Debtor : Mr. Debtor 7 (section 1)

VERBATIM NOTES

OF

COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES
THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
IN THE 199Xs

HELD AT

THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KN UTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5

ON

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2X11 Part 1

PRESENT WERE:

COMMISSIONERS

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick Bogle

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION

Hon. Justice Henderson Downer (Retired) Ms. Judith Clarke

SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION Mr.

Fernando DePeralto

REPRESENTING JAMAICAN REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

Mrs. Sandra Minott-Phillips - Attorney-at-Law Mr. Gavin Golfe -- Attorney -at-Law

GIVING EVIDENCE

Mr. Debtor 7

1		February 3, 2X11
2	COMM. BOGLE:	Good morning everyone. This enquiry is
3		now in session and I would like to ask
4		the attorneys present to give us their
5		names and who they represent.
6	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I am Minott-Phillips and Gavin Gaffe
7		instructed by Myers Fletcher & Gordon
8		for Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation
9		Inc.
1X	MS CLARKE:	Judith Clarke, appearing on behalf of
11		the witness scheduled for today,
12		Mr. Debtor 7.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	Miss Clarke, could you call Debtor 7.
14		DEBTOR 7 CALLED AND SWORN
14 15	MS CLARKE:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir.
	MS CLARKE:	
15		Debtor 7, good morning, sir.
15 16	A:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir. Good morning.
15 16 17	A:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir. Good morning. Could you for the benefit of the
15 16 17 18	A:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir. Good morning. Could you for the benefit of the Commission give your name, address, and
15 16 17 18 19	A: Q:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir. Good morning. Could you for the benefit of the Commission give your name, address, and occupation please?
15 16 17 18 19 2X	A: Q:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir. Good morning. Could you for the benefit of the Commission give your name, address, and occupation please? My name is Debtor 7, I
15 16 17 18 19 2x 21	A: Q:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir. Good morning. Could you for the benefit of the Commission give your name, address, and occupation please? My name is Debtor 7, I live at Address. My
15 16 17 18 19 2x 21 22	A: Q: A:	Debtor 7, good morning, sir. Good morning. Could you for the benefit of the Commission give your name, address, and occupation please? My name is Debtor 7, I live at Address. My occupation is a Realtor.

1	MS CLARKE:	Yes, go ahead.
2	Q:	Debtor 7, have you signed a written
3		statement on which you would wish to
4		rely for the purposes of these
5		proceedings, have you signed a written
6		statement?
7	A:	I have, yes ma'am.
8	Q:	Sirs, may the witness be shown a copy of
9		his statement.
1X		(Witness statement shown to witness)
11		That is your statement?
12	A:	Yes, it is the statement.
13	Q:	And you state at paragraph 3 that you
14		are the principal of three companies
15		which were severely impacted and
16		collapsed as a result of what was
17		happening in the financial sector in the
18		199Xs and these companies are
19		Company Ltd., Company Ltd.
2X		and Company Ltd.?
21	A:	Yes, ma'am.
22	Q:	Is it Company Ltd. Limited or just
23		Company Ltd.?
24	A:	Company Ltd. Limited.
25	Q:	It was a registered company?

1	A:	Yes.
2	Q:	I have to seek an amendment to that.
3		With your leave, sirs, may Debtor 7 be
4		allowed to read his statement down to
5		paragraph 1X?
6	COMM. BOGLE:	Fine.
7	A:	From 1?
8	Q:	From paragraph 4 to paragraph 1X.
9	A:	
1X		In the 197Xs, with the
11		encouragement of Prime Minister
12		Michael Manley I sold two houses
13		in England and together with my
14		wife, an X
15		who studied here and loved
16		Jamaica, I returned home.
17		New World Development.
18	A:	In 1979 we started a real estate
19		brokerage company, Company
2X		Limited. We had offices in Kingston,
21		Address, Address, Address
22		and Address. We employed sixty
23		agents and staff.
24		Further, we started
25		Corporation which was

1	involved in real estate development.
2	This entity employed thirty persons. We
3	also established Company Ltd. from which
4	we were engaged in eye care. This business
5	employed four people.
6	Later we started Company Ltd., a project
7	whereby we leased several acres of land in
8	Morant Bay with its main object being to
9	cultivate X for export. Company Ltd.
1X	employed 12X persons.
11	The X business was very successful and it
12	was left in the care of a capable General
13	Manager and an in-house accountant, both
14	of whom were supervised by the auditing
15	firm, Marcus Stephenson & Company, while
16	I concentrated on land development.
17	I started with ten lots in Belvedere and
18	having made a success at that project we
19	purchased an adjoining lot of thirty
2X	acres. All told, we had fifty eight lots.
21	At this time we borrowed money from
22	Horizon Merchant Bank to finance the
23	development.

1	Q:	Thank you Debtor 7. Now, you go on
2		further to say that the principal loan
3		amount was \$x million which was
4		disbursed or taken in two parts, \$x
5		million and \$x million and you say you
6		are riot able to find the loan agreement.
7		You did sign a loan agreement but you
8		are not able to locate it?
9	A:	That is correct, ma'am.
1X	Q:	These loans were however secured by way
11		of mortgages against the title, is that
12		so?
13	A:	That is correct, ma'am.
14	Q:	Title register at Volume 000 folio 8X1,
15		if I may, sirs, Debtor 7 could you
16		turn before you go on let me deal
17		with the other aspects of paragraph 11.
18		You said that the first two mortgages on
19		that title reflect the actual amounts
2X		totaling \$x million but there is an
21		additional mortgage because Horizon Bank
22		registered another mortgage to secure
23		unpaid interest. Isn't that so?
24	A:	I think it is called compounded in
25		banking, they compound both the interest

1		and principal together to make it the
2		principal.
3	Q:	That is your understanding?
4	A:	That is my understanding of it.
5	Q:	If we may, could we look at Exhibit
6		Debtor 7 1 which is the first
7		document attached or appended at the end
8		of his affidavit and for the benefit of
9		the enquiry there are in fact three
1X		mortgages endorsed on that title, the
11		first of which is a mortgage to Horizon
12		Merchant Bank Limited to secure \$x
13		million with interest; the second
14		mortgage to Horizon Building Society to
15		secure I grave your indulgence, I am
16		trying to follow the dates, to secure \$5
17		million with interest and then there is
18		a third mortgage dated 24th January 1996
19		to secure \$x million with interest?
2X	COMM. BOGLE:	Is \$5 million the first one and \$5.5 the
21		second one.
22	Q:	And then \$x. So the total, and for
23		mathematical purposes I am sure the
24		Commissioners will compute?
25	COMM. BOGLE:	<pre>\$x, the third mortgage \$x, would</pre>

1		be the second?
2	A:	The third would be \$x.
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, the third would be \$x.
4	Q:	If we are looking at it based on the
5		date of registration, the third
6		registered mortgage would be the one for
7		\$x, but as to whether it was the third
8		mortgage, the second, from the dates, I
9		don't know if those would be conclusive,
1X		as to which one was taken first, second,
11		third in time having regard to the
12		witnes's evidence. May I continue?
13	COMM, ROSS:	I would like to ask, no mention is made
14		of the first mortgage dated August 1994.
15	MS CLARKE:	Debtor 7, explain that.
16	A:	What was the question?
17	MS CLARKE:	In paragraph 11 you don't mention the
18		mortgage for \$x million.
19	A:	To my knowledge and understanding the
2X		total mortgage was x , it was x and
21		<pre>\$x which was actually \$x</pre>
22	Q:	They are asking, there is a mortgage for
23		\$x million that is dated 1994 which
24		would have been the first registered
25		mortgage, they are asking whether you

1		are able, if you are not fine, but they
2		are asking whether you are able to
3		explain?
4	A:	I can't think back now, but it might be,
5		if it was registered, it might be the
6		first mortgage.
7	Q:	Are you hazarding a guess or you are
8		saying you are not able to say?
9	A:	Precisely, there are so many things that
1X		happened since, so many paper, but what
11		I remember distinctly was the \$x.
12	Q:	Let me ask you, are you able to say from
13		your personal knowledge whether the
14		mortgages were registered as they were
15		taken out by you in terms of the date?
16	A:	To my knowledge I would say yes because
17		we had accountant there dealing with
18		that and I didn't really I am not
19	Q:	That is why I am asking you, are you
2X		able to say from your personal
21		knowledge, from your personal knowledge?
22	A:	That is a bit vague now, because you
23		know I am not equipped with these
24		accounting figures to follow everything.
25	Q:	And you did not have personally to do

1		with the actual registration of the
2		mortgages on the titles?
3	A:	Not at all, my accountant and attorney
4		would have done that.
5	Q:	All right Debtor 7. You say at
6		paragraph 12, this is where we are at
7		now, that the companies, when you sent
8		in your returns to you are referring
9		to New World Development Corporation and
1X		Debtor 7 and Debtor 7, am
11		I correct?
12	A:	You are correct.
13	Q:	You are saying that you also took out a
14		loan for about \$x million with
15		Citizens Bank?
16	A:	That is correct.
17	Q:	And this was to raise the development of
18		some lands that you had in Discovery
19		Bay?
2X	A:	That is correct.
21	Q:	For the purposes of subdivision and
22		sale?
23	A:	That is correct.
24	Q:	Could you read paragraph 13 now please?
25	A:	We subdivided the land and as we sold

1		individual lots we made lump sum
2		payments on account of the mortgage
3		debts.
4	Q:	Could you pause there for me; are you
5		saying that as the lots were sold you
6		would pay lump sums to the bank to
7		satisfy the mortgage payments?
8	A:	That is correct.
9	Q:	Yes, read on please?
1X	A:	Payments were made to facilitate the
11		release of individual titles to
12		purchasers. The titles would be
13		released upon payments of these lump
14		sums to the bank. This was the
15		arrangement we had with Horizon and we
16		proceeded as such even after FINSAC took
17		control of the bank, meaning we made
18		lump sum to FINSAC then.
19	Q:	That's where?
2X	A:	Bank/building society.
21		These payments were made to our
22		attorneys. I am attaching the following
23		copy letters showing the several lump
24		sum payments.
25	Q:	Could you pause there Debtor 7. There

1		are in fact 29 letters, I believe
2		attached, I think Exhibits 25 may
3		consist of two letters, so if the
4		Commissioners could pause for a brief
5		moment to just have a look, I doubt
6		whether the witness intends for the
7		Commission, certainly at this stage, to
8		go into the actual details of these
9		letters but just simply to show that
1X		there are these several letters dating
11		back from 1996, I think the first in
12		time is October 14, 1996 showing
13		payments coming from your attorney,
14		was Jennifer Messado and Company you
15		said?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	To Horizon Merchant Bank?
18	A:	Miss Clarke, may I add something here.
19		These letters are not the total, these
2X		are just examples, it would be too much
21		to, because we did forty three lots in
22		Address, forty three, and ten, about
23		seventy three lots was our total.
24	Q:	So there were, sirs, payments of \$x
25		

1	X thousand and perhaps the Commissioners
2	may want to note that certainly as of 1996
3	there was a payment on October 14, there was
4	a another lump sum payment on October 24th
5	of that year, there was a further payment,
6	a lump sum payment on October 25, there was
7	a payment on November 14, payment on November
8	19, December 9, 1996 and yet another payment
9	on December 9, 1996. There was one payment
1X	on December 9, 1996, LP7
11	Xdollars and on that same date a payment was
12	sent from the attorney for X thousand X
	dollars. I am indicating this on behalf of
13	the witness to show the frequency in terms
14	of the payments, the
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2X	
21	
22	
23	
24	

