another
12		point.
13	A:	z had mentioned the unnecessary cost to
14		the taxpayers by this method of
15		financing. But probably far more
16		important well, I wouldn't say far
17		more but equally or more important,
18		let's put it that way, are the economic
19		costs, the economic costs of that policy
20		of selling off assets. It is simple
21		enough to say to the Beal Bank, we will
22		sell our bad debts, give us a percentage
23		of what you collect, that's financial.
24		But economic cost is greater because the
25		economic cost is not a one-off

transaction, it's a cost which continued 1 over the years. In other words, just as how 2 FINSAC divested the entities, we will have 3 severe consequences on our balance of 4 payments. And by this I mean, that the investment income which is earned from these 6 entities which were sold to overseas 7 interests -- and nothing is wrong with them taking a bar in, but the profits earned will 9 have a drain on our balance of payment each 10 year going on in finitum. 11 To give you an example. The investment 12 income outflow in 1998 or so, 1999, was over 1.3 about US\$300 million. In 2007, it was close 14 to US\$600 million. Now, the 15 investment income is not through the profit, 16 is either other elements like interest, but 17 a large or some components of that would be 18 profits earned from these entities which 19 were sold. And I will go on later when I am 20 summarizing to show a little economic model 21 where if you have outflows they offset your 22 export and make it very difficult for 23

economic growth so we have a balance of payment effect. Perhaps, also very significant, I have to say, perhaps more important, because it affects investment, it kills entrepreneurs. When you wipe out so many thousands of businesses and when you wipe out or take away financial institutions which were built up by Jamaicans, then you have dealt entrepreneurship a severe, almost a knock-out blow, and people will not invest, they will not take as much risk and therefore, investment will suffer and you will have jobs, not enough jobs, not as much jobs created. And this has very, very far-reaching long term effect on the economic growth and the development of the country. People see how you treat your investors. And one cannot escape the fact that this has turned off many, even thousands of investors who are now very risk averse. And let me give you a another point about the banking sector passing. Once you wipe out so many banks

24

 \cap \vdash

1		and life insurance companies you are
2		left with just a few. And from an
3		economic point of view, the level of
4		competition is reduced. It makes
5		borrowers have less choice in terms of
6		where they borrow money, whether it's
7		for mortgage or its for businesses and
8		that that's a real economic cost. And
9		we talk about lower interest rate, the
10		best way to lower interest rate is for
11		more competition. So you have
12		eliminated the competition and maybe you
13		have the problem where you have fewer
14		number of entities in a market which was
15		supported by maybe double the amount
16		of entities or banks and insurance
17		companies. So these are real costs.
18		There is more to be said but I think I
19		would need to continue on all the
20		matters and then leave this for
21		questioning.
22	Q:	You now wish to turn to specifically how
23		FINSAC dealt with Eagle.
2 4	A:	Well, yes. (Dr Chen-Young laughs) I
25		laughed because it's a story worth

1	telling and it's a sad story in terms of
2	destroying one of the most successful and
3	diversified companies built from scratch.
4	With that opening statement, let me begin
5	by saying this.
6	We at Eagle realized that there was a
7	problem and in 1996, we sought to refinance
8	our high cost debts in the same way that
9	the life insurance companies saw a problem
10	and tried to refinance their debts. We said
11	we had to do something about it and so, we
12	pulled together the best that we had in
13	Eagle, five financial entities: Eagle
	Commercial Bank, Eagle Permanent Building
14	Society, Eagle Unit Trust, Eagle General
15	Insurance and Eagle Merchant Bank, and all
16	these entities were viable and supported
17	by audited accounts shown in what we called
18	the Eagle Premium Growth Fund. So we took
19	
20	these five entities along with six
21	commercial properties: Eagle Commercial
22	Buildings in Montego Bay, I think
23	Mandeville and in Kingston which were
24	
25	

1		leased to Eagle companies and then we
2		took Holiday Inn which was a viable
3		entity paying its way and we created
4		what was called the Eagle Premium Growth
5		Fund to try and raise \$6 billion which
6		was to pay off our expenses, short term
7		money. We sought help from
8		quasi-government entities, we got
9		nothing. What's that?
10	Q:	No, nothing was said. Nothing was said.
11	A:	Okay. Like the National Insurance Fund,
12		no support, we marketed heavily in
13		Jamaica trying to have people buy shares
14		in this, it became a unit trust, we went
15		to the United States, Washington, New
16		York, Atlanta, Los Angeles, to try and
17		get Jamaicans to subscribe, we did what
18		they call a "road show". We went to
19		England, the cities which are heavily
20		populated by Jamaicans, and we tried to
21		sell these Eagle Premium Growth Fund.
22		We did not raise the fund and one of the
23		main reasonsI am not saying it's the
24		only reason, but one of the main reasons
25		was that we were expecting Government

Papers. When the fund was launched --1 incidentally it was launched by Dr Omar 2 Davies. When it was launched the Government 3 issued an unlimited amount of Government Stocks at 50% interest rate, 50%, so, an 5 investor looking at a unit trust with --6 while even though well defined and with good 7 assets, banks, tourism, commercial 8 buildings, you know, you can't blame them for 9 saying we can get 50% on Government Paper, 10 why should we buy your Premium Growth Fund 11 or your unit? So that failed. 12 I need to say a word about the entities in 13 the Premium Growth Fund because that is 14 related to the question you have asked 15 about how did FINSAC treat Eagle? When 16 FINSAC took over the Eagle Group, and I am 17 going to say something about that, I should 18 say something, when we did not raise enough 19 funds from the Eagle Premium Growth Fund, 20 we went to the Bank of Jamaica and said, 2.1 we have tried to raise funds, we need funds, 22 we need some support, help us to get a 23 24

1	restructuring. That was in 1995 or 1996.
2	They were not very helpful. They said go
3	raise capital. Now, where are you going to
4	raise that sort of money in Jamaica
5	especially in those times? As the
6	situation deteriorated we went back to the
7	Bank of Jamaica, and we had a
8	meeting in February 1997, and the
9	Minutes of that Meeting were recorded in
10	Eagle Merchant Bank Board Minutes of
11	February 25, and if you turn to page 39 of
12	the submission you will see. And the import
13	of this is that it showed you what we were
14	trying to do before FINSAC took over Eagle.
15	So if you turn to page 39, you will see what
16	was minuted in Eagle Merchant Bank Board
17	Minutes. Okay in the Eagle Merchant Bank
18	Board
19	Minutes of February 25, 1997 it states as
20	follows and I am quoting from the Minutes.
21	Mrs. Coke, Mr. Messado, Mr. Hamilton who was
22	the Financial Controller; Mr. Keith Senior,
23	who was General Manager of Eagle then and Dr.
24	Chen-Young met with the

1	В	ank of JamaicaThe meeting ended on a
2	С	onstructive note with management being
3	a	sked to look at the future in three
4	d	ifferent ways.
5	1	. Crown Eagle Life (CEL) issuing and
6	d	ealing with the insurance products only,
7	е	xcluding Promissory <i>Notes</i> and Asset
8	I	nvestor policy.
9	Т	hese are the high-cost short term
10		orrowings The banking operations of ECB absorbing
11	ŧ	he profitable sections of EMB and Eagle
12	P	ermanent Building Society.
13		
14	3	. The <i>other</i> entities in a separate group
15	w	hich would be dealt by FINSAC on the basis
16	0	f viability and if this was not possible
17	ť	hen sale and recovery over a period of time.
18	G	overnment would have substantial ownership
19	i	n exchange for the injection of J\$5 billion
20	a	pproximately in share capital.
21	Т	hat what's the Bank of Jamaica said we
22	S	hould look at and we are now denied this,
23	d	espite this being in the record elsewhere.
24		

∩ E

Following that meeting we then met with 1 Chairman of FINSAC and we had several 2 meeting where Dr. Gladstone Bonnick and the 3 minutes of Eagle Merchant Bank, March 4, 1997 states: I quote. 5 Directors were advised that the first 6 meeting between Eagle and FINSAC was 7 scheduled for this evening to be followed by negotiations tomorrow and it was 9 expected that by weekend there should be an 10 agreement. 11 These are minutes of Eagle Merchant Bank 12 following meetings with Bank of Jamaica and 13 Dr. Bonnick of FINSAC. So we were led, we told 14 them we had a problem, they looked at us, they 15 saw where there could be viability and we 16 were led to believe that we would have a joint 17 venture and that Government would have taken 18 substantial equity in the Eagle Group. Well, 19 that was not to be so. We met with Dr. Bonnick 20 and at that meeting Ms. Shirley Tyndall, the 21 Financial 22 Secretary, and a host of persons, I 23 believe legal and others, had just 24

о г

1	simply announced, "we are taking over
2	Eagle." Just like that. I said, Are you
3	crazy? What about all the discussions? What
4	about the understanding after what Eagle
5	has built, what we have done to build up
6	the Group? She said, that's it, we are
7	taking over Eagle and 1 was then forced to
8	sell it or to have Eagle sold and they took
9	over everything. Now, Dr. Davies, when he
10	testified before the Commission made the
11	comment I think it's no, made the
12	comment that some of Eagle's entities were
13	not viable. Be said the Eagle Commercial
14	Bank was viable but some of the other Eagle
15	entities were not viable. But let me say
16	this, if we go back to the entities in the
17	Eagle Premium Growth Fund which is the core
18	of the Eagle network, and they would have
19	come under Crown Eagle which was their
20	holding company; that was where the problem
21	was because of the high cost of the short
22	term paper. All the entities were viable,
23	the Merchant Bank, the
24	
27	

1	Commercial Bank, the Building Society, the
2	General Insurance Company, and the Eagle
3	Unit Trust. So I believe Dr. Davies erred
4	when he made that comment. And I would want
5	to mention that the forensic auditors, they
6	were deeply trying to unroot anything they
7	could find in Eagle. They tried to discredit
8	the entities in Eagle Premium Growth Fund.
9	They even raided the offices of our
10	auditors, KPMG, but again, they could not
11	discredit the viability of these entities.
12	And they are shown in the submission, I think
13	it is 62 to 68, we need not go over that but
14	towards the end we have the entities listed
15	and we showed the projection that these are
16	viable entities.
17	So the point I am really making is this. That,
18	before FINSAC took over Eagle, initiatives
19	were taken by Eagle itself to raise funds
20	to refinance. I don't believe any other
21	financial entity in Jamaica I correct
22	myself not that I don't believe, no other
23	financial
2 4	
<u></u>	

1		entity in Jamaica went to such length to
2		seek refinancing to replace high cost
3		money as we did at Eagle. None. They
4		also as I said I took initiative with
5		the Bank of Jamaica and FINSAC and the
6		entities comprising Eagle were viable
7		entities; as a matter of fact
8		Dr. Bonnick wrote that Bank of Nova
9		Scotia which was asked to look at Eagle
10		saw Eagle Commercial Bank to be a very
11		viable entity and I am trying to find
12		the specific section so I could read it.
13	Q:	You are looking for Jackson's comment?
14	A:	If you look at page 40 I don't think
15		it's page 40.
16	MR. SMALL:	Page 42.
17	A:	Yes, thank you very much Mr. Small. In
18		a letter dated October 2003 Dr. Bonnick
19		wrote and I quote, page 42.
20		I can truthfully attest to the fact that
21		he, Paul Chen-Young co-operated with
22		FINSAC by facilitating the transfer of
23		Eagle enterprises. Also I told him that
24		I could attest to the fact that Bank of
25		Nova Scotia during the first four months

