## VERBATIM NOTES

OF

## COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE 1990s

# HELD AT

# THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KNUTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5

ON

TUESDAY, 8TH DECEMBER, 2009

TUES. DEC 8, 2009

#### COMMISSIONER

The Hon. Mr. Justice Boyd Carey (Reed) MEMBERS

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick Bogle

#### COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION

Hon. R.N.A. Henriques OJ, QC, LLM

#### SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Mr. Fernando DePeralto

#### MARSHALLING THE EVIDENCE

Mr. Ransford Braham - Attorney-at-law Mrs. Kelley Wong-Henry - Attorney-at-law

REPRESENTING BANK OF JAMAICA Hon.

Mr. Michael Hylton, Queen's Counsel

#### **REPRESENTING JAMAICA REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION**

Mrs. Sandra Minott-Phillips - Attorney-at-law

REPRESENTING DEBTOR

Melissa Cunningham - Attorney-at-law

#### REPRESENTING DEBTOR

Mr. Anthony Levy - Attorney-at-law

#### REPRESENTING MR. ERROL CAMPBELL/FINSAC

Mr. Lackston Robinson - Attorney-at-law Mrs. Mitchell Shand-Forbes - Attorney-at-law

### REPRESENTING DEBTOR

Dr. Christopher P. Malcolm - Attorney-at-law

## GIVING EVIDENCE:

Mr. Errol Campbell - General Manager -- FINSAC

\*\*\*\*\*

1		FINSAC Enquiry
2		Tuesday, December 08, 2009.
3		Starting at approximately 9:45 A.M.
4	CHAIRMAN:	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen,
5		could we make a start? This Commission
6		of Enquiry is now in session. Mr.
7		Braham?
8	MR. BRAHAM:	Mr. Chairman, and members, this morning
9		the witness scheduled to be called is
10		Mr. Campbell, Mr. Errol Campbell,
11		General Manager of FINSAC. He is
12		represented Mr. Chairman, by Mr.
13		Lackston Robinson and with him is
14		Mrs. Michelle Shand-Forbes. In addition
15		to that sir, we have today Mr.
16		Christopher Malcolm and he is
17		representing Mr. Paul Hurlock, who is a
18		person interested in these proceedings.
19		Otherwise, the representation remains
20		the same.
21	CHAIRMAN:	Yes, for the purposes of the Shorthand
22		Writer, would you repeat them please if
23		you don't mind.
24	MR. BRAHAM:	FINSAC and Mr. Campbell, those 2 are
25		represented this morning by Mr. Lackston

1 Robinson and Mrs. Michelle Shand-Forbes 2 and they are the Attorney General's 3 Department. Mr. Paul Hurlock, a person 4 who is interested in these proceedings 5 is represented by Mr. Christopher 6 Malcolm, attorney-at-law. Anyhow. Sir, 7 I understand that Mr. Robinson has an 8 application that he wish to make at this 9 time. 10 Yes, Mr. Robinson. CHATRMAN: 11 MR. ROBINSON: May it please you, Mr. Chairman. 12 MR. BRAHAM: Beg you pardon sir, crave your 13 indulgence. There is just one 14 additional bit of information, sir. First of all, who I referred to as Mr. 15 16 Christopher Malcolm, is actually 17 Dr. Christopher Malcolm and Mr. Garcia, 18 he is representing Mr. Patrick Hylton. 19 That is Mr. Garcia, he is representing 20 Mr. Patrick Hylton. Subject to that sir, then Mr. Robinson should be able to 21 22 address you. 23 Yes, thank you. Go ahead Mr. Robinson. CHAIRMAN: 24 MR. BRAHAM: We note the presence of Mrs. Phillips. 25 MRS. PHILLIPS: Obliged.

1 Yes, may it please you, Mr. Chairman and MR. ROBINSON: 2 Commissioners. I was asked to be here 3 this morning to represent Mr. Errol 4 Campbell, the General Manager, FINSAC 5 Limited. 6 CHAIRMAN: You represent who, Mister...? 7 MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Errol Campbell General Manager, 8 FINSAC Limited. 9 CHAIRMAN: You appear for FINSAC? 10 MR. ROBINSON: Yes. This morning it's Mr. Mister Errol 11 Campbell, who is scheduled to give 12 evidence. Mr. Chairman, I received... 13 The reason why I made that comment is CHAIRMAN: 14 because we have had situations where we write CEOs or Heads of these 15 organizations and they tell us that they 16 17 have their personal lawyer. 18 MR. ROBINSON: Oh! 19 CHAIRMAN: Although they are CEOs so I don't know. 20 What is the position sir, let us get it 21 quite clear. Mr. Campbell is FINSAC for 22 the morning? 23 MR. ROBINSON: For the morning. What I want to say Mr. 24 Chairman, is that I received 25 instructions from Mr. Campbell, on

1 Friday, of last week. I have not had the 2 opportunity of reading those 3 instructions... 4 CHAIRMAN: From when? 5 MR. ROBINSON: On Friday. 6 CHAIRMAN: Which Friday now? 7 MR. ROBINSON: Friday last week. I think the documents 8 were sent to the office on the third, I 9 received them Friday, the fourth, I 10 have not had the opportunity of reading 11 those documents, I have not had the 12 opportunity of having any discussions with Mr. Campbell, about the contents of 13 14 those documents. So I am not in a 15 position to assist the Commission this 16 morning or Mr. Campbell for that matter, 17 and I have indicated that to Mr. 18 Campbell. It is with regret Mr. 19 Chairman, that in the circumstances I 20 would sincerely ask for your indulgence 21 and that we be permitted an adjournment 22 until we are in a position to properly 23 prepare ourselves and be in a position 24 to assist you. 25 Well, this is a most remarkably CHAIRMAN:

situation because we provided Mister --1 2 well FINSAC with questions to be 3 4 answered and the questions have been 56 answered by Mr. Campbell. So that Mr. 78 Campbell is ready seems to us which is 9 straightforward and obvious. It's 10 distressing that his lawyer is not ready. 11 We were accused of not using the Attorney 12 General's Office of that I am not quite 13 sure. We did invite the Attorney General, 14 to assist but we were told that they had 15 no staff. And it was being questioned why 16 we were incurring cost, I hope that has 17 been put to rest, no fault of ours. I 18 trust that it is appreciated that it is 19 taxpayer's money that is funding this 20 exercise. Its a colossal waste of time 21 to adjourn matters and have it prolonged 2.2 in this way. I don't quite follow why 2.3 FINSAC took so long to brief counsel 24 because we gave them ample notice. Just 25 one moment, please.

> (Chairman confers with Secretary) The first letter that we wrote to FINSAC

1		was on the 28th of May, so that FINSAC
2		was cognisant of these matters and ought
3		properly should have taken advice how it
4		intended to proceed. We have gotten
5		reply from FINSAC on the 18th of
6		November, we would have thought that
7		there would be ample time for counsel to
8		be retained and instructed and we regard
9		this as most distressing. The question
10		is what do we do? We have counsel here
11		who can marshall the evidence, Mr.
12		Campbell is ready, I won't hear
13		otherwise because I take it the answers
14		which we have are his. Sad to know that
15		he doesn't know what he has written. So
16		is there any reason Mr. Robinson, why
17		counsel marshalling the evidence can't
18		lead that evidence? You can advise him
19 20	MR. ROBINSON:	as you choose. Advise who?
21	CHAIRMAN:	We are very loath to grant any
22		adjournment to delay the progress of
23		this Commission of Enquiry.
24	MR. ROBINSON:	Advise Mr. Campbell as to
25	CHAIRMAN:	You think you should. But so far as the

questions we have asked he has answered 1 2 them or well, answers have been given. 3 We will assess those answers when he 4 goes in the witness box and gives evidence. But we have here the responses 5 6 to the questions. 7 Well, I have indicated to Mr. Campbell, MR. ROBINSON: 8 that to the extent that he would need my assistance I cannot assist him and I can 9 10 not assist you, Mr. Chairman, this 11 morning because as I have said, that I 12 have received this document. The 13 questions and the answers were sent 14 along with other documents on Friday and 15 there is no opportunity of reading them 16 and discussing them with Mr. Campbell. 17 So to that extent I cannot assist Mr. 18 Campbell this morning. 19 CHAIRMAN: So let me ask you this. When do you want 20 an adjournment to this afternoon? 21 MR. ROBINSON: This afternoon? 22 CHAIRMAN: Or tomorrow morning? 23 MR. ROBINSON: No, no... Because he is down to be heard next two 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 days. So two days will be wasted while

1		Rome burns. Yes?
2	A	Let me speak with Mr. Campbell.
3	CHAIRMAN:	Please.
4		(Mr. Robinson confers with Mr.
5		Campbell)
6	CHAIRMAN:	Yes, Mr. Robinson.
7	MR. ROBINSON:	Yes. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Campbell
8		apparently is willing to do what he can
9		to assist the Commission this morning.
10	CHAIRMAN:	Well, we are grateful to Mr. Campbell.
11		Has he got his responses available?
12	MR. CAMPBELL:	Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN:	You are still his lawyer you know, so I
14		ask you.
15	MR. ROBINSON:	He has the questions and the answers.
16	CHAIRMAN:	Very well, thank you. Mr. Braham, will
17		you adduce the evidence, please.
18		(Witness sworn at 10:00 a.m.)
19		Please take a seat, Mr. Campbell.
20		(EXAMINED BY MR. BRAHAM).
21	MR. BRAHAM:	Could you just state your full name,
22		sir?
23	A	I am Errol Campbell.
24	Q	And what is your current position?
25	A	I am the General Manager of FINSAC and

Financial Institutions Services Limited. 1 2 General Manager? 0 3 Α Of FINSAC and Financial Institutions Services Limited. 4 5 And you have occupied this position Q since when? 6 7 January 2008. А 8 Q I see. Do you have any particular training in Finance, Economics or 9 10 anything like that, Mr. Campbell? 11 А I am a Banker by training. I have worked with National Commercial Bank and I am a 12 13 member of the Association of Chartered 14 Institute of Bankers in London. 15 Very well. You were sent a number of 0 16 questions on behalf of the Commission. 17 You recall receiving some or all of those questions? 18 19 А Yes, I do. 20 Q I see. Having come to FINSAC what do 21 you understand to be the current purpose 22 of FINSAC? 23 At present FINSAC is doing primarily А 24 residual operations having completed its 25 primary mandates some years ago.

