VERBATIM NOTES

OF

COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE 1990s

HELD AT

THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KNUTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5

ON

THURSDAY, JULY 14, 2011

PRESENT WERE:

COMMISSIONERS,

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick Bogle

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION

Hon. Justice Henderson Downer (Retired)

SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Mr. Fernando DePeralto

MARSHALLING EVIDENCE FOR THE COMMISSION

Ms. Judith Clarke - Attorney -at-Law

REPRESENTING JAMAICAN REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

Mrs. Sandra Minott Phillips - Attorney-at-Law Mr. Gavin Goffe- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING MR PATRICK HYLTON

Mr. Dave Garcia - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING FINSAC LIMITED

Mr. Brian Moodie - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING DEBTOR 18 DIRECTOR, DEBTOR 18 DONOVAN CRAWFORD, DEBTOR 6, MILTON BAKER

Mr. Anthony Levy -- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING DEBTOR 8

Mr. Leonard Green - Attorney -at-Law

GIVING EVIDENCE

DEBTOR 8

1		THURSDAY 14TH JULY 2011
2		COMMENCEMENT: 10:10
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Good morning ladies and gentlemen. We are
4		awaiting the arrival of DEBTOR 8 and as soon
5		as he arrives we will start. Might I ask Mr.
6	MR. MOODIE:	Chairman, has the Commission received a
7		statement from DEBTOR 8?
8		No.
9	COMM. BOGLE:	So we have no idea what his statement is
10	MR. MOODIE:	about? It is a bit unusual in our
11		proceedings?
12		No, I think we have gotten persons, if I can
13	COMM. BOGLE:	remember right, that came on the morning
14		with the statement.
15		Is the Commission expecting DEBTOR 8 to
16	MR. MOODIE:	present a statement this morning? I hope he
17		will have.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	P A U S E
19		Good morning again ladies and gentlemen.
20		This enquiry is now in session and as usual
21		we ask for the names of the attorneys
22		present.
23		Sandra Minott-Phillips and Gavin Goffe,
24	MR. GOFFE:	instructed by Myers Fletcher and Gordon,
25		

1		representing Jamaican Redevelopment
2		Foundation Inc.
3	MR. GARCIA:	Dave Garcia, representing Patrick
4		Hylton.
5	MR. MOODIE:	Brian Moodie and Danielle Chai,
6		instructed by the firm Samuda and
7		Johnson, representing FINSAC.
8	MR. LEVY:	Anthony Levy, instructed by G. Anthony
9		Levy and Company. I represent DEBTOR 18
10		DIRECTOR, DEBTOR 18, Don Crawford,
11		DEBTOR 6, DEBTOR 11,
12		Mr. Willis, myself and the truth.
13	MR. GREEN:	Leonard Green, instructed by the firm of
14		Chen, Green and Company, representing
15		DEBTOR 8.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Thank you very much. This morning we
17		have with us DEBTOR 8 who will be
18		giving submission to this Commission
19		this morning. At this time, therefore,
20		I ask that DEBTOR 8 be sworn in.
21	MR. MOODIE:	Chairman, before, could I ask again
22		about the statement whether DEBTOR 8
23		has a statement of the evidence he
24		intends to give today so that it can be
25		circulated as is the usual case to

1		counsel?
2	COMM. BOGLE:	I will just have him sworn in first.
3	MR. GREEN:	Yes, we do have statement and I am not
4		sure of the amount of copies we have,
5		but we will do as best to circulate the
6		copies that we have and we will make
7		what we have available to the
8		Secretariat so that some copies can be
9		made and distributed to counsel and the
10		Commission.
11	MR. MOODIE:	Grateful counsel.
12	MR. DEPERALTO:	DEBTOR 8, please repeat this.
13	DEBTOR 8:	No, I affirm.
14	MR. DEPERALTO:	Please do.
15		DEBTOR 8 CALLED AND AFFIRMED
1 (
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Thank you very much DEBTOR 8.
17	COMM. BOGLE:	Thank you very much DEBTOR 8. Mr. Green, if you have a copy of that
	COMM. BOGLE:	
17	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Green, if you have a copy of that
17 18	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Green, if you have a copy of that statement you can give it to
17 18 19	COMM. BOGLE: MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Green, if you have a copy of that statement you can give it to Mr. DePeralto now and he will endeavour
17 18 19 20		Mr. Green, if you have a copy of that statement you can give it to Mr. DePeralto now and he will endeavour to have copies made.
17 18 19 20 21		Mr. Green, if you have a copy of that statement you can give it to Mr. DePeralto now and he will endeavour to have copies made. Mr. Chairman, if there are not enough
17 18 19 20 21 22		Mr. Green, if you have a copy of that statement you can give it to Mr. DePeralto now and he will endeavour to have copies made. Mr. Chairman, if there are not enough copies could we just hold on for five

1		interest of time we will proceed and the
2		copies will come as we go along,
3		hopefully the copies will come very
4		shortly, but we will proceed at this
5		time.
6	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Are you entering my objection,
7		Mr. Chairman?
8	COMM. BOGLE:	No.
9	MR. LEVY:	So that we don't waste any time we can
10		accommodate some of the time until the
11		copies come.
12		Could you advise us whether the date has
13		been set for Don Crawford to be called?
14	COMM. BOGLE:	A date has not yet been set.
15	MR. LEVY:	Has the Commission considered the
16		decision not to call P.J. Patterson?
17	COMM. BOGLE:	The decision of the Commission still
18		stands at this time.
19		Mr. Green?
20	MR. GREEN:	DEBTOR 8, will you give the
21		Commission your full name and
22		occupation.
23	DEBTOR 8:	My name is Enoch Crafton Karl DEBTOR 8,
24		Medical Doctor with many business
25		interests.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	Just before you go on. Are those extra
2		copies that the Commission can have, Dr.
3		DEBTOR 8?
4	A:	Yes, these can be passed to you,
5		Chairman.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	You may proceed.
7	MR. GREEN:	Now, I would like for you to give the
8		Commission a sense of your background in
9		public life.
10	A:	I was the Member of Parliament for
11		Central Westmoreland from 1998 until
12		2007. I served in many positions in my
13		party.
14	Q:	Your party?
15	A:	The Peoples National Party.
16	Q:	Thank you.
17	A:	As Vice President, member of the
18		Executive, member of the Officers Core
19		and I also ran for President in 2006. I
20		served as Parliamentary Secretary in
21		Education, Minister of State in Health,
22		Minister of Water and Minister of
23		Housing and Water.
24	Q:	Did you serve as Minister during the
25		time that FINSAC happened?