∩ E

1		lump sums as they were advanced to the
2		bank. And then if we should go through
3		the exhibits there were three payments
4		in January 1997, one in February of that
5		same year, one in March of that same
6		year, one in April. I am indicating all
7		of this bearing in mind the witness'
8		evidence that these receipts are not
9		exhaustive but certainly as a samble to
1X		show how the payments were made and what
11		payments were made.
12	COMM. ROSS:	What was the total amount of money paid?
13	Q:	I believe the witness in the course of
14		his evidence will attempt to address
15		that. So I am just going through these
16		exhibits to show, if permitted, that in
17		fact the witness is attempting to prove
18		that in fact these lump sum payments
19		were made and certainly with a certain
2X		notable frequency?
21	A:	Commissioners you want me to reply to
22		that? I don't know the percentage of
23		what was paid for each lot but taking in
24		consideration, for example, one
25		subdivision in Address we had forty

1		three lots and we sold and got titles
2		for, I would say, thirty-nine to my
3		knowledge and those were released and
4		those people are happily living in their
5		houses that they have built, so while I
6		can't be exact in giving the amount of
7		money that was paid, I know that all of
8		those lots and especially those in
9		Chancery Hall, all those lots are built
1X		out.
11	Q:	And the witness has indicated that the
12		same manner of payment proceeded once
13		FINSAC entered in and Exhibits 26 to 28
14		are letters enclosing payments to
15		FINSAC.
16		Paragraph 13, you said before that not
17		all the payments were accounted for by
18		these exhibits, that there are several
19		others, right Debtor 7?
2X	A:	Yes, they are.
21	Q:	You are saying that between 1996 and
22		1998 you had made payments in excess of
23		\$15.8 million on account of the mortgage
24		debt to Horizon?
25	A:	From the accounting of my attorney.

1	Q:	Based on your attorney's accounting you
2		are able to say that?
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	And you are saying that I think the
5		witness has a document that he wishes
6		what is that document that you passed
7		up?
8	A:	Just to show the tabulation of the
9		amounts paid, it came to \$x Million
1X		plus.
11	Q:	The amounts that were sent directly from
12		your attorney to the bank?
13	A:	They sent that to us indicating what
14 15	COMM. BOGLE:	they have paid. They sent that to you indicating what
16		they have paid to the bank.
17 18	A: Q:	Yes. M_{r} . Deperalto, could we have a look to
19		determine whether we should
2X	A:	You can appreciate that we were only
21	Q:	Hold on a minute, give us a second to
22		have a look at the document. You say
23		that is a document coming from Jennifer
24		Messado and Company?
25	A:	Yes, that is correct.

1	Q:	And you wish for that to form a part of
2		your evidence?
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	I don't know if the Commissioners may
5		wish to enquire as to whether there is
6		any objection to that document being
7		introduced as an exhibit at this stage.
8		I am asking whether the document can be
9		tendered as an exhibit, I am wondering
1X		whether there would be any objection?
11 12	COMM. BOGLE: MS CLARKE:	I didn't hear any objection. In which case I would ask, so that the
13		sequence is maintained, that that be
14 15	COMM. BOGLE:	marked Exhibit Debtor 7 28A? Okay, so marked. Continue. Go ahead
16 17	MS CLARKE:	Miss Clarke. And you are saying, further stating at
18		paragraph 13 that even as of 1999 when
19		there was a letter from FINSAC demanding
2X 21		settlement of the debt, even after that date lump sum payments were made to
22		FINSAC, is that what you are saying?
23	A:	Yes, because some of the lots that were
24		not included in that, they were sold
25		after, I can't remember the lots now,

1		but there was a time when I don't
2		know if we had some difficulties,
3		our telephone am I allowed to say
4		things that are not directly related to
5		this case Commissioners?
6	COMM. BOGLE:	If it is important to your statement,
7		you are making a statement.
8	A:	It is very important; while this was
9		happening my wife who was of a baby, she
1X		returned back home with my children and
11		I died at that point, so nothing was
12		happening. The agent also left because
13		we were owing telephone bills of over
14		X million in all the offices, there
15		was a free-for-all and I had to
16		physically, personally deal with the
17		sales of those lots that were left over,
18		I think it could be about twelve lots
19		that were not mentioned in these and I
2X		sold them and those were a part of the
21		payment that was made.
22	MS CLARKE:	Okay Debtor 7, look at this letter
23		marked LP 29, the letter where FINSAC
24		wrote to you demanding the payment of
25		\$23 million and I would ask you a

1 2	question in relation to what you just
3 4	said. It is a letter dated July 28, 1999
5 6	to New World Development Corporation
7 8	Limited, caption Re your indebtedness to
9	Horizon Merchant Bank Limited.
1X	I act on behalf of Refin Trust, a wholly owned
11	subsidiary of FINSAC Limited, assignees of
12	your debt at Horizon Merchant Bank Limited,
13	and I am instructed that as at the 22nd day
14	of July, 1999 you were indebted to my clients
15	in the amount of twenty three million four
16	hundred and ninety thousand two hundred and
17	fifty dollars inclusive of interest, with
18	further interest accruing on the principal
19	debt at the rate of thirty per centum per
2X	annum. And the figures are set out in terms
21	of the computation
22	I hereby make formal demand upon you for the
23	payment of all sums owing together with the
24	sum of \$3,5XX.XX representing legal costs
25	within twenty-one days of the date hereof.
	In the event of your

1		failure to comply, my clients shall have
2		no alternative but to dispose of the
3		security held and sue for any shortfall
4		without further reference to you.
5		I had asked you whether or not, the
6		question asked was whether or not even
7		after you received this letter you
8		continued to make lump sum payment.
9		This letter was in 1999. You indicated
1X		at paragraph 13 of the witness statement
11		that even after you received the letter
12		you continued the system of lump sum
13		payment as lots were sold
14	A:	Yes, ma'am, that was by instinct.
15	Q:	In your explanation just now, you were
16		saying that these remaining lots, they
17		were sold and some settled after this
18		letter?
19	A:	Yes, ma'am, because I continued
2X		instinctively as I said before.
21	Q:	Read paragraphs fourteen to sixteen?
22	A:	By the time FINSAC took control of the
23		Horizon Group, most of the purchasers of
24		lots in the subdivision had received
25		their titles. We continued our sales as

1		we developed the project. By this time
2		interest rates were spiralling out of
3		control and this resulted in a downturn
4		in our business. We were unable to pay
5		our bills including salaries as the bulk
6		of our earnings had to be used to try to
7		service our debts. The enormously high
8		interest rates made our debts virtually
9		unserviceable.
1X		The lots were not being sold to the
11		extent that they were being sold before
12		because our staff and agent left the
13		company. Our bills piled up. The
14		brokerage business failed and I stopped
15		the development of Discovery Bay to put
16		all my effort and resources into
17		advancing the Belvedere and Address
18		developments.
19	Q:	Read on?
2X	A:	16. As we, (meaning myself
21		Company Limited and
22		Company Limited) continued
23		selling some of the Address and
24		Address lots we continued
25		servicing our debts and were not in

1		arrears at the time when our debts were
2		taken over by FINSAC. However, after
3		this our business spiralled downward as
4		interest rates rose and compounded way
5		beyond our ability to keep up with the
6		payments as we were accustomed to doing.
7	Q:	You may read down to paragraph 2X?
8	A:	But may I add here that Chancery Hall
9		which is is a very nice project, very
1X		small, we didn't have any loan on that,
11		we managed to put in the infrastructure
12		without getting any loan, so money from
13		Addressalso went to service these
14		debts.
15		17. We completed Address
16		development smoothly and we were not in
17		arrears with respect to the debt for
18		this project.
19		There was no debt for this project?
2X	A:	No, we didn't have any loan.
21	Q:	So that is an error, the way it is
22		written, when you say you were not in
23		arrears with respect to the debt for
24		this project, how does that accord with
25		your explanation that you just gave?

1	A:	In simplicity it means we didn't owe any
2		money on the Addressproject, we
3		didn't borrow any money on it.
4		Continued
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
1X		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
2X		
21		
22		
23		
24		

2	MISS CLARKE:	Okay, that is what you are actually
3		saying?
4	A:	We didn't borrow any money on it.
5	Q:	Okay.
6	A:	17. We completed the Address
7		Development smoothly and we were not in
8		arrears with respect to the debt for
9		this project. We even gave FINSAC one-
1X		and-a-half acres of land in the Address
11		Development as collateral for debt
12		which we had incurred to carry out the
13		Company Ltd. development. This debt was
14		also secured by way of mortgage of
15		Address. I intend to deal with
16		Company Ltd. in greater detail later.
17	Q:	Read right down to paragraph 2X.
18	A:	By this time it became clear to me and
19		others that with interest rates
2X		continuing to sky rocketing we would
21		never be able to repay our debts. As
22		Vice President of Returning Residents
23		Association myself and Mr.
24		John Doe, (the President) made
25		representations to the Minister of

1		Finance making offers for settlement on
2		behalf of the debtors.
3	Q:	Could you pause there for me please and
4		explain to the Enquiry, this Commission,
5		what you mean when you say you made
6		representations to the Minister of
7		Finance, what would have been the nature
8		and manner of these representations made
9		by you and the President of the
1X		Returning Residents?
11	A:	What I would say the Association worked
12		out at my office and while I was not up
13		front Mr. Latouche was very vocal and
14		visible around with people visiting from
15		various parts of the island.
16	Q:	So what kind of representation did he
17		make?
18	A:	I think he went personally and we had
19		sent letters. I haven't got a copy of
2X		them because he is not in the office
21		anymore.
22	Q:	So letters were sent and personal
23		visits?
24	A:	Letters were sent and it is obvious
25		nothing was done.