1 What is the residual operation? 0 2 А There are a few properties being managed 3 with a view to sale ultimately and there 4 are still some litigation issues that 5 are outstanding. So the purpose at this time is to sell 6 0 7 the properties and also to conclude 8 litigation? 9 Α Yes. And there are also a few companies 10 that need to be liquidated. So those are 11 primarily the things that we do. And in 12 the process of doing that we incur bills 13 that we need to honour on a day-to-day basis, yes. 14 15 0 FINSAC has subsidiary companies that are 16 in operation? 17 А Yes. There are two subsidiary companies. 18 CHAIRMAN: Vis? 19 MR. BRAHAM: These are? 20 Refin Trust Limited and Recon Trust А 21 Limited. 2.2 I see. Could you just give us a general Q 23 idea of the purpose of these two 24 companies? 25 Both companies are the companies used to А

1 by FINSAC to acquire the non-performing 2 loans from the various institutions so 3 they really not operating in the 4 strictest sense but the Sale Agreement 5 that we have with Jamaica Redevelopment 6 Foundation requires that a portion of 7 the sale proceeds come to FINSAC. So 8 these are the entities in essence that collected on behalf of FINSAC. 9 Historically these two companies are the 10 Q only two subsidiaries, were there other 11 12 subsidiaries that have been closed and 13 no longer operational? 14 А Those are the only two subsidiaries. Very well. You said that FINSAC had 15 Q completed its primary function. What did 16 17 you understand those primary functions 18 to be? As I understand it FINSAC was formed 19 А 20 some years ago to assist the ailing 21 financial sector and primarily there was 22 a three-pronged approach to its 23 operation which was: Intervention in 24 the financial sector, some 25 rehabilitation and then ultimately

1 divestment. I see. There was a disbursement of 2 Q funds by FINSAC to the failed financial 3 4 institutions? 5 А (No answer). Is that so? 6 Q 7 Will you please just clarify that when Α you say disbursement of funds. 8 9 Okay, let's put it to him. What was the 0 10 gross amount disbursed by FINSAC to the 11 failed institutions? 12 Α The information that I have is that the 13 figure is in the region of 94 billion 14 dollars. 15 And that is as at what date? Q March 2002. 16 А 17 Okay. Could you advise us as to the 0 18 specific purposes and to which 19 institutions -- well, let's start with 20 one of them at a time. The specific 21 purposes for which the disbursement was 22 made and then thereafter you could 23 indicate to which institution and what 24 amount? 25 The disbursements were made to various А

institutions. There were disbursed in the 1 form of cash or local registered stock, 2 loans that were bought from the financial 3 institutions and some amount of ordinary 4 shares and preference shares 6 were also acquired. 7 Q Could you tell us the institutions? There was Billy Craig Finance & Merchant 8 Α Bank: Buck Securities Merchant Bank. 9 10 Do you have the information as to how 0 11 much was disbursed to each? Yes, I do. 12 Α 13 Would you give that to us as well as you Q 14 go along starting with Billy Craig 15 Finance & Merchant Bank? 16 А Sure. Billy Craig Finance & Merchant 17 Bank the total disburse was, in round 18 figures one hundred and forty-eight 19 million. Buck Securities Merchant Bank, 20 38 million, Caribbean Trust and Merchant 21 Bank, 24 million, Caldon Finance 22 Merchant Bank, 100 million, Citizens Bank Limited 2.7 billion, Crown Eagle 23 24 Life Insurance, 14 billion, Citizens 25 Financial Insurance Agency 19 million,

1 Eagle Group 2.7 billion, Dyoll Group, 2 800 million, Fidelity Finance Merchant 3 Bank 77 million, Island Life Insurance 4 Company, 1.4 billion, Horizon Merchant 5 Bank 4.6 billion, Intercontinental 6 Merchant Bank, 100 million, Jamaica 7 Mutual Life Assurance Society 17 8 billion, National Commercial Bank, 20.9 9 billion, Life of Jamaica, 1.9 billion, 10 Island Victoria Bank 817 million, 11 Victoria Mutual Building society, 1.3 12 billion, Union Bank of Jamaica, 8.7 13 billion, Corporate Group, 9.6 billion, 14 Partners Merchant Bank, 19 million, 15 Century National Bank 5.5 billion, and 16 Blaize Trust & Merchant Bank, 943 17 million. 18 And these funds were used to purchase Q 19 shares, how were they actually 20 disbursed? 21 As mentioned earlier some of these А 22 moneys were advanced in the form of cash 23 or local registered stock or to purchase 24 loans or to purchase ordinary shares.

Would you wish that I say the amount for

25

Q

1		the different institutions, is that what
2		you are asking?
3 4	Q CHAIRMAN:	We can move long. Yes,Idon't think that is necessary.
5	MR. BRAHAM:	Very well. Are you aware whether the
6		institutions were all of the
7		institutions or some of them were
8		restructured?
9	A	Some of them were restructured; very
10		few.
11	Q	Could you explain to us the form that
12		the restructuring took?
13	A	From the shares that were acquired, the
14		ordinary and preference shares - the
15 16		preference shares in particular, gave FINSAC the right to convert some of
17		these to ordinary shares and the
18 19		ordinary shares that were also acquired FINSAC got majority controlling interest
20		in some of these companies and with that
21		they were to able sell later on.
22	Q	The companies that were sold, could you
23		tell us which companies these were?
24	A	Citizens Bank and some other commercial
25		banks were merged into Union Bank and

1 Union Bank was one of those entities 2 that was sold. 3 Q And that comprised Citizens Bank... 4 А Workers Bank, Horizon Merchant, Eagle 5 Commercial and Island Victoria Bank. I see. 6 Q 7 And National Commercial Bank is another Q 8 entity that was sold. It was sold? But you didn't list the 9 0 National Commercial Bank as one of the 10 11 institutions that received assistance? 12 Yes. 13 0 He did? Yes, I am sorry. Beg you 14 pardon? 15 CHAIRMAN: It got twenty (20) billion. 16 MR. BRAHAN: Now sir, before these institutions were 17 restructured and sold, were there valuations carried out of the 18 19 institutions? 20 Are you omitting three? CHAIRMAN: 21 MR. BRAHAM: I see. 22 CHAIRMAN: Ouestion three. 23 MR. BRAHAM: Let's go back to question three, so the 24 amount that was received net and gross 25 from the sale of restructured

1

institutions.

2 Yes. I only have the information on the А 3 gross amount that was received from the 4 sale amount of these institutions. From 5 the sale of shares in National 6 Commercial Bank the figure was a little 7 over 6 billion dollars, from the sale of 8 shares in Union Bank the figure was 1.6 9 billion, the sale of shares in Island 10 Life Insurance Company, 350 million, 11 sale of shares in Dyoll group 145, sale 12 of shares in Billy Craig Finance & 13 Merchant Bank, 23 million, sale of 14 shares in Life of Jamaica, 41.2 million 15 US. The other figures previously were JA dollar figures. And sale of the 16 17 individual life and pension portfolios its 425 million JA dollars. 18 19 0 Yes, sir. So we take the question about whether the entities or the shares were 20 21 valued and if so, the value it was? 22 Α In researching the file I wasn't able to 23 find copies of valuation for all the 24 shares but generally the shares are 25 valued before they are sold.