1		after the purchase/takeover gave the
2		impression that it had found these
3		institutions to be reasonably well run.
4		The main criticism being that they were
5		overstaffed for the amount of business
6		they were doing at that time.
7		That is Dr. Bonnick writing about me and
8		referring to Bank of Jamaica.
9	Q:	Dr. Chen-Young will you hold a moment
10		please, there is a technical problem
11		which we need to solve. Go ahead
12		Dr. Chen.
13	A:	Then noted Financial Consultant, Mr.
14		John Jackson testified as follows,
15		testified in court as follows.
16		Eagle Commercial Bank was one of the
17		soundest in the island and it was ranked
18		alongside Bank of Nova Scotia. At no
19		time was any impression given to me that
20		would suggest that the Merchant Bank was
21		not sound. This seeks to be in conflict with
22		the Avis Report, that is the report prepared
23		by the Forensic Auditor, that is Mr. John
24		Jackson.
		So the point what is clear is that

23

24

25

these entities were viable and FINSAC could have taken them if it followed its mandate and for no reason that I am aware of, FINSAC acted arbitrarily, disregarded its mandate and took over the Eagle Group, dismantled everything and brought excessive pressure on me personally which I will deal with later on, but I think for the time being that will address the FINSAC action. And let me just say one thing about FINSAC in relation to loan of businesses and individuals. First of all, it would have been more efficient and sensible and helpful to those businesses and individuals who were experiencing problems for the Government or FINSAC to have said okay, we will clean up the balance sheet, you have a problem, our mandate says we are to try and help you to get back on track, the banks and insurance companies cannot keep bad loans on their balance sheet, we will take them off the balance sheet like what they did but instead of selling

1		them to a debt collector, that is what
2		we banks were, FINSAC should have, not
3		could have, should have said, we will
4		retain the commercial banks and other
5		financial entities to develop, work out
6		plans with customers, that is how
7		banking is done, if a customer has a
8		problem, you try and work with that
9		customer, you would know the customer
10		and you modify their loan and try and
11		get that customer back on his feet. You
12		pay the bank, the Government could have
13		paid the bank a fee to do that, to
14		handle those transactions, instead it
15		cruelly and harshly sold out all these
16		loans and sold it to Beal Bank and
17		eventually to the other entities with
18		the hardship we have heard about at this
19		enquiry.
20	Q:	Now Dr. Chen-Young, in your next
21		chapter, Chapter 7, you deal with the
22		legal action taken against you and 1
23		don't propose to go into that because
24		the matter in fact is before the court
25		for hearing shortly.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	Is there any way we can improve the
2		mike?
3	A:	With due respect Mr. Small
4	MR. SMALL:	Just a moment, we have a technical
5		problem.
6	A:	If the Commission so wish
7	Q:	Dr. Chen-Young hold a minute please, we
8		have a technical problem which we are
9		trying to correct here.
10	COMM. BOGLE:	You may proceed now.
11	A:	All right, thank you very much.
12	MR. SMALL:	Yes, you were about to say something?
13	A:	Yes, I realize that we have to be very
14		careful because we have there are
15		certain matters in the public domain and
16		I think it is important to say something
17		about what all these lawsuits against me
18		are about and without expecting any news
19		on the merit of the case.
20	Q:	Okay go ahead.
21	A:	There are many newspaper articles that
22		the court ruled against me for \$1.2
23		billion, I don't know where that number
24		comes from, but my numbers are
25		considerably less and I would like to

1		explain the flurry of lawsuits brought
2		against me because the public should
3		know. You know I have contributed to my
4		country, I have built institutions along
5		with all my directors and management and
6		staff and I must say something about you
7		know whatever took place. First of all,
8		when FINSAC took over the Eagle Group,
9		they did an initial forensic audit, and
10		they sent the report to the Board of
11		FINSAC, and Dr. Bonnick, the Chairman of
12		FINSAC told me that after having
13		reviewed the report of the Forensic
14		Auditors, they found no basis for
15		continuing with the service of the
16		forensic auditors or proceeding any
17		further with any forensic work on the
18		Eagle Group, and so, I understand the
19		forensic auditors, Avy Linguist was
20		dismissed. They were not satisfied and
21		they wrote a letter to the Financial
22		Secretary.
23	Q:	Who was not satisfied?
24	A:	This is important.
25	Q:	I am saying who was not satisfied?

1	A:	Oh, the Forensic Auditors because for
2		one, whether they did a lot of work or
3		for any other ulterior motive, I don't
4		know, but they wrote a letter to the
5		Financial Secretary apparently appealing
6		or seeking to be re-employed for
7		whatever reason and in court Mr. Patrick
8		Hylton who was then Managing Director of
9		FINSAC said to the court that the
10		Financial Secretary reprimanded him for
11		dismissing the Forensic Auditors. They
12		were re-employed, I don't know whether
13		by FINSAC or the Ministry of Finance or
14		what and in court my attorney sought to
15		see what this letter was about because
16		they said it was important, but the
17		judge did not rule. I give that as a
18		background. The Forensic Auditors left
19		no stones unturned to develop a case, to
20		try and develop a case. Eventually they
21		came up with their report and on the
22		basis of that report five claims were
23		made against me and these are very
24		important for the Jamaican people to
25		understand. No criminal claim and no

criminal charge has ever been filed against 1 me, none. The five claims were as follows. 2 That Eagle Merchant Bank spent too much 3 money to buy a stock broking company in Miami. An investment was made and that I 5 Paul Chen-Young as the Chief Executive is 6 guilty of that even though the Board 7 approved of that transaction. 8 Secondly, that I used nine hundred and fifty 9 thousand US of Eagle's money to invest 10 overseas. The court dismissed it to say, this 11 is my money and when the work was done which 12 showed all the supporting evidence that it 13 was my money and the court dismissed that 14 charge/ claim and also the claim that we 15 spent too much money. But on the first one, 16 what is interesting is that I am being held 17 liable personally for making that purchase 18 when I was acting as the Executive with the 19 approval of the Board. 20 The other three claims were as follow. The 21 first is that again as an Executive 22 23

I invested too much of the bank's money in 1 IBM shares. There was no claim that I used 2 the money for any purpose that would benefit 3 me, that's not the issue, there was never any claim that there was benefit accruing to me 5 but I am being held responsible as the 6 Executive of the bank for making that 7 investment even though I had the authority 8 and the Board's approval to make such an 9 investment. As I said, it is before the Court 10 of Appeal and I am not going to go any further 11 into that. 12 The next claim which is still before the 13 Appeal is that expenditures made on the 14 building occupied by Eagle and Crown Eagle, 15 Eagle Merchant Bank at Grenada Crescent were 16 a breach of fiduciary duty. Now these 17 expenditures were approved by the Board but 18 again that is before the Court of Appeal and 19 I will express no opinion on that. 2.0 And the third was that funds were borrowed 21 for a company which was -- that claim was 22 about to enter into a joint 23

venture with a Chinese Group and which was 1 reported to the Board. Now of the three 2 claims, the IBM shares, so called loss in IBM 3 shares, and I repeat there was no claim that I benefited from this transaction, the loss 5 of US\$5M, the judge ruled that I was 6 responsible, me Paul Chen-Young for US\$10M, 7 that is interest added to the \$5 Million. 8 On Grenada Crescent, the expenditures which 9 were claimed that I breached my fiduciary 10 duty was sixty or sixty-five million 1 1 Jamaican, the judge ruled that I should pay 12 two hundred and forty-five million 1.3 Jamaican, interest calculated on that 14 amount; and on the company where joint 15 venture was discussed seven hundred 16 thousand Jamaican was borrowed and the judge 17 ruled that I should pay eleven million 18 dollars, that included interest. In total, 19 calculated in Jamaican term, the claim was 20 for three hundred and seventy million 21 Jamaican and the judge ruled that I was 2.2 liable for nearly nine hundred million 23 dollars and 24

24

25

in none of these claims -- I better not go any further on that, that is a big difference between one point two billion and nine hundred million and most of that ruling is based on the interest accrued on the fund and I will express no opinion on the basis of the Appeal. So, that is what happened and this has been going on for over thirteen years, nearly fourteen years, over four thousand days. It is although the iron fist of the state was used to destroy, to grind me and to destroy me but they did not stop there. I went to America and I resuscitated an old company which was doing well and FINSAC took the judgment of Justice Anderson and came to Miami and got injunction secretly without any advice to me or my attorneys and I got a knock on my door at night with the injunction, at night at my home freezing my personal assets, freezing my bank accounts and freezing the assets of the company. That is what I got. I gave so much to Jamaica, to my country.

1	Q:	While you are on that, what happened to
2		that freezing order?
3	A:	Well, the judge eventually overruled the
4		injunction and said, hay we better wait
5		and see what is happening in Jamaica but
6		it destroyed the company because if you
7		get an injunction against a company and
8		you freeze its assets, that company
9		cannot survive and of course it
10		destroyed me, my professional career, my
11		business career and you know I feel that
12		I have been persecuted at levels which I
13		wish not to describe because it is so
14		hurtful, over four thousand days of
15		legal battle with FINSAC using the iron
16		fist of this case to crush me, that is
17		how I feel.
18	Q:	Okay. Is there anything else you want
19		to say in conclusion Dr. Chen-Young?
20	A:	I think that that is enough for the time
21		being, there is much more that I can add
22		but I thank you for the opportunity, and
23		to the Commissioners, I thank for the
24		opportunity and I hope that it will help
25		them in their deliberations and I stand

1		ready to answer any questions.
2	MR. SMALL:	Thank you Dr. Chen-Young.
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Small, at this time I think it might
4		be a good time for us to have lunch, 12
5		o'clock yes, and then after lunch we
6		will go into the questions.
7	MR. SMALL:	We will resume at 1:00?
8 914151617181920	10 11 12 13 COMM. BOGLE: A: MR. SMALL: COMM. BOGLE: A: COMM. BOGLE:	1:30. therefore at 1:30. What time will you resume? LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT One and a half hour for lunch, we have ON RESUMPTION: long lunches here. Ladies and gentlemen, this enquiry is One hour and half hours for lunch? now back in session. Dr Chen Young, Thenkeyby Yemynduchthwe willaresumell under oath.
21	DR. CHEN-YOUNG:	Yes, sir.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Small, you were through with him?
23	MR. SMALL:	I was through, Mr. chairman.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	And have we got persons wishing to

cross-examine?

1	MR. HYLTON:	I do sir, I don't know if Mr. Levy was
2 3		planning to. Dr Chen-Young.
4		REEXAMINATION OF DR. CHEN-YOUNG BY MR.
5		HYLTON
6	DR. CHEN-YOUNG:	Yes, sir.
7	Q:	Good afternoon sir, how are you?
8	A:	I am fine, thank you.
9	Q:	Because we weren't - this is Michael
10 11		Hylton. I am not sure if you can see me; the camera doesn't seem to be on me.
12	A:	I can't see you at all, the camera is
13		not on you.
14	Q:	For some reason they have the camera on
15 16		Mr. Levy. (laughter) Dr Chen-Young, I wasn't allowed an
17		opportunity to have someone in your
18		location so I'll have to ask you some
19		questions and I suppose accept your
20		responses. Could you tell us, Dr
21		Chen-Young, what documents you have
22 23	A:	there with you? It is the submission to you, submitted
24		to you, to the Commission.
25	Q:	That's it?

