1	CHAIRMAN:	I mean they are more or less the same
2		people for over a period, those people
3		you wouldn't know of, Mr. Wong Ken?
4	MR. WONG KEN:	Mr. Levy has provided me with a copy of
5		document entitled "Window of
6		Opportunity", so let me just go through
7		that with you. Mr. Campbell, was
8		Shirley Tyndall a member of the board of
9		FINSAC?
10	А	Yes, she was.
11	Q	Between that period that I have
12		mentioned?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And Dennis Lloyd Boothe?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Audrey Robinson?
17	A	I don't remember if Audrey was a member
18		of the FINSAC board; she would have been
19		a member of REFIN I am not sure she was
20		FINSAC.
21	Q	Patrick Hylton?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Valerie Alexander?
24	A	She may be in REFIN but not FINSAC.
25	Q	David Coore?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	When Mr. Levy had examined you there was
3 4		reference to a valuation that I gathered formed the basis of agreeing a sale for
5		the DEBTOR 18 property and that
6		valuation if I remember correctly, was
7		20 years older than the decision that
8		was made to sell property. Is that
9		accurate?
10	А	That was not my evidence. Mr. Levy was
11		submitting that that may have been the
12		reason for that figure being accepted as
13		the purchase price or the sale price.
14	Q	What happened then to the valuations
15		that supposedly had been undertaken by
16		ACWEN?
17	CHAIRMAN:	OCWEN, I think it is OC.
18	MR. WONG KEN:	Are you aware whether a valuation was
19		done of the particular property, Thermo
20		Plastics?
21	А	Based on the list of documents you gave
22		me to look at earlier under the
23		documents price for disclosure in
24		relation to that suit, there was an item
25		mentioned. I am suggesting that that is

1		the valuation that was done by at the time
2		for OCWEN.
3	Q A	The 20 year old valuation? Mr. Wong Ken, the valuation is dated
5		February 2001, item number 78 are or on
6		the list of items for disclosure,
7		valuation done by Allison Pitter
8		February 2001?
9	Q	I have a document entitled 'EINSAC
10		Limited', it has been marked as Exhibit
11		EC28: 'Matter for the Board' that
12		speaks to what the board considered in
13		regard to DEBTOR 18. I see no
14		mention at all of a valuation dated in
15		2001 but I do see, and it seems to me
16		unless you can provide me with different
17		information, that what the board
18		considered was a value by Allison Pitter
19		and Company dated May 1992. That can be
20		found on page number 301 of that
21		exhibit.
22	MR. ROBINSON:	May it please you, Mr. Chairman, I just
23		want to clarify a matter with
24		Mr. Campbell. The document headed
25		'Matter for the Board'.

1	А	Yes.
2	MR. ROBINSON:	Now at the back is a Security Schedule.
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Is that a part of the document "Matter
5		for the Board"?
6	А	It would seem so, Mr. Robinson.
7	MR. ROBINSON:	Okay, thank you.
8	MR. WONG KEN:	I am gratful to Mr. Robinson for that
9		clarity, have you ascertained an answer?
10	А	Well, I cannot speak to it, I don't
11		know whether this in fact was the last
12		document which was submitted to the
13		board for consideration in relation to
14		the sale of this particular item, so I
15		don't know.
16	Q	Are you able to say when the decision
17		was made to sell that property?
18	А	I would need to check the files to
19		determine that.
20	Q	Would you undertake to do so and
21		provide it to the Commission?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Also would you undertake to determine
24		whether any other documents would be
25		prepared, any other valuation has been

1		prepared for DEBTOR 18 property?
2	A	You mean other than that this 2001?
3	Q	Other than that 1992 one, I haven't
4		seen the 2001, I am sorry.
5	A	There is reference in the items for
6		disclosure in the suit and one that was
7		done in February 2001.
8	CHAIRMAN:	Mr. Levy knows about that one
9		apparently. It was only 1992 one that
10		is on this document.
11	MR. LEVY:	That would be in a bundle about that
12		thick of documents(indicating) I will
13		try to provide
14	MR. WONG KEN:	Very well. Mr. Campbell, I think with
15		Mr. Levy's input and with your
16		examination and undertaking to the
17		Commission it will come out.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Was DEBTOR 20 given a Window
20		of Opportunity, was it given an
21		opportunity to take advantage of the
22		write-off?
23	A	I would need to consult my documents. I
24		don't see that name on this list here so
25		I do not know.
20		1 do not know.

1	CHAIRMAN:	He says he hasn't seen it.
2	А	I need to check the files to see whether
3		in fact they had submitted an
4		application then and it wasn't
5		considered so maybe that is why it is
6		excluded from here?
7	MR. WONG KEN:	When a debtor was given this opportunity
8		for write-offs and did not take
9		advantage of it what amount was
10		reflected on his debt portfolio that was
11		sold to Jamaica Redevelopment
12		Foundation.
13	А	I could only speak generally to that,
14		the compromises agreed, sorry, the
15		compromises approved were such that if
16		payments not made within whatever the
17		agreed time was the debt would revert to
18		its original position, so if the payment
19		was not made then it is expected that
20		whatever the status quo was prior to
21		that approval that would be the position
22		concerning to JRF.
23	CHAIRMAN:	And some of these things are not
24		reverted to.
25	A	That's right, so what it means is,

1		whatever the full debt was would be the
2		figure that would go to JRF rather than
3		the figure for compromise.
4	CHAIRMAN:	I understand that but Mr. Wong Ken I
5		don't know if he saw the documents.
6	MR. WONG KEN:	Commissioner, that would conclude my
7		examination of Mr. Campbell subject
8		however to my having an opportunity of
9		going through the list. I am not sure
10		how you would wish to treat with that.
11	CHAIRMAN:	Maybe we should think on these things.
12	MR. WONG KEN:	I think
13	CHAIRMAN:	Because it is lunch time.
14	MR. WONG KEN:	I think it is appropriate Commissioner,
15		if there is anything else arising I
16		would be grateful for the indulgence.
17	CHAIRMAN:	So we return at 2 o'clock and then we
18		will hear all the good news.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		
2	CHAIRMAN:	This session is now resumed.
3		Mr. Campbell, you are still under oath.
4 5	MR. CAMPBELL: MR. WONG KEN:	Yes, sir. Thank you, Chairman, for your
6		indulgence. I have a document entitled
7		"Window of Opportunity" that has been
8		marked as a part of Exhibit EC21A, the
9		heading on the first page.
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	The names simply refer to the debtor?
12	A	That is correct.
13	Q	The currency, the J, indicates Jamaican
14		dollars?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	The original balance, what does that
17		represent?
18	А	I think that is the total debt at the
19		time when the proposal was put to the
20		board, comprising principal and
21		interest.
22	Q	So that would be the amount including
23		interest accrued on the debt as of the
24		date that FINSAC took over the banks?
25	А	No, I am saying I am not sure I need to

1		check, but I believe it is the balance
2		at the point when the submission is
3		being made to the board, so it is
4		interest that would have accrued up to
5		that point from the institution up to
6		the point when the submission is made to
7		the board.
8	CHAIRMAN:	This balance is principal plus interest?
9	А	Combined interest.
10	MR. WONG KEN:	Importantly though
11	CHAIRMAN:	At December 5.
12	А	No, it would have been sometime in March
13		or April, because it was at the point at
14		which the submission was made for the
15		approval.
16	MR. WONG KEN:	The important point for me, this
17		included interest that FINSAC itself
18		would have charged?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Correct?
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	Clarify or repeat for me please, for the
23		Commission, what was the interest
24		charged by FINSAC?
25	A	The interest rate was 30% on the JD

1		loans and 15% on the USD loans, unless
2		there were cases where there was
3		contracted rates and lower amounts in
4		which those rates applied.
5	Q	And those contracted rates would have
6		been between the borrower and the bank?
7	A	Or maybe since it came to FINSAC some
8		sort of compromise was reached and they
9		reduced the rate.
10	Q	So that is the problem.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	FINSAC itself had negotiated with some
13		debtors for a lower interest rate?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Would you undertake to provide
16		to the Commission a list of all the
17		debtors with whom FINSAC contracted for
18		a lower interest rate?
19	А	That would be included in the items of
20		the research.
21	Q	Thank you. Can you say whether or not
22		in the absence of a contract for
23		interest rates, whether or not FINSAC
24		compounded interest?
25	A	I know for sure interest was not

1		compounded.
2	Q	You know for sure?
3	А	Yes, interest were charged on the
4		principal balances only.
5	Q	30% on the capitalized principal
6		balance. In other words the balance,
7		principal, plus interest as you took it
8		from the bank.
9	А	That is right?
10	Q	That is what you call principal balance?
11	A	Yes. Well, we bought it as such, we
12		continue to treat it as such.
13	Q	Okay. You say that there was not
14		compounded interest, was this simple
15		interest?
16	А	Simple interest.
17	Q	Not compounded annually?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Not compounded semi-annually?
20	A	Not compounded at all.
21	Q	Other than when you took it from the
22		bank, because that of course had an
23		element of interest, okay. So original
24		balance bears no relationship to what
25		the debtor might have borrowed from its