0 But in researching the files you were 1 2 able to find some valuation, is that 3 what you are saying? 4 Α Yes. 5 Q I see. 6 COMM BOGLE: Which one is that? 7 MR. BRAHAM: Well, could you indicate which ones? 8 Α National Commercial Bank was valued by 9 Hong Kong Shanghai Banking Corporation. 10 The Island Life shares were sold on the 11 Stock Market and were just sold at 12 market price on on the day so no valuation was done there. The shares in 13 14 Dyoll Group were valued by KPMG and --15 sorry PriceWaterHouse, my apologies and 16 shares in Billy Craig Finance & Merchant 17 Bank were valued by KPMG. That's it? 18 Q 19 From what I was able to find. Α 20 The valuators, were they licensed Ο valuators? 21 Yes, they were. 22 Α 23 The documentations done in relation to Q 24 the offer for sale for each institution, 25 do you know who prepared them and who

reviewed them if they were reviewed? 1 2 А I am not aware of who prepared those 3 documents or who reviewed them. 4 Ο The persons who... 5 CHATRMAN: Sorry. Why is that? The record is not 6 available to you or what? Or you didn't 7 look? 8 А In researching the files that I was able 9 to look through I didn't find any 10 specific information that addressed 11 that. 12 CHAIRMAN: I see. 13 0 The persons who did the negotiations on 14 behalf of the institutions, do you know 15 who selected those persons? 16 Α No, I am not aware of that. 17 Do you know who made the recommendations 0 18 in relation to price and the terms of 19 the sale for each institution? 20 No, I am not aware. Α 21 What about who approved the price and Q 22 the terms of sale for each institution? 23 That too I cannot speak to. А 24 Are you in a better position to say in Q 25 relation to the approval of the sale

1		contract for each institution?
2	A	I cannot speak to that either.
3	Q	I see. The gross amount of debts
4		transferred from each institution to
5		FINSAC, do you know that?
6	А	Yes, I have a listing of that
7		information, the total figure is in the
8		region of \$36 billion I believe.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	MR. BRAHAM:	And just before I continue, in relation
2		to the question who approved the price
3		and terms of the sale of each
4		institution and who approved the sale
5		contract, in your written statement you
6		say something about that, will you look
7		at that, items ten and eleven in your
8		written response.
9	Q	Submission concerning the sale price and
10		negotiated sale terms are usually
11		submitted to the Board for consideration
12		and approval and thereafter submitted to
13		Cabinet.
14	Q	And item eleven?
15	А	Attorneys were engaged to prepare
16		appropriately worded contracts based on
17		the price and terms that have already
18		agreed.
19	Q	I see. Now, the question of the debts
20		now, were any of these debts challenged
21 22	А	by debtors, are you aware of that? Myunderstanding is that, yes, some of
23		the debts were challenged.
24	Q	Do you know how each challenge well
25		how was each challenged by the debtor

1

A I am not aware if all the challenges were addressed but what I understand from the files I was able to review is that in some cases compromises were agreed as a means of addressing the situation.

addressed?

8 0 Do you know the general nature of the concerns raised by the debtors? Maybe 9 10 if you look at item thirteen in your 11 response you might find some assistance? 12 Thank you. Most of the times the А 13 challenges were in relation to the 14 balances that were transferred partly 15 because of the high interest rate that 16 was being charged by the institutions 17 and the compounding of interest over 18 time and invariably you had some 19 customers who stated that deposits were 20 made to their accounts but were not 21 reflected in the balances or in their 22 accounts.

23QSo you are saying there were compromises24in some cases in terms of addressing25these challenges, there were

compromises, that's it, anything else? 1 2 There would be times when persons would А 3 ask for a re-calculation of their 4 accounts and I am aware that those were 5 done on occasions. 6 0 Were there any counter claims by any 7 debtor against FINSAC or any of its institutions? 8 9 Α Yes, there were. 10 Q And -- well, these took the form of 11 court actions, I take it? 12 Yes, or counter claims, because there А 13 were times when FINSAC take action to 14 seek to recover the amount and there 15 were counter claims from the debtors. 16 Can you give us an indication how these 0 17 counter claims were dealt with? 18 I can't speak definitively to that, sir. А 19 I see. Now, could you indicate as to Q 20 what steps FINSAC took to validate the 21 accuracy of the debtors' account 22 balances transferred from the 23 institutions? 24 А My understanding is that on receipt of 25 the files the officers would review each

1 file and the statements if there were 2 any that were presented from the 3 institutions and there are occasions in which the accounts are re-calculated 4 based on the information that is 5 available from the file. 6 There were no interviews with the 7 Q debtors? 8 9 Α Oh, yes, certainly. 10 Q I see. The gross value of the 11 securities transferred from each failed 12 institution to FINSAC, do you know what 13 that is? 14 No, I do not, I am not aware that this Α 15 sort of information was captured 16 routinely. What was the amount collected from the 17 Q 18 debtors up to the point that the debts 19 were transferred to JRF or other 20 parties? 21 Roughly \$5.9B. Α 22 That is prior to the debts being Q transferred? 23 24 Yes. А 25 Are you able to say what was the gross Q

1 amount of debts forgiven? 2 No, I am not able to give you that А 3 information, I am not aware that it was 4 information that was collated. 5 Q Do you know the criteria that were 6 applied in determining the debtors or 7 the debts to be forgiven? 8 Α I am not fully aware of those, the most 9 I was able to identify were some 10 policies that were included in the 11 financial statements for FINSAC. 12 0 And could you give us a summary of what 13 you are aware of as the policies 14 applied? 15 FINSAC having acquired debts from А 16 various commercial banks, various 17 financial institutions, it was realized 18 early that there were a lot of common 19 debtors throughout the institutions so 20 part of what was done, there was some 21 amount of uniformity and what you would 22 find is that the officers at FINSAC 23 would be given similar accounts for each 24 particular debtor so that a unified 25 approach could be taken to seek to

1resolve their debt, so there was some2amount of debt consolidation in that3regard.

4 In terms of any approval for any 5 compromise or write-off of any balances, 6 those were matters that had to be 7 referred to the Board which would give 8 the approval as they see fit depending 9 on the circumstances, and part of what 10 FINSAC also did was to charge a standard 11 interest rate across the board which was 30% for J Dollar facilities and 15% for 12 13 US Dollar facilities and unless cases 14 existed where there was a contracted rate, there was a contract for a lower 15 16 rate in which case that rate would apply. 17

18 Q Did you not find any sort of general 19 policy documents in relation to these 20 issues in the records?

21AI wasn't able to find any general policy22document.

23QOkay. The document entitled FINSAC24Standard Policies for Non-performing25Loan Workouts, was that of any

1 assistance or you don't regard that as 2 a... 3 Well, that is what I just read from. А 4 Ο On, I see, okay. Could you tell us who 5 were the persons whose debts were 6 forgiven? 7 А I do not have that information, I wasn't 8 able to find a list with that. 9 0 I see. What was the gross and net 10 amount recovered from the sale of 11 securities... 12 CHAIRMAN: Excuse me, the FINSAC Board meetings would have minutes, would they not? 13 14 А Yes, they do. 15 CHAIRMAN: And those minutes would record that sort 16 of situation, would it not? 17 А I imagine so. 18 CHAIRMAN: I don't want you to imagine, have you 19 looked at the minutes? 20 I have not been through all of them. Α 21 Well, the question you are asked is CHAIRMAN: 22 about forgiveness, so I assume that you 23 would be looking through the minutes in 24 that regard, would you not Mr. Campbell? 25 The question presumably asks, who were

the persons forgiven, and I would assume 1 2 that you would look to the minutes since 3 you say you can't find the list or you 4 instruct somebody to look through the 5 minutes to see who were the persons and 6 the answer which I have here is that I 7 can't recall, that can't be an answer, 8 maybe a reaction but not an answer, it's 9 not a question of recalling, if you were 10 not there you can't recall it, but you 11 have officers in there who you can give 12 orders, go and find this, it is there, 13 it is in the record, the minutes are not 14 lost, the minutes are available? 15 The minutes are available. А 16 You have officers who can check it? You CHAIRMAN: 17 see, Mr. Campbell, when the Commissioner 18 ask for an answer, an answer "I can't 19 recall" is hardly acceptable; in fact if 20 I can go back into my history when some 21 people in court don't want to tell 22 untruth, they say I cannot recall and I 23 am sure -- I know that that's not your 24 situation, but I cannot recall can't be 25 accepted.

1 А With respect, the answer wasn't that I cannot recall, my answer was that I am 2 3 not aware that a comprehensive list of 4 debtors existed. 5 CHATRMAN: You are saying that you are not aware of 6 any comprehensive list? 7 Α That is right. 8 CHAIRMAN: Maybe, but that doesn't answer the 9 question, does it, because there are records of the proceedings of your 1p 11 company, it's shown in your minutes and 12 he who runs may read so somebody could go and look it up, could they not and 13 14 then prepare a list. 15 We could endeavour to find that А 16 information, sir. 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 18 Just to repeat the question, what was Q 19 the gross and net amount recovered from 20 the sale of securities underlying debts 21 up to the point that these securities 22 were transferred to JRF or other 23 parties? 2.4 I am not able to provide you an answer А 25 for that, I am not aware that a

1		comprehensive list existed for this
2		information.
3	Q	Very well.
4	CHAIRMAN:	You don't want me to tell you to go and
5		prepare one. You see this is not a cold
6		supper shop, you know, Mr. Campbell, you
7		are a responsible organization,
8		obviously if a list is required you have
9		to prepare a list.
10	Q	Maybe Mr. Campbell can refer to his
11		answer, it may provide him with some
12		assistance.
13	CHAIRMAN:	Do remind him.
14	Q	23.
15	А	We have provided you with a list of some
16		information that we were able to find
17		that cover some of the sales, I am not
18		aware that that is the total picture.
19	CHAIRMAN:	May I suggest to you, Mr. Campbell, that
20		you give instructions to your people to
21		prepare a list for the assistance of the
22		Commission because records exist, unless
23		they have suddenly disappeared. Would
24		you undertake that task?
25	А	I will endeavour so to do.