1	A:	That's it.
2	Q:	So each time we see you raise your
3		glasses and look down that's what you
4		are looking at, the submission?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Did you follow the proceedings of this
7		Commission over the last few months?
8	A:	On and off.
9	Q:	Did you, for example, get copies of
10		transcripts?
11	A:	No.
12	Q:	Did you get copies of any of the
13		documents submitted to the Commission by
14		other persons?
15	A:	No.
16	Q:	I am asking you questions here today on
17		behalf of the Bank of Jamaican so I am
18		particularly interested in the exhibits
19		put in by the Bank of Jamaica.
20		Mr. Chairman, normally I would hand the
21		document to the witness. What I propose
22		to do in the circumstances, with your
23		leave, is to give copies of any document
24		to the Commissioners and to Mr. Small
25		and then proceed.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
2	Q:	Now, Dr Chen-Young, your submission
3		starts, as it should logically, with a
4		chapter dealing with the economic
5		environment, setting the backdrop, if
6		you will, to what happened later in the
7		decade.
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	And I am sure you would agree with me
10		that since this is the premise on what
11		follows is to be built it is important
12		to get this, right?
13	A:	Yes.
14	Q:	Let's start, Dr Chen-Young, with the
15		first page of your text at page two.
16	A:	Page what?
17	Q:	Page two of your submission. The first
18		factual assertion that you make at the
19		end of page two is, there was no
20		economic growth between 1990 and 1998.
21		And, you are referring to GDP?
22	A:	I am not seeing clearly what you are
23		referring to.
24	Q:	The last line on page two. It says:
25		First there was no economic growth. You

1		see it now?
2	A:	Yes.
3	MR. HYLTON:	Commissioners, have you been handed the
4		Bank of Jamaica's responses to
5		questions?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	In October, 2009, Dr Chen-Young, the
8		Acting Governor of the Bank of Jamaica
9		gave evidence before this Commission and
10		one of the exhibits admitted into
11		evidence was a document setting out
12		their responses to a number of questions
13		asked by the Commission. One of those
14		documents, or that document includes at
15		page 41 - I know you don't have it but
16		your attorney does.
17	MR. SMALL:	You are still going to have to read it
18		to him.
19	MR. HYLTON:	Yes. At Page 41, there is a table,
20		Table 5: Jamaican Selective Indicators,
21		and that table shows in its first row,
22		Growth in GDP over the same period
23		referred to in your submission. What it
24		indicates, Dr Chen-Young, is that in
25		1991 there was a growth of 0.7%; 1992

1		-1.4; 1993 -1.5; 1994-1.0; 1995 -0.7; it
2		then showed negative growth '96, '97,
3		'98 -1.4, 2.1; 0.7 respectively.
4		It would appear to me, Dr Chen-Young,
5		that your opening statement that there
6		is no economic growth between 1990 and
7		1998 is incorrect. Would you care to
8		comment on that?
9 10	A:	I don't have the figures in front of me but perhaps if you said virtually no
11 12	Q:	economic growth. Virtually. Although for five years of
13		that period there was growth, small
14		growth but growth nonetheless.
15	A:	What is that question?
16	Q:	Let me move on. On the following page,
17		your second comment related to
18		inflationary conditions on this very
19		important issue of high interest rates.
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	And you said there that there was
22		average commercial bank lending rate of
23		51.6% and you are speaking of between
24		'91 and '97, the sentence that begins
25		with 'Between 1991 and 1997'.

1	А	
2	Q:	I am not sure what you are referring to. On
3		the top of page three, the first paragraph
4	A:	on page three?
5	Q	Yes.
	•	You see the sentence that begins Between 1991
6		and 1997? Does page 3 begin with the words
7		Second high inflationary conditions?
9	A :	Just a minute. I was reading from the
	A:	submission.
10		Could you repeat that please?
11	Q:	I said I was reading from the submission but
12	A:	
13		in letter form, not the actual document,
14		which would be the same. Can you begin the
15		sentence so I can try and follow it?
16		It is the paragraph immediately following
		the one you read earlier. It begins:
17	Q:	Second, high inflationary conditions.
18		Okay, I have that.
19		
20		Could you tell me what page you have that
21	A:	on, just for future reference? What are
22	Q:	you asking?
	٠.	On what page do you have that sentence?
23		
24	A:	
25	Q	

1	A:	Page two. I am working from the original
2		draft because I do not have the final
3		version in Vancouver, it is
4		substantially - maybe a page different
5		so we can adjust to that. Give me the
6		paragraph.
7	Q:	Your second sentence begins '- Between
8		1991 and 1997'?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	Then it refers to rate of inflation,
11		commercial bank lending rates?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	And then continues: The Jamaica
14		Government Treasury Bill rate was about
		Government Treasury Bill rate was about 50%, etc.
14	A:	-
14 15		50%, etc.
14 15 16	A:	50%, etc. Yes.
14 15 16 17	A:	50%, etc. Yes. Commissioners, there is a loose document
14 15 16 17	A:	50%, etc. Yes. Commissioners, there is a loose document in the bundle I have just handed to you,
14 15 16 17 18	A:	50%, etc. Yes. Commissioners, there is a loose document in the bundle I have just handed to you, it is not actually part of the exhibit.
14 15 16 17 18 19	A:	50%, etc. Yes. Commissioners, there is a loose document in the bundle I have just handed to you, it is not actually part of the exhibit. Dr Chen-Young, I suggest to you that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A:	50%, etc. Yes. Commissioners, there is a loose document in the bundle I have just handed to you, it is not actually part of the exhibit. Dr Chen-Young, I suggest to you that during the period referred to in your
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A:	50%, etc. Yes. Commissioners, there is a loose document in the bundle I have just handed to you, it is not actually part of the exhibit. Dr Chen-Young, I suggest to you that during the period referred to in your submission, that is, 1991 to 1999, the

1		November, 1991, 185 days maturity -
2		36.76%; December, 1991, 91 day maturity
3		- 38.42%; July, 1992, 187 days
4		42.23%; December 1992, 90 days
5		24.63; December, 1993, 182 days
6		-48.97%; December, 1994, 143 days -
7		29.41%; December, 1995, 185 days -
8		42.5%; December 1996, 182 days - 28.83%;
9		December 1997 182 days 28.08%;
10		December 1998, 182 days - 23.52%;
11		December 1999, 183 days - 22.03%.
12		And I suggest Dr. Chen-Young, that in
13		fact at no time during that period did
14		the yield get to 50; it got to 48.97 and
15		the average was in the 30s.
16	A:	I would have to refer to my notes, I
17		don't have them here in Vancouver.
18	Q:	Where did your figures come from?
19	A:	I would have to refer to my notes, I
20		don't have them here in Vancouver.
21	Q:	Do you recall where these figures come
22		from? it didn't come from your notes.
23		It came from somewhere before it got in
24		your notes.
25	A:	It must be either from the Bank of

1		Jamaica report, Annual Economic Report.
2	Q:	But you don't recall now where you got
3		them from?
4	A:	I don't recall now.
5	Q:	I suggest to you, Dr.Chen-Young, that
6		what I have just indicated to you are
7		the correct figures during that period
8		of time and do not at all corroborate
9		the figures that you put in your
10		submission as your starting point.
11	A:	What is that?
12	Q:	I suggest that the figures I have just
13		quoted to you are the correct Treasury
14		Bill rates during the relevant periods
15		and totally contradict the figures you
16		have included in your submission.
17	A:	It is possible, I would have to check my
18		source.
19	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, this document which Mr.
20		Hylton is examining Dr Chen-Young on,
21		Commission of Enquiry, Bank of Jamaica
22		Response to Questions, is this something
23		that evidence has been given, has been
24		put into evidence before the Commission?
25	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, this document was.

1	MR. LEVY:	It is unfortunate that Dr. Chen-Young
2		was not at least sent by e-mail a copy
3		of this so he can - we are dealing with
4		serious statistics and numbers. For
5		Mr. Hylton to rattle off a series of
6		percentages over ten years and expect
7		Mr. Chen-Young to be responsive to them
8		properly is just not right. I don't know
9		what you are going to do about it but I
1,0		find this offensive.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	At this stage I must say that we can do
12		nothing about that, Mr. Levy.
13	MR. LEVY:	I am just saying this piece of paper is
14		not before Mr. Chen-Young, I am only
15		seeing it for the first time and it is
16		just not right to be using statistics
17		out of this piece of paper or this
18		bundle of papers to cross-examine Dr
19		Chen-Young. Dr. Chen-Young's statement
20		of complaints to the Commission has been
21		before the Commission, has been
22		available to the Bank of Jamaica for a
23		long time. Somebody slipped up
24		somewhere and this was not provided to
25		Mr. Chen-Young if he is going to be

1		cross-examined on it.
2	MR. SMALL:	May I just add this? Apart from that
3		document, this is not yet before the
4		commission?
5	COMM. BOGLE:	No.
6	MR. SMALL:	And I don't know the basis on which it is
	7 8 9 10	being presented. I don't know the
		authenticity of it, the providence of it and
		it ought to have been made available to Dr.
		Chen-Young for him to comment on
11		it.
12	MR. HYLTON:	May I respond, Chair?
13	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, go ahead.
14	MR. HYLTON:	First of all, as the Commissioners will
15		be aware, I don't know when this
16		submission was received by the
17		Commission. My learned friend Mr. Levy,
18		if I may respond to him first, just said
19		this has been available to the Bank of
20		Jamaica for a very long time. This
21		document was received by the Bank of
22		Jamaica when Dr. ChenYoung was first to
23		give evidence a couple weeks ago, 1
24		don't recall the exact date, a few days
25		before that. It certainly was not

available, Commissioners, when the Bank of 1 Jamaica gave evidence and I make no point 2 on it except to say we cannot be blamed for 3 not having addressed these issues before 4 and for not having indicated what our 5 response to them would be. 6 Secondly, in relation to what my learned 7 friend, Mr. Small, has just said about this 8 latter document, this document is not in 9 evidence because the Bank of Jamaica, when 10 it gave evidence and put things in evidence, 11 did not know this was being said so that it 12 was not in a position to put it in, we had 13 no choice but now that Dr. Chen-Young is 14 giving evidence, to put the figures to him. 15 The Commissioners have indicated that 16 witnesses may be recalled later and when Bank 17 of Jamaica is recalled, if you allow us to 18 return, these matters will be put into 19 evidence, but it would be an impossible 2.0 situation, Mr. Chairman, if Dr. Chen-Young 2.1 is not given an opportunity to comment and 22 we then come 23 24

 $^{\circ}$

1		later and put it in. So that surely the only
2		way to deal with it, given the
3		circumstances, is for us to indicate the
4		numbers to him now, whatever documents
5		there are, and put them in then. Finally
6		sir, as for this suggestion about sending
7		him documents in advance;
8		(a) This is cross-examination and so
9		there is no requirement to do that.
10		(b) We do not have a situation where we had
11		access, if I may use that word, to Dr.
12		Chen-Young before. In other words we have
13		nobody there where he is. I knew this
14		morning when I got here that Mr. Small would
15		be his counsel; I had no way of communicating
16		with him and so to suggest that it is somehow
17		improper to be cross-examining on
18		documents, some in evidence, which my friend
19		Mr. Levy says I should have sent to Dr.
20		Chen-Young, documents which are in
21		evidence, I reject completely.
22		May I ask, sir, that I be allowed to
23		continue.
24		Mr. Chairman, I wasn't saying Mr. Hylton
25	MR. LEVY:	