1	A:	FINSAC, as I understood, was created in
2		1997, I joined the Cabinet in January of
3		1998.
4	Q:	Would you like to provide us with a
5		brief outline as to what is the purpose
6		of your making this submission?
7	A:	Having been invited for two main
8		reasons; one, to make a submission on
9		the treatment of the debtors and also to
10		appear before the Commission to testify.
11		Those are two main goals.
12	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Two main what?
13	A:	Two main reasons for my invitation as
14		expressed to me in a letter dated
15		June 2.
16	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I am sorry, I didn't hear the second
17		one, I heard the first one.
18	A:	And to appear before the Commission to
19		testify sent to me in a letter dated
20		June 2, 2011.
21	MRS. PHILLIPS:	What is the second purpose of your
22		submission to appear before the
23		Commission to testify.
24	A:	I will read in its entirety what was
25		said. The Commission has been asked by

1		debtors affected by FINSAC to request
2		you to make a submission
3	MRS. PHILLIPS:	What are you reading from, DEBTOR 8?
4	A:	Just what I was saying before, a letter
5		sent to me dated the 2nd of June 2011
6		under the signature of Fernando
7		DePeralto, Secretary of the Commission
8		of Enquiry.
9	MR. GREEN:	So you are saying DEBTOR 8, that you
10		are complying with a request made to
11		you?
12	A:	I am complying with a request.
13	Q:	Thank you. Now, I would like you for
14		the benefit of the Commission to give
15		us
16	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Objection.
17	MR. GREEN:	Objection?
18	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I think the witness was reading the
19		letter and I am certainly interested in
20		hearing what the letter says.
21	MR. GREEN:	Would you want us to put it in evidence?
22		We don't have an objection.
23 24	A: MRS. PHILLIPS:	Should I put it in? Until we get the statement could he read
25		the letter.

1	MR. GREEN:	DEBTOR 8, could you read the letter in
2		its entirety.
3	A:	Letter dated June 2, 2011.
4		DEBTOR 8 and my address
5		c/o Pegasus Hotel
6		85 Knutsford Boulevard
7		Kingston 5
8		Dear DEBTOR 8,
9		Re: Commission of Enquiry - Invitation
10		to appear before the Commission.
11		The Commission has been asked by debtors
12		affected by FINSAC to request you to
13		make a submission on the treatment of
14		debtors and to appear before the
15		Commission to testify.
16		The terms of reference of the Commission
17		are wide and include among other things
18		an assessment of the factors that caused
19		the financial crisis and whether or not
20		debtors were fairly treated by the
21		FINSAC Limited and JRF.
22		Should you be willing to accept the
23		Commission's invitation you are kindly
24		requested to make contact with the
25		Secretary of the Commission,

1		Mr. Fernando DePeralto, at the
2		Commission's Secretariat located on the
3		ground floor of the Pegasus Hotel or by
4		calling 908-4402/3 or 381-6118.
5		Yours respectfully
6		Fernando DePeralto
7		Secretary to the Commission of Enquiry.
8	Q:	Thank you.
9	MR. MOODIE:	Before you go on, Mr. Green.
10		Chairman, perhaps this question ought
11		best to be put to the Commission, given
12		that that letter came from the
13		Commission. Could you indicate the basis
14		on which DEBTOR 8 is being asked to
15		make a submission on the treatment of
16		debtors? Is it proposed that he will be
17		giving anecdotal evidence from other
18		debtors as to how they were treated or
19		is it proposed that he is going to speak
20		about his own personal relationship and
21		the treatment of himself as a debtor by
22 23	COMM. BOGLE:	FINSAC? Could you indicate, Chairman. By and large there are two situations
24		here. One, DEBTOR 8 was a member of
25		the Cabinet and at the time the

1		Government had made certain decisions
2		regarding the crises and also regarding
3		FINSAC and the other point is that
4		DEBTOR 8 was a debtor and he may very
5		well speak about his personal
6		experience.
7	MR. MOODIE:	So then he is not being asked to make a
8		submission on the treatment of debtors
9		generally, in relation to debtor it
10		would be a submission based on his
11		experience and his debt.
12	COMM. BOGLE:	He has to give evidence based on his
13		experience and as I said, his certain
14		knowledge.
15	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, DEBTOR 8 is being asked
16		to tell the truth, what he knows about
17		FINSAC having been a member during the
18		period in time when FINSAC's matters
19		were discussed by the Cabinet or he is
20		being confined to his own personal
21		situation?
22	HIS LORDSHIP:	As I said before, DEBTOR 8 was a
23		member of the Cabinet and a lot of
24		decisions would have been made there and
25		therefore we are expecting that aspect