- 1QNow sir, could you tell us...2CHAIRMAN:I didn't hear the answer.
- 3 Q He said he will endeavour so to do.4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 5 Q Question, who was the valuator of each 6 of the non-financial institutions sold 7 by FINSAC?
- 8 A From my research I wasn't able to 9 ascertain all the names of the valuators 10 in this particular matter.
- 11QThe documentation prepared on the offer12for sale of these institutions, do you13know who prepared those documentations?14A15documentations.
- 16COMM. ROSS:In answer to the previous question,17referral is made to a spread sheet with18some closed sale (sic) values listed in19it, do you have that information there.20AYes, I have that spread sheet but the21valuators are not on there.
- 22 Q Is that question 23, sir?
- 23COMM. ROSS:24, there is a list attached that refers24to a 23 as well.

25 Q I did draw his attention to the answer,

1		sir.
2	CHAIRMAN:	If it exist could we hear or see it.
3		Brokers are not valuators? Are brokers
4		valuators? There is a list here of
5		brokers, but is that your list of
6		valuators?
7	А	Property valuators, yes.
8	CHAIRMAN:	Did you say approved list of brokers, I
9		want to know if those are valuators?
10	А	Yes, they are.
11	MR. HYLTON:	Mr. Chairman, whilst those issues are
12		being resolved, could I ask a question
13		for clarification, is this a document
14		sir, that is treated in evidence, I am
15		not sure what document it is.
16	CHAIRMAN:	He has the document, you know.
17	MR. HYLTON:	Yes, but is it a document in evidence, I
18		am asking because well
19	CHAIRMAN:	It may go into evidence, he can speak to
20 21	MR. HYLTON:	it, can't he?
22	MR. HILION.	I am not objecting, I am just enquiring
ZZ		so it is not in evidence?
23	CHAIRMAN:	That is why you have not got it maybe.
24	MR. HYLTON:	Well, I don't have a lot of things. I
25	CHAIRMAN:	can't speak to that.

1 MR. HYLTON: Well it's not in evidence, thank you. 2 MR. BRAHAM: Can I proceed sir? Yes, perhaps you want to put the 3 CHAIRMAN: document in evidence. 4 The practice I have developed, sir, is 5 MR. BRAHAM: after taking the questions, I bring the 6 7 document to the attention of the 8 witness.... Very well, very well. Please continue. 9 CHAIRMAN: Now, do you know, sir, who prepared the 10 Ο documentation on the offer for sale for 11 each institution? 12 No, I do not. 13 А Do you know who reviewed the responses 14 Ο to the offer for sale for each 15 institution? 16 No, I do not. 17 А And who selected the persons with whom 18 Q negotiations should be done in respect 19 of each institution? 20 No, I do not. Α 21 Q T see. 22 This information is not recoverable? 23 CHAIRMAN: I do not know, I don't imagine that Α 24 25 information is readily available on a

1 file, unless somebody speak with a 2 prospective purchaser, but in looking at 3 it I wasn't able to find any 4 information. 5 Q Do you know who made the recommendations 6 on the price and terms of sale for each 7 institution? No, I do not. 8 А 9 And who approved the price and terms of Ο 10 sale for each institution? 11 I am aware that submissions are usually А 12 made to the FINSAC Board and the FIS 13 Board and depending on the amount, maybe 14 thereafter it is submitted to Cabinet 15 also for approval. 16 The properties... 0 Mr. Campbell, have you checked the 17CHAIRMAN: 18 minutes of these board meetings, I take 19 it that's where these things are 20 discussed because unless you have done so you won't be able to answer the 21 22 question, would you? Mr. Campbell, tell 23 us the procedure when matters of this 24 type come before the Board for a 25 decision, some recommendations must be

1 put before the Board for consideration, 2 that would be in writing, would it not? 3 Yes, it would be in writing, so a А 4 submission is prepared and submitted to 5 the Board and the Board deliberates on 6 it and makes a decision. 7 CHAIRMAN: The submission would contain 8 recommendations, would it not? 9 А In most cases, there are have been times 10 when the matters are just submitted to 11 the Board and the Board is asked to give 12 a directive. 13 CHAIRMAN: Yes, but there are times when a 14 recommendation is made, and your posture 15 is, not available from the files, but 16 perhaps you would care to revisit that. 17 Yes, I don't know what your response is, 18 I can't hear it. 19 А I am not so sure what it is you are 20 asking me to do. 21 You say the information is not available CHAIRMAN: 22 from the files, that is what I 23 understand your general posture is in 24 regard to these questions, that is why I 25 asked you to give us some idea of the

1 procedure that is adopted by the Board 2 and I thought perhaps a recommendation 3 is made or submission as you call it, is 4 made to the Board often with a 5 recommendation sometimes, and therefore something ought to be in the files? 6 7 So is the question asking me to say who А 8 prepared that submission to the Board, I 9 am not so sure that is what the question 10 was. 11 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Braham. 12 Just before I go on to the next Ο 13 question... 14 CHAIRMAN: Repeat the question for him. 15 I am asking if the question is who А 16 prepared the submission to the Board. 17 COMM. ROSS: Can you repeat questions 28 and 29? 18 Who made recommendations on the price Ο 19 and terms of sale of each institution, 20 and who approved the price and terms of 21 sale of each institution? 22 CHAIRMAN: Those are the questions which you were 23 asked and your response was not 24 available and I am testing it to see if 25 that can be so.

1	A	I am saying that a submission is
2		prepared and submitted to the Board
3		sometimes with a recommendation,
4		sometimes for the Board to give a
5		directive and a case in point could be
6		the valuation is \$100 and the offer is
7		\$70, it maybe below the price that is
8		acceptable, so the Board is asked to
9		make a directive so the Board may say,
10		depending on the circumstances, it has
11		been on market for sometime, let's
12		accept it or they may, oh no the minimum
13 14	CHAIRMAN:	we want is ninety. Sobeit, but your response to our
15		question cannot be, I suggest to you,
16		the information is not available from
17		the files, that is all I am suggesting
18		to you, because of what I have seen
19		down here.
20	A	My interpretation of the question was a
21		name was to be provided, and I am not
22 23	CHAIRMAN:	able to find a name. But the signature is indecipherable?
24		You don't know the signature? I don't
25		know what it is you are saying.

1	А	These documents invariably are not
2		signed, it's just a submission.
3	CHAIRMAN:	From whom, I mean a submission is a
4		memo, I know it has from, to, or
5		something, from whom, from Mr. X, from
6		what?
7	A	The practice of preparing those doesn't
8		have that information, no, it might be
9		just a matter for Board consideration
10		and this is the matter and these are the
11		background and the surrounding
12 13	CHAIRMAN:	circumstances and a directive is sought. I don't have a MBA so that maybe the
14		problem. How can the Board get
15		something and all it has is a piece of
16		paper? Somebody can come from outside
17		and put it before the Board. How the
18		Board know it's authentic coming from
19		within it's internal part if there is
20		nothing saying anything, from nobody to
21		nobody. If that is your answer, that is
22		your answer. Yes.
23	Q	Prior to you becoming General Manager in
24		January two thousand
25	CHAIRMAN:	I am sorry, that practice continues?

1 А Yes, it does. 2 You might well re-consider it, might you CHAIRMAN: 3 not? Yes, Mr. Braham. 4 Q Prior to you becoming General Manager in 5 2008 January, did you have any 6 connection with FINSAC or its related 7 entities? Yes, I did. 8 А What was that connection? 9 Q I joined FINSAC in July 1999. 10 А What was your position then? 11 0 12 I was then Manager for Administration, I А 13 was primarily responsible for allocating 14 the loans to the various officers, the loans that we received from the 15 16 institutions and approved any adjustment 17 to the accounting system that needed to 18 be made based on approval granted. 19 Q Did you serve as Director of either FINSAC or it's related entities? 20 21 Α I am not a Director of FINSAC. In more 22 recent times with the primary activities 23 of FINSAC being at an end and for 24 efficiency of the operation I have been 25 appointed a Director for Refin Trust and

1		Recon Trust.
2	Q	What would you call more recent times?
3	A	Within the last five years perhaps.
4		Continued
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2	MR. BRAHAM:	I was asking whether it would have been
3 4	A:	from about 2002 or before? It would be around about 2002 or
5		sometime after, I cannot remember the
6		exact date.
7	Q:	I see. So that by virtue of your
8		position as a Director of Administration
9		you said, from '99?
10	A:	I was the manager for Administration.
11	Q:	Manager,I am sorry, and subsequently a
12		director of some of the FINSAC entities.
13		You would be well aware of the practice
14		and custom that develop in the entities
15		at least since '99, wouldn't you?
16	A:	Some of the practices.
17	Q:	I think there are some complaints about
18		people not being able to hear what is
19		happening, I gather that you are the
20 21	CHAIRMAN:	chief offender. Well, I am the Chief. Oh, I terribly
22		sorry, I thought I was making a loud
23		noise.
24	MR. BRAHAM:	The question sir: Who was the valuator
25		for each of the property sold by FINSAC,

1		
2		are you in a position to answer that
3	A:	question sir?
	Α.	Not definitively, what I have suggested
4 5		is that FINSAC had a list of valuators
		through brokers and I provided the list
6		to the Commission, they are close to
7		fifty or in excess of fifty names so
8 9		persons are selected from that list from
10		time to time to undertake various
10		valuations, so in terms of fooding any
		particular valuation, it would be an
12 13		intense search to find the actual
14	Q:	valuation to see who did it.
15	£.	The documentations on the offer for sale
16		for each property, you know who prepared
17	A:	those?
18	CHAIRMAN:	No, I do not.
19		Just one moment please, can't pass these
20		things over like that. The valuation to
21		anybody's property that is going to be
22		part presumably, of a forced sale is a
23		matter of importance. Is it a fact that
24		the valuator is stated no where in the
25		office of FINSAC for each sale, it is
		lost forever?