1		or his original bank, it is what you got
2		from the bank plus the interest that had
3		accrued?
4	A	That is correct.
5	Q	Approved amount, what does that mean?
6	A	That is the amount that FINSAC agreed'to
7		accept in settlement of that particular
8		amount that is outstanding.
9	Q	In at least three cases that I know of,
10		Miss Baker's case, Mr. Donegal's case
11		and Wong Ken and Company's case, those
12		three cases, were you aware that no
13		indebtedness was acknowledged; in those
14		three cases indebtedness at all was
15		denied. How is it, can you explain to
16		me, how is it that those accounts appear
17		on this list and this approved amount is
18		provided for?
19	A	You want to help me to locate the items?
20	CHAIRMAN:	Take them one at a time, the pages are
21		numbered.
22	A	If you can just tell me the name or the
23		balance it would be easier, either the
24		name or the balance.
25	MR. WONG KEN:	Under W. fourth item from the bottom of

1		the page, Wong Ken and Company
2		\$52,400,012, \$178 sorry approved
3		amount \$28M. Tell me about that.
4	A	My understanding of this particular
5		document is that this particular
6		customer would have put a proposal to
7		FINSAC.
8	Q	I am suggesting to you that this
9		particular customer never put a proposal
10		to FINSAC.
11	A	You are asking me to explain and I am
12		saying what is my understanding. My
13		understanding is that this particular
14		customer would have put a proposal to
15		FINSAC; the loan at the time was \$52M;
16		FINSAC agreed to accept \$28M in full and
17		final setlement, so \$24M would have
18		that is my understanding, and the
19		payment should have been made by the
20		30th of June?
21	Q	And that is of course the basis upon
22		your for which you painfully went
23		through this morning to make sure that
2 4		we all understood that what was here was
25		not necessarily

1	А	Absolutely, and that is why I said to
2		you we need to just check to verify what
3		portion.
4	CHAIRMAN:	What is other one?
5	MR. WANG KEN:	The point has been made, sir. What is
6		the difference between the original
7		balance and balance on account?
8	A	The original balance, as I say, is the
		balance on the account at the time the
9		proposal was put to the board.
10		made. So since you are on page seven for
11		instance, if you look at the top of the
12		account, at the top of the page, the first
13		account the balance was \$00000thousand
14		roughly; FINSAC agreed to accept \$000000sc
15		they were going to write-off \$000000. Under
16		the payments column that person has paid
17		\$000000, out of the n $$000000$ that should have
18		been paid, so a balance of \$000000 remains
19		to be paid.
20		to be paru.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Q	Why is it then in some instances where
2		you have the compromise under the
3		approved amount to be less than the
4		original figure, the original balance,
5		why is it then the balance on account,
6		the residue is zero?
7	А	If the residue is zero, it would be
8		because the payment has been made if
9		the balance on account is zero then it
10		would be that the amount approved has
11		been paid, so the account is settled.
12		So in that case that would be one of
13		those that would be compromised.
14	Q	So if settled one would expect then that
15		that account would not have been sold
16		to JRF?
17	А	That is correct.
18	Q	Would there be any explanation that you
19		would be aware of for why it would have
20		a zero balance here and yet the account
21		was sold to JRF?
22	А	Not unless there was an error in the
23		document, I can't see any like that at
24		the moment, but as part of the check
25		that we will do I will just check to see

1		if in fact there are any accounts here
2		with zero balance, there is no
3		payment well where there is a zero
4		balance and there is no payment and the
5		account is transferred to JRF, I will
6		check to verify that.
7	Q	And you have undertaken to provide the
8		Commission with that information, and
9		that is not just faciliting to Wong Ken?
10	A	No, I understand that, I want to verify
11		that myself.
12	Q	I am confused though over your evidence;
13		your evidence this morning was that
14		you FINSAC accepted the balances
15		provided by the banks that you took over
16		and you went onto say that unless
17		challenged by the debtor. The question
18		for you then is, what would happen to
19		that account where the debt hadn't been
20		challenged? How would that have been
21		treated and resolved?
22	A	I really do not know whether a
23		resolution was arrived at in all those
24		cases, but I have said at this
25		Commission before that in those cases

1		the account officer who reviews the files
2		looks at statements that are on the file
3		and any other relevant notes that would
4		have applied and in those cases the
5		accounts have been
6		calculated. One would have expected that
7		thereafter a revised position is reached
8		to the customers. In my particular job at
9		the loans unit there have been times when
10		I have been asked to make adjustments on
11		accounts
		12 following these
sort of re	views and	
13		adjustments made as a result of those
14		other challenges.
15	Q	The loans officer who would take on that
16		responsibility, what qualification would
17		that person have?
18	A	Most of the staff that FINSAC employed
19		were former bankers themselves, so they
20		would have had the requisite knowledge
21		or at least working experience in a
22		financial institution.
23		
	Q	Would there have been auditors involved?
24	Q	No, not in this case.

1	А	No.
2	Q	Isn't it true, Mr. Campbell, that
3		Jamaica Redevelopment Foundation was
4		itself concerned about the veracity of
5		the debt portfolio that was being sold
6		by FINSAC?
7	А	I am not sure about that, I wasn't
8		involved in any of the discussions with
9		them. I really don't know.
10	Q	You are aware of the provisions of the
11		sale agreement between FINSAC and the
12		Jamaica Redevelopment Foundation?
13	А	The main ones, the main clauses, yes.
14	Q	Are you aware that under clause 3 there
15		are warranties and representations made
16		by FINSAC that would indemnify Jamaica
17		Redevelopment Foundation against any
18		inaccuracies in those accounts?
19	А	I need to review that, I don't recall.
20	Q	I believe the contract is being copied
21		and when it comes we can come back to
22		it.
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Are you aware of any contractual
25		provisions in the agreement that would

1		permit FINSAC some measure of control
2		over how JRF administered the purchase
3		of the assets, the agreement?
4	A	Administer the purchase?
5	Q	Well, JRF bought the asset - debt
6		portfolio from you; there were some
7		conditions attached to that, it
8		continues, that agreement has not being
9		discharged you agree? The agreement
10		continues, they remit money to you?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	They still collect on these debts?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	This is a subsisting agreement?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	So my question to you is this: Are you
17		aware of any contractual provisions that
18		would allow FINSAC some oversight, some
19		control over the conduct of Jamaica
20		Redevelopment Foundation as it
21		discharges the contract?
22	А	There is some oversight, I don't know
23		whether there is any control that can be
24		exercised. The only control I recall
25		was in relation to, as I mentioned

1		yesterday, the top 226 loans where the
2		JRF sought to accept anything less than
3		60% of the principal balance as the full
4		and final settlement, FINSAC needed to
5		give its prior approval. But other than
6		that I do no recall that there is any
7		right that FINSAC has to dictate how JRF
8		handles or manages the portfolio.
9	Q	Do you recall whether or not the
10		contract provides any provision whereby
11		Jamaica Redevelopment Foundation would
12		have to account to FINSAC?
13	A	Yes, I was going to go on to say that
14		now, if and when FINSAC sees fit it can
15		appoint an auditor to audit the books in
16		JRF.
17	Q	Here is the problem I am facing,
18		Mr. Campbell: FINSAC has a financial
19		interest in Jamaica Redevelopment
20		Foundation collecting as much money as
21		it can - that's true?
22	A	I wouldn't quite put it that way,
23		Mr. Wong Ken.
24	Q	Is it true?
25	А	But FINSAC benefits from whatever money

1		they collect.
2	Q	You get a cut?
3	А	Yes, we benefit from the amount they
4		collect, yes.
5	Q	So my difficulty is this you get a
6		financial contribution from them,
7		payment, and that in fact constitutes a
8		part of the purchase price.
9	A	Yes, it does.
10	Q	So my difficulty is this with FINSAC,
11		there seem to be an inherent conflict of
12		interest: One, if it does anything to
13		prove that the debt is less than what
14		you have sold, you collect less; two, if
15		you do anything to demonstrate that a
16		debt was not what it was, in fact less,
17		you have to make good to JRF. Isn't
18		that an unavoidable conflict of interest
19		for you?
20	A	No, but that is not the case.
21	Q	That is not the case. Why then would
22		you in your public office hold any of
23		the debtors if the result of it is to
24		impact on the money that FINSAC
25		collects?