1		should have been sent it, it should have
2		been made available to Dr. Chen-Young if
3		it was going to be used in
4		cross-examination. This is a
5		substantive document with a tremendous
6		number of statistics and all know that
7		statistics are otherwise known as damn
8		lies and Mr. Chen-Young was being asked
9		questions about statistics without
10		having a piece of paper before him
11		dealing with these statistics. What we
12		can say is that the yield was very high
13		and the economy was almost crashed.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	On the matter of the document, the
15		document has been here for some time and
16		available and it might be regretable
17		that Dr. Chen-Young had not got that,
18		and that is the document of the Bank of
19		Jamaica. Regarding this document
20	MR. SMALL:	That is the second document.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes. Are you placing this in evidence,
22		what are doing with it?
23	MR. HYLTON:	No, sir. I gave them to you, sir, and
24		to my learned friend for one reason only
25		and that was to save you having to write

1		down the numbers.
2 3	COMM. BOGLE: MR. HYLTON:	So it's being put it? No, sir, it is just for the purpose of
4		your having something to look at.
5 6	COMM. BOGLE: MR. HYLTON:	Okay, we will proceed. Dr. Chen-Young you are with me?
7	A:	Yes, I am here.
8	Q:	There is repeat reference in your
9		submission to devaluation, inflation and
10		so on, and you refer to it in the
11		context of the decade. Would I be
12		correct to say that the first five years
13		of the decade of the 1990s had severe
14		inflation and devaluation and the last
15		five years had relatively stable
16		exchange rates, price stability. Would
17		that be correct to say, do you recall?
18	A:	I don't recall the figures as
19		experienced then, I cannot recall all
20		that detail.
21	Q:	I am deliberately not putting figures to
22		you since I am accused of throwing
23		figures at you.
24	A:	What is that?
25	Q:	I am deliberately not putting the

1		figures to you. Would you agree with me
2		that as a general statement it is
3		correct to say the second half of the
4		decade was characterised by stable
5		prices and exchange rate as against the
6		first half?
7	A:	I am unable to say, I don't have the
8		figures in front of me.
9	Q:	Okay. Let's continue now, Dr.
10		Chen-Young, to the specific criticisms
11		that you make in your submission. Let
12		us look for example at what I have as
13		page 13. To assist you it is in Chapter
14		two under the heading The Banking
15		<pre>Industry, and you list a number of what</pre>
16		you say are factors and I am at Factor
17		6.
18	A:	Which paragraph are you referring to?
19	Q:	The paragraph beginning 'Sixth, an
20		overlooked`
21	A:	I am Sorry, I don't see the paragraph.
22	Q:	Do you see a number of paragraphs that
23		begin first, second, third, fourth, etc.
24		in chapter two?
25	A:	I am sorry, I still don't follow. I am

1		looking under the banking industry, can you
2		tell which paragraph it comes under, under
3		the Banking Industry.
4	Q:	Under the Banking Industry the fifth
5		paragraph starts with the word 'First'.
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	Continue until you see the paragraph
8		starting with the word Sixth.
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	Found it?
11	A:	Yes.
		Okay. Now that paragraph refers to then
12	Q:	Governor Bussieres?
13		Yes.
14	A:	You quote in your book, quoting
15	Q:	Mr. Bussieres' comments on public
16		speeches and talk shows?
17		Yes.
18	A:	But, at the time you did not need to
19	Q:	listen to talk shows and public
20		speeches, you as a banker, you did not need
21		to listen to those sources to get Governor
22		Bussieres' views?
23		What you mean I did not need to listen. Do
24	A:	you recall something called The
25	Q:	
-	~ '	

1		Bankers' Committee?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	Who was Silbert Samouge?
4	A:	Manager Director, Eagle Commercial Bank.
5	Q:	Was Silbert Samouge Eagle Commercial
6		Bank's representative on the Bankers'
7		Committee?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	And the Bankers' Committee was then
10		chaired by the Governor of the Bank of
11		Jamaica?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	During the period leading up to the
14		issues being investigated, let us say
15		1993 to 1995, did the Bankers' Committee
16		meet regularly?
17	A:	What is that question?
18	Q:	Could you repeat?
19	A:	I did not hear whether it is a question
20		or comment.
21	Q:	It is a question. During the period
22		1993 to 1995 did the Bankers' Committee
23		meet regularly?
24	A:	Yes, 1 think so.
25	Q:	And as far as you are aware, did the

1		Governor communicate his views and
2		concerns to representatives of financial
3		institutions at those meetings?
4	A:	I don't recall that.
5	Q:	Did Mr. Samouge report back to the bank
6		on what happened at meetings?
7	A:	I don't recall a specific report from
8		Mr. Samouge.
9	Q:	There was a meeting on October 25, 1994,
10		do you recall that at that meeting the
11		Governor warned bankers about the recent
12		proliferation of new building societies?
13	A:	I do not recall getting any such report.
14	Q:	There was a meeting on March 14, 1995.
15		The dates are important so please pay
16		attention to them.
17	A:	I did not attend, sir.
18	Q:	You haven't heard the question yet, Dr
19		Chen-Young.
20		At the meeting on March 14, 1995, do you
21		recall that Governor Bussieres warned
22		about the rapid rate of growth of
23		foreign currency loans in the banking
24		system during 1994; warned banks to
25		ensure that the foreign currency assets

1		were truly liquid?
2	A:	I do not recall that, I was not at that
3		meeting.
4	Q:	Okay. At a meeting July 18, 1995 do you
5		recall the Governor warning about
6		lending
7		Mr. Hylton, if you are going to ask the
8		witness to recall, you have to establish
9		that he was at the meeting.
10	MR. HYLTON:	Then he can say whether he was or was
11		not there.
12	MR. SMALL:	But then we are going to constantly go
13		through this. He has said he can't
14		recall Mr. Samouge reported to him and
15		then you are going to go through each
16		and every one of these without either
17		establishing that it was said, or that
18		Mr. Samouge was there or that Mr.
19		Samouge reported to Mr. Chen-Young.
20	MR. HYLTON:	First of all I am not going to go
21		through each and every one; and
22		secondly, Dr Chen-Young can indicate
23		whether he knows or not. And I am sure
24		Mr. Chairman, that you will stop me if
25		you believe I have gone too far.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	I believe the objection is well founded
2		because he already said that he wasn't
3		at the meetings and he could not recall
4		whether Mr. Samouge made any report to
5		him about that and I think you have gone
6		through about three meetings so far with
7		the same result.
8	MR. HYLTON:	I have only done two, sir.
9	COMM. BOGLE:	Two, but I think you could move on.
10	MR. HYLTON:	So you are not allowing me to ask any
11		further questions?
12	COMM. BOGLE:	Not regarding those meetings.
13	MR. HYLTON:	I will accept your ruling, sir.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	In view of the fact that he has
15		distanced himself from those meetings,
16		in other words, he wasn't at the
17		meetings and he does not recall getting
18		any report from those meetings.
19	MR. HYLTON:	I'll accept your ruling sir.
20		You say, Dr Chen-Young, that public
21		speeches were made so let's talk about
22		the public speeches because you heard
23		those?
24	A:	I am sorry, what is that?
25	Q:	I take it that you heard the public

1		speeches; you didn't hear what happened
2		at the meeting but you heard the public
3		speeches?
4	A:	From time to time, yes, that is correct.
5	Q:	Now what you said in your submission is
6		that there is a criticism of financial
7		conglomerates but you don't give any
8		indication of the context in which the
9		criticism was made?
10	A:	That is correct.
11	Q:	I am now going to hand him, Mr.
12		Chairman, a number of documents starting
13		with three speeches by the Governor,
14		three public speeches. To save time Mr.
15		Chairman, I have handed in three
16		separate documents to you but I am
17		referring to the first.
18		Dr. Chen-Young, on July 4,1985 the
19		Governor gave a speech to the Fourth
20		Annual Advanced Executive Development
21		Programme which I understand was carried
22		in the media.
23	A:	Who made that speech?
24	Q:	Governor Bussieres. I am going to read
25		part of the speech to you so you can

О Г

tell me whether this is one of the speeches that you heard because it deals with the issue that you raised; Conglomerations.

On the fourth Page of that document - Mr.

Chairman, it has 82 at the bottom, the penultimate paragraph. This is what the Governor said:

It should be noted that since insurance companies own banks and that banks own insurance companies, it is crucial that the corporate vale be pierced and that they be examined on a consolidated basis. It is only in doing so that you can really get a true picture of a financial conglomerate. Because banks and insurance companies fall under two supervisory authorities, there is need to develop a mechanism that will allow a timely exchange of information, an appropriate consultation and a high degree of coordination among them. Is that one of the speeches, one of the criticisms of conglomerates that you are referring to?

1	A:	I don't think so, I have heard him on
2		other talk shows.
3	Q:	I know there are others and I am going
4		to go on to others, is this one?
5	A:	I was not thinking specifically of the
6		contents of that speech, my memory deals
7		with what I heard on talk shows was
8		critical.
9	Q:	Would you agree that the concern
10		expressed here is a valid concern? You
11		want me to read it again? It speaks to
12		a situation where insurance companies
13		own banks and banks own insurance
14		companies and they are regulated by two
15		separate authorities, it is important
16		that - he used the words 'corporate vale
17		be pierced and they be examined on a
18		consolidated basis. Did you agree with
19		that position?
20	A:	I think so, yes, basically.
21	Q:	That's July 1995. On July 19, 1995 - I
22		am, going back to the next one. It
23		starts at number 85 at the bottom, Mr.
24		Chairman. The Governor gave a speech at
25		the Quarterly Luncheon of the

1		Association of Licensed Financial
2		Institutions. You are aware of that
3		Association?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	On the second page of that speech now at
6		86 at the bottom, Mr. Chairman in the
7		middle of the page beginning Since
8		January 1995. This is what the Governor
9 10		said again on this same topic Since January 1995 we have started to
11		supervise interconnected companies of a
12		group on a consolidated basis in order
13		to carry out solvency analysis on the
14		entire group. We have also started to
15 16		look at entities which do not fall directly under our purview as their
17		activities can significantly impact on
18		the financial entity. Financial
19		institutions must never forget their
20		fundamental fiduciary responsibility on
21		the basis on which they were granted a
22		licence to operate in the first place.
23		Liberalization must not be taken to mear
24		a free for all, but rather a way of
25		eliminating unnecessary constraints

which hamper fair competition and 1 financial regulations. However, with 2 liberalization comes the added 3 responsibility of ensuring that the 4 rules of the game are obeyed at all 5 times. 6 An area of concern to the authorities is the 7 concentration of risks, the tendency to lend 8 to customers amounts that are in excess of 9 specific accounts and capital 10 that is eligible under the law. This 11 practice takes on another dimension when 12 these kinds of loans are made to 13 shareholders, directors, and managers and 14 to related parties. The Department of 15 Banking Supervision have been paying very 16 close attention to this aspect to prevent 17 flagrant disregard not only of the letter 18 but the spirit of the law. There are some 19 people who have developed a great deal of 2.0 expertise in recent years in finding ways 2.1 of respecting the letter of the law or the 2.2 regulations while at the same time 23 circumventing its intent. While this may 24 look to be a 25

1		brilliant piece of legal maneuvering
2		what it does in the end is undermine the
3		viability, the solvency of the
4		institution itself as well as the
5		financial system as a whole."
6		Now, that's a fairly long passage. First
7		of all, do you recall hearing that
8		speech? That sounds familiar?
9	A:	What is the question?
10	Q:	Do you recall hearing those comments?
11	A:	I don't recall that specific speech. My
12		comment does not deal with any specific
13		speech.
14	Q:	I understand. Do you agree?
15	A:	Mr. Bussieres also appeared on radio
16		talk shows and I do not refer to any
17		specific speech in that comment.
18	Q:	Would you agree with the concerns
19		expressed here that these statements and
20		concerns are valid?
21	A:	Yes.
22	Q:	He continues in that same speech to deal
23		with Building Societies and this is a
24		particular issue that reference will be
25		made to again.