1 Α: I am not suggesting that. Very well, so I will interpret that to 2 CHAIRMAN: mean that there is some record somewhere 3 in the intestines of FINSAC, would that 4 5 not be so? 6 Α: There would be some information 7 available, yes. 8 CHAIRMAN: Well, this Commission requires the 9 information if it is to deligently 10 enquire and we propose to deligently 11 enquire. We are asking you, 12 Mr. Campbell, to arrange to have proper 13 investigations made so that we have this 14 submission from you in that regard. So 15 may we look forward to receiving them 16 from you? 17 A: That would take some amount of research. 18 CHAIRMAN: Good, but is that remarkable? You have a 19 research department? 20 Α: I am afraid I don't. 21 CHAIRMAN: Very well, get somebody, volunteer 22 somebody and let them look, information 23 is required, send someone, send some 24 persons, press button A on your laptop 25 or whatever, we require the information

1 and it would be good enough if you let 2 us have it. Thank you. 3 0: The documentation on the offer for sale 4 of each property, do you know who 5 prepare those documents? 6 Α: No, I do not. 7 CHATRMAN: Pourquoi? 8 MR. BRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, as you are aware there are 9 several answers which are similar. 10 CHAIRMAN: I am holding on to the first. Pourquoi? 11 Why, is the question? The questions 12 were asked to enable people to be able 13 to answer and we thought we had given 14 you adequate time to prepare, if we go 15 along at this pace, boy, we will be as 16 old as Methuselah. So Mr. Campbell, we 17 really find it unacceptable to really 18 have no answers along those lines, 19 somebody has to go and search, since you 20 are the man in charge or the man, would 21 you be good enough to give the necessary 22 instructions to have these enquiries 23 performed and carried out and let us 24 have the results. It cannot be said 25 that FINSAC is incompetent, inefficient,

1 disorganized, no. I am sure you don't 2 want to have that reputation noised 3 abroad, so would you be good enough to 4 get your people active. 5 MR. BRAHAM: The responses to the offer for sale for 6 each property would you be able to say 7 who review those reponses? 8 That would fall in the same category A: 9 which I am being asked to do some 10 research on. 11 0: I see. Who selected the persons with 12 whom negotiations should be done... 13 That too. A: 14 Q: With respect of each property. The 15 persons who made the recommendation on 16 the price and the terms of sale do you 17 know? 18 Again, I would say a submission is made A: 19 to the Board and the Board would review 20 it and give a decision. 21 Q: In your capacity as manager for 22 Administration, did you make such 23 recommendations? 24 A : My job did not require that, no. 25 Q: The persons who approved the price and

1		the terms of sale for each property, do
2		you know that?
3	A:	No, I do not know that information.
4	CHAIRMAN:	This falls under the same category of
5		you will look for it, does it?
6	A:	I will endeavour so to do.
7	CHAIRMAN:	Thank you. We only can ask you to do
8		your best.
9	Q:	You may wish to have a look at your
10		answer to 35.
11	A:	I mentioned that earlier, the fact that
12		the submissions are made to the Board.
13	Q:	What was the gross amount of debts
14		transferred by FINSAC to JRF?
15	CHAIRMAN:	Just one moment please. I am not quite
16		clear what that answer is. Submissions
17		are made to the Board by some person,
18		query, we don't know who. Board
19		approves or Cabinet approves that is the
20		situation from your answer, in some
21		cases Board, in some cases it requires
22		Cabinet's approval, is that so?
23	A:	That's correct.
24	MR. BRAHAM:	The gross amount of the debts
25		transferred by FINSAC to JRF and/or

1		
2	A:	other parties, do you know?
3	Q:	Yes, I have that information.
4		Could you tell the Commission. I take
5		that understand JRF to be Jamaica Re-
6	A:	development Foundation?
7	Q:	Yes, I do.
, 8 9	2.	Some Mutual Life mortgages were sold to
10		Jamaica National Building Society and the
11		sale price on these was \$166M. Some Eagle
12		Permanent Building Society mortgages were
13		sold also to Jamaica National Building
14	CHAIRMAN:	Society and the sale price on that is
1 <del>1</del>	CHAINMAN.	
1 5	λ.	roughly \$105M.
15	A:	roughly \$105M. A list is there?
16	A:	
16 17	A:	A list is there?
16 17 18	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle
16 17 18 19	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were
16 17 18 19 20	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were sold to I am sorry, second batch, were sold to Jamaica National Building Society
16 17 18 19	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were sold to I am sorry, second batch, were sold to Jamaica National Building Society and the purchase price there was almost
16 17 18 19 20	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were sold to I am sorry, second batch, were sold to Jamaica National Building Society and the purchase price there was almost \$94M and some other mortgages from
16 17 18 19 20 21	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were sold to I am sorry, second batch, were sold to Jamaica National Building Society and the purchase price there was almost \$94M and some other mortgages from Capital Assurance Building Society,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were sold to I am sorry, second batch, were sold to Jamaica National Building Society and the purchase price there was almost \$94M and some other mortgages from Capital Assurance Building Society, Citizens Building Society and some
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were sold to I am sorry, second batch, were sold to Jamaica National Building Society and the purchase price there was almost \$94M and some other mortgages from Capital Assurance Building Society, Citizens Building Society and some risidual ones from Eagle Permanent
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A:	A list is there? Yes, a list is there. Some Eagle Permanent Building Society mortgages were sold to I am sorry, second batch, were sold to Jamaica National Building Society and the purchase price there was almost \$94M and some other mortgages from Capital Assurance Building Society, Citizens Building Society and some

1		there was \$266M. A few loans were sold
2		by FINSAC to National Investment Bank of
3		Jamaica, the sale price there is J\$53M;
4		and finally the non-performing loans
5		were sold to Jamaica Re-development
6		Foundation, the gross amount was J\$12.9B
7		and US\$107M.
8	Q:	These were sold to various financial
9		institutions?
10	A:	That's right.
11	Q:	What about anything sold to JRF?
12	A:	I didn't mention JRF, the last one,
13		Jamaica Re-development Foundation, the
14		non-performing loans. The gross amount
15		was J\$12.9B and US\$107M.
16	Q:	The information that you are giving
17		include Recon and Refin or just FINSAC?
18	A:	It includes Recon and Refin, the loans
19		were never really in FINSAC's name
20		because it is those entities that
21		acquired them on behalf of Finsac.
22	CHAIRMAN:	Very well. The sale price, he gave the
23		sale price?
24	MR. BRAHAM:	He gave those as he went along.
25	CHAIRMAN:	Those are values, we are talking about

1 sale price. 2 My apologies Your Honour. I actually A: 3 qave you a sale price, I didn't give you 4 the gross amount of the loans for the 5 other institution, so if you wish I 6 could probably just go back through 7 them. Should I? 8 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 9 MRS. PHILLIPS: Sorry, what we just got was the sale 10 price? 11 Yes, it was, my apologies. A: 12 MR. BOGLE: Was the amount for Jamaica Re-13 development, the figures you gave, 14 those were the sale price? 15 A: That was the initial payment, yes. 16 Sorry, the 12 billion and so that is the 17 value of the loans. 18 **Q:** Oh. 19 A: My apologoes. For the avoidance of 20 doubt, let me just go back through the 21 list. 22 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 23 A: So mortgages, Jamaica Mutual Life 24 mortgages with a value of \$169M was sold 25

to Jamaica National Building Society for

\$166M. Some Eagle Permanaent Building 1 Society mortgages with a value of \$107M 2 were sold to Jamaica National Building 3 Society for \$104M. Batch number two: 4 Eagle Permanent Building Society 5 mortgages with a value of \$96M was sold to 6 Jamaica National Building Society for 93 7 million; and the risidual mortgages from 8 Capital Assurance Building Society, 9 Citizens Building Society, Eagle 10 Permanent Building Society with a value 11 of 272M were sold to Victoria Mutual 12 Building Society for 266M. 14 that have a value of, or rear balance of 15 J\$188M were sold to National Investment 16 Bank of Jamaica for a value of J\$53M and 17 non-performing loans with gross balances of J\$12.9B and US\$107M were sold to 18 19 Jamaica Re-development Foundation, the 20 initial payment price there was US\$23M 21 and the sale agreement required that 22 FZNSAC gets a portion of the receivables 23 on a tiered basis over time. Was there an indepent valuation of these 24 MR. BRAHAM: 25 debts undertaken by a competent

1		
2	A:	valuator?
3		I wasn't able to find any valuation
4		there, my understanding in relation to
5		at the mortgages, it was just the
6		principal balances that were sold and
7		they were sold at a discount of I think,
8		of like 3 percent. In relation to the
9		loans that were sold to the Jamaica Re-
10		development Foundation they were valued
11		by an external company, Ocwen, some
12	Q:	consultant from the United States. Can
13	A:	you repeat the name please.
14	Q:	Cowen, O-c-w-en.
15		Do you know what was the value placed on
16	A:	the debts by this entity, Ocwen?
17		I would need to so some further research
18	Q:	to find that information.
19		Now, what was the gross value of the
20		securities pledged by debtors whose debts
21		and securities were transferred to JRF
22	A:	and each other party?
23		That information is not something that is
24		available, a comprehensive listing of
25		security wasn't prepared routinely by
		FINSAC.