1	A	If one of the former debtors request
2		information from FINSAC we will provide
3		the information we have no difficulty
4		with that. I recognize that the sale
5		agreement requires that FINSAC receives
6		a portion of the proceeds collected, but
7		I mean, so be it, but it is not a case
8		where FINSAC is saying collect a million
9		dollars when you can collect \$500M,
10		whatever is collected that is up to JRF.
11	Q	Let us go a little deeper then, what was
12		the motive for the sale of the assets
13		from FINSAC from the sale of the debt
14		portfolio from FINSAC to anyone? Why
15		was it that FINSAC wanted to sell this
16		debt portfolio?
17	А	I believe that question came up sometime
18		ago Mr. Wong Ken.
19	CHAIRMAN:	So you got the answer, or you don't know
20		the answer?
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		
2	MR. CAMPBELL:	I was not involved in those discussions
3		and it was a matter of policy for the
4		powers beyond me that directed that the
5		matter be done. As I said yesterday,
6		for instance, Cabinet directed that the
7		loan portfolio be $sold$, so as a natural
8		consequence FINSAC continued that
9		process or implemented that process.
10	MR. WONGKEN:	Dr. Davies had given evidence that
11		FINSAC's mandate was to maximize
12		collection, you wouldn't disagree?
13	A:	I have heard that said, yes.
14	Q:	Would you disagree?
15	A:	Would I disagree with what?
16	Q:	You are the general manager?
17	A:	Would I disagree with what?
18	Q:	That FINSAC's role was to maximize
19		collection?
20	A:	I was advised that that was the mandate,
21		yes.
22	Q:	That was the mandate.
23	A:	But you would recognize, Mr. WongKen,
24		that that is not something that you was
25		treat with in isolation. The assessment

1		had to be done of all debtors to see
2		what their position was in relation to
3		the collateral and all of that.
4	CHAIRMAN:	That's FINSAC?
5	A:	That's right.
6	CHAIRMAN:	Because you can't speak for JRF.
7	A:	Well, I take it he was asking me in
8		relation to the point the period
9		during which FINSAC was manager.
10	Q:	Mr. Campbell you had to come to this
11		Commission and attempt to answer for the
12		actions of others and certain things
13		that happened that were outside of your
14		mandate at the time and I appreciate
		that, yes. As part of this enquiry you
		have benefitted from all that has been
		said FINSAC; in your capacity as
		general manager, as FINSAC?
	CHAIRMAN:	He is Mr. FINSAC here.
	A:	Benefitted I don't understand the use
		of that word.
	CHAIRMAN:	Which one FINSAC?
	A:	No, he said FINSAC has benefitted, I am
		not so sure how, so I am just asking.

Q:

Do you agree that debtors are entitled

1		to a full accounting of the amounts
2		claimed from them?
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	And you have indicated that pursuant to
5		the agreement you have the right to ask
6		for an accounting from Jamaican
7		Redevelopment Foundation?
8	A:	I don't know if that's the same thing
9		that I had said earlier; we have a
10		right to review the files, so I don't
11		know if in that process, I don't know
12		if there is a slight difference.
13	Q:	Would you not think then that a review
14		of those files would be in the context
15		of ensuring that JRF is collecting what
16		is due so that you get your fair cut?
17	CHAIRMAN:	Share.
18	Q:	Share.
19	A:	Will you please repeat the question for
20		me?
21	Q:	I'm just a simple Chiney man, you know?
22	CHAIRMAN:	From St. Elizabeth?
23	Q:	I keep good company with other short
24		people, Chairman. We have agreed, let
25		me not use that word. You have

1		indicated that FINSAC has a contractual
2		right to seek an accounting from JRF or
3		inquiry into the files, we agree?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	And that would include, I am suggesting
6		to you, the right to know whether or not
7		JRF is collecting the correct amount
8		that is due to it?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	Okay. What then in your public capacity
11		do you intend now to do about those
12		files that you now because you told
13		me you are aware that some of the
14		debtors challenged the debt what do
15		you intend to do in your public capacity
16		to address those files vis-a-vis
17		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation?
18	A:	I need to seek some guidance from my
19		Board and my attorneys in relation to
20		that matter.
21	Q:	To come back. The sale agreement
22		between FINSAC and Jamaican
23		Redevelopment Foundation clause 3.2
24		subsection

25 CHAIRMAN: So that we understand the fact is that,

1		this issue has never been addressed?
2	A:	Not as far as I am aware other than as I
3		said, recalculations were done earlier
4		and some compromises were reached as a
5		result so I don't know if it is that
6		those from whom those compromises had
7		been reached, settlement was arrived at
8		T really don't know, Mr. Chairman, so
9		in the process of going through of
10		checking reviewing the files that we
11		have remaining, we'll see what
12		information we unearth.
13	CHAIRMAN:	Let me see if I misunderstand what is
14		going on FINSAC sold these debts and
15		like Pilate said, they have washed their
16		hands and so long as JRN gave you some
17		money they were happy; it doesn't matter
18		what the money was, you happy.
19	A:	I wouldn't want to look at it like that,
20		Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN:	Tell me how you look at it? I am telling
22		you how I from this perspective seem to
23		be seeing it. You correct me and tell
24		me whether I am wrong or not right or
25	A:	There is a sale agreement in place

1		between FINSAC and related entities and
2		JRF and one of the clauses in there
3		require that moneys collected by them is
4		shared between us,
5	CHAIRMAN:	Sorry, let me just tell you why the
6		point I understand you to be saying
7		that at the point of sale there were
8		matters in dispute because issues raised
9		by the debtor you nevertheless
10		transferred these, let me call it, debts
11		in dispute and there are cases where
12		they have arranged settlements and they
13		weren't paid and that kind of thing.
14	A:	Mr. Chairman if I may just cut this
15		off.
16	CHAIRMAN:	Yes, go for it?
17	A:	Maybe it is that I need to review the
18		files. There were some litigation
19		issues outstanding at the point of sale
20		it may well be that the ones that were
21		in dispute are among the ones that had
22		the litigation and those would then have
23		been transferred to JRF and they are
24		continuing the process. So, I don't
25		want it to appear as if there were

1		problems, nothing was done to them and we
2		basically just sold the loans to JRF, for JRF
3		to start, or for the debtors to start that
4		process of trying to resolve that problem
5		all over again. I do not believe that that
6		is what happened. I will need to just check
7		the files and verify what the position is
8		in relation to that, but you will recall that
9		among the things that I am to find is a list
10		of litigation issues, so I submitting that
11		those will be among them. I don't believe
12		there was a whole scale situation where
13		there was a lot of balances there were
14		queries and there were persons who were not,
15		let me not use that word, persons who had a
16		problem with the balance that FINSAC had
17		gotten. I don't believe there was a whole
18		scale situation like that.
19		I don't think we are entitled to leave out
20		of God's creation, the one No, I
21	CHAIRMAN:	understand and I am saying in those
22		cases
23 24	A:	There were 99 and one

25 CHAIRMAN:

1	A:	there were litigations issue that
2		surrounded those.
3	CHAIRMAN:	Yes?
4	COMM. ROSS:	Just so we can be clear, Mr. Campbell,
5		from what you are saying there may have
6		been some loans that were under
7		litigation which were transferred while
8		litigation was going on?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	Yes.
11	A:	And no doubt JRF would have continued
12		the litigation.
13	CHAIRMAN:	You are selling a lawsuit and JRF buying
14		a lawsuit?
15	A:	No, Mr. Chairman, we are selling a debt.
16	CHAIRMAN:	Under litigation?
17	A:	Who is under litigation, yes.
18	CHAIRMAN:	So you are not selling a debt you are
19		selling a lawsuit? Maybe the Board
20		didn't think of it in those terms.
21	A:	And I don't think so Mr. Chairman.
22	CHAIRMAN:	And you didn't?
23	A:	No.
24	CHAIRMAN:	The Board may be misguided we don't
25		know. Yes, Mr. WongKen? Or they got

1		advice.
2	MR. WONGKEN:	I would like to refer to the purchase
3		agreement, purchase and sale agreement
4		between FINSAC and Jamaican
5		Redevelopment Foundation, page 14
6		subclause 3.2 paragraph F. The section
7		is captioned, Warranties Representations
8		and Undertakings. The caption is
9		actually on the among page 14
10		subparagraph 3.2 (f).
11	CHAIRMAN:	Yes?
12	MR. WONGKEN:	I would like to read the paragraph out
13		loud. It says, 'The Seller will not and
14		has not violated any applicable law
15		relating to servicing, collection or
16		notification practices in connection
17		with any Assets transferred to the
17 18		with any Assets transferred to the Purchaser pursuant to this agreement.
		-
18		Purchaser pursuant to this agreement.
18 19		Purchaser pursuant to this agreement. The Seller and FINSAC agree to indemnify
18 19 20 21		Purchaser pursuant to this agreement. The Seller and FINSAC agree to indemnify and hold harmless the Purchaser from and
18 19 20		Purchaser pursuant to this agreement. The Seller and FINSAC agree to indemnify and hold harmless the Purchaser from and against any and all claims, demands,
18 19 20 21 22		Purchaser pursuant to this agreement. The Seller and FINSAC agree to indemnify and hold harmless the Purchaser from and against any and all claims, demands, losses, damages, penalties, fines,
18 19 20 21 22		Purchaser pursuant to this agreement. The Seller and FINSAC agree to indemnify and hold harmless the Purchaser from and against any and all claims, demands, losses, damages, penalties, fines, forfeitures, judgments, reasonable legal

1		incurred by Purchaser as a result of (i)
2		any acts or omissions made by the Seller
3		prior to the Close Date, (ii) any breach
4		by the Seller or FINSAC of the covenants
5		and obligations of Seller and FINSAC
6		herein contained, and (iii) any acts or
7		omission by the Seller or FINSAC which
8		result in any Claims against the
9		Purchaser except for such Claims which
10		arise as a result of a breach of the
11		Purchaser's representations or
12		warranties under this Agreement.' Are
13		you paying Jamaican Redevelopment
14		Foundation legal fees in this matter,
15		sir, for this enquiry, you are the
16		General Manager?
17	A:	No, we are not paying any legal fees to
18		JRF in this matter.
19	Q:	Are you anticipating a bill?
20	A:	No, we are not.
21	Q:	Has Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation
22		have they made a claim against FINSAC
23		for any amounts of indebtness that you
2 4		had sold to them that they have been
25		unable to collect?