1	Q:	All right. So read on for me the rest
2		of paragraph 18 and going down "all our
3		offers".
4	A:	All our offers and suggestions were
5		either rejected or flatly ignored. In my
6		view, this was a real pity because of
7		the rising interest rates, billions
8		which would have otherwise stayed in
9		Jamaica were repatriated overseas.
1X	MR. GOFFE:	Could I interrupt there, Commissioners.
11		My friend has been very good to
12		constraining the witness to give
13		evidence of facts and things which come from
14		his personal knowledge. I don't think that
15		his last sentence in paragraph 18 falls to be
16		treated as such. This is an opinion which he
17		is giving of facts, he has no knowledge of and
18		I think he is specifically directed at our
19		client in circumstances where I don't know
2X		that he is speaking from a position of
21		personal knowledge. I know for a fact that he
22		could not be speaking from a position of
23		personal knowledge. I would ask then
24		Commissioners that this

1		entire statement here, the sentence
2		be struck from the witness' statement.
3 4	A: MISS CLARKE:	With respect May I respond, Debtor 7. I am hoping
5		that I am appreciating the range and
6		ambit of this enquiry. In response to
7		Mr. Goffe, I would simply say that I do
8		not believe that any particular witness
9		is precluded from expressing an opinion.
1X		In terms of how it would be treated by
11		the Commission that is certainly a
12		matter for the Commission. But I doubt
13		whether the expression of an opinion,
14		even if we were to treat it as a matter
15		of strict evidence in a court of law
16		which this is not, and you know as much
17		as we want to be constrained by certain
18		proper proceedings, I don't believe that
19		we are boxed in by the Evidence Act in
2X		terms of how the evidence proceeds. But
21		even if that were so, to the extent that
22		the witness is expressing an opinion, I
23		doubt whether in point of any law or
24		process that it is objectionable in and
25		of itself. If my friend feels that it

1		touches and concerns his client whom he
2		represents, I am sure that when it
3		arises the opportunity for him to
4		cross-examine the witness and to put
5		certain things he will deal with it in
6		terms of trying to establish as to its
7		soundness and its source. So it is open
8		to the Commissioners as to whether or
9		not to allow this witness or any of
1X		these witnesses to express an opinion.
11	DEBTOR 7:	Could I just add to that?
12	MISS CLARKE:	Not yet, sir.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	This Enquiry is to look at what happened
14		during a certain period and we believe
15		that statements made will be up to the
16		Commissioners, when they are going
17		through, how they will deal with
18		statements of this nature and so I do
19		not think that we will strike it down. I
2X		don't agree with striking out this
21		statement, but it will be up to the
22		Commissioners to decide when they are
23		summarizing and making their report what
24		they will rely on.
25	MR. GOFFE:	Thank you Commissioner. But in that

1		vein then I would ask then that the
2		Commission certainly investigate the
3		basis for making this speculative
4		statement.
5	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Goffe, I just mentioned that we will
6		not strike it down. The Commissioners,
7		when they are going through they will
8		deal with matters such as these as we
9		see fit. And you surely may, during
1X		your cross-examination, explore it with
11		the witness as you see fit.
12	MR. GOFFE:	Thank you sir.
13	MISS CLARKE:	Thank you Commissioner. Now, we are at
14		paragraph 19. Could you please continue
15		Debtor 7.
16	A:	Soon after FINSAC"s takeover and with
17		the enormously high interest rates, my
18		ability to advance the projects was
19		severely compromised, earnings therefore
2X		declined and I was faced with unpaid
21		government taxes, statutory deductions
22		and purchasers wanting their titles or
23		refunds.
24		Still, we struggled to make lump sum
25		payments whenever we could. Some of

1		these payments even came from the sale
2		of properties which FINSAC had sent us
3		to sell through our brokerage company.
4	MISS CLARKE:	FINSAC had sent us to sell through our
5		brokerage company?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	Now, at paragraph 21 there is a letter
8		mentioned in that paragraph, it says:
9		By letter dated June 21, 2XXX we wrote
1X		to FINSAC requiring it to provide us
11		with information from its records as to
12		the total payments we had made to
13		Horizon Merchant Bank. Based on the data
14		we have received, at the time of the
15		takeover by FINSAC, Horizon Merchant
16		Bank had only accounted for payments of
17		just over x Million Dollars on
18		account of the debt. We had in fact
19		paid in the region of x Million
2X		Dollars.
21		I pause Commissioners first before going
22		forward with the witness to have a look
23		at Exhibit Debtor 7 3X and that
24		is the letter which Debtor 7 under his
25		pen addressed to FINSAC Limited. I will

1		just ask him to read it.
2	A:	Attention Ms Suzette Campbell.
3		Dear sirs:
4		RE Company Ltd LOAN ON
5		Address SUB-DIVISION.
6		We would be very grateful if you could
7		inform us of the total payments made to
8		Horizon Merchant Bank and FINSAC on the
9		above loan.
1X		After reviewing our records, we have
11		established that this loan was
12		capitalized three times. The actual
13		monies received from Horizon Merchant
14		Bank is just over X Million Dollars.
15		Payments made to date is over X
16		Million Dollars.
17		Mr. Rose from Horizon Merchant Bank told
18		us in previous discussions that all
19		monies paid would liquidate the
2X		principal loan and the interest would be
21		forgiven. In view of this policy and
22		the amount already paid, this account we
23		believe can now be qualified for a
24		write-off.
25		We anxiously await your response in this

1		regard.
2	Q:	Debtor 7, may I ask you, from your
3		recall, did you ever receive a response
4		to this letter?
5	A:	It is extremely difficult to say, but
6		maybe we have, because I was in
7		correspondence with them and they
8		replied to us. Maybe it is in the file
9		some place, but let me see, I can't sit
1X		here and tell you now.
11	Q:	You can't recall?
12	A:	But the reply that I generally get from
13		FINSAC, from the loan from the bank is
14		just that they would write to say they
15		ignore our request and we have to
16		continue settling the debt, whatever the
17		debt was.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Debtor 7, just for our benefit here.
19		The original - we started out with loan
2X		of \$X in your paragraph 11, \$X and
21		\$X, in your letter now you are stating
22		that the monies received from Horizon
23		Merchant Bank is just over X Million
24		Dollars. What's is the relationship with
25		these two?

1	A:	Sir, can I just apologize here and
2		confess that as I said before I have no
3		accounting knowledge; I can do a little
4		arithmetic, just about that. So some of
5		those letters might just be - accounting
6		that is - a reply to the theme of what
7		was happening then. So the Seven could
8		well be the \$X that is recorded here.
9	MISS CLARKE:	Look at paragraph 21. You are saying
1X		that FINSAC at its takeover had only
11		basically indicated that you had paid
12		only X Million Dollars when in fact
13		you had paid Sixteen. How had FINSAC
14		indicated to you that the payments they
15		had received was Seven Million Dollars,
16		by what means had they made this
17		indication to you that the payments they
18		knew about was X Million Dollars,
19		can you recall?
2X	A:	From my head it is impossible.
21	Q:	How you got this information that only
22		X Million Dollars was accounted for
23		or paid?
24	A:	Unfortunately what I should have done I
25		should have just sent all of the

1		correspondence that are in my possession
2		right now. I was trying to concise them
3		that we could well prove something, but
4		there is a whole lot of information in
5		my office and my file. My Secretary
6		helped me to get these and it took me
7		days or should I say weeks to compile it
8		and concise it down to these. So maybe
9		the best ones that we should use maybe
1X		they are still there in the file some
11		place.
12	Q:	Okay.
13	A:	And I could even add to it to say some
14		time ago the files were scattered all
15		over the office because the NHT came and
16		they said we owed them, I think, X
17		Million Dollars and the files were
18		thrown around and the furniture taken
19		out. So you could just imagine,
2X		compounding all these problems where we
21		are in this maze as if it were a forest
22		trying to find our way through.
23	Q:	Look back at LP3X again, Debtor 7,
24		LP3X. After reviewing our records - I
25		am reading the second paragraph of that

letter.

1	
_	

1X

2X

o E

After reviewing our records we have established that this loan was capitalized three times. The actual monies received from Horizon Merchant Bank is just over Seven Million Dollars. Payments made to date is over X Million Dollars.

When you say in that letter the actual monies received is just over X Million Dollars, tell me exactly what you were meaning to say in that paragraph? Because you were asking in the first paragraph for an accounting based on the fact that you had paid X Million Dollars. Did anybody send you any data - I am referring to paragraph 21 of your Affidavit now. Do you recall receiving any data from FINSAC which said that as of this date we have only been able to see where you have paid down only Seven Million Dollars on account of your loan, do you recall receiving any such correspondence?

1	A:	Now, that you asked me that, I am
2		thinking now if we had actually received
3		all the money promised by Horizon.
4		Because not on record, Horizon itself at
5		times was having problems, although this
6		is not on our record, financial
7		problems. So I don't know if we had
8		received all the money from Horizon,
9		quite likely.
1X	4:	So you could very well have been, from
11		what you say, that all of the loan
12		proceeds may not have been disbursed?
13	A:	It is quite possible.
14	Q:	Okay.
15	A:	I am not saying it is definitely so.
16	Q:	All right, let me go through paragraph
17		22. Between 2XX1 and 2XX3 we made
18		tireless efforts to negotiate with
19		FINSAC terms which would allow us to
2X		settle our indebtedness while continuing
21		to operate. FINSAC's posture was
22		characterized by either an outright
23		failure to reply or in some instances
24		very terse rejection of our proposals,
25		without explanation or invitation to

1 2	have further discussion. And you referred to
3 4	three letters Exhibits 31 to 34. With your
5 6	permission sir, may I just refer to those
7 8	letters quickly. Exhibit LP31 is a letter
9	from FINSAC to
1X	Mr. Debtor 7, dated March 26, 2XX1, again the
11	caption just say Indebtedness - Debtor 7 Your
12	letter of 2XX1 March 19 refers. This serves
13	to advise that your proposal to settle the
14	captioned indebtedness as outlined in your
15	said letter is unacceptable.
16	Please be guided accordingly.
17	Then there is Exhibit LP32 where
18	Mr. Debtor 7 is writing to Mr. Patrick
19	Hylton, the Managing
2X	Director of FINSAC. Letter dated 27th
21	March, 2XX1.
22	Further to my letter dated 17th March, 2XX1
23	offering to settle the principal of this
24	account, I have subsequently received a
25	letter (copy enclosed) advising me that this
	was unacceptable. I would therefore further
	offer to pay

1	you Thirty percent {3X%} of the accrued
2	interest up to the end of March 2XX1. This
3	would be paid on or before the 3Xth September,
4	2XX1.
	Many thanks for accepting this new
5	proposal.
6	I do look forward to hearing from you soon.
7	And then there is Exhibit LP33 with
8	Debtor 7 writing again to FINSAC.
9	We are in receipt of yours dated March 26,
1X	2XX1 informing us of your non-acceptance to
11	our proposal. This is very disappointing as
12	we had already gone ahead with plans to
13	settle this account.
14	However, we would like to take this
15	opportunity to increase our offer by paying
16	off the principal amount of X Million X
17	Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$X,xXX.XXX)
18	immediately and interest payment of thirty
19	to fifty percent will be paid over a period
2X	of six months. As you know, time is of the
21	essence where this matter is concerned and
22	we
23	
24	

ο E

1		therefore await your earliest response.
2		Please note that upon acceptance of this
3		new proposal, we would be pleased to
4		furnish you with our source of payment.
5		And this is his letter dated April 5,
6		2XX1 to which there is a response from
7		FINSAC at LP34.
8		Reference is made to yours of April 5,
9		2XX1.
1X		The offer submitted did not address all
11		your outstanding liabilities being held
12		by FINSAC, and as a result the Board did
13		not accept your stated proposal.
14		So you are saying that this is the kind
15		of correspondence that you called terse
16		or just open rejection that
17		characterized FINSAC's response to you?
18	A:	Generally. Because I wanted then to
19		close off the Discovery Bay property
2X		which owed by then interest of X
21		Million Dollars so that I could
22		concentrate on Belvedere, but as it
23		worked out they wanted to keep that
24		property also because the collateral was
25		then going out in the Belvedere

1		property. We had only about twelve lots
2		left with the extra eight acres.
3	Q:	Could you now read down to paragraph 26
4		please.
5	A:	From 26?
6	Q:	You are going to be now reading from
7		paragraph 23 to paragraph 26. I am
8		sorry.
9	A:	When Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation
1X		took over from FINSAC, we entered into
11		an arrangement whereby the previous
12		system of making lump sum payments of
13		the net proceeds from lot sales as lots
14		were sold were changed. We were now
15		required to make monthly payments.
16	Q:	Hold on a minute now. You are saying at
17		this point that when JRF took over you
18		entered into a new arrangement with
19		them, you no longer were making lump sum
2X		payments as lots were sold, but you were
21		now paying a monthly sum?
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	Read on.
24	A:	This was good for us because we were now
25		able to meet some of our other expenses