1 MRS. PHILLIPS: I don't understand the question 2 Mr. Chairman, gross value, what does 3 this value here that we got represents? 4 MR. BOGLE: Debt value, this is debt value and we 5 are talking about the securities value. This here would have been the value of 6 7 the loans at that time. But then the question could be probably 8 MRS. PHILLIPS: 9 asked appropriately so we can understand 10 what value. 11 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Campbell seems to be understanding. 12 Are you under any doubt as to what you 13 are being asked? 14 I understood that question, Mr. Α . 15 Chairman. 16 MRS. PHILLIPS: He is not the only one that needs to 17 understand. CHAIRMAN: Well, he has to understand the question 18 19 to answer it and his answer is to be taken by anybody who is here until it is 20 altered in anyway. 21 22 You told us about this entity, Ocwen, Q: 23 and I was asking you what was the value 24 placed on the securities by this entity? I wasn't able to find that information, 25 Α:

1		I need to do some further research.
2	Q:	Now, sir, what was the percentage of the
3		value of debts transferred to JRF, the
4		payment by JRF of US\$23M represent?
5	A:	The payment of 23M by JRF
6	CHAIRMAN:	Can we pause. We will take a 15 minute
7		break.
8	On resumpt	tion at 11:25.
9	CHAIRMAN:	May we resume. Mr. Campbell, you are
10		still under oath.
11	MR. BRAHAM:	In the mean time while we were
12		proceeding sir, another attorney joined
13		the ranks, Mr. J. Anthony Levy and he is
14		representing Thermo Plastics and
15		Plaspak.
16	CHAIRMAN:	Thank you.
17	Q:	Before I continue sir, I want to take
18		the witness back to a document, well
19		some of his answers in relation to
20		question 36.
21	CHAIRMAN:	Yes.
22	Q:	At the bottom of that particular paper
23		there are three notes, could you take us
24		through them.
25	A:	Sure. Question 36 is the one that deals

1 with the sale of loans to the various 2 institutions. The notes I have state that 3 the loans transferred to the building 4 societies were principal and interest 5 balances combined in all cases, whereas 6 the figure stated for the balance of the 7 loans to Jamaica Re-development 8 Foundation is just the principal balance. 9 And of course there is the loan to NIBJ, 10 Q: I am sorry, transfer done to NIBJ that 11 included principal and interest? 12 Principal and interest, sorry. 13 A: 14 MRS. PHILLIPS: Sorry, Mr. Chairman. I got that the 15 transfer of the loans to NIBJ was 185... 16 CHAIRMAN: Use the mike please. 17 MRS. PHILLIPS: Just by a way of clarification through 18 you, Mr. Chairman. I understood the 19 witness said that the loans to NIBJ were 20 of the value of a 185M and sold for 53M, 21 that was the note that got from what he 22 said. 23 A: I hope I didn't say value, that was the 24 principal and interest balances 25 combined.

MRS. PHILLIPS: Okay, so the 185M... 1 2 It's actually the 187. Α: 3 MRS. PHILLIPS: The 187. 4 Yes, principal and interest balances A: 5 combined. MRS. PHILLIPS: Sold for 53? 6 7 A: Yes. 8 MRS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN: Thank you for the emphasis. 10 MR. BRAHAM: What percentage of the value of the 11 debts transferred to JRF did the payment 12 of JRF of US23M represents? 13 MRS. PHILLIPS: The initial payment. The evidence was 14 it was the initial payment. 15 Well, this is my question. MR. BRAHAM: 16 Please, let us get on. Mrs. Phillips, CHAIRMAN: 17 it is the witness who is being asked the 18 question, if he can't answer so be it. 19 MRS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman, I am making an objection. 20 CHAIRMAN: What objection to what? 21 MRS. PHILLIPS: The evidence that the witness gave was 22 that the 23M was the initial payment. 23 The question asked is: What is the 24 value of payment? That is not the 25 evidence. If the question is what is

1 the value of the initial payment I don't 2 have a difficulty. Well, I have a difficulty. Let's get on. 3 CHAIRMAN: 4 JRF made an initial payment of US\$23M, Α: 5 this figure represented 5.87% of the 6 principal balance of the debts 7 transferred to JRF. 8 0: Why was the title to the debts and 9 securities transferred to JRF when the 10payment by JRF was not payment in full? 11 A : This is one of the terms of the 12 agreement and a debenture was taken by 13 FINSAC to secure its position in 14 relation to the unpaid balance. 15 Q: What was the rationale for entering into 16 a sale agreement with JRF? 17 A: I believe the Minister probably spoke on 18 this matter and I suspect Mr. Hylton when 19 he comes before you will speak on the 20 matter as well, but from information I 21 was able to gather, this was done 22 primarily to -- if I may just look back 23 at my responses -- this was done 24 primarily to remove the factor of moral 25 hazard which primarily is a situation

1 where it could possibly create a problem in the economy whereby compromises are 2 3 being agreed for some debtors and who 4 would satisfy that position and vet 5 FINSAC would be continued to be operated 6 and then financed by the tax payers. In addition there were some multilateral 7 8 lending agency requirements that asked 9 that these loans be sold. These are some 10 of the responses, I believe 11 Mr. Hylton would speak more fulsomely to this matter when he is brought before the 12 13 Commission. 14 0: What was your estimated ... CHAIRMAN: 15Just one moment. Excuse me. What was 16 your status at the time of the 17 agreement? 18 A: I was the manager of Administration. 19 CHAIRMAN: Oh, I see. And you weren't just acting 20 as a low level officer were you, you 21 were a person of consequence? 2.2 A: I was going to clarify further to say my 23 position was primarily as I said earlier 24 to do with administrative work as it 25 relates to the loans, so I wasn't the

1 overall administrative person at FINSAC, 2 so this was primarily to do with the 3 loans but I wasn't involved in any of the 4 negotiations with the sale or things like 5 that. 6 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 7 Q: What was estimated to be the amount that 8 JRF would recover from the debts and 9 securities transferred to JRF? 10 Α: The estimate, there was US\$215M. 11 Q: What was estimated to be the amount that 12 Finsac GOJ, government of Jamaica, would 13 recover from the debts and securities 14 transferred to JRF? 15 A: \$90.5M. 16 CHAIRMAN: \$90.5M, you are talking about Jamaican 17 dollars or US dollars? 18 19 Continued... 20 21 22 23 24

61

- 2 MR. CAMPBELL: US dollars.
- 3 MR. BRAHAM: Now, were the depositors in each of the 4 failed institutions treated similarly? 5 For example, did each depositor receive 6 a similar percentage of their deposits 7 plus interest?
- 8 A: I am not aware of the precise position 9 with regards to these depositors. 10 Q: And this is following along the same 11 lines that can be discovered, is this 12 information which can be discovered or 13 it cannot be?

14 A: I have some information. I suppose

15 it's one of the questions further down that speaks to Blaise and Century 16 17 National Bank. Those depositors I can 18 speak to definitively and my 19 understanding is in relation to the Commercial Banks that FINSAC intervened 20 21 in; all those persons got all their 2.2 monies as well, but I wasn't able to 2.3 find any information as it relates to 24 the Merchant Banks. 25 0: I see. So in terms of Blaise, what was

- the position?
- 2 Blaise -- the depositors were paid 90% Α: 3 of their deposits and for Century 4 National Bank it was 100% of their 5 deposits. I see and you are saying that you 6 Q: understand that .. 7 8 CHAIRMAN: They were paid interest -- could you be 9 more accurate? 10 The interest would be up to the point of A: 11 the intervention and the payment was 12 made on a phase basis in each case. 13 So what did you now say about the 0: 14 interest? 15 No, the Chairman was asking if they were A: 16 paid interest as well. I am just 17 clarifying that interest was paid up to 18 the point of intervention... 19 0: I see. 20 A: ...and not beyond. 21 And you say you don't have information 0: 22 as to the other commercial banks? 23 The merchant banks. A: 24 The merchant banks. 0: 25 Right. I am saying with the commercial A:

1 banks they got a hundred percent of 2 their deposits because the commercial 3 banks -- some were merging to Union Bank 4 so they got a hundred percent of their 5 deposits and NCB remained. They also 6 got a hundred percent of their deposits. 7 Just the merchant banks I don't know 8 about. 9 Were the depositors in all the failed 0: 10 institutions, I'm sorry. Were the 11 depositors in all the failed 12 institutions treated similarly? For 13 example, did each depositor in each 14 failed institution receive a similar 15 percentage of their deposit plus 16 interest? I supposed I preempted that with my 17 A: 18 answer earlier. 19 0: With your answer earlier. Is that 20 satisfactory, Chairman? 21CHAIRMAN: Yes, yes, so far. 22 MR. BRAHAM: And there were no differences between 23 the institution as to how the depositors 24 were treated -- do you know? 25 A: Each depositor in each institution would