1		of it to be dealt with.
2	MR. GREEN:	Thank you.
3	MRS. PHILLIPS:	May it please you, Mr. Chairman, this
4		Commission took a decision that all
5		debtors would give evidence before
6		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation was
7		called upon to respond. It was in that
8		context I asked this Commission whether
9		or not DEBTOR 8 was coming to give
10		evidence in his capacity as a debtor;
11		after Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation
12		has been examined and cross-examined
13		this Commission said no he was not
14		coming in that capacity. How do you now
15 16	COMM. BOGLE:	reconcile this decision with that? DEBTOR 8 has been asked to come to
17		this Commission. DEBTOR 8 will be
18		giving his submission and his statement
19		and should any of the attorneys here,
20		JRF, FINSAC, or any other attorney wish
21		to cross-examine DEBTOR 8 on any
22		matter that he will speak is so free to
23		do and therefore, the fact that
24		DEBTOR 8 might be mentioning his own
25		experience after JRF - well, FINSAC is

1		not yet finished - but after JRF, JRF is
2		quite at liberty and free to
3		cross-examine if that is necessary.
4	MRS. PHILLIPS:	So you are reversing yourself in
5		relation to that statement?
6	COMM. BOGLE:	Situations do happen whereby we have to
7		give leeway. This is a Commission of
8		Enquiry and I will from time to time
9		change or reverse if the situation - we
10		believe that the situation will provide
11		information for this Commission. Thank
12		you very much.
13	MRS. PHILLIPS:	And without a statement we can determine
14		that the information will assist the
15		terms of reference?
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Well, I tell you, I think that by and
17		large it is the purview of the
18		Commission. We have invited Dr.
19		DEBTOR 8
20	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I am not saying that is not your
21		purview.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	You will get a copy of the statement.
23	MRS. PHILLIPS:	No, I am saying you not having had one
24		before, it was put in your hand two
25		minutes ago, how is it that you were

1		able to determine that what he has to
2		say would be in the terms of reference?
3	COMM. BOGLE:	We will wait and see. And I will not
4		continue this conversation anymore. We
5		have invited DEBTOR 8 here and I will
6		ask that Mr. Green and DEBTOR 8
7		continue.
8	MR. GREEN:	DEBTOR 8, I will ask you, sir, by way
9		of background to give us a sense of what
10		was your assessment of the conditions,
11		the economic conditions existed just
12		around the time that FINSAC was
13		established in the Nineteen Eighties.
14	A:	In order to get into the request as made
15		by you, it is important for me in making
16		this submission to say that I do so with
17		the sole purpose of assisting in
18		fulfilling the Commission's mandate, in
19		helping to fulfill the Commission's
20		mandate which includes among other
21		things an assessment of the factors that
22		caused the financial crisis and whether
23		or not the debtors were fairly treated
24		by FINSAC Limited and Jamaican
25		Redevelopment Foundation Inc. I also

1		would hope that in doing so it is the
		intention of arriving at whether or not
2		debtors were not only fairly treated but
3		
4		equally treated by the said company. I note
5		that the Commission is dealing with and has
		the right to deal with the causes of the
6		financial crisis, however, it is important in
7		<u>-</u>
8		assessing the fair or equal treatment of the
9		debtors to find out how the banks calculated
10		the debts that were transferred to FINSAC.
		FINSAC's calculation of the debt sold to JRF:
11		who certified that these debts were accurate
12		
13		and truly reflected what was owed by the
14		debtors: who gave FINSAC and JRF the
15		authority to treat these debts in similar
		manner as if they were a commercial bank
16		
17		where the interest was concerned: what
18		action
1 0	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Objection. Is he here to ask questions
		or to give testimony?
	COMM. BOGLE:	I repeat I am not asking
	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Just a minute, I made an objection.
	COMM. BOGLE:	This is a part of his submission.
	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Well, you have an advantage over me

1		Commissioner because I don't have a copy
2		of his submission.
3	COMM. BOGLE:	And you will get that shortly.
4	MRS. PHILLIPS:	And I hear him asking questions,
5		witnesses don't ask questions.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	Mrs. Phillips, could you please allow
7		the Commission to run this Commission?
8	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I am making my objection, so you can
9		rule or is it that you are overruling
10		it?
11	COMM. BOGLE:	That objection is overruled.
12	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Thank you. I accept that.
13	A:	Mr. Chairman, I want to make it
14		absolutely clear that I am simply asking
15		that I hope the Commissioners are
16		looking into these areas, I am not
17		asking any questions. Were all the
18		debtors treated fairly and most
19		importantly, equal, and if not why? Were
20		politicians or persons closely
21		associated with the political parties
22		singled out for special treatment what
23		could be considered as sweetheart deals?
24		Were certain debtors, to include certain
25		politicians, singled out for harsh

treatment, and if so, why and by whom? In 1 my position Mr. Chairman, as holding the 2 different positions as was earlier indicated 3 and also being very actively involved as a 4 cattle farmer, limestone miner, being a 5 hotelier myself, dealing in real estate and 6 general insurance family business, I found 7 myself seated in the front row of the arena. 8 It is not my intention to use this submission 9 to simply highlight problems I had in 10 settling the debts with me. But of course, 11 to use my experience to show similarities 12 which exist with other debtors who have 13 appeared before this Commission, especially 14 as it relates to the fairness of treatment 15 and to the debtors and was this treatment 16 equal to all? 17 In giving a background as I was asked in 18 examining the factors that caused the 19 financial crisis and whether or not the 20 debtors were treated fairly by FINSAC and 21 JRF, it is important to take our minds back 22 to the period of the early 2.3 2.4

1		Nineties and try to recall the realities
2		that were on the ground at that time,
3		the country's debt burden was indeed
4		very heavy. The government was trying
5		to have some of our debts written off
6		and certainly we had to approach certain
7		countries to include that of the United
8		States. Growth at the time was very
9		minimal, exports were down.
10	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Objection. Can he state the source of
11		this information?
12	A:	As a member of the Cabinet.
13	MR. GREEN:	May I say that DEBTOR 8 is making a
14		submission, he is making a submission in
15		the hope that it can be of value. It
16		cannot be regarded as a purely
17		legalistic exercise, he is making
18		comments and opinions that he is
19		entitled to make. And I wish, may it
20		please you Mr. Commissioner, that he be
21		given a little latitude in expressing
22		his opinions. And indeed so many
23		persons have given testimony in the past
24		and had been given a little latitude. I
25		ask for your assistance that we do not