1		from the proceeds of sales rather than
2		to have to pay it all out on account of
3		the loans. This arrangement worked well
4		and we remained in good stead with JRF.
5		I can even recall Mr. Robert Best, JFR's
6		account executive assigned to me that
7		based on the new arrangement we had
8		overpaid
9	Q:	Could you pause there. Probably you
1X		wanted to say, I can even recall Mr.
11		Robert Best, JRF's account executive
12		assigned to me saying, is there a word
13		missing there or indicated?
14	A:	I can even recall Mr. Robert Best JRF's
15		account executive who was assigned to
16		me, he said that based on the new
17		arrangement we had overpaid and stating
18		he would be willing to return the amount
19		overpaid to me. I told him to retain the
2X		amount overpaid and credit it to our
21 22	MISS CLARKE:	account. Is that clear? I believe if you put 'saying' there then
23		it makes sense.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	Saying, yes.
25	A:	After most of the lots in Red Hills were

1 2 sold we did not have much income coming in. In a meeting with Mr. John Doe he 3 4 suggested that I should globalize all New 5 6 Company Ltd. and Debtor 7 and Wife Debtor 7 8 7 debts. (This would not include debts 9 incurred for Company Ltd.). I refused at 1 X first because at the time the Citizens 11 Bank, the Citizens Bank, meaning the loan 12 was relatively small and I thought maybe I 13 should move to pay that one off, but in a 14 subsequent meeting I was told that even 15 though my wife had left Jamaica the debts 16 could still follow her and it would be 17 very embarrassing if JRF should go after 18 her in the country where she is now 19 residing. 2X I conveyed this to her attorney here in 2.1 22 Jamaica and she and I agreed reluctantly to the globalization of the debts. As a result, 23 24 JRF globalized all debts relating to New 25 Corporation Limited (that is loans secured to develop Address and Address in keeping with this

1		agreement. In 2XX4 Margaret Debtor 7 and
2		I executed the Agreement to restructure
3		existing debt. A copy of that document
4		is exhibited herewith and marked
5		Debtor 7 35.
6	Q:	That sir, is somewhat a lengthy document
7		which is exhibited and marked LP35, it
8		is an agreement to restructure debt
9		which at its initial stage or in its
1X		body does not bear a date strictly
11		speaking, but where one of the parties
12		had signed, it is dated 22nd September,
13		2XX4, the signing portion where Margaret
14		Debtor 7 had signed most of the document
15		just indicate a blank 2XX4, but it is in
16		fact an agreement to restructure
17		existing debts between
18		Corporation,
19		Debtor 7 and Wife Debtor 7
2X	MR. GOFFE:	Excuse me Commissioners, I actually have
21		a complete copy of that document signed
22		by all parties.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
24	MR. GOFFE:	Perhaps we could use that instead and
25		mark it as 35(a) so that we don't have a

1		confusion later.
2	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, can we be provided with a copy of
3		that one.
4	MISS CLARKE:	You have it, Debtor 7? Yours stopped
5		at page 13? A date is inserted in this
6		one. This is my copy?
7 8	MR. GOFFE: MISS CLARKE:	Yes. Thank you.
9	COMM. BOGLE:	Alright, for completeness LP35(a)is the
1X		one with all the signatures.
11	MISS CLARKE:	Thank you very much sir. So read now
12		from paragraph 27 to paragraph 34
13		please, Debtor 7, paragraph 27 to 34.
14	A:	At the time the debt on Belvedere was
15		about \$x Million and the debt on
16		Discovery Bay was about \$4 Million.
17 18		At that time my wife and I agreed to sign over Company Ltd.'s
19		building at Address to JRF to
2X		set off our debt in relation to Company
21		Ltd. After all the lots in Red Hills
22		were sold and those funds applied to
23		offset
24		Corporation's debts I could not afford
25		to continue to repay the loans as I was

23

24

25

accustomed to doing.

3X. I tried to enter into a joint venture agreement with a third party to develop and build houses on the property (12 acres) in Discovery Bay. The first prospective joint partner pulled out of the negotiations because he was afraid of the prospect of dealing with JRF. That is for quite some time. Much later we got another joint partner. Negotiations proceeded very well. JRF was included in these negotiations and a draft contract was prepared. Again, when faced with the enormity of the terms of my indebtedness to JRF, this joint partner also pulled out. 32. At that point words are totally impossible to describe the state I was in, with three young children going to school in foreign lands, court cases against me by persons wanting their titles or their money back, and taxes and death threats, I can easily empathize with my colleagues who have committed suicide. I was told that

1	there were persons in similar position as
2	mine with FINSAC and JRF who have committed
3	suicide or died of stress related ailments.
	5
6	Continued
7	
8	
9	
LX	
11	
12	
13	
1.4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2X	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 2 MR. GOFFE: I am just repeating my objection, for 3 the record, to that last sentence. 4 DEBTOR 7: Should I read it again? But 5 Commissioners this is my feeling, my 6 personal feeling, that was my personal 7 feeling. That was my feeling that existed, that actually happened. That is 8 9 really a fact. I could relate to those 1 X people who I heard committed suicide and 11 some of who I knew committed suicide and 12 those who suffered. 13 COMM. BOGLE: Continue for me. 14 A 33. I am a X citizen with landing 15 status to live in other countries. So I 16 wondered why I was punishing myself. But 17 I was determined to stay and resolve my 18 problems even if I were to leave after. 19 By this time there were... Just a minute. On that note, could we 2.X COMM. BOGLE: 2.1

have our usual break just before you
begin 34. After break, after a ten minute
break we will pick up at paragraph 34.

(1X Minute break)

(ON RESUMPTION)

1	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, this Enquiry is now resumed and
2		Debtor 7 please note that you are
3		still under oath.
4	MISS CLARKE:	I think the witness was to read down to
5 6	COMM. BOGLE:	paragraph 36 and he was at paragraph 34. He was at 34.
7	А	34. By this time there were about 12
8		persons who had fully paid for their
9		lots in Belvedere and did not receive
1X		their titles. In keeping with item 12 of
11		the Agreement to Restructure Existing
12		Debt
13	MISS CLARKE:	In keeping with item 12 of the Agreement
14		to Restructure Existing Debt
15	А	Which I had mentioned and exhibited
16		before, I made more than one payments of
17		US\$5,XXX to JRF.
18	Q	Could you pause there for me please. May
19		we refer to Item 12 of the Agreement. I
2X		think that is JRF 35. Item 12, on page
21		12 of the document would be the
22		schedule. Item 12(c() on page 12 of the
23		document, item 12(a). Item 12(a)
24		states:
25		'The six lots listed below will be

1		released by JRF for a payment US\$5,XXX.'
2		So if we could just now read on. I ask
3		you Debtor 7 to read that sentence
4		again.
5	A	I just wonder if we are reading from the
6		same document?
7	MISS CLARKE:	I am not reading the same handwritten
8		portion. I see it on this now but it is
9		not on my copy.
1X	A	I read item 12(a) of the schedule.
11	MR. GOFFE:	The sentence you read a while ago on
12		mine there is a hand-written note.
13	MISS CLARKE:	Well, I am not dealing with the
14		hand-written portion. I see it on this
15		now but it is not on mine.
16	A	It's not on my mine either.
17	COMM. BOGLE:	The copies that I have here for 35(a) is
18		the one signed and another one and I
19		don't have the hand-writing either.
2X	MR. GOFFE:	I think you have the wrong 35(a) then,
21		sir. The 35(a) which I copied and
22		provided the Commissioners all have
23		'each' on it.
24	А	Sorry, Mr. Goffe, the 35 (a) that I have
25		in my file there is no handwriting on

1		it.
2	MR. GOFFE:	The one that I brought today is not the
3		one which you have.
4	MISS CLARKE:	May I intervene here. There are two
5		exhibits 35 and 35(a). The witness in
6		terms of his Witness Statement is
7		referring to the document which he
8		exhibited. I am now asking the witness
9		to read from that exhibit which is
1X		exhibited to his affidavit.
11	A	So I am to just read 3X
12	Q	What I have just read. If you could just
13		look at 35(a)as being Item 12(a)on page
14		12.
15 16	A MR. GOFFE:	12(a) says Sorry, are you asking him to read 35(a)
17		or 35?
18	MISS CLARKE:	Thirty five. It is the one exhibited to
19		his affidavit, not the exhibit tendered
2X		on behalf of JRF. Go ahead and read it.
21	A	'The six lots listed below will be
22		released by JRF for a payment of
23		US\$5,XXX.'
24	Q	That is what? That is the document that
25		you have in your possession?

1	А	Yes, and that is the one that I signed.
2	Q	Let me ask you, having regard to the
3		fact that there is no exhibit 35(a) did
4		you at any point when this Agreement to
5		Restructure was to be executed by you,
6		become aware or agreed to an amendment
7		to that document to include the word
8		'each'?
9	MR. GOFFE:	Sorry, no, Miss Clarke. Hold a second.
1X		It is a leading question which is being
11		asked as to whether it was an amendment
12		at all because on the face of the
13		document there is nothing which
14		indicates that it was amended after
15		signature or before signature. So, I
16		don't think that the question is an
17		appropriate question.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	The thing is that I have two documents
19		but one of the copies has signature in
2X		all the places that have been amended.
21	MR. GOFFE:	Again, I think, that was a document that
22		Debtor 7 brought. The one which I put
23		in as 35(a) is identical and each one of
24		them has handwritten word, 'each' on it,
25		which again, the point I am making is

1		that we don't know if it is an
2		amendment. An amendment being a change
3		to the document made after it was
4		signed.
5	MISS CLARKE:	May I Commissioners? First of all
6	MR. GOFFE:	Could I submit another one of 35(a)? It
7		seems perhaps that the Commissioner does
8		not have enough copies of 35(a)?
9М	ISS CLARKE:	And that exhabit would be marked as
1X		tendered by the Attorneys for JRF. It is
11		not an exhibit on which the witness
12		before the Commission is now relying.
13		The exhibit on which he relys is the one
14		exhibited in his statement. Suffice it
15		to say, Commissioner, that I do believe
16		that there was an open and expressed
17		invitation relative to these
18		proceedings, to lead the witnesses
19		through their evidence.
2X	COMM. BOGLE:	We are allowing the leading of witnesses
21		for us to get out the information.
22	MR. GOFFE:	Leading the witness through their
23		statement, not leading them in relation
24		to things that they have not said.
25	COMM. BOGLE:	I would imagine that she is asking a

1		question, sir. Maybe she needs to
2	MISS CLARKE:	rephrase the question? I am not particularly eager to rephrase
4		the question because in truth and in
5		fact the item arose based on a
6 7		submission that is now being made on behalf of JRF, relative to a document
8		that JRF has put in where the witness
9		was reading from something entirely
1X		different, so if a document is being put
11		in and has been put in and it is now
12		exhibit 35 (a), T believe it ought to be
13		open to counsel for the witness to put
14		to him a question relative to the point
15		that is raised because what is being now
16		proffered by counsel is that the
17		document before the Commission is a
18		document that has a hand-written note on it.
19		I believe that it is entirely proper
2X		therefore, to ask the witness whether in the
21		course of entering that agreement at that
22		particular place he was made privy to, he
23		agreed to, he signed to any hand- written
24		insertion in the document. And one was not
		leading