1		have been treated similarly.
2	Q:	Very well?
3	A:	That is my understanding.
4	CHAIRMAN:	You have checked the records?
5	A:	I have a copy of the court document. So
6		as it relates to Century National Bank
7		those were the only two that I spoke
8		about, Century and Blaise.
9	CHAIRMAN:	The question related to failed
10		institutions, did it not?
		speak to two, isn't that correct?
12		question related to failed institutions.
13	A:	I was only able to find information
14		relating to those two, yes. What I said
15		earlier was that the commercial banks
16		excluding NCB were merged into Union
17		Bank and my understanding is that those
18		depositors got a hundred percent of
19		their deposits in Union Bank.
20	CHAIRMAN:	Very well.
21	MR. BRAHAM:	How were deposits, positive current
22		account balances, fund held in escrow et
23		cetera, held in the name of debtors
24		treated? For example, were such
25		balances offset against loan balances or

1		were they refunded?
2	A:	I am not aware of the treatment of these
3		credit balances.
4	Q:	You are not aware of the treatment?
5	A:	No, I am not aware.
6	CHAIRMAN:	Because why? I mean, I am not aware,
7		yes, but why are you not aware?
8	A:	I wasn't able to find any information on
9		it and I wasn't involved in the matter
10		at the time, so I couldn't have some
11		personal knowledge on it.
12	CHAIRMAN:	This is information not ascertainable
13		from records, your presence
14		notwithstanding?
15	A:	I could possibly check to see if there
16		is any information that could be
17		obtained.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Campbell?
19	A:	Yes, sir.
20	Q:	FINSAC took over loans, these loans from
21		the failed institutions. Doesn't FINSAC
22		have any record of what they took over?
23	A:	You mean the loan balances?
24	Q:	Yes, loans. Each customer had an
25		account. Let's say a customer has an

1 account with NCB. Would we have a list 2 of all the loans that we took over from 3 NCB and what those accounts represent, 4 loans and or credit balances? 5 A: The information that was provided to us 6 was a spreadsheet of the loan balances, 7 debit balances, there was no... In other words, FINSAC did not take over 8 0: 9 any credit balances? 10 A: I do not know whether that was done. I 11 am saying from the information I have, 12 from the information I have seen, the 13 spreadsheets that we got were just the 14 loan balances. You mentioned earlier that in some cases 15 Q: 16 there were discrepancies or debtors came 17 forward and questioned about their loans 18 and amounts that they had in accounts at 19 the bank and you said that FINSAC ... 20 A: You are not quoting me when you say 21 that, though? I don't recall that I 22 said that. It was just in relation to the loan balances that they were 23 24 questioning not amounts they had in the 25 bank.

1 Q: So then no debtor came forward to say 2 that well I had some money in the bank 3 at the time when the loans were taken 4 over by FINSAC? 5 A: I am not aware whether anyone came forward, I do not know. 6 7 But one thing you are sure of is that 0: as far as the record show FINSAC did not 8 take over any credit balances? 9 10 I am not saying that either, sir. I do A: 11 not know. 12 Can you ascertain for us from the Q: 13 records of FINSAC if FINSAC took over 14 any credit balances? 15 I could check. I don't see why FINSAC A: would take credit balances because 16 17 chances are the loan was bad the bank 18 would have applied the credit balances to reduce the loan before it got to the 19 20 stage where it was bad so that it would 21 be a residual figure that comes to 22 FINSAC. 23 0: Fine, but can you just confirm that from 24 your records for me? 25 A: Okay.

1 MR. BRAHAM: Now were the shareholders in all the 2 failed institutions treated similarly? 3 A: I am afraid I cannot speak to that. I 4 really do not know. 5 CHAIRMAN: We are not asking you to perform magic 6 but certainly this is something that can 7 be ascertained from your records, can they not? 8 I will check Mr. Chairman. 9 Α: 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 11 MR. BRAHAM: Were there any agency agreement between 12 FINSAC and the Government that supported 13 the activities that were undertaken by that institution, meaning FINSAC? 14 15 I am not aware that any agency agreement A: existed between FINSAC and the 16 17 Government of Jamaica. The titles to the debt and the 18 0: 19 underlying securities, were they as far 20 as you know vested in FINSAC? 21 A: No, they were not. FINSAC had found, as 22 I mentioned earlier, two subsidiaries to 23 deal with the loans, so it would have 2.4 been Recon Trust or Refin Trust. 25 Q: The titles for the debt and the

1 underlying security were vested in either Recon Trust or Refin trust? 2 3 A: That is correct. 4 Q: Do you know under what instrument of 5 authority were the activities of FINSAC undertaken? 6 7 No, I do not. A: Chairman, I think that the purpose for 8 0: 9 which FINSAC was incorporated, we dealt 10 with that at the beginning? 11 Yes. Yes, he did. CHAIRMAN: 12 MR. BRAHAM: The policy of FINSAC in dealing with the 13 failed institutions, commercial banks, 14 merchant banks and insurance companies 15 was there first of all a written policy, and let's start there? 16 17 Α: I am not aware if there was. Maybe this is a question for the Managing Director 18 19 at the time. 20 And who was that? Q: 21 A: Mr. Patrick Hylton. 22 0: I see. Do you know what was the... 2.3 CHAIRMAN: Policy would be a matter of record, 24 would it not? 25 A: Perhaps, yes.

1	CHAIRMAN:	So stories begin. Perhaps, perhaps
2		not. Anyway I would suggest to you that
3		policy is not a figment of anybody's
4		imagination. FINSAC would have it
5		documented. This is a so can you
6		find that out, Mister I guess we can
7		ask Mr. Hylton but we are asking you
8		now, you see, since you are here.
9	A:	Well I will check to see what exists.
10	CHAIRMAN:	Thank you very much, sir?
11	A:	Mr. Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN:	You could ask for you it will be no
13		less.
14	A:	Sure.
15	CHAIRMAN:	Thank you.
16	MR. BRAHAM:	What criteria did FINSAC use to
17		determine whether an institution was
18		insolvent or had liquidity problems?
19	A:	You want me to add this to my list of
20		matters to check on?
21	CHAIRMAN:	You may add it Mister I don't think
22		you need our permission to do that.
23	A:	I do actually.
24	CHAIRMAN:	Very well, you have it?
25	A:	Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN:	Very well. We are at one.
2	MR. BRAHAM:	Did FINSAC rehabilitate any of the
3		failed institution and if yes, which
4		one?
5	Q:	Yes. A number of the institutions were
6		rehabilitated. There was National
7		Commercial Bank, Citizens Bank/Union
8		Bank, Life of Jamaica, Island Life
9		Insurance Company, Dyoll Group, Jamaica
10		Mutual Life, Crown Eagle Life Insurance,
11		Dyoll Life and Horizon Life.
12		Rehabilitated in the sense that the
13		individual life portfolio from those
14		insurance companies and the pension
15		portfolio from those insurance companies
16		were sold to Guardian Life?
17	CHAIRMAN:	That's your special meaning given to
18		rehabilitation, is that a technical
19		term? I am not being facetious, don't
20		think that for a moment. I just want to
21		be sure that is a technical meaning of
22		rehabilitate in banking circles?
23	A:	No, it's not a technical meaning. The
24		fact that the institution themselves
25		didn't survive, if I ever use that word

1 rather loosely. The operations remained 2 and clearly I would submit that that must 3 be some amount of rehabilitation. Just 4 CHAIRMAN: let me digest this for a moment. Maybe the question was unfair. If these 5 6 are failed institutions -- you see, we 7 are having all kind of technical 8 phrases, you know. We have failed 9 institutions, we have intervened institutions, I don't know where... 10 11 A: Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, I could withdraw 12 the comment then and see that those ---13 if you have a difficulty with it then I 14 could say that those weren't among the 15 ones... 16 CHAIRMAN: I have to take your answer. I am trying 17 to understand it though and I have a 18 slight problem at the moment. Hold on, 19 please. You see, let me tell you why we 20 are looking at this carefully. Our 21 terms of reference referred to failed 22 institutions. I think it was the Bank 23 of Jamaica that gave us lists of failed 24 institution, of intervened institutions, 25 would that be right Mr. Hylton.