1	A:	There is another clause in the agreement
2		which allows them to put back some of
3		the loans and just give me a minute I
4		will find the agreement and refer to
5		that clause. Page 10, Mr. Chairman,
6		clause 2.12.
7	Q:	I do not have a copy?
8	A:	I will tell you page 10, Mr. Chairman
9		2.12. This clause deals with the put
10		back provision. I'll just read the
11		a few items from it. "The Purchaser
12		shall have the
13	CHAIRMAN:	What clause?
14	A:	Clause 2.12, page 10 of the Purchase and
15		Sales Agreement, so is 2.12.1, sorry.
16 17		'The Purchaser shall have the right, (1) For a period of 180 days from the
18		closing date and the closing date as at
19		
		the 30th of January, 2002, to identify
20		the 30th of January, 2002, to identify and require the Seller to reacquire any of the assets, (a) which have been paid
		and require the Seller to reacquire any
21		and require the Seller to reacquire any of the assets, (a) which have been paid
21		and require the Seller to reacquire any of the assets, (a) which have been paid in full prior to the closing date (b)

	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
L	0			
L	1			
L	2			
L	3			
L	4			
L	5			
L	6			
	7			
L	8			
	9			
	0			
	1			
	2			
2	3			

24

25

the asset had expired prior to the closing date and (2), for a period 365 days from the closing date to identify and require the Seller to reacquire from the Purchaser (a) any asset as to which an assignment or pledge of all such asset or any inherent, sorry, any interest herein has been made and which is to be repurchased as described in another section of the report or any asset -- the security for which the Purchaser believes to have been unenforceable at the closing date and the security for which FINSAC also agrees to have been an enforceable at the closing date.' So, under this provision some loans have been put back to FINSAC. This matter has not yet been settled because there are some other issues between ourselves and JRF and we mentioned, if not yesterday, the day before, that there are some issues between us that we are trying to settle by arbitration? But to answer to your question -- yes, there have been some

1		claims made on FINSAC.
2	Q:	Are you of the view that the period has
3		expired the period during which
4		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation would
5		be able to oblige FINSAC to reacquire
6		debts?
7	A:	Yes, that period has expired, but the
8		claims were made within the period.
9	Q:	I didn't get an answer, Mr. Campbell to
10		what you intend to do in your capacity
11		as General Manager of FINSAC in respect
12		of those claims over which the debtors,
13		for which the debtors have challenged.
14	A:	I did mention that I would seek to get
15		some guidance from my Board and from my
16		attorneys.
17	Q:	Thank you, Mr. Campbell?
18	CHAIRMAN:	The next meeting of the Board is?
19	A:	There is no scheduled date yet,
20		Mr. Chairman. We do not have a lot of
21		matters to put to the Board, so a
22		meeting is arranged as and when one is
23		needed. We normally try and have one
24		every two months, so one would probably
25		be due towards the end of this month or

1		early in March.
2	Q:	Thank you, Mr. Chairman and thank you
3		Mr. Campbell?
4	A:	Thank you, Mr. WongKen.
5	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, I was not able to find the
6		specific document which you referred to
7		as item 78 on that list but the number I
8		did find has the same name but a
9		different date and the Commission has
10		copies of this?
11	CHAIRMAN:	What is that?
12	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, you have a copy of this
13		document?
14	A:	No, I didn't get one.
15	Q:	Property inspection form for commercial
16		real estate, DEBTOR 18 (JAM)
17		Limited, Twickenham Park approved by
18		FINSAC Limited. I don't know what that
19		means on the front of this form. The
20		report date is December 2000, prepared
21		by Allison Pitter and Company. Other
22		than the date that is the description of
23		the document which you referred to in
24		the list of documents.
25	A:	I was reading what was listed in the

1	Q:	Pardon me?
2	A:	I was reading from the document that you
3		had prepared, that you had given me.
4	CHAIRMAN:	Have you got it there now?
5	A:	Yes, I have it.
6	Q:	Page 6 of the document that we were
7		talking about earlier with the list of
8		documents. We have property inspection
9		form for commercial real estate prepared
10		by Allison Pitter and Co., report dated
11		February, 2001?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	What I did in fact find was property
14		inspection form for commercial real
15		estate, prepared by Allison Pitter and
16		Co, dated December 2000. That's what
17		you have in your hand at the moment?
18	A:	Yes.
19	Q:	No where in this document did it have
20		any proper description of the property
21		being valued. There are some
22		photographs on page 2 of the report of
23		the warehouse/factory, next page you
24		have one of Building A- Office, Building
25		B- High-Eave Warehouse and the

1		photograph subject of the next page
2		Offices and Open Storage. Some of the
3		buildings in the DEBTOR 18
4		compound are not pictured. Are we to
5		assume that they were not included in
6		this report?
7	A:	With respect, Mr. Levy, I cannot speak
8		to that.
9	Q:	Because I am lookingthrough many times
10		and I cannot find the legal description
11		of the property.
12	CHAIRMAN:	What well it did say Twickenham Park.
13	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, continuing towards the end
14		of the document it has some numbers
15		which indicate some estimates of value.
16		A number of blank pages which might be
17		part of the answer for it is incomplete.
18		I cannot put my finger on it. On page
19		16, it talks about opinion based on
20		sales comparison approach \$95.0 million
21		and final value opinion \$90.0 million.
22		Was this an opinion of value or an
23		appraisal? Is that what it says,
24		Mr. Campbell, opinion of value, final
25		value opinion? You are familiar with

1		having sold many of the public's
2		properties, what an appraisal looks like
3		and what it ought to have in it which
4		this does not have including a legal
5		description?
6	A:	This is the form that was developed by
7		OCWEN to facilitate the valuations that
8		were done at the time.
9	Q:	I didn't know that OCWEN was an expert
10		in Jamaican evaluation. This document
11		is not an appraisal. It's a property
12		inspection form and it gives an opinion,
13		final value opinion. However, let's
14		move on. Final value opinion given in
15		2000, December 2000 of the what
16		purports to be the DEBTOR 18'
17		property is shown between 90 and 95
18		million, am I correct, Mr. Campbell? I
19		am referring to Page 16 of the document?
20	A:	Yes, it says that.
21	Q:	You recall that the property was
22		transferred and in transfers in evidence
23		for \$000000. Correct. You care to
24		refresh your memory?
25	A:	I remember you giving me something like

1		that, yes, sir.
2	Q:	And the Stamp Commissioner appraised
3		DEBTOR 18 factory \$145.0 million.
4		You have any comments as to how REFIN
5		Trust could have transferred this
6		property for \$35.0 million given what
7		you refer to as an appraisal for 95, but
8		the Stamp Commissioner appraisal report
9		145. Can you explain to us what kind of
10		rationale FINSAC could have given
11	CHAIRMAN:	REFIN.
12	MR. LEVY:	REFIN, sorry, could have given for
13		selling this property for \$35 million?
14	A:	I have no comment on it, Mr. Levy.
15	CHAIRMAN:	Sorry, was he on the Board or what
16		was he?
17	Q:	He was on the Board in July, 2002, when
18		the property was transferred, sir?
19	CHAIRMAN:	Was he on the Board?
20	MR. LEVY:	He was on the Board from May that year.
21	CHAIRMAN:	You were on the Board, Mr. Campbell?
22	MR. LEVY:	From May, 2002.
23	A:	Yes, I was. That would have been
24		subsequent to the date of the sale of
25		the property.