1	On the following page, Mr. Chairman, page
2	88 at the bottom, the very last line, Dr.
3	Bussieres said: "There are three
4	principles that are guiding the
5	authorities in relation to Building
6	Societies.
7	First of all, there is the need to protect
8	the depositors and consequently these
9	institutions must maintain at all times an
10	appropriate level of capital and liquid
11	assets if they are to be in a position to do
12	any deposits,
13	withdrawals. It is important for them to be
14	able to inspire confidence that they are in
15	a position to do so and the idea of the
16	supervisory authorities for the protection
17	of the depositors is
18	paramount.
19	The second one concerns the level playing
20	field. It is a matter of equity and
21	efficiency that institutions that are
22	engaged in the same kind of
23	activities are subjected to the same rules.
24	There is an old principle that if you walk
O.E.	like a duck, if you quack like

1	a duck, you must be a duck.
2	Absence of cash and liquidity and Asset
3	ratio, no withholding tax. "There was a very
4	fast rate of growth of deposit liabilities
5	of the Building Societies. These deposit
6	liabilities increased by 93 percent
7	February 1995 over February 1994. Much
8	faster than the banking system as a whole.
9	I will read that again.
10	The deposit liabilities in the Building
11	Societies increased by 93 percent February
12	1995 over February 1994. Much faster than
13	the banking system as a whole." This unequal
1 4	treatment has resulted in a plethora of new
15	Building Societies, 34 at the last count,
16	compared with about six a few years ago. Now
17	given your previous answer about not
18	referring to specific speeches, I won't as
19	you that question, but are you aware and do
2 0	you recall that during this period, that is
21	1994 into 1995 there was a huge increase in
22	financial groups having a building society
23	
2 4	
· -	

1	A:	Voc
2	Q:	Yes.
3	A:	That included Eagle?
4	Q:	(No answer).
5	A:	And that included Eagle?
6	Q:	Yes.
7	A:	And that included Century?
	Α.	I don't remember. I can't speak for
8		Century.
9	4:	What does regulatory arbitrage mean, Dr.
10		Chen-Young?
11	A:	Regulatory what?
12	Q:	Arbitrage?
13	A:	I don't understand that, I have never
14		heard that term.
15	Q:	I see. I think that the term means choosing
16		a type of entity in order to get the
17		regulatory regime that is least strict or
18		most favourable. Not a familiar term?
19		No, not to me.
20	A:	We will come back to it. Lastly, there is
21	Q:	a speech March 8, 1996 and this is a speech
22		that the Governor was making to the 20th
23		_
24		Annual General Meeting of the Life
25		Insurance Companies Association of
20		

Jamaica. On the page Mr. Chairman, that has 1 143 at the bottom. This is 2 March 1996. This is what the Governor said. 3 "Let me now explore with you the measures taken by the authorities to help ensure the 5 soundness of the financial system. 6 I will just pause, Dr. Chen-Young, to point out there is a point in your submission when you asked rhetorically what did the Bank of 9 Jamaica do. And I am reading this both in 10 relation to the issue we are discussing and 11 for that, let us now explore the measures 12 taken by the authorities to help ensure the 13 soundness of the financial system. It is a 14 paradox that the more you liberalize, the 15 more you have to regulate and supervise. The 16 liberalization of the financial system 17 requires tighter financial legislation and 18 more stringent regulations in order to 19 ensure that supervision is made more 20 effective. There is a school of thought in 2.1 Jamaica which says that we do not need more 2.2 23

_ _

24

 \cap \vdash

1	regulations, what we need is tighter
2	supervision. This is a fallacy because you
3	can only supervise more tightly if you have
4	the legal authority and power to regulate.
5	Then I am going skip two paragraphs to "As
6	we all know."
7	As we all know there are many financial
8	conglomerates in Jamaica"
9	And you can tell me whether this is the one
10	now that you recall.
11	where holding companies own a bank, a
12	merchant bank, a building society an
13	insurance company, a stock brockage firm and
14	sometimes a Unit Trust. The holding company
15	is owned itself sometimes by the insurance
16	company or even a Unit Trust. In order to
17	ensure that these institutions are properly
18	supervised on a consolidated basis, the
19	legislation will need to be amended to ensure
20	that the supervisory authorities have
21	sufficient authority to pierce through the
22	corporate veil and have the capacity to reach
23	and examine the ultimate

 \cap Γ

1		shareholder, because any problem in any
2		one of these entities will impact upon
3		the other members of the group and will
4		have a domino effect.
5		The third reason is the fact that
6		financial institutions are engaged in
7		many new activities and thus are
8		assuming a level of risks that they were
9		not taking previously. This is why for
10		example, legislation is presently being
11		worked on which will have the effect of
12		redefining what is a deposit and what is
13		securities in order to ensure that all
14		financial activities are falling under
15		the same form of supervision by the
16		authorities.
17		You agree with those sentiments?
18	A:	If I agree with them?
19	Q:	Yes.
20	A:	I think that was a statement that was
21		made.
22	Q:	Pardon me?
23	A:	And one of the problems with
24		Mr. Bussieres is that
25	Q:	Do you agree with those sentiments?

1	A:	he made so many speeches.
2	Q:	Dr. Chen-Young, all I have asked is
3		whether you agree with those sentiments?
4	A:	That's Mr. Bussieres' speech.
5	Q:	I am asking you whether you, Dr.
6		Chen-Young, agree?
7	A:	I agree with regulations but I disagree
8		in the manner in which Mr. Bussieres
9		went about making endless speeches which
10		indirectly affect entities like Eagle
11		and other conglomerates; that is what I
12		strongly disagree with. A Governor
13		should not behave like that.
14	Q:	Do you agree with
15	A:	A Governor should sit down and sort out
16		problems especially when you have a
17		fragile financial system.
18	Q:	Do you agree, Dr. Chen-Young
19	A:	And that is the point I am making.
20	MR. SMALL:	Would you allow the witness to finish.
21	MR. HYLTON:	I don't need him to answer a question
22		that I am not asking.
23	MR. SMALL:	But he is answering your question.
24	MR. HYLTON:	Would you agree with me, Dr.

Chen-Young...

1	MR. SMALL:	Mr. Chairman, would you instruct
2		Mr. Hylton to allow the witness to
3		finish answering his question.
4	MR. HYLTON:	He was not in any way answering my
5		question.
6	MR. SMALL:	He is answering your question.
7	MR. HYLTON:	Can I address you, Mr. Chairman?
8	MR. SMALL:	Do you rule on that sir?
9	COMM. BOGLE:	He was continuing to give a reply to
10		your question.
11	MR. HYLTON:	May I respond, Mr. Chairman, to what my
12		friend said?
13	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, go ahead.
14	MR. HYLTON:	The question which I asked was, do you
15		agree with the sentiment. He was saying
16		he didn't agree with the way in which
17		the regulatory authorities were
18		behaving. This does not relate at all
19		to my question.
20	MR. SMALL:	Mr. Chairman, you have ruled that he was
21		answering the question. Mr. Hylton is
22		challenging your ruling. I ask you to
23		stand by your ruling to allow the
23 24		stand by your ruling to allow the witness to finish answering the

1		opportunity afterwards to address you on
2		whether he answered the question or not,
3		but if in fact he is answering it is
4		improper for Mr. Hylton to stop him.
5	MR. HYLTON:	And I am quite sure, Mr. Chairman, you
6		wouldn't have ruled without hearing me.
7	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Chen-Young, continue please.
8	A:	Yes, the point I was making is that my
9		comment was about Mr. Bussieres and
10		appearing on media shows, talk shows
11		Because that is not the way a Governor
12		should behave when you are dealing with
13		a young
and	fragile financial sy	stem.
14		When you do that then it impacts
15		negatively on entities, especially new
16		entities and that the proper way to go
17		about it is to have the legal structure
18		and the regulatory structure in place to
19		deal with these entities in a proper
20		manner rather than broadcasting as
21		Mr. Bussieres was prone to do; he was on
22		talk shows so frequently, and this must
23		have impacted on entities like Eagle.
24		And many of the questions which were

1		tautological; do you beat your wife?
2		What, am I to expect?
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay Mr. Chen-Young.
4	MR. HYLTON:	Can I proceed now sir?
5	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, sir.
6	MR. HYLTON:	In the passage that I have just read,
7		Dr. Chen-Young, Governor Bussieres
8		raised a number of concerns. One of
9		those is:
10		"There are many financial conglomerates
11		in Jamaica where holding companies own a
12		bank, a merchant bank, a building
13		society, and insurance company a stock
14		brockage firm and sometimes even a unit
15		trust and so on. And he said that in
16		order to ensure that these institutions
17		are properly supervised effectively we
18		need to pierce the corporate veil and
19		have the capacity to reach and examine
20		the ultimate shareholder.
21		Do you agree with that statement?
22	A:	I will respond as follows.
23	Q:	Can I just say something, Mr. Chairman?
24	A:	It is important that
25	COMM. BOGLE:	Just a minute Mr. Chen-Young.

1	MR. HYLTON:	I understood your previous ruling and if
2		he is allowed to make another speech I
3		will have to ask him the question again
4		because I really do need an answer to
5		the question. It is a yes or no
6		question.
7	COMM. BOGLE:	Dr. Chen-Young, can you try to answer
8		the question in short order, can you
9		answer it more directly.
10	MR. HYLTON:	Do you agree or disagree, that's the
11		question?
12	A:	I will say yes and no and I will
13		explain. There are two schools of
14		thought about financial conglomerates.
15		One school of thought is that there
16		should be a 'Chinese wall' - 60 meters
17		drawn between banks, insurance
18		companies, security firms et cetera.
19		The other school of thought was that
20		there are efficiencies and economies of
21		scale to have conglomerates. And in
22		fact, in the 1990s the laws in the US
23		were amended to allow for conglomerates.
24		That is a fact, and so what we were
25		doing in Jamaica in terms of financial

1		conglomerates was in keeping with the
2		trend at that time.
3		Mr. Bussieres with his Canadian
4		experience was not in favour of that
5		school of thought. Maybe under
6		reflection he was right, but the Act was
7		amended to allow financial
8		conglomerates.
9		And so in answer to the question I say
10		yes and no, bearing in mind the two
11		schools of thought about the efficacy
12		and the appropriateness of financial
13		conglomerates. We in Jamaica and
14		certainly at Eagle believed that it made
15		sense. For example, we have a Merchant
16		Bank, the Merchant Bank had no chequing
17 18	MR. HYLTON:	account Mr. Chairman, that does not relate to my
19		question.
20	A:	I have answered your question.
21	MR. HYLTON:	Are we planning on finishing today?
22	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Chen-Young, please stop the
23		speeches. Go ahead now Mr. Hylton.
24	MR. HYLTON:	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25		Dr. Chen-Young, I am not really

1		interested in the two schools of thought
2		or what the American thinks or what the
3		Canadian thinks. I am only interested in
4		one thing and that's what Dr. Chen-Young
5		thinks now, and specifically the
6		sentence that I have just read
7		specifically said that regulators should
8		have the authority to reach and examine
9		the ultimate shareholder. Do you agree
10		with that?
11	A:	Yes.
12	MR. HYLTON:	On the page with 145 at the bottom, Mr.
13		Chairman, in the middle paragraph
14		beginning "events", and this by the way
15		was Governor Bussieres' last speech.
16		This is March 1996. "Events in recent
17		times have clearly demonstrated that not
18		everyone that works in the financial
19		system shares the same philosophy. It
20		is quite clear to say the least that
21		some have a confused view of what is
22		meant by a fiduciary responsibility.
23		They have exhibited a tendency to
24		believe that depositors' money is their
25		money and have in the process lent to