1		him, one was asking him the questions
2		based on the fact that it was being
3		asserted that the actual document should
4		read 'each' and I believe only the
5		witness can speak to what he agreed
6		because he is speaking to an agreement
7		that he entered into, not a document in
8		vacuum.
9	COMM. BOGLE:	Therefore you are speaking about the
1X		difference between 35 and 35(a)?
11	A	I would certainly have to now establish
12		it with the witness.
13	MR. GOFFE:	Commissioners, I don't have a copy of
14		the document which Commission Bogle, you
15		were handed. by the witness, sir.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	It is part of your bungle.
17	MR. GOFFE:	No. I was told that there was one which
18		has JRF's signature on it that he handed
19		up. I have not seen that document as
2X		yet.
21	A	Mr. Gaffe, the answer is emphatically,
22 23	COMM. BOGLE:	no. Just a minute.
24	A	I believe it ought to be brought to the
25		attention of the Commissioner that the

1		one document does not seek to replace
2		the other, we now have two exhibits and
3		if something is written, then questions
4		probably ought to be led to show the
5		difference.
6	MR. GOFFE:	It seems to be three documents being
7		banded about. The first one which we got
8		with the Witness Statement at page 8 and
9		three signatures. There was no signature
1X		there for JRF and that one we had as
11		Exhibit 35. Then I got a copy of an
12		Agreement.
13	MISS CLARKE:	From which source, sir?
14	COMM. BOGLE:	I am not too sure but that one had all
15		four signatures, that is, JRF and the
16		other three but did have the adjustment
17		'each'. And then there is another one.
18	А	From JRF that
19	COMM. BOGLE:	From JRF which now has the four
2X		signatures with the word 'each' written
21		on it.
22	MISS CLARKE:	Written somewhere between the top line
23		and the bottom line.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	In actual fact we have three.
25	MISS CLARKE:	I am sorry. Was the document handed

1		over, was it tendered by the witness
2		giving evidence. Was it identified and
3		marked as an exhibit?
4	COMM. BOGLE:	Which one?
5	MISS CLARKE:	The one that came from Debtor 7 from
6		the witness stand.
7	COMM. BOGLE:	I think that is where the confusion is.
8	MISS CLARKE:	I was not given an opportunity to look
9		at it.
1X	COMM. BOGLE:	So in this case, I think, what we need
11		to do is to adjust our documents. We
12		have received three documents so we have
13		to have a 35 (b).
14	MISS CLARKE:	I am not sure at this point. I would
15		like to have a look at the one which I
16		did not see.
17	COMM. BOGLE:	Well, it is gone to be photo copied.
18	MISS CLARKE:	And we would certainly now need to
19		establish from this witness as to his
2X		connection to each document having
21		regard to its difference or the
22		differences between the documents.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	I suggest that we have a five minute
24		recess to allow for that document to be
25		photo copied.

1 2		(Five minutes break) (ON RESUMPTION)
3		Okay. We will therefore have to make a
4		decision now. We will say that the one
5		from Myers Fletcher which has, sorry
6		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation which
7		has the word, 'each' inserted at item 12
8		we will retain that as 35(a); the one
9		that was circulated just a while ago
1X		which has the four signatures but does
11		not have the word inserted will now be
12		35 (b) .
13	MISS CLARKE:	That is the document produced by the
14 15	COMM. BOGLE:	witness in the course of the hearing. Yes, okay.
16	MISS CLARKE:	Having regard to the fact that two of
17		these exhibits have just now been
18		fortcoming, with your permission, sir,
19		may they all be but in the hands of the
2X		witness? I would like to ask him some
21		questions in relation to these
22 23	COMM. BOGLE:	documents. Yes. Debtor 7, do you have Exhibit 35
24		(a) and 35(b)?
25	А	I have to go on with what I have, sir,

1		but could I ask a question? Before we
2		continue with the two, would it be
3		prudent to solicit the aid of the Fraud
4 5	COMM. BOGLE:	Squad at this time, sir? Debtor 7, that is something else that
6		if you feel you need to proceed with,
7		but that is not in the purview of the
8		Commission. So, we will look at 35(a).
9		Could you try to ensure that we have 35
1X		(a) which is the one that has the four
11		signatures and at Schedule 12 under (a)
12		there is the word, 'each' written in.
13		Do you have that?
14	А	Yes sir.
15	Q	Okay, and you would then have also 35
16		(b) which is the one that has four
17		signatures but does not have the word,
18 19	THE CLERK:	'each' written in? It is on page 12 of all the documents.
2X	COMM. BOGLE:	So you have you all the documents now
21		Debtor 7?
22	А	I hope so.
23	Q	No, no, we are not hoping. Do you have
24		all the documents?
25	A	I am a little bit confused now because I

1		was not expecting this on me.
2	MR. GOFFE:	Could I just interrupt here because that is
3		not actually accurate. There is a difference
4		in the schedule as well? We are going through
5	MISS CLARKE:	
6		at the point where we are.
7	Q	I know but if we are identifying the
8		differences in the documents even for the
9		purpose of the - I don't want it to be stated
		that the only difference is that signatures
1X		appear here and the word 'each' appears
11		there. The schedule itself differs, it
12		reads, it is heading up 'Relationship Roll
13		Up Sheet'at the back, it is different as
14		
15		well.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	What I will say is that we will ask Miss
17		Clarke to take the witness through the
18		documents.
		I should indicate at this point that if I
19	MISS CLARKE:	am to be fair to the witness having regard
2X		to what is just put before me, I myself may
21		not be apprised of all the differences, so
22		
23		perhaps inasmuch as we want to forge ahead
24		there arises a need for a further momentary
25		postponement so
-		

1		that the documents can be looked at because
2		I have not yet gone through. Two of the
3		documents having just come to hand, I would
4		not be able to take the witness through them
5		in terms of any other differences. What I was
6		proposing to do is to take him to the
7		particular aspect at page 12. If there are
8		in fact other differences perhaps we need to
9		establish what they are, so that the
1X		questions can be put and certain differences
11		can be established here and now.
12		Commissioners and Miss Clarke, the only
13		difference there I see
14	MR. GOFFE:	Mr. Goffe, hold on a second.
15		We will allow for a further five minutes for
16	THE CLERK:	the review of the two documents.
17	COMM. BOGLE:	
18		
19		
2X		(continued
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	COMM BOGLE:	Okay, Enquiry reconvened.
2	MS. CLARKE:	At first before addressing the witness
3		again I would like to address the
4		Commission relative to the differences
5		that we can agree.
6		I begin with Exhibit 35 as appendix to
7		the affidavit. The agreement does not
8		bear a date. The dates in blank? That
9		is one difference. As it relates to
1X		page 1, the Commission should note, the
11		document entitled Agreement to
12		restructure system debt, the agreement,
13		it bears no date.
14	COMM BOGLE:	That's 35A?
15	MS. CLARKE:	35A, the one exhibited with the affidavit.
16		It just says the blank day of blank 2XX for
17		that to be filled in. So that is one
18		difference.
19		35A which was the one tendered on behalf of
2X		JRF and which we agreed would be admitted as
21		Exhibit 35A, that bears the date 13th day of
		October 2XX4. That is
23	A:	Insofar as it relates to page 1. I am
24		not sure whether we called the document
25		just put in by the witness from the

1		witness box 35B. Was that to be marked
2		35B?
3	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
4 5	MR. GOFFE: MS. CLARKE:	Sorry, I think its the 15th of October. It's not the 13th? Is it the 13th or
6		the 15th?
7	COMM BOGLE:	I would go more with the 15th.
8	MS. CLARKE:	I have no difficulty with that so 15th
9		October, 35B which is the document
1X		passed to the Commissioners and made
11		available to us from the witness in the
12		witness box which also bears the date
13 14	COMM BOGLE:	15th October 2XX4. Right.
15	MS. CLARKE:	At page 7 of that agreement I should
16		indicate that that is not so much a
17		difference but there is on all of the
18		documents a hand written insertion, if
19		you will, Item 12 of the Schedule is
2X		incorporated into the terms and
21		conditions of the agreement that is just
22		above the signiture or initials LP and
23		
23		LBP.
24		Page 9 of the document Exhibit LP35,

1	statement of the witness is undated.
1	The schedule, the slot where the date is to
2	be filled in has not been completed. 35A and
3	35B have the date inserted 15th October 2XX4.
4	Page 12 of the document, Exhibit 35 which
5	
6	is attached to the witness's affidavit,
7	there on that document at Item 1XA, the
8	fourth item down, pen ink ticked, item 12.A,
9	there are some pen ink ticks between the
1X	items listed 1-6 under item 12A.
11	As it relates to 35.A on that same page, those
	pen ink ticks, are not on the document but
12	they appear on the document item 35A tendered
13	on behalf of JRF and a hand written insertion
14	of the word 'each'.
15	At item 12A in the line between the words
16	'US\$5,XXX,' and the line
17	
18	commencing 'Item 1, Lot 4'.
19	As it relates to Exhibit 35B which was
2X	passed up by the witness, the pen ink ticks
21	on item 12 are not there either, and the
22	word 'each' appears neither in
23	
2.5	

∩ ⊑

1		this document, that is the one passed up by
2		the witness from the witness box nor in the
3		document attached as his exhibit to his
4		witness statement. There is another
5		difference that I have noted in relation to
6		Exhibit 35, which is the one attached to the
7		affidavit. There are two documents attached,
8		one, entitled 'A Relationship Roll Up
9		Sheet'and the other entitled 'Exhibit A'.
1X		I should indicate that in relation to
11		Exhibit 35A which has been passed up on
12		behalf of JRF, the Relationship Roll Up
13		Sheet is attached but the document marked
14		Exhibit A is not attached. As it relates to
15		35B passed up by the witness, similarly the
16		Relationship Roll Up Sheet is attached but
17		the document marked Exhibit A is not
18		attached. Those are the differences as I
19		have perceived them.
2X		I am not sure whether my friends will have
21		noticed or noted any other differences in
22		the document.
23		Thank you for that, but yes, in the
24		
25	MD COEFF.	