1		have him unnecessarily interrupted while
2		he is making some basic comments about
3		his own opinion.
4		Applause
5	COMM. BOGLE:	Please refrain from the applause.
6	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, I seem to recall hearing
7		witnesses before this Commission who
8		were not even around in Jamaica at the
9		time of the FINSAC takeover of the
10		economy giving evidence of hearsay and I
11		speak particularly of Jason Rudd, the
12		CEO of Jamaican Redevelopment
13		Foundation. So I cannot understand what
14		Mrs. Phillips is objecting about. Or
15		are we waiting for an injunction to be
16		served for stopping him giving evidence?
17		Because that is what I expect them to
18		do.
19	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, Mr. Levy. Mr. Moodie?
20	MR. MOODIE:	As I understood it, Chairman, I think
21		the objection by my friend was if
22		DEBTOR 8 is giving information about
23		the economic conditions, I think it
24		would only be fair that he points out
25		the source of that information.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	That you may under cross-examination,
2		ask him. Please allow him to finish his
3		submission.
4	MR. MOODIE:	Okay. Might I ask one more question,
5		Chairman, which is, DEBTOR 8 I think
6		indicated that the source of some
7		information was Cabinet, is it that
8		DEBTOR 8 is saying he has the
9		permission of Cabinet to reveal
10		information which was communicated to
11		him within the confines of this
12		deliberations?
13	MR. GREEN:	I think we are going a little bit too
14 15	MR. MOODIE:	far. DEBTOR 8 said his source of
16		information is Cabinet.
17	MR. GREEN:	He gave that testimony this morning.
18	MR. MOODIE:	As far as I am aware the deliberations
19		of Cabinet would be confidential. So is
20		it that information which DEBTOR 8 is
21		giving, which he says he got from
22		Cabinet, he has the permission of
23		Cabinet to proceed with that
23		Cabinet to proceed with that information? That is my objection and

1	MR. GREEN:	Mr. Commissioners, DEBTOR 8 is not
2		disclosing any information from the
3		Cabinet, that is not what he is doing,
4		he is expressing an opinion, a general
5		opinion.
6	MR. MOODIE:	So there is
7	MR. GREEN:	May I finish, Mr. Moodie, please?
8	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly counsel.
9	MR. GREEN:	I cannot see why a witness testifying
10		before this Commission is not entitled
11		to express a general opinion about
12		conditions which existed. How can that
13		be objectionable? We are wasting time.
14	MR. MOODIE:	I heard DEBTOR 8 say the source of his
15		information is Cabinet, he never said
16		this is my opinion, he said the source
17		of my information is Cabinet. Is that
18		your recollection, Chairman?
19	COMM. BOGLE:	No.
20	MR. MOODIE:	Could the transcript be read to reflect
21		that.
22	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Can the shorthand
23	COMM. BOGLE:	No, no, I am going to overrule all the
24		objections at this time and ask
25		DEBTOR 8 to continue.

1	MR. MOODIE:	Noted, Chairman.
2	COMM. BOGLE:	I am overruling all the objections and
3		DEBTOR 8, would you please continue.
4	A:	Mr. Chairman, in continuing, let me make
5		it absolutely clear that in answering
6		and presenting this submission, I am
7		doing so from a background of the
8		positions I held, not only as a Cabinet
9		Member but in the party, in the public
10		as just an ordinary Jamaican and in my
11		business entities so this is where I am
12		pulling this from.
13		Certainly, I would have known as an
14		exporter of limestone at the time to the
15		US that exports were down, imports were
16		up, manufacturing was down, small and
17		medium hotels were in trouble. I owned
18	one. We needed to boo	est agriculture. I was a cattle farmer of some
19		500 heads of cattle. The NIR was almost
20		non-existent, the entire Jamaica knew that.
21		The nation was called upon to 'produce' our
22		way out of the problem.
23		I simply remember that calls were made from
24		the Government and from all sectors

for banks to respond to the call of production. Certain banks heeded the call more than others. Loans were made available to entrepreneurs who heeded the call for production, especially in the area of tourism and agriculture. Loans were made to parents to educate their children and of course, to deal with personal matters. It was known to me that some banks became more caught up in the production drive and themselves even entered areas such as tourism and agriculture also with a view of earning foreign exchange.

I will not debate the wisdom of whether or not these banks ought to have ventured into these areas; I leave it to the historians. We as members of the Government at that time, we had introduced a Motor Vehicle Policy. Every single Jamaican was entitled to a car or a van; we wanted to help to push production. There was an increased need for foreign exchange. We introduced liberalization somewhere around 1991. We

o E

1 2	had a lot of Jamaican Dollar chasing after
3 4	the US Dollar and the speculators were out
5 6	in full. The value of the Jamaican dollar was
	tumbling. Inflation was skyrocketing. The
7 8	competition for the US Dollar by government,
9	the private sector, or just the small
10	entrepreneurs, even the individual was
11	
12	indeed very active.
13	Action was enquired.
14	HIGH INTEREST RATE REGIME
15	The Government, of which I was a part,
16	introduced a high interest rate policy
17	which most of us would agree, is an
	effective tool to achieve certain
18	objectives such as
19	Tightening liquidity to discourage
20	currency speculators, thus protecting the
21	
22	exchange rate and controlling inflation.
23	The problem is, the Government erred
24	significantly in maintaining the high
25	interest rate for much too long a period.
	This tool is best used for short periods.