1		themselves large sums of money to
2		purchase real estate or other assets in
3		pursuit of their own selfish ambitions.
4		They have repeatedly violated the law in
5		full cognizance of it and quite often
6		they have been supported in their
7		endeavors by some members of the legal
8		and accounting professions. Their
9		behaviour has endangered the position of
10		their depositors or policy holders and
11		have shown discredit to all the upright
12		citizens working in the financial
13		system. There should be no difference
14		between those who commit white collar
15		crime with someone who steals money,
16		both have committed an offence and can
17		only condone such activities either
18		lacks judgment or integrity. Do you
19		agree with those sentiments?
20	A:	Are you referring to me whether these
21		statements apply to me and Eagle?
22	Q:	Not at all, not relating to you.
23	A:	I don't understand the nature of the
24		question.
25	Q:	Do you agree with the sentiments for

1		example, there should be no difference
2		between those that commit a white collar
3		crime and someone who steals money. Do
4		you agree with that statement?
5	A:	Please repeat it, I am not hearing you
6		very clearly.
7	Q:	There should be no difference between
8		those that commit a white collar crime
9		and someone who steals money.
10	A:	If there is a crime, there is no
11		difference in crime, that's all, once a
12		crime is committed.
13	Q:	Let me summarize what I understand to be
14		one of the points being made here. That
15		the practice of persons managing deposit
16		taking institutions lending money to
17		themselves in breach of their financial
18		fiduciary responsibility is illegal and
19		I think what he calls white collar
20		crime. Do you agree with that?
21	A:	If it is illegal, then they should be
22		punished.
23	Q:	The concerns raised by Dr. Bussieres in
24		relation to conglomerates in the context
25		that he has explained in these speeches

1		were not only raised by him, they were
2		also reflected in court judgments. Do
3		you agree, do you recall?
4	A:	Again, I am not hearing you well, maybe
5		its the echo. Please repeat the
6		question. Again, I apologize for having
7		to ask you to repeat.
8	Q:	Not a problem. Would you agree that the
9		concerns raised by Governor Bussieres in
10		relation to conglomerates was also
11		reflected in some court judgments?
12	A:	Reflected in what?
13	Q:	Judgments of our courts.
14	A:	I don't understand that question, I want
15		you to be very specific, you have to be
16		very specific.
17	Q:	Okay. The Century financial entities
18		were the subject of litigation as you
19		point out in your submission, correct;
20		you are aware of that?
21	A:	I am not in a position to speak about
22		Century and their claim and whatever,
23		and I do not intend to.
24	Q:	Does your submission speak about
25		litigation against the Century financial

1		entity?
2	A:	I don't know the details and I am not
3		going to comment on them.
4	Q:	Okay, So you comment on it in your
5		submission but you are not answering
6		questions on it, is that it? Are you
7		aware Dr. Chen-Young, that Mr. Crawford
8		went to the Privy Council twice in
9		relation to the regulatory action taken
10		against Century.
11	A:	I am not getting a good sound, please
12		repeat. Again, I am sorry.
13	Q:	Are you aware that Mr. Donovan Crawford
14		appealed to the Privy Council twice in
15		relation to the regulatory action taken
16		against Century?
17	A:	I don't know the grounds on which he
18		appealed.
19	MR. SMALL:	Mr. Chairman, can anything useful be
20		gotten from this witness in relation to
21		Century National's litigation?
22	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Hylton may have to explain the
23		reasoning or the worth of that line of
24		questioning regarding Dr. Chen-Young's
25		submission.

1	MR. HYLTON:	I am being given some direction, sir,
2		from the technical team. On page 31 of
3		the submission, Mr. Chairman, Dr.
4		Chen-Young says:
5		Tenth: The Commission should examine
6		the basis on which FINSAC decided to
7		selectively bring lawsuits against only
8		a few persons who were substantial
9		owners of failed financial institutions
10		(e.g. Panton, Crawford, Fullerton and
11		Chen-Young.
12		That is one of a number of references in
13		this document to litigation against
14		Mr. Crawford.
15 16	A: MR. HYLTON:	That was an error, that was an error. Mr. Chairman, could you please stop him
17 18	COMM. BOGLE:	until I address you? Yes.
19	MR. HYLTON:	It would be entirely wrong, if I
20		respectfully say so, for the witness to
21		be allowed to put in a submission,
22		refers to a litigation and then he
23		refuses to answer any questions in
24		relation to it. If it is relevant for
25		the purpose of his submission, it surely

1		must be relevant for the purpose of
2		cross-examination. That's one. Two: The
3		witness has criticized Governor
4		Sussieres for raising a particular
5		complaint to the extent that judgments
6		of our courts have commented on that
7		complaint or similar, that it seems to
8		me Mr. Chairman would surely be a matter
9		in which the Commission may have an
10		interest.
11	MR. SMALL:	Mr. Chairman, as regards the second
12		point, that has not yet been established
13		or the relevance of that. I am dealing
14		with the questions being put to Dr.
15		Chen-Young concerning the litigation by
16		Crawford. And I am saying that he is in
17		no position to be able to comment on
18		that and if you look at the passage that
19		has been referred to by Mr. Hylton that
20		he gives as his basis for saying that he
21		should be permitted, all that
22		Mr. Chen-Young has said is that the
23		Commission should examine the basis,
24		inviting the Commission to look at that
25		basis. He is not putting forward any

1		argument, he is simply inviting the
2		Commission to examine the basis. And to
3		go into how many times Mr. Crawford went
4		to the Privy Council; the basis upon
5		which he went; what was the outcome and
6		all of that; cannot serve any useful
7		purpose through this witness.
8 9	COMM. BOGLE: MR. HYLTON:	Mr. Hylton? I am drawing your attention, Mr.
10		Chairman, to page 31 to which there is a
11		reference to a number of institutions.
12		If you look at page 25 there is a point
13		which starts at page 24 where
14		discriminatory treatment is alleged. On
15		page 25 the second paragraph reads: Not
16		only were a select group of investors
17		discriminated against by taking away
18		their companies, but in certain cases,
19		notably Mr. Don Crawford and me,
20		aggressive legal prosecution was meted
21 22		out. Now, what Dr. Chen-Young has
23		done now is narrowed the group
24		to two, two of us are treated in
25		a particularly wrong

1		discriminatory way by legal
2		action being taken. He has gone
3		on Mr. Chairman, and your
4		members, in this document to
5		say, a point that will be dealt
6		with later, that the grounds
7		brought against him that he
8		succeeded in are so and so and
9		there are others that did not
10		succeed, et cetera. To the
11		extent that he puts together in
12		this paragraph himself and
13		Mr. Crawford in that unique
14		group of discriminated against
15		persons and persons subject to
16		arbitrary aggressive legal
17		prosecution, I submit that
18		questions in relation to what
19		happened with this arbitrary
20		selected legal prosecution must
21		be relevant.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	I will allow the question.
23	MR. HYLTON:	Thank you, sir.
24		The question Dr. Chen-Young - again,
25		am just asking you for what you are

1		aware of, because if you are not aware
2		of it then you are not aware. Are you
3		aware that Mr. Crawford appealed to the
4		Privy Council twice?
5	A:	I don't know how many times.
6	Q:	Okay. Mr. Chairman, I am looking at the
7		Judgment of the Privy Council Century
8		National Merchant Bank and Trust Company
9		Ltd and others. It says 1998 - A.C. 628.
10		I am looking, Dr. Chen-Young, at a
11		Judgment of the Privy Council in 1998,
12		this is the First Appeal and at page 5
13		of the document the Judgment begins, at
14		the top of the page it says the Judgment
15		of their Lordships was delivered by Lord
16		Steyn. And it starts by indicating the
17		factual background which I think would
18		be very similar to what we are
19		discussing.
20		"These appeals from orders made by the
21		Court of Appeal of Jamaica on 2nd June,
22		1997 concern the lawfulness of action
23		taken by the Minister of Finance on 10th
24		July 1996 under statutory powers to
25		assume temporary management of three

1		financial institutions and the remedies
2		available to aggrieved parties in the event
3		of unlawfulness.
4		The three financial institutions were:
5		Century National Bank, a bank licensed under
6		the Banking Act: Century National Merchant
7		Bank and Trust Company, a Merchant Bank
8		licensed under the Financial Institutions
9		Act: Century National Building Society, a
10		Building Society, licensed under the
11		Building Societies Act.
12		Now, let me pause here. in relation $to $
13		14 the Eagle Group,
		did the Group also
		ara one oroup aroo
15		include a bank licensed under the
15 16		
	A:	include a bank licensed under the
16	A: Q:	include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young?
16 17		<pre>include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young? I didn't hear the question.</pre>
16 17 18		<pre>include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young? I didn't hear the question. Did the Eagle Group also include a bank</pre>
16 17 18 19	Q:	<pre>include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young? I didn't hear the question. Did the Eagle Group also include a bank licensed under the Banking Act?</pre>
16 17 18 19	Q: A:	<pre>include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young? I didn't hear the question. Did the Eagle Group also include a bank licensed under the Banking Act? A bank what?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20	Q: A: Q:	include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young? I didn't hear the question. Did the Eagle Group also include a bank licensed under the Banking Act? A bank what? Licensed under the Banking Act?
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q: A: Q: A:	include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young? I didn't hear the question. Did the Eagle Group also include a bank licensed under the Banking Act? A bank what? Licensed under the Banking Act? Eagle Commercial Bank.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q: A: Q: A: Q:	include a bank licensed under the Banking Act, Dr. Chen-Young? I didn't hear the question. Did the Eagle Group also include a bank licensed under the Banking Act? A bank what? Licensed under the Banking Act? Eagle Commercial Bank. So the answer is yes?