MR. GOFFE:

actual Relationship Roll Up Sheet 1 itself, there are some significant 2 diferences between 35B and and 35A which are 3 the only documents which bear the signatures 4 of all the parties. If I could just point out 5 that significantly it looks as if 35A is 6 calculated to a much later date. If you look 7 at the column that says 'date', roughly in the middle of the sheet you will see it 9 calculated up to 3rd March, 2XX3 whereas 35B 1 X is calculated up to 15th July, 2XX2. There is 11 also a difference - of course the interest 12 figures would all be different once we 13 recognize the calculation is to a later date 14 but I would also point out that the principal 15 amount stated is different in relation to 16 each. You will see that in account ending; 17 Debtor 7's account ending 5694, the principal 18 amount is \$Xon 35A and on 35B it is \$X. On 19 35B also there appears to be some discrepancy 2.X between rate of exchange, rate being in the 21 heading, one of 47 but 22

2324

0 E

1		in the actual column itselt, it uses 5X.
2		That error doesn't appear I asumme
3		it's an error that error doesn't
4		appear in 35A.
5	MS. CLARKE:	Beg pardon. Could you just indicate what
6		the discrepancy is again?
7	MR. GOFFE:	You will see on 35B where it says
8		conversion one of 47, but then right
9		below it, it says 5X. And there is a
1X		notation which says enter 1, it is in US
11		Dollars and 47 in Jamaican Dollars and
12		35A, all of of the conversion rates
13		correspond and agree at 5X.
14	MS. CLARKE:	I crave your indulgence, sir. Thank you
15		Mr. Geoffe.
16	COM. ROSS:	Just one other interesting point which
17		is that the 'X3 documents are marked
18		revised March 2X, 2XX2, but the dates
19		are later than that on both documents of
2X		July and March 'X3. I am not so sure.
21	MS. CLARKE:	Mr. Ross could you indicate for my
22		benefit at what point in the document
23		that was said.
24	COMM. ROSS:	Same Roll Up Sheet, bottom left hand

1		whereas the date column of 35A says
2		March 2XX3.
3	MS. CLARKE:	In relation to all of the documents or
4 5	COMM. ROSS:	just 35A. Just 35A. On <i>B</i> it says July 2XX2.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	All the documents, all have revised
7		March 2XX2.
8	MS. CLARKE:	35A has a date after March, 2XX2.
9	COM. ROSS:	They both do; both 35A and 35B. 35 and
1X 11		35A have July 2XX2 - sorry, 35 and 35B have July, 2XX2.35A has March 2XX3.
12	MR. GOFFE:	Which has July $2XX2$, sir? I am not
13 14	COM. ROSS:	seeing that. In the date, as of date column, 35 and
	COM. ROSS:	-
14	COM. ROSS: MR. GOFFE:	In the date, as of date column, 35 and
14 15		In the date, as of date column, 35 and 35B.
14 15 16		In the date, as of date column, 35 and 35B. And I think we should also point out, so
14 15 16 17		In the date, as of date column, 35 and 35B. And I think we should also point out, so that we don't' get confused that in 35
1415161718		In the date, as of date column, 35 and 35B. And I think we should also point out, so that we don't' get confused that in 35 itself; there is a separate Relationship
14 15 16 17 18 19 2x		In the date, as of date column, 35 and 35B. And I think we should also point out, so that we don't' get confused that in 35 itself; there is a separate Relationship Roll Up Sheet, separate from the Exhibit which also has figures even more
14 15 16 17 18 19 2X 21		In the date, as of date column, 35 and 35B. And I think we should also point out, so that we don't' get confused that in 35 itself; there is a separate Relationship Roll Up Sheet, separate from the Exhibit which also has figures even more current, this time updated to April

We have one Relationship Roll Up Sheet

25

MS. CLARKE:

1		and we have a document marked Exhibit A.
2		Is that what you are calling the
3		separate Relationship Roll Up Sheet?
4	MR. GOFFE:	I am not calling it that, I am simply
5		saying that the figures in Exhibit A are
6		even more current on the face of it, it
7		being updated to April 2XX4.
8	COMM BOGLE:	Okay, can we proceed then? Have we
9		covered all the differences?
1X	MS. CLARKE:	Debtor 7, I am going to ask you some
11		questions based on the exercise that was
12		just done, based on the documents which
13		were just put in, I am going to ask you
14 15	DEBTOR 7:	some questions. Okay, Miss Clarke.
16	MS. CLARKE:	Now, could you turn to Exhibit LP 35,
17		the one attached to your statement.
18		Now, look at you also have before you
19		Exhibit 35A, the one passed up by the
2X		Attorneys for JRF.
21	A:	Okay.
22	Q:	And now look at 35B, the one that you
23		gave us this morning, the one that is
24		signed by all the parties. Have you
		signed by all the parties. Have you

1		I think Mr. DePeralto could assist him?
2	A:	35B; it is here.
3	Q:	That is the one you passed up?
4	A:	I think I have them both, yes, okay.
5	Q:	I am going to put the one I have in your
6		hands just so we are at the time same
7		place. Could you look at this document.
8		(Document shown to witness)
9		That is the document you passed up.
1X		From your recollection, based on the
11		portion that you are looking at now -
12		what page are you on?
13	A:	The one you give me?
14	Q:	Yes. What page are you on?
15	A:	That's number 8, page 8 of the
16		Restructured Agreement.
17	Q:	From your recollection looking at that
18		page 8, just looking at page 8, would
19		you say that the document is in keeping
2X		with the document that you signed at the
21		bank, the agreement to restructure the
22		loan? Just looking at page 8.
23	A:	This was not signed at the bank, this
24		was signed in JRF's office.
25	Q:	Okay. I am sorry. When you look at page

1		8 you would say that it was in keeping with
2		the document that was signed in JRF's
3		office?
4	A:	That is correct.
5	Q:	Turn to page 12. Look at page 12 of that now,
6		
7		the one I just gave you. Look at page 12 of
8		the one you attached to your statement.
9	A :	Yes.
		Would you say that in all respects it is in
1X	Q:	keeping with the one you signed at the JRF
11		office?
12		Yes.
13	A:	I am going to put another document in your
14	Q:	hands, Exhibit 35A.
15		(Document shown to witness)
16		Yes.
17	A:	You are looking at page 12 of the
18	Q:	document?
19		Yes.
2X	A:	Is it correct that there is a hand written
21	Q:	insertion on that document? Do you see a
22		hand written insertion there? Yes.
23		Look at that document, is it in keeping
24	A:	
25	Q:	

1		with the document that you signed at the
2		JRF office?
3	A:	No, it is not.
4	Q:	In what respect is it different?
5	A:	The insertion 'each', I am sure my wife
6		then or attorney did not see this
7		either.
8	Q:	Did you see it at the time when you were
9		signing the agreement?
1X	A:	No.
11	MR. GOFFE:	Mr. Chairman
12	COMM BOGLE:	The question was rephrased and reasked.
13	MS. CLARKE:	I didn't ask a question, he just
14		volunteered an answer.
15	A:	I do have a copy of this signed
16		document; the word 'each' was not in it.
17	MS. CLARKE:	Was not in it at the time you signed it?
18	A:	No.
19	Q:	Let me just ask you now I don't need
2X		to ask that. Now let us go back to your
21		paragraph 34 of your statement. I'll read it.
22		By this time there were about 12 persons who
23		had fully paid for their lots in Belvedere and
24		did not receive their

1		titles. In keeping with item 12 of the
2		Agreement to Restructure Existing Debt
3		which I mentioned and exhibited before,
4		I made more than one payment of
5		\$US5,XXX.XX to JRF. I mistakenly made
6		more than one payment because at the
7		time I thought that I was required to
8		pay \$US5,XXX.XX for the release of each
9		title.
1X		Now, you are saying that looking at the
11		Restructure Agreement, based on the
12 13		Restructure Agreement you were required to pay \$US5,XXX.XX for the release of T
14		was this a one off payment of
14 15		<pre>was this a one off payment of \$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the</pre>
15		\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the
15 16		\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the titles? But you are also saying in your
15 16 17		\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the titles? But you are also saying in your statement that at the time you were
15 16 17 18		\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the titles? But you are also saying in your statement that at the time you were mistaken and based on this mistake you
15 16 17 18		\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the titles? But you are also saying in your statement that at the time you were mistaken and based on this mistake you made more than one payment. Could you
15 16 17 18 19 2x	A:	\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the titles? But you are also saying in your statement that at the time you were mistaken and based on this mistake you made more than one payment. Could you indicate to this Commission what may
15 16 17 18 19 2x 21	A:	\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the titles? But you are also saying in your statement that at the time you were mistaken and based on this mistake you made more than one payment. Could you indicate to this Commission what may have led you to make this mistake.
15 16 17 18 19 2x 21	A:	\$US5,XXX.XX for the release of all the titles? But you are also saying in your statement that at the time you were mistaken and based on this mistake you made more than one payment. Could you indicate to this Commission what may have led you to make this mistake. I think it was the verbal understanding

1		auction and also
2	Q:	Hold on a minute. When you said the
3		demands when the properties were being
4		advertised for auction, what demand are
5		you referring to, demands for what, by
6		whom?
7	A:	Prospective purchasers.
8	Q:	And this is in relation to what?
9	A:	To the titles, the properties that they
1X		bought.
11		How was this demand expressed as it
12		related to item 12A that you pay five
13		thousand dollars?
14	A:	I was trying my best to see how I
15		could
16	Q:	Let me see if I can put it differently
17		for you. You are saying that the
18		agreement signed you signed it and
19		you understood it?
2X	A:	No.
21	Q:	Hold on. As you signed it and you
22		understood it, the agreement was that
23		you would pay \$5,XXX.XX for the release
24		of all the titles.
25	MR. GOFFE:	That's not what he said. He has given

1	his understanding in the witness statement
2	and he has stated something contrary in
3	relation to the exhibit, but he has not said
4	that it was his understanding at the time
5	that it was for all. That is not his evidence.
6	
7	Continued
8	9
	1
X	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2X	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 2 MS CLARKE: The agreement is before you that you 3 have just read, Item 12A. For the 4 benefit of all let me read it again and 5 listen carefully Debtor 7. You could 6 read item 12 at page twelve with me. 7 The six lots listed below will be 8 released for a payment of five thousand 9 dollars. And you are saying that having 1x signed to this that said this, you say 11 in your statement that you mistakingly 12 paid more than one x thousand 13 dollars. I am asking you now if you can 14 remember, based on what you would have 15 made that mistake and you were saying 16 just now that there were some demands as 17 the lots were sold and I asked you in 18 relation to the payment for the five 19 thousand, demand for what and by whom? 2X Are you understanding me? 2.1 A: Yes, I am understanding you but it is 2.2 very difficult and especially with 23 Mr. Goffe sitting there to relate my 24 feelings. I was earnestly trying to 25 appease the purchasers by getting their

1		titles because they were demanding on
2		me, I don't want to go into everything
3		but in addition to that
4	Q:	Hold on Debtor 7, what would have
5		caused you, as you say, what do you
6		think caused you to make this mistake,
7		what you called a mistake of making more
8		than one five thousand payment as
9		against just one?
1x	A:	Because of demands from JRF.
11	Q:	What was that demand?
12	A:	To pay up or they will sell the lots
13		which they did.
14	Q:	To pay up what?
15	A:	To pay up the X thousand dollars
16		each.
17	Q:	So that demand was made to you in what
18		way, was it in writing, was it oral?
19	A:	I was a regular visitor to JRF and on
2X		the phone and I would speak with
21		Mr. Best first and Mr. Gibbs after, I
22		was very much there, had coffee and we
23		talked, etcetera.
24	Q:	And did the demands come in the course
25		of these calls and the coffee?