	Q:	What would have been subsequent to the
		date?
	A:	My appointment to the Board of REFIN.
4	Q:	I see. I have no contract for sale.
5 6		All I see is a transfer dated July and
7 8		you were on the Board in July 2002?
9	A:	Yes, sir.
10	Q:	What happened, why was the property
11		given away to a connected company?
	A:	I have no comment on that, Mr. Levy.
	CHAIRMAN:	I am not quite clear what that means.
		-
12		Excuse me, sorry. You either know or
13		you don't know, you weren't there, you
14		took no part or something. But I don't
15		know what is meant by no comment. No
16		comment is not acceptable.
17	A:	I have already suggested that I wasn't
18		a part of the decision making in the
19		process of the sale of the
20		DEBTOR 18' assets, so Mr. Levy is
21		seeking to ask me again why the property was
22		given away and the property was not given
23		away.
24	CHAIRMAN:	I would prefer if you respond so that we
25		can

1	A:	My apologies, thank you, Mr. Chairman,
2		my apologies.
3	MR. ROBINSON:	I think maybe my friend should have made
4		it clear when the property was
5		transferred that he wasn't on the Board
6		at that time.
7	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell joined the Board of REFIN
8		Trust in May, 2002. It was established
9		this morning. The transfer was executed
10		and dated the 17th of July, 2002?
11	A:	That is correct.
12	Q:	One or two months after you joined the
13		board and you knew nothing about it?
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		CONT'D 3:05 p.m. 2
3	А	Nothing all at, sir. The sale would have
4 5		been done some months ago, so in July 2000 they were just merely in essence
6		completing the process of effecting the
7		transfer.
8	Q	You were on the Board at the time the
9		transfer was effected?
10 11	A Q	Yes, sir. The Transfer was signed by Mister
12	CHAIRMAN:	He was on the Board. That is not
13		something you can argue about.
	MD DODINGON	01 1
14	MR. ROBINSON:	Chairman
14 15	MR. ROBINSON: CHAIRMAN:	Chairman As far as it is concerned. You can
15		As far as it is concerned. You can
15 16 17	CHAIRMAN:	As far as it is concerned. You can re-examine you know, Mr. Robinson. So you have no comment to make on the
15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN:	As far as it is concerned. You can re-examine you know, Mr. Robinson. So you have no comment to make on the fact that I am putting it to you that the property was knowingly, knowingly
15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN:	As far as it is concerned. You can re-examine you know, Mr. Robinson. So you have no comment to make on the fact that I am putting it to you that the property was knowingly, knowingly sold by the Board of REFIN for
15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN:	As far as it is concerned. You can re-examine you know, Mr. Robinson. So you have no comment to make on the fact that I am putting it to you that the property was knowingly, knowingly sold by the Board of REFIN for 35,000,000 when the valuation obtained
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN:	As far as it is concerned. You can re-examine you know, Mr. Robinson. So you have no comment to make on the fact that I am putting it to you that the property was knowingly, knowingly sold by the Board of REFIN for 35,000,000 when the valuation obtained by them a year-and-a-half before was

1		95,000,000 and the Stamp Commission
2		assessed at 193,000,000. Can you explain
3		that, Mr. Campbell?
4	А	No, Mr. Levy, I cannot. As I said the
5		matter was submitted to the Board and it
6		was the FINSAC Board that dealt with
7		those matters and a decision was made at
8		that level. So in July 2002, while it's
9		two months after I was appointed to the
10		REFIN Board, all REFIN was in essence
11		doing at that time was completing the
12		process of effecting the sale. So that's
13		why they signed the Transfer since they
14		were the mortgagee.
15	CHAIRMAN:	This was a FINSAC thing, not a REFIN in
16		effect?
17	А	The process at the time, Mr. Chairman,
18		was that all the decision-making was
19		done by FINSAC.
20	CHAIRMAN:	FINSAC Board?
21	А	The FINSAC Board. So even though the
22		loans were
23	CHAIRMAN:	Of which you were not a member?
24	А	And I was not a member of that Board
25		either. Even though the loans were owned

1		by RECON at one point, or REFIN, most of
2		the times the decisions were dealt with
3		at FINSAC or FIS Board meetings. So when
4		it came to transferring
5	CHAIRMAN:	Would the recommendation be made by
6		REFIN?
7	A	In this particular matter, or generally
8		now?
9	CHAIRMAN:	I am just speaking generally now.
10	A	Generally, yes.
11	CHAIRMAN:	How did it get to FINSAC?
12	A	The staff were employed to REFIN but
13		all the submissions just went directly
14		to the FINSAC Board. There wasn't a
15		sitting of the REFIN Board or anything
16		like that, all the submissions are made
17		directly to the FINSAC Board.
18	MR. LEVY:	Am I to understand, Mr. Campbell, what
19		you are saying is that the REFIN Board
20		delegated their responsibilities and
21		authority to the FINSAC Board, then the
22		REFIN Board didn't make these decisions
23		but then the FINSAC Board did?
24	А	That sounds like a legal matter to me.
25		I will defer to my attorney to answer

1		you.
2	CHAIRMAN:	That's not anything legal.
3	MR. LEVY:	Commonsense.
4	А	It may be. He had asked
5	CHAIRMAN:	That's commonsense.
6	A	That's not commonsense.
7	CHAIRMAN:	That's factual. Apart from what you say
8		anything I mean, Mr. Levy is
9		encapsulating what you said. But you
10		said that REFIN did not make these
11		decisions, they were made by FINSAC.
12	A	FINSAC is the parent company, yes.
13	CHAIRMAN:	You know, I keep on hearing this thing
14		about parent company; I am not quite
15		clear now but maybe Mr. Robinson will
16		explain why and I hear the word
17	MR. LEVY:	Affiliate company.
18	CHAIRMAN:	'Affiliate' and all that sort of thing.
19		I am not quite clear what it is or
20		subsidiary. Everybody seems to have
21		their own memorandum of, I mean, objects
22		and memorandum of different people and
23		yet it turned out to be affiliates. Are
24		they adopted? Is it an adoption?
25	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, are you aware as a

1		director of a company that the law
2		imposes on you responsibilities and the
3		liabilities and obligations?
4	А	Yes, sir.
5	4	If therefore, as you have told us, the
6		FINSAC Board took decisions which were
7		carried out by REFIN, what happened to
8		the REFIN Board in carrying out these
9		decisions, were they carrying out their
10		responsibilities and people's legal
11		obligations, and simply delegating or
12		accepting decisions made by another
13		Board?
14	A	The structure of the organization was
15		such that the FINSAC Board was the one
16		charged with the responsibility to make
17		those decisions.
18	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, please listen to me. You
19		don't just come off the street as clerk;
20		you say you are a member of the Royal
21		Institute of Bankers. One assumes that
22		you have some commercial legal
23		knowledge. What I am putting Lo you is:
24		If the REFIN Board simply delegated its
25		responsibility and authority to FINSAC

1		decision, was REFIN Board carrying out
2		its legal responsibilities?
3	А	I do not know Mr. Levy, I need to check
4		(LAUGHTER)
5	MR. LEVY:	Round and round the mulberry bush. Mr.
6		Campbell, you still conduct your
7		business as a Director of the REFIN?
8	А	I don't quite understand what you mean
9		by that.
10	MR. LEVY:	Your Board, REFIN's Board still relies
11		on the FINSAC Board to make all its
12		decision?
13	А	There aren't any activities left or
14		remaining for REFIN Trust to do now
15		other than sign a few discharges of
16		mortgages from time to time.
17	CHAIRMAN:	For all intents and purposes REFIN is
18		moribund?
19	А	Not quite, Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRMAN:	Not quite moribund.
21	A	Not quite.
22	CHAIRMAN:	I notice you haven't said anything in
23		hibernation, you know.
24	А	Not quite. There are still
25	CHAIRMAN:	Or it's kind of dying?

1	CHAIRMAN:	Or it's kind of dying?
2	А	Yes, and that's the intent.
3	CHAIRMAN:	Near onto death?
4	А	There are a still a few mortgages that
5		are out there that REFIN Trust needs to
6		be the entity that signs either to
7		discharge them or to transfer them to
8		somebody. So from time to time that
9		action still takes place.
10	CHAIRMAN:	But the Board doesn't determine that
11		apparently; seems to be somewhat
12		automatic actions. The Board meets to
13		take a decision. Because the Board
14		doesn't meet does the Board meet,
15		Mr. Campbell, can you say?
16	А	They haven't
17	CHAIRMAN:	And have we got a Minutes of those Board
18		meetings or there just seems to be money
19		ran out or?
20	А	There are no formal meetings of the
21		REFIN Trust Board.
22	CHAIRMAN:	You have informal meeting though, or you
23		just come into Pegasus bar and have a
24		meeting?
25	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, does REFIN pay directors

1		fees?
2	А	No, it does not.
3	Q	Who pays them, directors' fees?
4	А	The REFIN Directors are not paid any
5		directors' fees.
6	Q	Oh!
7	А	So they are on strike?
8	CHAIRMAN:	It is a charitable organization.
9	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, you are aware in the
10		Supreme Court there is a claim, a
11		substantial claim by DEBTOR 18
12		against REFIN in CLAIM NO.?
13	А	Yes, sir.
14	Q	And the way you described REFIN's
15		operations and modus operandi now forced
16		the Chairman to ask if the Board of
17		REFIN was moribund.
18	А	You said they have a few mortgages they
19		have to collect. What other
20		responsibilities do they have?
21	А	I didn't say they have a few mortgages
22		to collect, you know, I said they have
23		few that they have to discharge or they
24		have to transfer, I didn't say to
25		collect.

discharge or transfer.