If I might be allowed to give an 1 analogy, in the case where there is damage 2 done to a main artery in limb a person, it 3 might become necessary to apply tourniquet 4 to stop the bleeding, however if it is going 5 to be for a prolonged, period it is essential 6 to relax the tourniquet to allow some blood 7 flow to reach the limb. Failure to do this 8 would result in death to that part of the 9 limb, distal to the tourniquet. So what is 10 a good and necessary procedure, could end up 11 in the death of the limb. 12 So it was with the high interest rate policy. 13 It was maintained for too long a period. So, 14 the situation that was created in the 15 financial sector was that the entrepreneurs 16 who had well established business plans, to 17 include interest rates between 18 and 20 18 percent, now found themselves being 19 forced to repay loans at an interest rate 20 of above sixty percent in most cases. At 21 the same time the Government 22 2.3 2.4

つに

was offering rates somewhere between 1 fifty and sixty percent on Government 2 Paper. The banks found themselves 3 competing, so they were forced, they 4 claimed, and if I might use this word, to 5 jack up the interest rates. 6 The desperate entrepreneurs, as well as 7 fathers and mothers who had simply 8 mortgaged their homes to cover their 9 children's educational expenses as well as 10 to cover health expenses, now found 11 themselves in a real tsunami. 12 The desperate clients of the bank were 13 offered overdrafts from which the Bank 14 Managers would take loan payments. Like is 15 a drowning man clutching to a straw, they 16 took up the offer which now saw them paying 17 back loans at interest rates above 60 but also 18 now servicing an overdraft at approximately 19 120 percent. There is no way on God's earth 2.0 that any legitimate business or person on 21 salary could service these loans. The 22 Government, which I was a part of, ought to 23 have been aware of this situation. 24

Not even the best of illegal Ponzi scheme 1 persons, managers could maintain paying out 2 100% interest on funds they took in, so I 3 don't know how in God's earth could anyone 4 could expect these clients of the bank to 5 not only pay out an interest rate of sixty 6 or above but to service an overdraft of 120 percent. It must be clear to all well 8 thinking Jamaicans that to do so you would 9 have to fall in the following categories, at 10 least some of these categories. Old money 11 would have to have been introduced into the 12 business, likes so many of us in those days 13 did. 14 So many of us had to sell off assets, 15 downsize the business and use the money to 16 pay the loan. 17 We sold off unrelated assets and used the 18 money to keep paying the loan. And I would 19 hate to think anyone would have used illegal 2.0 funds to pump in the business to pay. That is 21 the only way it could happen. 22 So the problem on the ground was very 23 2.4

0 E

Τ			clear to everybody.
2			The clients of the bank were failing; bad
3			debt portfolio were rising; Deposits to the
4			banks were decreasing; and those banks which
5			responded to the government's call for
6			increased production, found themselves in
7			deep water much keeper than the other banks.
8			The situation in some banks were made worse
9			because they involved in what we have been
10			told in Jamaica in what is known as
11			inter-group transaction and other bad
12			practices. The banks that were cautious in
13			that they didn't respond as much to the
14			production drive and if they had to seek
15			permission from their foreign owners, they
16			were able to survive.
17			We now found the financial sector
18			heading into crisis, and thus the
19			necessity for FINSAC.
20			Hold a minute. You are aware
21			DEBTOR 8, that you told us before, that
22	MR.	GREEN:	FINSAC was established, and I am going to
23			ask you to express your own
24			

1		opinion based on your own experience in
2		Government as to why you understood that
3		FINSAC was established?
4	A:	I want to make it very clear because I
5		want no misunderstanding. I believe
6		then, and I believe today, that the
7		creation of FINSAC was necessary. It was
8		my understanding then that FINSAC's role
9		was to prevent the total collapse of the
10		financial sector in Jamaica and to
11		restore the sector to stability and
12		growth.
13		FINSAC had two main approaches.
14		It dealt with the financial sector and
15		it dealt with the debtors.
16		Not being privy to the internal workings
17		of the financial institutions and
18		certainly not having the opportunity to
19		examine the books in detail, I cannot
20		truly comment on whether or not the
21		treatment meted out to these banks was
22		indeed the best possible approach.
23		My only concern then was that, having
24		agreed that the National Commercial Bank
25		was to big to fail, and removing its bad

1		debt portfolio, this bank ought not to
2		have been sold to any private individual
3		or company unless there was just no
4 5		other option. (APPLAUSE)
6	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, we are not at a concert.
7	DEBTOR 8:	It should have been offered to the
8		workers and to the public by way of
9		shares. It would have been very easy
10		for the Government of the day to change
11		the top management if we thought they
12		were the problem, and certainly to
13		improve on the monitoring and the
14		regulations of the financial sector.
15		It is no secret that the same bank was
16		able to produce significant profit in
17		the first year of operation (after
18		having been sold.
19		I am in total agreement, and let us not
20		have any misunderstanding here, of the
21		decision of the government of which I
22		was a part to protect the insurance
23		policy holders, the pensioners, the
24		small savers and even the large ones,
25		even the depositors. But I would

1	certainly have placed a limit on how much
2	of the taxpayers money would be called
3	upon to bail out the large depositors.
4	Nobody can denied the positive outcome
5	having created FINSAC. The Government and
6	Minister Davies must and be
7	commended for what took place after that in
8	the financial sector:
9	Strengthening of the regulatory and
10	legislative framework, and the
1	compliance issues
.2	The ability to detect inter-group
13	transactions early was ensured;
_ 4	Increased participation of foreign
15	institutions which would attract long term
L 6	capital and skills were introduced
.7	Restriction on cross section activities
_8	Retention of deposit insurance legislation
.9	Legislative power to supervise and
20	demand full and timely disclosure
21	Power to issue Cease and Desist
22	directives and finally close
23	Adopting more internationally accepted
24	