1	A:	Yes.
2	Q:	Was it a written demand or oral demand?
3	A:	Written was not there, I wasn't dealing
4		with writing in that case, I was dealing
5		on a friendly basis or business basis,
6		man to man as you will see as we go on.
7	Q:	Would I be correct to say the demand for
8		five thousand dollars in relation to
9		each lot would have been made by oral
1X		exchange between you and the persons at
11		JRF?
12	A:	Yes, that is Mr. Gibbs.
13	Q:	Thank you Debtor 7. Okay, I am going
14		to ask you now, go back to the paragraph
15		and you are going to read 'from one
16		buyer told me' and you are going to now
17		read down to paragraph 37?
18	A:	Okay.
19		One buyer told me that she went to JRF
2X		and paid US\$5XXX to have her title
21		released. Another person told me that
22		she went in and offered to pay the sum
23		to have her title released but
24		Mr. Gibson would not accept.
25		She is going to give me the evidence

_		that she has paid so far.
1		35. I made some monthly payments under the
2		restructured agreement. However as the
3		_
4		payments were to be in US dollars with the
5		continuing devaluation of the Jamaican
J		dollar, I simply could not keep
7		up.
8		By letter dated July 13, 2XX6 (
9 1 X		Debtor 7 36) I wrote to Mr. Joe Gibson at Jamaica Redevelopment Foundation seeking
11 12		a 15% rebate on my indebtedness which by then I was told was \$3X million. To the
13		best of my recollection I did not
14		receive a response to that letter.
15	Q:	Let us pause and look at that letter
16		Exhibit Debtor 7 36. Have you
17		found it?
18	A:	Yes.
19 2X	Q:	Your letter says: We understand that the balance owing is
21 22		JA\$3X Million. Therefore we are trying to make arrangement to pay the debt off
23		in full.
24		In view of all things we have mentioned
25		in previous letters and our discussions,

1		we would be very grateful if you would
2		accept a humanitarian settlement less
3		15% of the full amount.
4		Whatever your decision is, please let us
5		have same in writing as soon as possible
6		as we are presently in discussion with
7		our investors.
8		And you are saying at paragraph 36 that
9		as far as you can recall there was no
1X		response from JRF to that letter?
11	A:	No, because sometimes Mr. Gibson would
12		just, when S called, follow up on my
13		letter, he would tell us but he would
14		not write to that effect because writing
15		would be a commitment and it could be
16		used here as it turned out now, so he
17		was very careful in writing.
18	Q:	Do you recall having had an oral
19		response or a verbal response from him
2X		to this request in your letter, do you
21		recall?
22	A:	The response for settlement would be
23	•	verbal most of the times especially
24		favorable settlements would be verbal, but

1		always be written.
2	Q:	So was there a response, a favorable
3		response based on your recollection to
4		this request for a 0% reduction?
5	A:	I think it came in later on when we were
6		trying we sent someone to negotiate
7		regarding one of the agreements to build
8		houses and it was in the letter where we
9		would be paying only \$x Million.
1X	Q:	So JRF did in fact agree to reduce your
11		indebtedness by 0%?
12	A:	They would if we had taken it up at the
13		time with the development that did not
14		come on, they would be paid out up-
15		front.
16	Q:	Paragraph 37, could you read from
17		look at Paragraph 37 and then we will
18		look at the exhibit.
19	A:	When our subsequent proposals to
2X		Mr. Gibson were turned down, I wrote to
21		JRF's Chief Executive Officer, Mrs.
22		Janet Farrow in terms of a letter dated
23		August 22, 2XX6.
24	Q:	Pause there again, could you just
25		explain for the benefit of this enquiry,

1		based on the evidence you just gave that
2		there was a favorable response to your
3		proposal, you said it was given orally,
4		why are you saying at paragraph 37 that
5		subsequent proposals were turned down,
6		did you make subsequent proposals after
7		this?
8	A:	Yes, we had another joint venture
9		partner proposed and the draft agreement
1X		was assisted by Mr. Joe Gibson and he
11		was in communication with the then agent
12		for the partner and their attorney who
13		drew up the agreement but that fell
14		through, but then
15	Q:	Hold on, did it fall through or did you
16		put a proposal that was rejected?
17	A:	No, the partner, the prospective partner
18		wouldn't bother, he was afraid of
19		getting involved at this stage.
2X	Q:	So you wrote a letter to Mrs. Janet
21		Farrow dated August 22, 2XX6 and that is
22		Exhibit Debtor 7 37. And in
23		that letter you could you read for
24		us that letter LP 37?
25	A:	Yes.

1	Dear Mrs. Farrow.
2	While it is not protocol to ignore Mr. Joe
3	Gibson and approach you directly; I have
4	no alternative at this time in view of the
5	serious nature of my problem. An
6	attorney-at-law Mx. Gayle Nelson, wrote
7	to Mr. Joe Gibson sometime ago requesting
8	four weeks to pay off the balance of this
9	loan through a joint venture agreement.
1X	This was turned down and our deal fell
11	through.
12	I am now left to deal with you directly by
13	myself on behalf of my wife and myself.
14	Therefore, I would like an appointment to
15	meet with you as soon as possible so that
16	we could come to an amicable solution to
17	settle the above debt.
18	I would like to make full settlement
19	immediately, however there are things I
2X	would like for to you take into
21	consideration.
22	There was a loan of only \$x Million from
23	Horizon Merchant Bank. Having paid over \$x
24	Million from the average sale of

つち

1	lots from \$6XX,XXX to \$9XX,XXX, there
2	was not enough collateral left in
	Belvedere.
3	I developed a very good relationship with
4	Mr. Bob Best, in a friendly way, I gave over
5	Discovery Bay that had only a small debt.
6	In addition I put pressure on my wife to
7	sign. I thought
8	everything was okay for often times I
9	paid in advance. I was in no hurry to sell
1X	
11	lots in Address for I hoped to build
12	houses. There was a joint venture partner
13	who wasted much of our time, then we
14	withdraw looking for others. We have
15	since found same and asked for the time
16	as stated above.
17	Now we are ready to close off with you.
18	Therefore, I would like for you to give a
19	reasonable reduction of 25 to 3X% of the
2X	balance.
	Considering the amount already paid,
21	The huge balance left,
22	My age, seventy years,
23	Children at school,
24	There is yet another debt with you,
25	

1		Company Ltd.,
2		Therefore, I do look forward with great
3		interest to meeting you soon, then we
4		will settle this account to the benefit
5		of all concerned. I will then move onto
6		deal with Company Ltd
7		Many thanks in anticipation
8		Debtor 7.
9	Q:	Okay and Mrs. Janet Farrow responded to
1X		you in respect of Debtor 7 38 by
11		a letter dated August 28, 2XX6. I am
12		going to ask you to read that letter in
13		its entirety as well, please, and of
14		course that is with the leave of the
15		Commission?
16	A:	Mr. Debtor 7.
17		Re: Your indebtedness to Jamaica
18		Redevelopment Inc.
19		I am in receipt of your letters dated
2X		22nd and 24th August, 2XX6.
21		inclined to meet with you.
22		
23		
24		

following. 1 In 2XX4 you entered into an Agreement to restructure existing debt 3 ("Restructure") with Jamaica Redevelopment Foundation Inc. which compromised the 5 account to US\$4XX,XXX, that was conditional upon specific terms and conditions for repayment which included that you make regular monthly payments of US \$4xxxx which 9 were due on the 8th of each month. In addition 1x to your regular monthly payments you were to 11 sell various pieces of real estate, held by 12 JRF way of mortgage with net proceeds to be 1.3 paid to JRF and applied to your debt. 14 You failed to meet your obligations. There 15 has been no payment to the account since 2XX5. 16 Therefore, you are in default of the terms 17 of the Restructure and pursuant to that 18 agreement your debt has been reverted to the 19 original debt owed which was J\$41,177,243 in 2X March 2XX3 and which interest continues to 2.1 accrue from that date forward. 22

23

24

Mr. Best and Mr. Gibson have been 1 extremely lenient on your account as you 2 have been in default of your obligations for 3 an extended period of time. This correspondence indicates that you have 5 made several promises to pay and have 6 failed to do so. At any event, under no circumstances were you ever waived of your obligation to make your scheduled 9 monthly payments. At this time, JRF is proceeding in accordance with its legal remedies. There will be no further reduction in the debt and in fact your debt is far more significant than the amounts noted in your letter since you are in default and the account has been reverted in accordance with your agreement. Mr. Gibson is your account officer and is the only person to whom all correspondence and enquiries should be directed. In your letter to Mrs. Debtor 7, you speak of having significant funds available to pay within seven to ten days. However,

1		unless we receive an actual viable
2		letter of undertaking to pay such an
3		amount we are not inclined to delay
4		action on your account any further. As
5		you are aware any action proposed must
6		be approved by our Credit Committee,
7		therefore, if you are able to provide a
8		letter of undertaking to pay the full
9		amount owing under the Restructure
1X		Agreement within ten days of acceptance,
11		I will allow Mr. Gibson to prepare a
12		proposal to the Credit Committee for its
13		consideration. Until that time, you
14		remain in default of your obligations
15		and we will continue to pursue all legal
16		remedies.
17		Sincerely
18		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation
19		Janet L Farrow.
2X	Q:	Then you wrote in response to that
21		letter dated August 28, 2XX6 you wrote a
22		letter dated August 29, 2XX6?
23	A:	Yes, T did.
24		Dear Mrs. Farrow.
25		Re: XXCorporation

1		Debt
2		We are in receipt of your letter dated
3		28th instant.
4		For us to send you a letter of
5		undertaking for the required amount, we
6		would be very grateful if you would send
7		us the exact, correct, actual amount
8		needed according to the restructured
9		agreement.
1x		Your sincerely
11		New World Development Corporation
12		Debtor 7
13	Q:	Just asking, did you get that account
14		that you requested in writing?
15	A:	No.
16	Q:	I would also like to ask you a question
17		relative to that letter from Janet
18		Farrow. Could you turn back to it for me
19		please, LP 38, the last sentence in
2X		paragraph two that begins 'in addition'.
21		In addition to your regular monthly
22		payments you were to sell various pieces
23		of real estate held by JRF by way of
24		mortgage with net proceeds to be paid to
25		JRF and applied to your debt.

1		First of all did you understand this to
2		be a term of the restructured agreement
3		that certain lots would be sold by you?
4	A:	You say paragraph
5	Q:	LP 38, the letter from Janet Farrow to
6		you?
7	COMM. BOGLE:	Second paragraph.
8	A:	In addition to your regular monthly
9		payment, okay. And your question is?
1X	Q:	I asked you, I want to get the record
11		straight, was this a term of the
12		agreement to restructure the debt that
13		you would sell some of the lots, certain
14		lots would be available to you to sell
15		that were mortgaged to JRF?
16	A:	Yes, oh yes which I did, I sold those
17		lots, those were not mentioned here,
18		those were sold and paid up accordingly
19		and they received I think sixty percent
2X		and we retained 4X% of the net proceeds
21		from those sales and may I add that is
22		the time that Mr. Robert Best was saying
23		that we were overpaying because at the
24		time we were paying monthly, not as lots
25		were sold as before to Horizon, we were

1		paying on a monthly basis.
2	Q:	So we are now at paragraph 4X?
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Just before you go on, I think, just
4		before we go to 4X, perhaps this is a
5		good time for us to have lunch and so we
6 8		will return at 1:3X. 7 LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT
9		This enquiry is now back in session.
1X		Debtor 7 please remember that you are
11		still on oath.
12	Q:	Debtor 7 I believe when we broke for
13		lunch we were at paragraph 4X of the
14		statement.
15	A:	I should continue from there.
16	Q:	Let me just put the substance of that
17		paragraph to you. At paragraph 4X you
18		say:
19		My attorney subsequently wrote to JRF by
2X		letter dated September 11, 2XX6 advising
21		that I would not be able to provide the
22		requisite undertaking in the time
23		stipulated because the title which I
24		intended to use as security in securing
25		the undertaking was encumbered with a