I know.

2	A	1 Milow.
3	MR. LEVY:	REFIN has no responsibility or it claims ii
4		_ii no responsibility, the Board doesn't,
5		meet to deal with a lawsuit?
6	A	Matters of that nature, Mr. Levy, as I
7		mentioned earlier, are dealt with by
8		FINSAC, on the FINSAC Board.
9		Mr. Chairman
10 11	CHAIRMAN:	Sorry, hold on. FINSAC has highjackedlegally how $_{\mbox{\tiny M.I.}}$ this sounds very
12	MR. LEVY:	Illegally, is how it's done, Mr.
13		Chairman, illegally.
14	CHAIRMAN:	This is a government body, public body.
15		It's not a sort of cold-supper shop
16		business.
17		Mr. Campbell, don't think I am ascribing
18		blame to you, you know, although you are
19		for these purposes the face of FINSAC
20		and REFIN in whatever state it is.
21		Regrettably you are the man, as they
22		say, you are here and we have to speak
23		to you. In fact FINSAC has two people,
24		you and Mr. Hylton who will speak later;
25		it has 2 faces.

1		it has 2 faces.
2	MR. LEVY:	But it goes a step further, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN:	It does?
4	MR. LEVY:	Now that we are aware that FINSAC
5		functions as the Board of REFIN I am
6		going to invite you now to put on your t
7		list of 'to be called', the present
8		Chairman of REFIN because apparently he
9		is the one taking the decisions now. It
10		can't be simply a moribund company that
11		somebody else takes the decision from.
12	CHAIRMAN:	Who is the Chair?
13	MR. LEVY:	Who is the Chairman of FINSAC now, sir?
14	CHAIRMAN:	FINSAC, REFIN?
15	MR. LEVY:	EINCAC
		FINSAC.
16 17	CHAIRMAN: MR. LEVY:	Eh? FINSAC.
18	А	Mr. Robert Martin.
19	Q	Mr. Martin?
20	A	Robert Martin.
21	Q	Robert Martin.
22	BY CHAIRMAN:	Very well.
23	Q	Maybe we can get some answers.
24	CHAIRMAN:	I think this Commission should direct
25		and does so direct, a subpoena to be

1		issued for Mr. Robert Martin to appear
2		before this Commission to speak to us,
3		I would like to hear him, on a date to
4		be fixed with the Secretary, 9:30 in the
5		morning again.
6	MR. ROBINSON:	When is that, sir?
7	CHAIRMAN:	Tuesday.
8	MR. ROBINSON:	Tuesday coming, sir?
9	CHAIRMAN:	Tuesday is a nice day.
10	AUDIENCE:	Drink some water deh, 'Camp' you running
11		hot.
12	А	I am trying.
13		(LAUGHTER)
14	CHAIRMAN:	What date are we suggesting?
15	MR. LEVY:	It is up to you, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN:	To issue a subpoena for Mr. Robert
17		Martin to appear before this Commission
18		on Tuesday.
19	MR. LEVY:	Thank you, sir. May I just say here Mr.
20		Chairman, that Mr. Deperalto has
21		informed me that he cannot find any
22		Minutes from FINSAC for the month of
23		December 2001, which is the month
24		preceding the letter dated December 7th,
25		from Miss Valerie Alexander of FINSAC

1		saying that: "Board has now resiled". So
2		one assumes that was a recent decision
3		of the Board. We cannot find the
4		Minutes, Mr. Deperalto said that he
5		cannot find the Minutes for that period
6		which would deal with the sale. So
7		either there was no meeting and she was
8		misrepresenting the facts, or there was
9		a meeting but the Minutes we have not
10		been supplied with, Mr. Chairman.
11	A	Or there is another option, it was done
12		in the November meeting.
13	MR. LEVY:	Conveniently.
14	CHAIRMAN:	Well, Mr. Campbell, perhaps you can try
15		to locate it.
16	A	We have made a note of it, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN:	Pardon?
18	A	We have made a note of that particular
19		date; we need to try and find the
20		Minutes.
21	CHAIRMAN:	Yes.
22	A	I know we have submitted copies of all
23		we found but it is just that we need to
24		dig
2 5	CUATDMAN -	That one is significant because it
25	CHAIRMAN:	That one is significant because it

1		raises a querilous eyebrow.
2	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, Mr. Wong Ken said he
3		needs to leave but he would like to just
4		address you for a moment, can he go
5		ahead now, sir?
6	CHAIRMAN:	Certainly, sure.
7	MR. WONG KEN:	Thank you, Chair. I just come across
8		the paragraphs that I needed to address
9		in the Agreement, Purchase and Sale
10		Agreement between FINSAC and Jamaica
11		Redevelopment Foundation. In that regard
12		I would like to
13	CHAIRMAN:	One second. We apologise, Mr. Campbell.
14	MR. WONG KEN:	I apologise.
15	A	Thank you, sir I take it.
16	MR. WONG KEN:	I would like to direct you to page 12 of
17		the Agreement
18	A	Yes.
19	MR. WONG KEN:	subparagraph 2.16 captioned "Medium
20		Challenges To Sale".
21	CHAIRMAN:	To what?
22	MR. ROBINSON:	What paragraph?
23	MR. WONG KEN:	Paragraph 2.16 sub-paragraphs one and
24		two; so it's 2.16.1 and .2, page 12. May
25		I?

1	CHAIRMAN:	Continue.
2	MR. WONG KEN:	2.16.1:
3		"in the event that there is any legal
4		action taken by a Borrower or Security
5		Party to prevent the sale and purchase
6		of the Assets contemplated hereunder,
7		FINSAC shall defend any such challenge
8		to the best of its ability at its sole
9		cost and expense and until such
10		challenge is resolved, FINSAC shall
11		allow the Purchaser to service and
12		collect the assets provided however that
13		neither FINSAC nor the Seller shall be
14		liable to any actions or omissions of
15		the Purchaser".
16		So under that paragraph, FINSAC could
17		foot the bill.
18	A	Foots which bill? This says:
19		"FINSAC will defend". So FINSAC, the
20		cost that it incurs in defending the
21		suit, FINSAC foots, but not JRF's
22		portion.
23	Q	"In the event that there is any legal action
24		taken by a Borrower or Security Party, to
25		prevent the sale and purchase

1		of the Assets."
2		Those are the subject matters of the
3		Agreement. it's only JRF, by your own
4		words who can sell those assets.
5	A	No, Mister I know I am not an
6		attorney but that would not be my
7		interpretation.
8	MR. WONG KEN:	Okay.
9	А	It says: "The sale of the assets
10		<pre>contemplated hereunder" which means the</pre>
11		sale of the loans to JRF.
12	Q	Absolutely. So to the extent that any
13		borrower/debtor challenges or prevents
14		that sale, "FINSAC shall defend any such
14 15		that sale, "FINSAC shall defend any such challenge to the best of its ability at
15		challenge to the best of its ability at
15 16	A	challenge to the best of its ability at its sole cost and expense" expense
15 16 17	A	challenge to the best of its ability at its sole cost and expense" expense from invoices.
15 16 17 18	A	challenge to the best of its ability at its sole cost and expense" expense from invoices. I don't know if I agree with your
15 16 17 18 19		challenge to the best of its ability at its sole cost and expense" expense from invoices. I don't know if I agree with your interpretation but
15 16 17 18 19		challenge to the best of its ability at its sole cost and expense" expense from invoices. I don't know if I agree with your interpretation but 2.16.2: "In the event that this
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		challenge to the best of its ability at its sole cost and expense" expense from invoices. I don't know if I agree with your interpretation but 2.16.2: "In the event that this Agreement is held to be illegal or held
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		challenge to the best of its ability at its sole cost and expense" expense from invoices. I don't know if I agree with your interpretation but 2.16.2: "In the event that this Agreement is held to be illegal or held unenforceable, this Agreement shall be

1		from the date of the closing date until
2		repaid at the sale of 10o percent per
3		annum and actual costs incurred by the
4		by the purchaser hereunder and/or in
5		regard to the Assets shall be refunded
6		in full and free from deduction and
7		Purchaser shall pay to Seller, at the
8		time of such refund all amounts received
9		by Purchaser."
10		And it goes on. The point is,
11		you said here that the subject
12		matter of the sale from FINSAC
13		to JRF was not payable or
14		substantiated. Agree?
15	A	Not sure I understand, I would prefer if
16		you explain that otherwise for me, Mr.
17		Wong Ken.
18	Q	You didn't have the wherewithal to
19		confirm those debts?
20	A	Okay.
21	Q	That's what I understood you to say.
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	To that extent, Mr. Campbell, I suggest
24		you challenge the enforceability or the
25		illegally of the Agreement and seek to