1 MR. HYLTON: Yes. Can I just comment, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 3 There is a list that the Bank of Jamaica 0: 4 tentatively submit to the Commission it 5 was a list of intervened institutions? 6 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 7 MR. HYLTON: Meaning institutions that received 8 assistance. 9 CHAIRMAN: That's bailout, yes. 10 MR. HYLTON: I don't know that the bank would have 11 used the word failed. I think they 12 prefer to use the word intervened. 13 Obviously, it depends on who is CHAIRMAN: 14 speaking. 15 MR. HYLTON: Well, except sir, that that is a 16 definable phrase. People will have 17 different definitions of what failed 18 means. 19 For instance NCB was a failed CHAIRMAN: 20 institution from one point of view, but 21 it is still alive, today. 22 MR. HYLTON: Exactly. 23 CHAIRMAN: But there are others that have failed 24 that are 'as dead as a doornail', now. MR. HYLTON: 25 Which is why sir, a more precise word is

1 2	CHAIRMAN:	intervened. Unregrettably our terms of reference
3		tells us we must deal with failed ones.
4	MR. HYLTON:	I appreciate that, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN:	I mean Mutual Life, to go back to Mr.
6		Campbell, wasn't rehabilitated. If it
7		was, boy, is a very funny
8		rehabilitation, it appears. It don't
9		even have a crutch it just gone.
10	A:	The operations as it relates to the
11		individual life and the pension life
12		portfolio were rehabilitated and they
13		were subsequently sold to Guardian Life.
14	CHAIRMAN:	This is high finance. My brother Ross
15		may grasp these things easier than I do.
16		I am a layman in these things so I take
17		the layman's view. If it failed it dead
18		and as far as I am concerned, as far as
19		anybody is concerned Mutual Life is
20		dead. One might say that NCB is going
21		along but it was a failed institution at
22		a particular time, yes.
23 24	A: CHAIRMAN:	It was rehabilitated and sold, yes. Yes. I don't want to continue in this

but we had words like healing as applied

1 to FINSAC. Finsac was a healing 2 institution. So what, Jamaica Mutual was 3 healed? We heard healed. I think that 4 that was the term used by the Minister, 5 former Minister. 6 Mr. Chairman, the point I was making in A: 7 relation to Mutual Life and the other 8 insurance companies is that the 9 individual life portfolio was saved and 10 it was sold to another institution. So 11 to that extent those policyholders and 12 pensioners benefited. 13 Well, so far as the institution is CHAIRMAN: 14 concerned I do not know what use of 15 English one can use to say it hasn't 16 gone about its business -- dead. The 17 company wasn't in being. It had joined 18 Lazarus before he was -- if you remember 19 Lazarus wasn't healed. All right that 20 was a very interesting diversion. Yes, 21 Mister... 22 0: Will you then take us sir, in your 23 answers you have a list of how they were 24 rehabilitated and would you then take us 25 through each of them and indicate

1		generally, starting with the National
2		Commercial Bank?
3	A:	FINSAC initially acquired ordinary and
4		preference shares as well as
5		non-performing loans in National
6		Commercial Bank. The preference shares
7		were converted to ordinary loans, sorry,
8		ordinary shares in NCB Group Limited
9		which was the parent company giving
10		FINSAC a majority shareholder in the
11		group. Sometime thereafter a scheme of
12		arrangement was approved for FINSAC to
13		acquire the group with its
		significant non-core assets, non-core
15		real estate, sorry, leaving the bank to
16		continue its core business of banking.
17		Thereafter NCB was sold to AIC.
18	CHAIRMAN:	So that is rehabilitation properly so
19		called?
20	A:	I will take that.
21	Q:	And AIC is?
22	A:	AIC.
23	Q:	That's the name?
24	A:	That's the name that we have, yes. AIC
25		Limited or AIC Barbados Limited, but AIC

- 1 is the name.
- 2 Can we move to the next entity? Q: 3 Yes. In relation to Citizens Bank/Union A: 4 Bank. Initially FINSAC acquired ordinary 5 and preference shares and non-performing 6 loans also in Citizens Bank and this 7 included Life of Jamaica's ordinary 8 shareholdings in Citizens Bank. 9 Following FINSAC's acquisition of some 10 other banks a decision was made to merge six of these entities and the name was 11 12 later changed to Union Bank which has 13 since been sold to RBTT Holdings. RBTT 14 is an acronym for Royal Bank of Trinidad 15 and Tobago. 16 Life of Jamaica? 0: 17 CHAIRMAN: That is not rehabilitation properly so 18 called? 19 I didn't hear the point. A: 20 CHAIRMAN: That would not amount to rehabilitation 21 properly so called? In other words is 22 not a rehabilitation in the sense that 23 NCB was. Anyway, you have no comment? 24 If that's the case then probably NCB is A: 25 the only one that was rehabilitated then

1		if that is your my understanding is a
2		little different from yours.
3	CHAIRMAN:	Is not mine, you know, I ask you and you
4		agree that could be called a
5		rehabilitation, properly so called. So
6		when you came to this one which didn't
7		seem to me, a mere layman and I have to
8		bow to your expert knowledge, it didn't
9		seem to be similar, so I said this is
10		not rehabilitation properly so called.
11		That seems to be logical, no?
12	A:	I can't say I necessarily agree with you
13 14	CHAIRMAN:	Mr. Chairman. That's all right, we have disagreements.
	CHAIRMAN: A:	
14		That's all right, we have disagreements.
14 15		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC
14 15 16		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC acquired ordinary and preference shares
14 15 16 17		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC acquired ordinary and preference shares and this included just ordinary and
14 15 16 17 18		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC acquired ordinary and preference shares and this included just ordinary and preference shares in Life of Jamaica and
14 15 16 17 18 19		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC acquired ordinary and preference shares and this included just ordinary and preference shares in Life of Jamaica and this included Life of Jamaica
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC acquired ordinary and preference shares and this included just ordinary and preference shares in Life of Jamaica and this included Life of Jamaica shareholdings in both Citizens Bank and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC acquired ordinary and preference shares and this included just ordinary and preference shares in Life of Jamaica and this included Life of Jamaica shareholdings in both Citizens Bank and CIBC Jamaica Limited. Preference shares
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		That's all right, we have disagreements. In relation to Life of Jamaica, FINSAC acquired ordinary and preference shares and this included just ordinary and preference shares in Life of Jamaica and this included Life of Jamaica shareholdings in both Citizens Bank and CIBC Jamaica Limited. Preference shares were later converted to ordinary shares

1 Q: Island Life, sir?

In relation to Island Life, FINSAC 2 A: 3 acquired ordinary and preference shares 4 in that company and provided further 5 assistance two years thereafter with the majority shareholder again Barbados 6 Mutual life which was charged to 7 reorganize and improve the financial 8 condition of that company so that it 9 could continue operating as an insurance 10 company. The operations of island Life 11 were later merged with Life of Jamaica 12 because the majority shareholder of 13 Island Life was the same Barbados Mutual 14 Life Assurance Society. After that merger 15 FINSAC's Island Life shares were 16 converted to Life of Jamaica shares and 17 those were sold on the open market 18 through the stock exchange. In relation 19 to Dyoll Group, FINSAC acquired ordinary 20 shares in Dyoll Group purchased 21 preference shares in Dyoll Life Limited 22 which is a Life Insurance Company and it 23 granted a loan to Dyoll Insurance Company 24 which is a general insurance

1 company. The loan to the general 2 insurance company was repaid by that 3 company. The individual policies were 4 sold along with others to Guardian Life 5 and in 2003 Dyoll Group Limited 6 repurchased its ordinary shares from 7 FINSAC and the final one is in relation 8 to those. Life policies I mentioned earlier which -- life policies and group 9 pension policies which were sold to 10 Guardian Life. 11 12 Did FINSAC inject cash in any of these 0: failed institutions? 13 14 CHAIRMAN: All these companies were foreign 15 companies? 16 I didn't hear the question. A: 17 CHAIRMAN: All these companies to which these 18 shares were sold, interest were served 19 were foreign companies, foreign in a 20 sense, not Jamaican? 21 You are talking about the Barbados Α: 22 Mutual Life? 23 Barbados, Trinidad, RBTT JMLAS, Guardian CHAIRMAN: 24 these were all...? 25 A: Yes, they were foreign companies.

The question now is whether FINSAC 1 0: 2 injected cash in any of the failed institutions and if so, which ones? 3 4 ATC was not Jamaican either? Yes, it CHAIRMAN: 5 was Canadian. 6 A: The information that I was able to get 7 from the files didn't separate cash and 8 local registered stock. So I am not 9 entirely sure whether cash or how much cash was invested in these companies but 10 if I combine them with local registered 11 12 stock there were six or seven companies that FINSAC injected funds in. 13 14 0: When you are referring to local 15 registered stocks you are talking about 16 acquiring the shares, is that what you 17 are talking about? The next question is 18 whether FINSAC took shares or acquired 19 shares in any of these institutions? 20 A: And the answer to that is, yes. As I 21 mentioned earlier FINSAC bought both 22 preference and ordinary shares in some 23 companies. No, but local registered 24 stock is, it is cash for the purposes of 25 the liquid assets of a commercial bank

1 they can include it as such, so it would 2 be important for a commercial bank to 3 have -- if they don't have cash then 4 they would have local registered stock. 5 Could you tell us the quantum of funds Q: 6 that FINSAC injected into the failed 7 institutions? 8 The initial figure was as was mentioned A: 9 earlier \$94B, but with interest because 10 FINSAC didn't have the cash at the time 11 to pay the interest on these. The 12 total figure is \$146B. 13 0: The depositors in these institutions 14 were they repaid 100% of their capital 15 plus interest to the date of the 16 payment? 17 I am not aware whether all depositors A: 18 were paid 100% of their deposits, but 19 my understanding is that any interest 20 payment made to depositors was to the 21 date of intervention not to the date of 22 repayment? 23 0: What was the source of the money to make 24 the above repayment to the depositors? I am not aware where the initial funds 25 A:

1		were garnered from, but over time with
2		the sale of assets that FINSAC was able
3		to achieve some funds were used from
4		that source to pay some of the
5		depositors.
6	Q:	In cases where Directors or
7		shareholders?
8	CHAIRMAN:	Just one moment, please. This is
9		extrapolation this is not can you get
10		that information, Mr. Campbell. I mean
11		your response is based on your
12		extrapolation and understanding and all
13		that, but can you get definitive
14		information.
15	A:	I really am not sure, Mr. Chairman.
16		
17		Continued
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		