1		caveat lodged by one of the purchasers.
2		I would have to be able to satisfy her
3		claim under my agreement with her before
4		the title would be released.
5		$There\ is$ a letter, you referred to an
6		exhibit, Debtor 7 4X which is a
7		copy letter from your attorney, could
8		you please turn to it.
9	COMM. BOGLE:	Debtor 7 4X. If I may, sirs,
1X		may I read it.
11	HER LADYSHIP:	Yes.
12	Q:	It a letter dated 11th September 2XX6
13		addressed to Jamaican Redevelopment
14		Foundation from Mr. Gayle Nelson.
15		Attention Mr. Joe Gibson and/or Miss
16		Gordon.
17		The caption refers to land registered at
18		Volume 1375 Folio 638 of the Register
19		book of Titles.
2X		In accordance with the telephone
21		discussion on Friday 8th instance, Gayle
22		Nelson attorney-at-law/Miss Gordon, we
23		wish to confirm that we were aware of
24		the deadline of Friday the 8th instance
25		for the Debtor 7s to provide a commitment

letter in respect of their indebtedness 2. secured by the title at the land at 3 caption. Also we thank you for your telephone call 5 from the United States of America (Joe 6 Gibson to Gayle Nelson) late on Friday 7 8th instance. In that latter telephone conversation we had requested a period of 9 ten days within which to provide the 1x letter of commitment. However we have 11 since spoken to the financiers who 12 require a period of fourteen days. 13 In the circumstances, we humbly request a 14 period of fourteen days within which to 15 provide the said letter of commitment. 16 As promised, we enclose herewith a copy 17 of the relevant Joint Venture Agreement. 18 You will note that it is signed by both 19 Debtor 7 and Mrs. Debtor 7 as well as the 2X developers but that it is not yet signed 21 by the financiers for the reasons 2.2 indicated above. You will also recall that in your said 23

24

1	telephone call from the United States of
1	America we advised you that a caveat placed
2	_
3	on the property by Mrs. Jane Doe (who had
4	paid a deposit to Debtor 7 on one of the lots)
5	had to be lifted. Debtor 7 now proposes to
6	let her have a unit in the development for
	x million of x million dollars; and on this
7	basis is negotiating with her to have the
8	caveat lifted (See copy herewith of letter
9	
1X	from Mrs. Doe to Debtor 7 dated the 6th
11	instance). This is very important because
12	the lifting of the caveat is another
	requirement which the financiers need to be
13	met before they provide the letter of
14	commitment and the fourteen day period is
15	also important in order to allow the
16	-
17	arrangement with Mrs. Doe to be completed for
18	that purpose.
19	We thank you in advance for your kind
	co-operation.
2X	That is letter exhibited at paragraph 4X.
21	
22	
23	
∩ <i>Л</i>	

At paragraph 41 Debtor 7 you indicated

1		that you were not able to provide the
2		financing to secure that undertaking.
3		Is that correct?
4	A:	Yes, because that joint venture prospect
5		backed out also.
6	Q:	Was the caveat lifted, did you secure
7		the permission?
8	A:	No.
9	Q:	And you indicated that by letter dated
1X		September 25, 2XX6 JRF informed you that
11		your debt was now \$7X,xxx,Xxx.9X with
12		interest accruing at \$xxx.X2 per day
13		and by that letter you indicated that
14		you were required to settle that debt,
15		the X million plus and the
16		interest accrued within ten days?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	Could we have a look at that exhibit
19		please, that is Exhibit 41 and that is a
2X		letter from Jamaican Redevelopment
21		Foundation to Debtor 7
22 23	COMM. BOGLE:	and Jane Doe-Debtor 7. There is an attachment after LP4X and
24		just before LP 41, can you state the
25		significance of this if you wish to?

1	Q:	I do not wish to state it at this time
2		save to say I believe that exhibit is
3		somewhat misplaced and I am hoping
4		during the course of the evidence its
5		significance will become apparent and
6		its placement will be determined at that
7		point.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	So this is not an exhibit?
9	MS CLARKE:	It is not at the correct place but it is
1X		in fact an exhibit based on my
11		instruction.
12	COMM. BOGLE:	It has not got an exhibit number?
13	Q:	I crave your indulgence, may we be
14		allowed to return to this with a view to
15		determining whether, on the evidence, it
16		ought to be omitted and if not, where it
17		ought properly to be placed.
18		Exhibit LP41. It reads this is a
19		letter from JRF to Mr. and Mrs. Debtor 7
2X		under the pen of Mr. Joe Gibson.
21		Re: Your indebtedness to Jamaican
22		Redevelopment Foundation.
23		I write on behalf of Jamaican
24		Redevelopment Foundation Inc.
25		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation Inc

1	having acquired the non-performing loan
2	portfolio from Financial Institutions
3	Services Limited, Workers Savings and
4	Loan Bank and Refin Trust Limited, has now
5	assumed conduct of this matter. The
6	captioned debt forms part of the
7	aforementioned portfolio.
8	As of today's date your indebtedness
9	amounts to x million, X thousand ninety
1X	three Jamaican dollars and X cents with
11	interest accruing at the rate of thirty
12	percent per annum as set out hereunder.
13	Total balance as of September 25,2XX6 ${f x}$
14	$ \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{million} & and & X & thousand & X & cents & plus & daily \\ \end{tabular}$
15	accrual of and seventeen dollars and two
16	cents.
17	I hereby make formal demand upon you for the
18	payment of X million, X thousand Dollars
19	
2X	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		within ten days of the date hereof.
2		In the event of your failure to comply, we
3		shall have no alternative but to refer the
4		matter to our Attorneys-at-law for the
5		recovery of the debt without further
6		reference to you.
7		Exhibit LP41. Paragraph 43, Debtor 7, that
8		my several pleas for generous accommodation
9		from JRF were either expressly rejected or
1X		fell on deaf ears. And you referred to some
11		further exhibits. First of all there is a
12		letter dated June 5, 2XX7, Debtor 7 42, could
13		you find that letter and read it please. You do not intend to deal with paragraph
14		
15		
16 17	MR. GAFFE:	
18		42.
19	Q:	Z am sorry, my friend is pointing out to
2X		me that I omitted to read 42. Debtor 7
21		could you refer back to your statement
22		please, T am sorry about that, could you
23		read paragraph 42?
24	A:	In any event, despite my payments
25		pursuant to the agreement, JRF would not

1	release the titles. In fact they have sold
2	some of the lots listed in Item 12. Further,
3	JRF has completely disregarded item 12(b)
4	and (c) of the Agreement in that they would
5	not release the titles so that I could
6	subdivide and develop the lots.
7	continued
^	9
	1
X	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2X	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	MISS CLARKE:	May I ask the question, could you turn
3		to the Agreement, the Agreement to
4		Restructure, I believe it is Exhibit 35.
5		For the purpose of your document now,
6		page 12 of the Restructure Agreement,
7		page 12.
8	DEBTOR 7:	No, page 13.
9	Q:	Hold on a minute. Turn to page 12
1X		first. You had said earlier in relation
11		to 12(a) that the titles to these lots
12		listed under 12(a) were released, and
13		that is so, the lots in 12(a).
14	A:	(No answer).
15	Q:	Did you indicate before lunch that these
16		titles were released and sold?
17	A:	Okay, we got back 12 and the purchasers
18		got the others and I think 22 was sold
19		and JRF received their portion. Under
2X		number five the purchasers paid that on
21		their own. I will be getting the
22		evidence for that.
23	Q:	Okay, let's just try and shorten the
24		proceedings somewhat. In relation to
25		Item 12(a), were all of these titles

1		released?
2	A:	By JRF?
3	Q:	By JRF?
4	A:	No. Number 4, 23(a) and 21 which is not
5		here and 4X were sold by JRF.
6	Q:	And the titles were not released?
7	A:	No.
8	Q:	You said 21 is not there?
9	A:	No.
1X	Q:	So number 4, 23(a) and 21 you say which
11		is not on the list?
12	A:	It is not on this list, it was sold by
13		JRF. You could see here where they
14		advertised 21 for sale, not 22, yes, 22
15		had been sold by then.
16	Q:	I would ask that the Secretary retains
17		that to the extent that it doesn't seem
18		to fall to be dealt with at this point,
19		not having regard to the listing with
2X		the evidence in the statement. So to the
21		extent that the document accords with
22		the witness' evidence as of now that it
23		represents some data relative to lot 21,
24		if it is riot relevant to what is now
25		being put, I would just ask that it

1		be put in.
2		That document that you passed up, does
3		it refer to any of the lots in Item
4		12(a), any of the numbers?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Which one of them?
7	A:	4, 5, 12, 23(a), 22 and 4X.
8	Q:	Okay.
9	A:	So they added to it 21, it was not on
1X		the list and 21 was advertised for sale.
11		That is what I am showing there.
12	Q:	I think I am now seeing the difficulty
13		relative to the attachment because the
14		Affidavit between paragraphs 41 and 42
15		both refer to Exhibit 41. And there are
16		really two separate documents, the
17		advertisements that really should have
18		been numbered Exhibit 42 are referred to
19		in paragraph 42, see advertisement
2X		Debtor 7 41 and there are in
21		fact I believe, based on my
22		instructions, to be two advertisements,
23		one an advertisement for sale by private
24		treaty and one an advertisement with
25		respect to a public auction. Based on my

1		instructions, I am not saying based on
2		the evidence, but it would seam that the
3		exhibits relative to the sales of
4		properties, the private treaty and the
5		public auction would fall somewhere
6		there. So I would want at this point
7		which is to continue to go through the
8		Affidavits and refer to them at some
9		point.
1X	MR. GOFFE:	Sir, I am not very clear as to what is
11		happening here. It doesn't seem to me
12	MISS CLARKE:	Could you show to Mr. Goffe the document
13		that was just put in your hand,
14		Mr. DePeralto, by Debtor 7.
15	MR. DePERALTO:	Do you have any other documents?
16	A:	Not right now unless it is asked for.
17	MR. DePERALTO:	No, no, do you have any other documents
18		with you for photocopying?
19	MISS CLARKE:	No, we are just showing it for the time
2X		being.
21		(Document shown to Mr. Goffe)
22		All right, I am going to use it. Before
23		you continue to explain that Item 12,
24		when you said they proceeded to
25		advertise the property for sale by

1		private treaty and public auction, are you
2		
3		basing this assertion by you on any
4	A:	documents that you have?
5	Q:	Yes, what I have shown you.
6	A:	Which documents are?
7		The advertisments in the
	Q:	the paper?
8	A:	Yes. And may I say that the Association also
9		went on one of the auctioneers and we
1X		actually demonstrated and blocked that
11		sale. It wasn't reported in the Press, but
12		
13	Q:	we successfully did that. Okay. Is that one
14		of the documents that you - the one that Mr.
15		DePeralto just put on the table, what is
16	A :	that?
17		This is just the advertisement.
	Q:	For what?
18	A :	D.C. Tavares and FINSAC advertising the
19		sale of these properties.
2X	Q:	Which properties?
21	A:	
22	Q:	Lots 4, 21, 23(a), 4X, 42.
23		4X, 42, and some of those lots are
24		actually itemized in Item 12 of the
25		Restructure Agreement, would you say? You
20		could have a look at it, it is at

1		page 12?
2	A:	Yes it has 4, 5, 12, 21, 22, 35, 36 4X,
3		41,42, 23(a) and 37. Those are
4	Q:	And those are also noted and itemized?
5	A:	No, they were not. I tell you what was
6		sold. Number 5 was settled, 12 was
7		settled, 22 was settled, 35, 36, 41, 42
8		and 37, all those were settled in the
9		favour of JRF.
1X	Q:	Look at the Advertisement for me please,
11		Debtor 7. Are there any lots
12		mentioned in that advertisement that are
13		also listed in Item 12 of the
14		Restructure Agreement?
15	A:	Yes, there are others.
16	Q:	Do you see in Item 12 of the Restructure
17		Agreement lot 35?
18	A:	All right, these were
19	Q:	Do you see in Item 12 of the Restructure
2X		Agreement lot 35?
21	A:	35, yes.
22	Q:	Item 12(a), do you see lot 35?
23	A:	Yes, lot 35, Volume 13, 24 Folio 694.
24	Q:	Okay.
25	COMM. BOGLE:	You are actually looking at 12(b).