1	A:	Yes.
2	Q:	Did it also include a Merchant Bank
3		licensed under the Financial
4		Institutions Act?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Did it also include a Building Society
7		licensed under the Building Societies
8		Act?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	I will continue.
11		"Mr. Donovan Crawford, together with his
12		mother, held a controlling interest in
13		all three financial institutions. The
14		boards of directors and the three
1 -		institutions were virtually the same and
15		
16		they shared management services and
		they shared management services and staff. For several years before July
16		_
16 17		staff. For several years before July
16 17 18		staff. For several years before July 10, 1996 the three institutions
16 17 18		staff. For several years before July 10, 1996 the three institutions experienced serious financial and
16 17 18 19		staff. For several years before July 10, 1996 the three institutions experienced serious financial and managerial problems. In the view of the
16 17 18 19 20 21		staff. For several years before July 10, 1996 the three institutions experienced serious financial and managerial problems. In the view of the Bank of Jamaica the operations of these
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		staff. For several years before July 10, 1996 the three institutions experienced serious financial and managerial problems. In the view of the Bank of Jamaica the operations of these entities were characterized by unsafe

1	Jamaica became progressively worse. During
2	this period the institutions were heavily
3	dependent on support provided by the Bank of
4	Jamaica.
5	That is the Privy Council Summary of the
6	actual background. There are various
7	findings made and I am not going to take you
	through all of them but if I may turn Mr.
8	Chairman, to the page numbered page 10. And
9	what had happened in that case Dr.
10	Chen-Young, indeed with Eagle, is that,
11	certain recommendations were made by the
12	Bank of Jamaica.
13	There is a section headed "Other
14	Issues." In the Appellants' written
15	case
16	That's Mr. Crawford's and so on.
17	
18	.it was argued that the validity of the
19	recommendation made by the Bank of Jamaica
20	to the Minister under section 25 (1) was open
21	to doubt because the Bank of Jamaica had a
22	conflict of interest. Counsel for the
23	appellants did not address their Lordship
24	orally on this argument. That is
٥٤	understandable since

1		there is nothing whatsoever to suggest
2		that the Bank of Jamaica failed to carry
3		out its statutory functions properly.
4		There was no conflict of interest: the
5		Bank of Jamaica was, and had to be
6		guided only by the public interest.
7		Their Lordships reject the written
8		argument on this point as wholly
9		unsustainable.
10		Would you agree with me Dr. Chen-Young,
11		that the Privy Council concluded that
12		there was a basis for the Bank of
13		Jamaica's recommendations in proceeding
14		as they did?
15	A:	How can I disagree with that?
16	Q:	Let's turn to Eagle, I think your lawyer
17		wants you to talk about Eagle, not about
18		Century, so let's talk about Eagle and
19		let us go now Dr. Chen-Young to the
20		evidence that has been given before this
21		Commission as to why an action was taken
22		against Eagle. I could ask Mr. Chairman
23		that we look at the Bank of Jamaica's
24		response to submissions in particular,
25		at page 17(b), page 17, paragraph

1	numbered (b). Now Dr. Chen-Young, I am
2	going to read to you from the evidence
3	given by the Acting Governor, Bank of
4	Jamaica to this Commission.
5	The formation of conglomerates (often
6	mixing financial and real sector
7	businesses) which also resulted in
8	excessive and non-arms length connected the
9	party transactions and which sought to take
10	advantage of deferring legislative regimes
11	across types of entities (which were
12	subject to
13	different standards of regulation).
14	She is giving that as one of the reasons for
15	the problem that financial entities found
16	themselves in.
17	These conglomerates were structured to
18	obfuscate regulatory scrutiny of the
	transactions between group companies. For
19	example, in the case of the Eagle
20	Financial Entities (EFEs), an
21	application was made to the Minister of
22	Finance regarding the restructuring of
23	Eagle Financial Group that would vest the
24	ownership of the deposit taking
1 L	

1		entities of the Group in the Eagle
2		Premium Growth Fund(a unit trust).
3		We pause there. Is that true? You want
4		me to read it again?
5	A:	I don't know what recommendation was
6		made by the Ministry of Finance.
7	Q:	No, no, it doesn't say anything about
8		recommendation. It says: "An application
9		was made to Minister of Finance
10		regarding the restructuring of Eagle
11		Financial Group that would vest the
12		ownership of deposit taking entities of
13		the Group in the Eagle Premium Growth
14		Fund (a unit trust).
15		Is that true?
16	A:	That's correct.
17	Q:	It was subsequently discovered that
18		ultimate ownership of the Group had also
19		been restructured where ownership of
20		the holding company Crown Eagle had been
21		transferred from majority shareholder
22		Paul Chen-Young, such that Eagle Group
23		was ultimately held by Jellapore
24		Investments (a blind offshore trust
25		registered in the Cayman Islands).

1		Is that true?
2	A:	That is correct under the law, it was
3		done under the law when the Bank Act was
4		amended.
5	Q:	This ultimate holding structure was not
6		disclosed to the authorities and the
7		result was that the authorities had no
8		supervisory reach to the holding
9		companies under the existing legislation
10		and the ownership responsibilities for
11		and control of the bank and building
12		society in the group legally passed from
13		Mr. Chen-Young to the blind trust.
14		Is that true?
15	A:	No. I informed the Bank of Jamaica
16		voluntarily that the shares were
17		transferred and the transfer of these
18		shares was a part of my estate plan
19		which was quite legitimate. I
20		voluntarily informed the Bank of Jamaica
21		of that transfer.
22	Q:	Did you also inform the Bank of Jamaica
23		who owned Jellapore?
	A:	That's correct, yes.
24	A •	mac b correct, yes.

1	A:	And I told them it was a family trust
2		and it was for estate planning purpose.
3	Q:	Did you tell them who owned it?
4	A:	What's that?
5	Q:	Did you tell them who owned it?
6	A:	Jellapore Limited, I told them it was a
7		Trust created for my family and 1
8		submitted a list of the members of my
9		family who were the beneficiaries of
10		Jellapore Investments. That was full
11		disclosure and voluntarily prior to any
12		questions or issues regarding Jellapore.
13	Q:	Okay, continuing.
14		It should also be noted that the
15		ownership of the bank and building
16		society passed without the knowledge or
17		sanction of the regulatory authorities
18		at that point where the law allowed such
19		passing of ownership without the need
20		for the authorities to even be advised.
21	A:	Not correct.
22	Q:	Not correct?
23	A:	When the ownership was done it was well
24		within the law and I sought legal advice
25		and also got advice from my auditors.

1	Q:	You must understand, it is saying it is
2		within the law you know, it is not
3		contradictory that let me read it
4		again.
5		It should also be noted that the
6		ownership of the bank and building
7		society passed without the knowledge and
8		or sanction of the regulatory
9		authorities at a point where the law
10		allowed such passing of ownership
11		without the need for the authorities to
12		even be advised.
13		So it is not saying it was illegal, it
14		is saying they didn't know.
15	A:	Yes, that's correct, 1 acted within the
16		law.
16 17	Q:	law. And without their knowledge or sanction
	Q:	
17	Q: A:	And without their knowledge or sanction
17 18		And without their knowledge or sanction under the law?
17 18 19		And without their knowledge or sanction under the law? 1 had the right to do that as a
17 18 19 20	A:	And without their knowledge or sanction under the law? 1 had the right to do that as a shareholder.
17 18 19 20 21	A:	And without their knowledge or sanction under the law? 1 had the right to do that as a shareholder. 1 am not now questioning whether you
17 18 19 20 21 22	A:	And without their knowledge or sanction under the law? 1 had the right to do that as a shareholder. 1 am not now questioning whether you had the right, S am asking whether this

1		done without sanction when there was no
2		need for sanction or permission and that
3		is what is the 'whip in the tail' of his
4		question.
5	MR HYLTON:	Well, first of all, this is evidence
6		that's given so that I am entitled to
7		ask the witness whether he agrees yes or
8		no. That's the first thing. But
9		secondly, the fact that no approval was
10		needed doesn't affect the question of
11		whether approval was sought or not.
12	MR SMALL:	No, but you say without sanction.
13	MR HYLTON:	The answer can be no sanction was given
14		and none was needed, or the answer can
15		be none was needed but I got it anyway.
16	COMM BOGLE:	I got from that answer
17	MR SMALL:	Mr. Chairman
18	COMM BOGLE:	that he was saying he had done it and
19		done it legally.
20	MR SMALL:	Yes.
21	COMM BOGLE:	So I don't know
22	MR HYLTON:	But that is not the question with
23		respect, sir.
24	MR SMALL:	But that is the question, that is the
25		issue and he has said that and has been

1		challenged not.
2	MR HYLTON:	I didn't interrupt my learned friend
3		when he was addressing you. My question
4		is whether he got the sanction of the
5		Bank of Jamaica. This statement is no
6		approval was needed but you did it
7		without my knowledge or approval. Now,
8		the answer is either yes, that's correct
9		or no it isn't. There is something else
10		I am going to come to why it's
11		important. But this is just a statement
12		of fact which is either correct or it is
13		not correct. This is not an issue of
14		whether it is legal or not, this is a
15		statement of fact. Did you do it without
16		approval?
17	MR LEVY:	Mr Chairman, it might assist all of us
18		when we are reading a long paragraph
19		that Mr. Hylton would ask simple
20		questions and not compound questions.
21		Because when you ask if something was
22		done without approval and without
23		sanction and if sanction is not
24		necessary, that's a compound question
25		and it's not one answer that suits that.

1	MR HYLTON:	I think that Mr. Small, Dr. Chen-Young
2		and yourselves should understand the
3		question. I assume Mr Levy does not
4		understand.
5	MR SMALL:	No, Mr. Levy accurately summarizes my
6		objection. It's an unfair question
7		particularly when pursued after the
8		witness has made it quite clear that he
9		did what he did by law and lawfully. To
10		then go back and ask him, did he do it
11		without sanction, it's to suggest that
12		sanction was necessary.
13 14	MR HYLTON: COMM BOGLE:	Mr Chairman Yes, thank you.
1 5	MR HYLTON:	I will move on, there are many more
16		important things to come. Continuing
17		Dr. Chen-Young and just to remind you,
18		this is the evidence the Bank of Jamaica
19		gave in relation to Eagle.
20		"Because the existing laws contemplated
21		supervisory reach/scrutiny only in
22		relation to immediate holding companies,
23		the insertion of both a unit trust and
24		an offshore trust into ultimate

1		Financial Entities to frustrate and
2		successfully resist the Supervisor's
3		attempt to scrutinize the activities of
4		the entities at the top of the corporate
5		group structure, including those of
6		CEL. "
7		Would you like to comment on that? That
8		is Eagle Crown Life?
9	A:	I didn't
10	Q:	Pardon?
11	A:	I agree totally with that. All the
12		entities were supervised. All the
13		entities were regulated and all
14		inspections were done on all the
15		entities, I disagree totally.
16	Q:	Finally:
17		In addition the use of the Jellapore
18		Trust to hold the Eagle Financial
19		Entities also allowed the de facto
20		owners of Eagle Financial Entities to
21		argue that they had no influence or
22		control and were not in fact responsible
23		as owners and thus were not liable to
29		provide the necessary financial support
25		to assist the group in times of crisis.

1	A:	I have always provided whatever
2		information is required by the Bank of
3		Jamaica. I don't recall that question
4		coming up at all. There has never been
5		any request for information. That was
6		not providednever.
7	Q:	Okay. It was a long sentence so let me
8		just read the first paragraph.
9		The use of Jellapore Trust to hold the
10		EFEs also allowed the de facto owners of
11		the EFEs to argue that they have no
12		influence or control.
13	A:	Mr Chairman, that's like a theoretical
14		statement. That issue has never come up.
15	Q:	This issue never came up?
16	A:	Never came up. I don't know what is the
17		purpose of that question.
18	Q:	In your statement and I will tell you
19		what the purpose is, on page 39, you
20		spoke about a meeting at the Bank of
21		Jamaica. It says: Early 1997and I
22		think it was in February, and I think
23		this was the last meeting with the Bank
24		of Jamaica prior to your selling of
25		Eagle well prior to the intervention.

1		Let me try and find a neutral word.
2	A:	Yes, that's correct.
3	Q:	I suggest Dr. Chen-Young that the
4		account of that meeting here leaves out
5		something very important. One of the
6		very important issues at that meeting
7		was this issue about who owned the Eagle
8		Group, who owned Jellapore.
9	A:	I don't recall that.
1C	Q:	That was the sticking
11	A:	And there were no minutes of that
12		meeting.
13	Q:	That was the sticking point at which
14		that meeting ended. You are saying what
15		this evidence says, you argued that you
16		had no influence or control and could
17		not give information to the Bank of
18		Jamaica about Jellapore.
19	A:	Any information that's requested that I
20		was in a position to give I gave.
21	Q:	No, I mean
22	A:	I do not recall that issue being
23		discussed but if it was discussed and I
24		could have complied I would have
25		complied.