1		rescind it.
		(LAUGHTER)
2		Fully advised.
3		Otherwise you are going to be footing some
4	MR. WONG KEN: 5	serious bills you yourself, maybe FINSAC;
6		welcome to the club.
7	A	I take that under advisement.
8	CHAIRMAN:	
9	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Levy. Mr. Campbell?
10	MR. ROBINSON:	I don't think we need that advice. Mr.
11	MR. LEVY:	Campbell?
12	MR. CAMPBELL:	Yes, Mr. Levy.
13	Q	FINSAC's accounts of the documents you
14		presented show that FINSAC has been paying
15		substantial sums in professional fees over
16		the years.
17	CHAIRMAN:	Sorry, before you move on; this is the
18		document that you showed to Mr.
19		Campbell, "Property Inspection". Is this
20		being tendered?
21	MR. LEVY:	Yes, it is.
22	CHAIRMAN:	It wasn't in before?
23	MR. LEVY:	No, it wasn't.
24	CHAIRMAN:	So are you tendering it now?
25	MR. LEVY:	Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, it

1		is an oversight on my part.
2	CHAIRMAN:	EC-30.
3	MR. LEVY:	EC-30, thank you.
4		Yes, Mr. Campbell, I was saying, FINSAC
5		and its subsidiaries have paid
6		substantial professional fees over the
7		last so many years. This includes legal
8		fees?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Can you give us a list of the main
11		attorneys-at-law which represented
12		FINSAC and its subsidiaries during that
13		period of time?
14	А	The Attorney General's Chambers, Myers,
15		Fletcher and Gordon, DunnCox.
16	Q	Okay. Who at Myers & Fletcher has
17		represented you during this period of
18		time?
19	А	Individuals.
20	Q	Individuals, and is representing you now
21		as a matter of fact.
22	А	There were various matters, some to do
23		with litigation and some to do with sale
24		of the properties. So that would be
25		Norman Minott, Sandra Minott-Phillips,

1		Steven Shelton
2	Q	Continueyour last one.
3	A	Norman Minott
4	CHAIRMAN:	Sorry?
5	A	Norman Minott
6	CHAIRMAN:	Norman Minott?
7	A	Yes, sir. Sandra Minott-Phillips, Steven
8		Shelton, Peter Goldson, Barbara
9		Alexander, Christopher Kelman, Gavin
10		Goffe, Alexie Robinson, Tamara Green.
11	MR. ROBINSON:	Who was that?
12	A	Tamara Green. And these are the main
13		ones I can recall at this time. Oh, and
14		in the early days well, he is no
15		longer there now but Mr. Michael Hylton
16		in the early days was at Myers,
17		Fletchers & Gordon.
18	Q	Michael. Hylton represented you when he
19		was at Myers, Fletcher & Gordon?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Did Mr. Dave Garcia represent you when
22		he was at Myers, Fletcher & Gordon?
23	А	Dave Garcia, yes.
24	Q	Are the interests of FINSAC, REFIN Trust
25		the same as those interests of Jamaica

1		Redevelopment Foundation at this
2		Hearing?
3	A	Could you please repeat the question.
4	Q	Are the interests of FINSAC and REFIN
5		Trust on one hand and the interest of
6		Jamaica Redevelopment Foundation the
7		same are they the same interests or
8		do you have different interests here?
9	А	In relation to what?
10	Q	Pardon me?
11	А	In relation to this Hearing.
12	CHAIRMAN:	In relation to this Enquiry.
13	А	I do not know what JRF's interest is so
14		I can cannot speak to whether it is the
15		same as FINSAC.
16	Q	So you don't know whether they are the
17		same as yours?
18	A	No, I don't know.
19	Q	Would you say they are the same as
20		yours?
21	A	I do not know what JRF's interest is so
22		I cannot speak to that.
23	Q	Can they be the same as yours?
24	А	I do not know.
25	Q	They are not forbidding you from doing

1		certain things which you are legally
2		bound to do, produce documents, and
3		they are banning you from getting those
4		documents produced and threatening you?
5	A	They are not banning me.
6	Q	I just read a letter today signed by
7		somebody from Myers, Fletcher and
8		Gordon, Mr. Gavin Goffe.
9	CHAIRMAN:	Please, please, please, no
10		commentary.
11	AUDIENCE:	Ahh!
12	CHAIRMAN:	No commentary. They can write anything
13		but your reaction is important.
14	A	That's it.
15	MR. LEVY:	What was your reaction? You feel you
16		have an obligation to go with the flow?
17		No, Mr. Levy, we intend to get our
18		attorneys involved in the matter and
19		seek to get the information from Jamaica
20		Redevelopment Foundation. And in any
21		event haven't you provided them to the
22		Commissioners? Because part of what was
23		needed was a copy of the evaluation and
24		you have provided it here, which is now
25	Q	Exhibit 30.

1	Q	I see. That's because it was provided
2		otherwise, I provide it.
3	А	We will endeavour to get the information
4		with the assistance of our attorney in
5		the matter.
6	Q	No, my question to you Mr. Campbell -
7		now this is a commonsense business
8		question: You claim that you are a
9		professional banker and I want you to
10		answer the question. Are the interests
11		of Jamaica Redevelopment Foundation at
12		this Hearing, this Commission of
13		Enquiry, the same as that of FINSAC and
14		REFIN Trust? Simple question, yes or no?
15	A	I do not believe they are.
16	BY AUDIENCE:	What?
17	Q	Pardon me?
18	A	I do not believe they are.
19	Q	You do not believe they are.
20		Mr. Campbell, I just want to you remind
21		you that you are a public servant and
22		that your duty is different from any
23		duty owed to Jamaica Redevelopment
24		Foundation; your duty in this Commission
25		is different from any duty owed. So

1		just please answer the question because
2		I am going to pursue this.
3	А	No, absolutely. And I accept that
4		responsibility and duty, Mr. Levy.
5	Q	I am not hearing, you are mumbling, Mr.
6		Campbell.
7	CHAIRMAN:	Please, yes.
8	А	I accept that duty.
9	MR. LEVY:	Okay, Mr. Campbell, I am glad to hear
10		that, I just wanted to remind you of
11		that. So what you are telling us is
12		that Michael Hylton has represented
13		FINSAC, Dave Garcia has represented
14		FINSAC?
15	А	Yes, they have.
16	Q	Sandra Minott-Phillips has represented
17		FINSAC and still continues to represent
18		FINSAC as was Gavin Goffe, who is on
19		the list of names.
20	CHAIRMAN:	I don't know if Sandra Minott-Phillips
21		represented FINSAC.
22	MR. LEVY:	Has represented FZNSAC.
23	CHAIRMAN:	Yes.
24	MR. LEVY:	And continues to represent in other

1		otherwise called Sandra Minott-Phillips -
2		let us call a spade a spade and a shovel a
3		shovel is here representing Jamaica
4		Redevelopment Foundation. Are you of the
5		view that there is a conflict of her interest
6		as your attorney in representing Jamaica
7		Redevelopment Foundation before this
8		Commission? (No answer)
9	A	With respect
10	MR. ROBINSON:	His opinion doesn't really matter. Yes,
11	CHAIRMAN:	you can't ask him that question. I was
12	MR. ROBINSON:	going to ask him the question Well, you
13	MR. LEVY:	can ask him anything but we won't allow
14	CHAIRMAN:	it, he is no expert. It is unfair.
15		Please.
16	MR. ROBINSON:	I am sorry sir, but if my attorney starts
17	CHAIRMAN:	representing somebody in a matter in which
18	MR. LEVY:	I am in a manner which is in conflict with
19		me
20		Yes. Mr. Levy?
21		Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN:	But he is not an expert. He is an expert
23	MR. LEVY:	in banking.
24	CHAIRMAN:	

1	MR. LEVY:	I am not so sure about that one.
2		(LAUGHTER)
3	CHAIRMAN:	Yes, that's the one I know, banking. So if
4		you want to ask him about banking I have
5		no problem, but conflict of interest now,
6		you are talking some law, people who are
7		sitting beside you there
8		Well, I have already addressed you on one
9	MR. LEVY:	part of this aspect of conflict of
10 11		interest and I don't propose to do it now
12		because the parties are not present.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		
2	CHAIRMAN:	May I just suggest that it's your
3 4		entitlement to lay the foundation for the argument.
5	MR. LEVY:	Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN:	He can't sit there and put on his wig
7		and tell me the answer, he is not a
8		judge, he is a lawyer.
9	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Campbell, did you receive advice
10		from Mr. Hylton when he was at Myers
11		Fletcher and Gordon, firstly, did FINSAC
12		receive?
13	A	He represented FINSAC, Mr. Levy, in the
14		early days, '98 and '99; he must have
15		given FINSAC some advice during that
16		time.
17	Q	When Mr. Hylton was at the Attorney
18		General's Chambers, did you receive
19		advice from him on any of the matters
20		relating to the FINSAC properties,
21		mortgages, sales, et cetera?
22	A	Yes, we did.
23	Q	Yes, you did. And Mrs. Sandra
24		Minott-Phillips, you still receive
25		advice and information, instructions,