つち

1	accounting practices
2	Drafting of new insurance law and
3	regulation
	Full reorganization of the Office of
4	Superintendent of Insurance and
5	Development of a law to protect private
6	pension funds.
7	But my problem lies in dealing with the
8	category that I believe, as a
9	government, we took our eyes off the "ball"
10	
11	and that was, the debtors. Having put in
12	place what the minister clearly explained
13	himself, was a Committee whose
1.4	responsibility it was to see how government
15	could help those commercial entities which
16	could play a role in helping to restore the
17	financial sector to growth, it is
18	disappointing that we now hear of the
19	difficulties these commercial entities had
20	in trying to settle their debts and in some
21	cases assuming that the presentation made by
22	these commercial entities is indeed correct,
23	I would have to conclude they were not
	treated properly.
24	

I have no reason to doubt Dr. Davies when 1 he says that the members of the committee 2 became fed up because all the debtors wanted 3 was to have their debts written off and their 4 assets returned to them. 5 In light of the testimony of many such 6 persons before this Commission and the 7 documents they presented to support their 8 efforts to settle the debts of their 9 commercial entities, as well as my own 10 experiences, I would have to conclude that 11 the explanation the Committee gave to the 12 Minister, was indeed incorrect and 13 unfortunate. I wish my Minister had, at 14 that time, abolished that Committee and 15 put in place, one willing to carry out its 16 mandates, but this time to have even a 17 Minister of State monitoring since he was 18 extremely business trying to stabilize the 19 banking sector. 20 Minister Davies also gave instructions, as 21 he stated, that special instructions were 2.2 given that special consideration 2.3 24

2 =

should be given to the debtors where their 1 primary residence was used as security for 2 loans. I have not seen what these special 3 considerations are. 4 I have not been able to see them printed 5 anywhere and I have know information as to 6 who was given the responsibility to ensure that these debtors benefited from the 8 considerations given to them by their 9 government. If the debtors were aware of, in 10 writing, what their rights were as given to 11 them by their 12 government, they would have been in a better 13 position to protect themselves. Again, based 14 the testimonies given before the Commission 15 and my experience as it relates to my 16 brother's debt it is clear that these 17 considerations were not given. Had they 18 been, it would be unlikely that we would be 19 hearing of the many sufferings driving some 20 families into utter despair, having lost 21 their primary residence as well as other 22 business assets. The case I recall most 23 vividly is that of the eighty-one year 24

つに

1		old lady who now finds herself living in
2		a trailer, even after it is reported
3		that she had made a deal with JRF under
4		the leadership of Mr. Joslin to live in
5		her house for her natural life.
6	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Sorry. Is that the same lady who came
7		here from overseas, Edith Donaldson?
8	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, could DEBTOR 8 continue
9 10	MRS. PHILLIPS:	his evidence? You are asking him to specify, aren't
11		you, because there was an old lady who
12		gave evidence who said that but she came
13		from abroad.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Would you make a note of that and have
15 16	MRS. PHILLIPS:	that under cross-examination please. But why is evidence being given which is
17		unspecific in nature? It is a valid
18		objection.
19	COMM. BOGLE:	And I am saying, will you please put
20		that question to DEBTOR 8 under
21		cross-examination.
22	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman, if I may, you indicated to
23		me earlier that you would not be
24		facilitating anecdotal evidence from
25		other debtors being given by DEBTOR 8.

1		This is exactly where we are going down.
2		Are you now reversing yourself on that?
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Moodie, did I make a statement? I
4		made a statement earlier and I said I
5		will allow DEBTOR 8 to continue. I
6		said there might be situations that may
7		reverse or adjust.
8	MR. MOODIE:	So you are reversing that initial
9		statement, Mr. Chairman?
10	COMM. BOGLE:	In an effort to get information, as I
11		have done in past, I have allowed
12		latitude to lawyers, I have allowed
13		latitude to persons giving submissions
14		under cross-examination and otherwise
15		and I will continue so to do in the
16		interest of this Commission getting the
17		information which the Commission feels
18		necessary.
19		At the end of the day the Commission
20		will go through all the evidence and the
21		Commission will be required to write a
22		report based on the facts that the
23		Commission receives.
24	MR. GREEN:	Mr. Chairman, it is clear that
25		Mrs. Sandra Minott-Phillips is seeking

1		clarification and she will have more
2		than enough time and opportunity to have
3		DEBTOR 8 clarify any statement that he
4		made during the presentation. Could we
5		kindly proceed?
6	COMM. BOGLE:	Proceed. As I said before, I told Mrs.
7		Phillips, that under cross-examination
8		you can put any question that you deemed
9		necessary based on the submission.
10	MR. DEBTOR 8:	So Mr. Chairman, if I may continue.
11		BAD DEBTORS:
12		Now we have what some persons are
13		calling bad debtors. They were good
14		clients of the bank meeting their
15		obligations to the bank and other
16		financial institutions but met upon a
17		high interest rate regime.
18	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Objection. What is the source of that
19		information?
20	COMM. BOGLE:	Objection overruled.
21	MR. LEVY:	(inaudible)
22	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, Mr. Levy! Objection overruled
23		even at this stage.
24	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, to say that they were good
25		clients of the bank is a statement of

1		fact and a person who is giving evidence
2		of a statement of fact must establish
3		the basis on which he is able to put it
4		forward as fact.
5	COMM. BOGLE:	And again I am saying, Mrs. Phillips,
6		that whatever question you have
7		regarding the submission of DEBTOR 8
8		that you may so do under
9		cross-examination.
10		Continue for me.
11	MR. DEBTOR 8:	They certainly met upon this high
12		interest regime or policy which did not
13		last for a short time but lasted for a
14		very long time which was years. I, in
15		drawing my own conclusion, and I believe
16		those of well thinking Jamaicans, will
17		conclude that absolutely no legit
18		business could have survived this type
19		of high interest regime for so long a
20		period.
21	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Objection, speculative, opinion.
22 23	COMM. BOGLE: MR. GREEN:	Overruled. You may not agree with his opinion but
24		he is entitled to it.
25	COMM, BOGLE:	I did overrule the objection so we can