1	MR SMALL:	Just that moment.
2	A:	In other words, the Bank of Jamaica did
3		not say to us, listen, we do not like
4		what's happening with Jellapore.
5	COMM BOGLE:	Just a minute, Dr. Chen-Young.
6	MR SMALL:	I am enquiring Mr. Chairman, because I
7		was not here when the Bank of Jamaica
8		gave evidence. Did the Bank of Jamaica
9		give evidence of what Mr. Hylton is now
10		suggesting to this witness? Because it
11		certainly is not contained in the
12		passage that he has put to the witness.
13	MR HYLTON:	No
14	MR. SMALL:	So the Bank of Jamaica, not giving that
15		evidence, it is a little strange
16		therefore that this suggestion should be
17		put.
18	MR HYLTON:	Finished?
19	MR SMALL:	No. I was trying to hear the comment
20		that was being made but apparently it
21		wasn't for us.
22	MR HYLTON:	It wasn't for you but it wasn't from me.
23	MR SMALL:	Oh, I didn't recognize your voice.
24	MR HYLTON:	It wasn't from me.
25	MR. SMALL:	Okay. I am raising the question as to

1		whether or not this is a proper question to
2		be put given that there is no
3		evidence to support it.
4	MR HYLTON:	Mr. Chairman
5	MR SMALL:	And in circumstances where the witness has
6		given evidence already relating to this
7		specific matter and did not include such a
8		fundamental allegation as part of the
9		testimony.
10	MR HYLTON:	Mr Chairman, it is the same answer that I
11		gave earlier. This is not a situation where
12		we had Dr. Chen-Young's full statement in
13		advance at the time when we gave evidence and
14		so could address every single thing. This
15		statement, the one that was put in says
16		specifically at the top of page 18, that the
17		use of the Jellapore Trust allowed the de
18		facto owners to argue that they had no
19		influence or control et cetera. The only
20		thing that is being added now Mr Chairman,
21		is that this point was raised at a meeting
22		on the 1st February 1997, and the reason why
23		I raise it now is because in the submission
24		Dr. Chen-Young

1		referred to that meeting. So that before I
2		got the submission I didn't know that he was
3		making any point at that meeting and I didn't
4		know that he would be coming to say that that
5		meeting was a meeting where everything was
6		hunky dory and he got the impression that
7		everything was fine and that he got the shock
8		of his life when he heard it was a problem.
9		That's what changed. So it's the same
10		allegation I am just placing into context of
11		the meeting to which he refers.
12		I will allow the question. Thank you, sir. But I think he answered the
13 14	COMM BOGLE:	question if I recall what he said. He had?
15	MR HYLTON:	
16		If I can recall.
		Should I answer, Mr. Chairman?
17	COMM BOGLE:	Pardon me?
18	Q: A:	Should I answer?
19	A:	I think you had before.
20	COMM BOGLE:	No, but Mr Hylton has said something
21	A: COMM BOGLE:	totally incorrect. I have not in my
22	COMM BOGLE:	submission said that everything was
23	A:	
24		

1		settled with the Bank of Jamaica. If you
2		read carefully what is in the Minutes
3		and what was read before the Commission
4		was that there were some areas to be
5		addressed. I never made any statement
6		that everything was settled.
7 MR H	IYLTON:	Okay.
8 COMM	BOGLE:	Okay, Dr. Chen-Young.
9MR HYLI	'ON:	You have devoted Dr. Chen-Young an
10		entire chapter in your book, in your
11		submission I call it book because of
12		the chapters to the legal action
13		brought against you?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	And you have made various comments in
16		relation to the judgment eventually
17		given by Mr. Justice Anderson. But let
18		us go back long before Justice Anderson
19		had anything to do with this case. Do
20		you recall that when the suit was
21		brought against you a Mareva Injunction
22		was granted?
23	A:	(No answer).
24	Q:	Dr. Chen-Young?
25	A:	Yes.

1	Q:	And do you recall that you applied to
2		the court to set aside the Mareva
3		Injunction and to set aside the suit
4		itself?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Do you recall that you failed in those
7		applications and appealed to the Court
8		of Appeal?
9	A:	Well, let me explain what was my
10		recollection of what was argued on all
11		sides, my side.
12	Q:	No, no, I didn't ask you whether or
13		not
14	A:	I just want to make sure I understand
15		you. I want to make sure I understand
16		what I am talking about.
17	Q:	No, I am not asking what was argued, I
18		just want to know whether you appealed
19		to the Court of Appeal, that's all.
20	A:	There were a number of situations
21		involved with the Court of Appeal and I
22		just want to state my understanding of
23		the question which is raised and then I
24		will give my answer. Because it's a
25		very complicated case going on for 13

1		years.
2	Q:	Mr Chairman, could the witness answer
3		the question he is asked?
4	A:	And my understanding as to what
5		Mr. Hylton is asking was whether when
6		the case came up or the injunction, that
7		my attorneys advised me that the case
8		needed Further and Better Particulars.
9		That was the advice given to me.
10	COMM BOGLE:	Dr. Chen-Young
11 12	A: COMM BOGLE:	<pre>In other words Dr. Chen-Young, I do not really think</pre>
13		that that was the question.
14	A:	I don't want to answer the question
15		because I don't know what I am
16		answering.
17	COMM BOGLE:	I am going to ask Mr Hylton to ask the
18		question again with the hope that Mr
19		Hylton may be able to adjust the
20		question.
21	MR HYLTON:	We have so far established two comments:
22		one, that you applied to have the Mareva
23		Injunction set aside and the suit set
24		aside. Two, that that application
25		failed, and my third question was, did

1		
2	A:	you appeal?
3		If it had to do with the Further and
4	Q:	Better Particulars, then I agree.
5	A:	And if doesn't? Sorry. I am just trying to be very precise, that's
6		all because there have been many appeals.
7		
8	Q:	It's been a long time.
9	A:	That doesn't satisfy, I think.
10	Q:	There was also an application for
11		Further and Better Particulars which
12		also failed and which was also the
13		subject of an appeal?
14	A:	Yes, correct. But that's what I am interested in. So I think
15	Q:	you can agree then that there was an appeal
16		for Further and Better Particulars. And my
17		question is, was there also an appeal in
18		relation to the set aside?
19		Yes. And we appealed, is that the
20		question?
21	A:	440001011.
22		Against the setting aside?
23	Q:	Yes.
24	A:	Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I now wish to
25	Q:	

1		refer to the third document that I
2		handed up which is the judgment of the
3		Court of Appeal in 2002. Now, we are
4		looking Dr. Chen-Young at a written
5		judgment of our Court of Appeal handed
6		down on July 23rd, 2002. The appellants
7		were Paul Chen-Young, Ajax Investments
8		Limited and Domville Limited and the
9		Respondents were Eagle Merchant Bank and
10		Crown Eagle Life and just to remind you,
11		your attorneys were Mr Emil George,
12		Queen's Counsel; Conrad George, and Mr
13		Roderick Gordon. Does that all ring a
14		bell?
15	A:	Yes, it rings a bell but not the
16		appropriate term being used.
17	Q:	Okay, I am sorry.
18	A:	(Dr Chen-Young chuckles)
19	Q:	The judges were Honourable Justice
20		Downer who chaired the panel; Honourable
21		Justice Harrison and Honourable Justice
22		Panton. You don't have any issues with
23		any of those judges?
24	MR SMALL:	What is my friend getting at?
25	Q:	I am getting at the fact that he has had

1		issues with other judges who have given
2		judgments and therefore has issues with
3		those judgments. So I want to make sure
4		there is no issue with these.
5	COMM BOGLE:	Move to the questions; I think we can
6		move ahead of that question.
7	MR HYLTON:	Very well, Mr Chairman. The judgment
8		starts:
9		"These importance interlocutory appeals
10		from the orders of Mr Justice Ellis are
11		concerns with claims by the appellants
12		Paul Chen-Young, Ajax and Domville set
13		aside the order made in the Court below.
14		The appellants seek to free the relevant
15		properties of the Mareva Injunctions
16		imposed on the three appellants and set
17		aside the Statement of Claim as well as
18		make a Claim for Further and Better
19		Particulars.
20		So the Judgment starts by saying what it
21		was all about, setting aside the Mareva
22		Injunction; setting aside the Statement
23		of Claim and getting Further and Better
24		Particulars. Let us now go to the part
25		that is relevant to the issues you have

1		raised in your submission. And I am
2		turning Mr Chairman, to page 6 of the
3		Judgment. And for the record
4		Dr. Chen-Young this was a unanimous
5		judgment of all three judges, this is
6		what the judgment says in the middle of
7		page 6.
8	A:	Page what?
9	Q:	Pardon?
10	A:	Where do I look?
11	Q:	No, you don't have this copy.
12	COMM BOGLE:	Can you remind him what document you are
13		dealing with?
14	MR HYLTON:	I am reading from the Judgment of the
15		Court of Appeal and how you dealt with
16		the very first thing that we have been
17		
		discussing. The first schedule at page
18		discussing. The first schedule at page 35 of the record
18 19	MR SMALL:	
	MR SMALL:	35 of the record
19	MR SMALL:	35 of the record Just a moment, Mr Chairman, what is the
19	MR SMALL:	35 of the record Just a moment, Mr Chairman, what is the appropriateness of my friend referring
19 20 21	MR SMALL:	35 of the record Just a moment, Mr Chairman, what is the appropriateness of my friend referring to a Judgment of the Court of Appeal
19 20 21 22	MR SMALL:	Just a moment, Mr Chairman, what is the appropriateness of my friend referring to a Judgment of the Court of Appeal that is, by its very nature, an

1		in case what I say is not clear.
2		Dealing with the review of the grant of
3		an order, Mareva Injunction, before the
4		trial is completed, what is the value of
5		the comments of the court at that time?
6		Particularly in light of what your
7		Commission is investigating in this
8		matter?
9	MR HYLTON:	Finished?
10	MR SMALL:	Finished, yes.
11	MR HYLTON:	When I sought to refer on the Century
12		Judgment the suggestion was that it
13		doesn't relate to Eagle. Now that I am
14		referring to Eagle Judgment is that it's
15		interlocutory. Mr Chairman, I am relying
16		on it because it also shows that what
17		this witness has said is untrue. That's
18		what I am relying on.
19	MR SMALL:	Okay, and I am submitting Mr. Chairman
20		that a judgment on an interlocutory
21		matter where the matter is not being
22		explored fully whereas the witness has
23		said there was a claim for Further and
24		Better Particulars, refining of the
25		issues. At this stage, no matter what

1		the Judgment says, even if it makes a
2		pronouncement it's inappropriate and not
3		the useful use of this Commission's
4		time.
5	COMM BOGLE:	I am going to oppose the objection
6		Mr. Hylton, I take the objection.
7	MR HYLTON:	Could you tell me what the ruling is
8 9	COMM BOGLE:	sir? Well, as far as I can't see, I am
10		really looking at this unless you can
11		explain to me further as to how this
12		fits into our Terms of Reference and as
13		it relates to this witness.
14	MR HYLTON:	Mr. Chairman, if you look for example,
15		page 8, the Judgment quotes from a
16 17		document, a letter from Paul Chen-Young to the Bank of $Jamaica$, December 5,
18		1996: "Jellapore Investment Limited is a
19		privately owned, Cayman Incorporated
20		"Trust" and Cayman Trustees have advised
21		that the Deed ought not to be released
22		to a third party, as to do so would be
23		inconsistent with the terms of the Trust
24		and its obligations to the
25		beneficiaries.