1		from her?
2	А	In relation to one particular matter.
3	Q	One particular matter?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Dealing with FINSAC, okay.
6	А	Not really FINSAC, one of the FINSAC
7		entities, Jamaica Grande Limited.
8	Q	One of the FINSAC entities?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Meaning what? FINSAC has how many
11		entities?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	I am asking a question. What is Jamaica
14		Grande as a FINSAC - how do I know what
15		that is, what's the connection?
16	А	Jamaica Grande is the company that owned
17		the hotel that was Jamaica Grande in
18		Ocho Rios.
19	Q	Well, actually FIS which is the company
20		that took over Century National Bank by
21		virtue of the litigation matter in that
22		case, but Century's ownership of that
23		company and there are some litigation
24		matters in relation to that, that Myers
25		Fletcher and Gordon is still

1		representing us in.
2	Q	Mr. Campbell, there are three attorneys
3		who usually sit in this front row, Mr.
4		Michael Hylton, Mrs. Sandra Phillips and
5		Mr. Dave Garcia, all have represented
6		FINSAC in respect of FINSAC matters,
7		have given advice?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	And you are happy with the fact that
10		they are not representing you, they are
11		representing somebody whose interest
12		differs to you let's put it that way.
13		Are you happy with that fact?
14	A	Isn't that similar to the conflict of
15		interest question you asked me?
16	CHAIRMAN:	No, no, no.
17	Q	I am asking you a question.
18	CHAIRMAN:	Mr. Campbell, I said to you don't
19		reverse the roles, just answer what you
20		are asked. If it's a bad question T
21		will intervene, if I remain silent I am
22		not ignoring what is going on, I am
23		listening. Yes. Would you please
24		answer?
25	А	Will you please repeat the question?

1	Q	Are you happy with the fact that the
2		lawyers who have represented you and
3		some still represents you, Mr. Michael
4		Hylton, Mrs. Sandra Phillips or
5		Mrs. Peter Phillips and Dave Garcia,
6		have represented you and are now
7		representing other parties with other
8		interest at this enquiry?
9	A	I can't say I have a difficulty with
10		that.
11	Q	I didn't ask if you have a difficulty or
12		not. I asked if you were happy with the
13		fact, yes or no? Simple question.
14		Don't shift it, that shifting thing
15		going on too long now, Mr. Campbell.
16		With due respect, it has been going on
17		too long, too much of it.
18	CHAIRMAN:	That's for address. Mr. Campbell, please
19		answer the question, there is no
20		difficulty in the question. What is
21		your candid response? Are you happy
22		with that state of affairs as a
23		reasonable banker and all that, a
24		reasonable man?
25	A	It really has nothing to do with whether

1		I am happy or not happy, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN:	You are going to answer the question, so
3		please don't tell me about whether it
4		matters, you are required to answer the
5		question, so please respond.
6	Q	Are you happy, what's the answer? That's
7		a yes or no question, you know sir, not
8		a bob and weave.
9	CHAIRMAN:	All right, let me get that. Are you
10		objecting to answering the question?
11 12	A CHAIRMAN:	No, Mr. Chairman. Okay, therefore let us have an answer.
13	А	This is not one of those that I would
14		wish to answer yes or no to.
15	CHAIRMAN:	If you want to answer in a lengthy,
16		whatever, ode, by whatever means, I
17		don't think it matters how you do it,
18		well respond, I think you should.
19	А	But I have attempted to, I am saying I
20		don't have a difficulty with it and that
21		is how I would like to phrase my
22		response.
23	Q	Are you saying you are not happy with it
24		or you are happy with it?
25	A	I do not want to go down that road with

1		you, Mr. Levy, I am saying I have no
2	BY CHAIRMAN:	No, Mr. Levy, he has given an answer.
3	Q	Move on from that.
4	Q	Would you be happy, Mr. Campbell, if
5		there was a lawsuit brought under the
6		contract, the clause that Mr. Wong Ken
7		just read, against FINSAC
8	A	Would I be happy, you said?
9	Q	if a lawsuit was brought by Jamaica
10		Redevelopment Foundation under the
11		provisions of this contract, would you
12		be happy if any of those three lawyers,
13		Hylton, Phillips or Garcia, represented
14		the other side and didn't represent you?
15	A	I am under instructions to have the
16		Attorney General's Chambers represent
17		FINSAC, so any such action brought by
18		FINSAC would be represented by the
19		Attorney General's Chambers.
20	Q	I am sorry, you are now instructed that
21		what?
22	A	My litigation work is to be done by the
23		Attorney General's Chambers.
24	Q	Then why is it that the litigation work
25		is still with the private practice,

1		namely DunnCox?
2	A	Those are a few that were outstanding
3		before and we continue to use them but
4		any new matters that come up the
5		Attorney General's Chamber deal with
6		it.
7	Q	Mr. Campbell, let me refresh your
8		memory. DunnCox represented Refin Trust
9		in that case which I am here about in
10		2008, you were instructed to hand the
11		matter to the Attorney Generals
12		Chambers. Six or so months later it was
13		taken back from you and handed to
14		DunnCox, is that correct?
15	A	More or less, yes.
16	Q	More, more or less, less?
17	A	More, more.
18	CHAIRMAN:	I never understand more or less. What
19		is more or less?
20	Q	It's either so or it's not so?
21	А	But you said six or so months, so you
22		could be
23	Q	Give or take a few months.
24	CHAIRMAN:	All right, let's get it on.
25	MR. LEVY:	So are you telling us now that the

1		Attorney General's Chambers is going to
2		represent you in that matter?
3	А	No, I am not telling you that, Mr. Levy.
4	Q	What are you telling me about Attorney
5		General's Chambers in regard to a
6		litigation brought by you?
7	А	I am saying to you if a new action was
8		to be brought, as you are suggesting
9		here, then we would seek to get the
10		Attorney General's Chambers represent
11		FINSAC in the matter.
12	Q	Maybe I will have to bring another
13		action?
14	А	Well, I will leave that to you, sir.
15	CHAIRMAN:	Well, you are free to do so.
16	А	Exactly.
17	BY CHAIRMAN:	Get the revenue, we need to collect
18		money.
19	Q	Mr. Chairman, I can only finish with Mr.
20		Campbell when he brings the documents we
21		have asked him to bring. I rest at the
22		moment, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN:	We have to keep on milking the archives of
24		FINSAC. Mr. Campbell, can you say whether
25		there are any Minutes in respect

1		of Refin in your archives?
2	А	I will add that to my list of things to
3		check on, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN:	Very well. Of course when I speak of
5		Refin I include all these (subsidiaries,
6		affiliates) howsoever demarcated.
7	А	Sure.
8	CHAIRMAN:	I do not think in law there is anything
9		called subsidiaries, but I notice the
10		word being bandied around that they are
11		subsidiaries. Who made them
12		subsidiaries, by operation of
13		journalism, or there is a new law that
14		creates subsidiaries by saying they are?
15		That's Alice in Wonderland's dictionary.
16		We have no other persons ready and
17		willing. Mr. Campbell will get a little
18		longer time to get the centurions
19		marching forward. You still have the
20		centurion, Mr. Campbell?
21	A	Yes, Mr. Chairman, but you will agree
22		Mr. Campbell needs a break as well.
23	CHAIRMAN:	I tell you what, you go and put your
24		feet up and the centurion, get busy.
25	A	Thank you, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN:	The next thing is, when does Mr.
2		Campbell return? Mr. Robinson, when you
3		think Mr. Campbell can return? Would
4		you mind consulting with him and see
5		when he can. Mr. Robinson, could you
6		speak with him, we will wait. Sorry,
7		Mr. Robinson, the Minutes will be for
8		all those affiliates that I mentioned,
9		Refin, Recon, FIS.
10	MR. ROBINSON:	I think we will need at least two weeks.
11	CHAIRMAN:	Mr. Robinson?
12	MR. ROBINSON:	Yes, Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRMAN:	As you readily appreciate, this
14		information is very important and
15		therefore we will give you as much time
16		as is reasonable to procure and produce
17		the documents. It means we have to
18		revise our schedule, it is not likely
19		that we will be sitting in March so that
20		the centurion will have lots of time.
21		We will return on All Fools Day, April.
22		So as far as this session is concerned
23		we will adjourn until Tuesday morning at
24		9:30. Inshallah. If you speak Japanese
25		that means God willing.

1	CHAIRMAN:	Oh, I am terribly sorry. You may withdraw.
2		Thank you, sir, for having come and being
3		very helpful, thank you, sir.
4		
5		
6		Adjournment taken at 3:38 p.m.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		