1		continue.
2	MRS. PHILLIPS:	He can give evidence as to fact not as
3		an expert witness. Now, if his opinion
4		is relevant
5	COMM. BOGLE:	We will leave that to the Commission.
6	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, that is an issue of law,
7		Commissioner, that's an issue of law.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	I have overruled your objection. Can we
9		continue?
10	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, can I ask a question of
11		you? Is this Commission bound by the
12		rules of the Evidence Act?
13	COMM. BOGLE:	No.
14 15	MR. LEVY: MR. DEBTOR 8:	I just wanted to clarify, Mr. Chairman. I will continue.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
17	MR. DEBTOR 8:	It is important to look at a period of
18		one to two years prior to when the banks
19		got the opportunity of their lives to
20		compile the bad debts list with a clear
21		indication that the government, the
22		taxpayers of this country, would have
23		relieved them of this bad debt
24		portfolio. This period, not saying there
25		was, but this period, this request

opened opportunity for abuse; not saying 1 that there was. The banks then turned over these bad debts 3 portfolios to FINSAC. The debtors were never given a chance to certify the accuracy this 5 debt. I have personal experience to know that where arrangements were in place prior to debt being taken over by FINSAC the bank 9 simply ignored these arrangements and the 10 loan was turned over to FINSAC as a bad debt. 11 Where FINSAC erred as well as the government 12 of which I was a part, is not monitoring the 13 tabulation of these debts turned over to 14 FINSAC. I believe that an accounting firm or 15 firms should have been in place to certify 16 that the debts being turned over truly 17 reflected that which was owned my the 18 debtors. This should have been certified by 19 the debtors and where there was a dispute, 20 the banks and their clients should have 21 settled the matter before FINSAC took the 2.2 debts. 2.3 24

1	The same injustice was done when the debts
2	were sold to JRF. Debtors could get no print
3	out showing how the principal came to be what
4	it was and the interests.
5	What we got was a letter for which I have
	many copies. It simply stated: Dear
6	Debtor.
7	Re liabilities with Refin Trust Limited,
8	(Subsidiary of FINSAC Limited)
9	The account in the name of the debtor and
10	it lists the debtor and the bank. And it
11	
12	simply says principal so much, interest
13	so much, total so much per
14	diem.
15	No real Statement of Accounts to show how
16	they arrived at such principal and the
17	interest which they quoted up front and this
18	continued throughout the period the debts
19	were with them until sold to JRF.
20	The unkindest cut of them all came when
21	FINSAC sold the debt to JRF for some 17 cents
22	to twenty cents, I think. I have 17 here,
23	I think it is 17 to 20, it
24	

つ E

varies, in the dollar, and in some cases 1 they did not even promise to pay for the debt 2 unless they were able to collect on the debt. 3 That was known as 'hard to 4 recover debt'. It was a mistake and a serious 5 mistake to have given JRF the right to be able to be charging this interest on a daily basis, compound. It was a mistake. Where as we could have as a government, we could have 9 given it to FINSAC because we had control 10 over FINSAC. In the case of JRF, as I 11 understand it, is a company based in 12 Florida. United States. I do not know if I 13 am sure but I think that is what I saw on the 14 court documents, so I am 15 accepting it as being so. But that is 16 irrelevant. The fact is that the 17 Minister and the Ministry of Finance had 18 absolutely no control over this company, 19 and in presenting to the Commission if I 20 am not very sure of a thing relating to a 21 company that I am not associated with, I 22 will make it clear. That is why I did so. 23 24

25

1		The Financial Services Commission had no
2		control over this company. It did not
3		come under the Banking Act. The Bank of
4		Jamaica Act had no control, gave the
5		Bank no control over this company. By
6		giving JRF this right, by doing so, the
7		company was put in the position to abuse
8		the debtors, with absolutely no recourse
9		to the debtor, but to go to the court or
10		to plead for mercy. There is no way the
11		debtors could afford the high profile
12		lawyers for prolonged periods to fight
13		the cases all the way to the Privy
14		Council,
15		None of the debtors including myself
16		(APPLAUSE)
17	COMM. BOGLE:	May I ask that the applause from the
18		audience be not continued, including Mr.
19		Levy.
20	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, I will dot all the 'is'
21		and cross the 'ts'.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	Go ahead Mr. DEBTOR 8.
23	MR. DEBTOR 8:	None of the debtors including myself who
24		believe we ought to challenge the
25		constitutionality of anyone being given

1		the right to this company to charge this
2		type of interest rate as well as the
3		right to act in so respect as a
4		commercial bank can afford the legal
5		expense to conclude this matter. This to
6		me is a grave injustice to the debtors.
7	MRS. PHILLIPS:	This witness has no right, authority to
8		speak on behalf of anyone and I want it
9		noted on the records. Mr. Chairman, are
10		you going to respond to my objection?
11	COMM. BOGLE:	I assume, Mr. Green, is responding.
12	MR. GREEN:	Mr. DEBTOR 8 is speaking from his own
13		personal knowledge and experience on an
14		issue, and he is entitled to do so and
15		if based on his own personal experience
16		and knowledge he came to a particular
17		conclusion. I can see no basis for
18		somebody objecting to him giving his
19		expression of his own opinion based on
20		his experience.
21	MR. MOODIE:	It cannot be based on his opinion.
22	MRS. PHILLIPS:	It was not a statement based on his own
23		behalf, Commissioners.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	Just a minute. If we cannot get some
25		order, then unfortunately we have to do

1		something to ensure that we have order,
2		but we will not allow this Commission to
3		be dragged into all sorts of behaviour.
4		I am imploring the persons in the
5		audience to control yourselves, if not,
6		as I said, the Commissioners will have
7		to do something about it.
8	MRS. PHILLIPS:	The statement did not say 'I', the
9		statement says, 'none of the debtors'. It
10		includes an entire category. It is made on
11 12		behalf of them all, all four thousand and
13		whatever, not just the eight who have
14		testified against Jamaican Redevelopment
15		Foundation and the word, 'we' is used to
		coincide with
16		his treatment of an entire class.
17		That is my objection and it is not just
18		this statement, the testimony is replete
19		with such references.
20	COMM. BOGLE:	The objection again is overruled and as
21		I said, all your concerns you may
22 23	MRS. PHILLIPS:	address them in cross-examination. Yes, Commissioners, but I will continue
24		to object when think it is required as I
25		am entitled to do, so that my objection

1 2	COMM. BOGLE:	can be noted for the record. Go ahead.
3	MR. DEBTOR 8:	Mr. Chairman, just so that you may
4		guide me. I am asked, I am requesting to
5		make a submission on the treatment of
6		debts. That is what the letter is
7		saying. I am saying, this is a grave
8		injustice and I concluded by saying,
9		'the debtors' if it is even myself, if
10		it is even that of my brothers, if it is
11		even that of my JANE DOEs, it becomes
12		plural. I did not say, all debtors, I
13		said, the debtors. That is more than
14		one debtors. So the debtors were not
15		treated fairly.
16		The testimonies before this Commission
17		and certainly my own clearly suggest
18		with proof that offers far in excess of
19		17 cents in the dollar were made by
20		debtors for their debts. Let us not
21		forget and this is not my saying but
22		that of Mr. Hylton himself
23	MR. MOODIE:	Which Hylton.
24	MR. DEBTOR 8:	Patrick Hylton who was in charge of
25		FINSAC while addressing the role and

		aims of FINSAC said the k	oillion dollar
1		question is how much of t	his 20 billion non
2		_	
3		performing loans do we ex	_
4		FINSAC's answer, is, as n	nuch as is humanly
5		possible. This is the tax	xpayers money.
		So for FINSAC to sell these	debts for 17 cents
6		in the dollar without offe	ering the debts to
7		the debtors at a much hid	ther rate, is
8		contrary to this statement	•
9		_	
10		best interest of the taxp	payers.
11		Having made the decision t	to sell, had FINSAC
		offered these debts in writ	ting to the debtors
12		for just say 20 to 30 cents	s in the dollar and
13		they refused, then the debt	cors would have had
14		no leg to stand	
15		18	on.
16		19 COMM. BOGLE:	At this point
		DEBTOR 8, ladies and	-
0.0			-
20		gentlemen, we will have	our usual ten
21		minutes break.	
22		COFFEE BREAK	
23	COMM. BOGLE:	Ladies and gentlemen the	is enquiry is now
24		back in session. DEBTOR	8, Mr. Green.
25	MR. GREEN:	Yes. DEBTOR 8	

1	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Before he resumes Mr. Chairman, my friend
2		Mr. Green had offered to put the letter of
3		June 2, 2011 in evidence and I accepted that
4		offer. Could you kindly have it admitted in
5		evidence.
6	COMM BOGLE:	Certainly.
7	MR. GREEN:	I have absolutely no objection to the
	8	letter being tendered and admitted as
	9	evidence. I am not sure of your numbering
	10	scheme.
11 12	COMM BOGLE: MR. GREEN:	In which case we will have that as KB 1. KB
12	MR. GREEN.	1?
13	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
14 15	MR. GREEN: MRS. PHILLIPS:	Very well.
		Obliged.
16	MR. GREEN:	It's normally EKB but we will accept KB. We
17	COMM BOGLE:	can change it to EKB.
18	MR. GREEN:	KB is fine.
19		I think, DEBTOR 8, prior to the break we were
20 21		discussing the whole business of injustice.
22	MR. GARCIA:	Sorry to interrupt my friend. May I have a
23		copy of the exhibit.
24	MR. MOODIE:	Same. You can have my copy.

MR. GREEN:

1	MR. GARCIA:	Thank you.
2	MR. GREEN:	Any more housekeeping matters?
3	MR. MOODIE:	May I just ask Mr. DePeralto in a
4		convenient moment to provide FINSAC with
5		a copy.
6	COMM BOGLE:	You will get that.
7	MR. MOODIE:	Grateful, Chairman.
8	MR. GREEN:	Yes, DEBTOR 8, back to you.
9	DEBTOR 8:	Mr. Chairman, and other Commissioners, I
10		am now looking at what I have as a
11		heading:
12		Treatment of Debtors by FINSAC/JRF.
13		And this is where Mr. Chairman, I have a
14		serious concern.
15		It is well known and written in the
16		press that Dr. Davies, the former
17		Minister of Finance has made many calls
18		on the now Minister of finance to make
19		available to all of us his debts and how
20		they were treated, etc.
21	MR. MOODIE:	Sorry, I did not get that. Whose debt?
22	COMM BOGLE:	The Current Minister of Finance.
23	DEBTOR 8:	The current Minister of Finance. Dr
24		Davies, the former Minister, in the
25		press, written and otherwise has asked

1		that Minister, Mr. Audley Shaw make
2		available his debts, how they were
3		treated, what the right off was.
4	MR. M00DIE:	When you say 'his', Minister Davies?
5	A:	Minister Shaw. Sorry for not being
6		plain.
7		I will go a little further. We all
8		could agree that the debtors list must
9		be published.
10	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Objection. We who?
11	A:	I agree that the debtors list ought to
12		be published.
13	MR. GREEN:	Many would agree.
14	A:	And since Dr. Davies has introduced the
15		fact that Minister Shaw should reveal
16		his debt, I go much further for fairness
17		and for transparency, I believe all
18		Ministers who formed the Cabinet then
19		should also make available what their
20		debt was, what the write-off was and
21		how, what arrangements were in place for
22		them to pay the balances. Because you
23		see I believe that transparency leading
24		to fairness and equality, is essential
25		for the public to understand that all

1		debtors were treated equally.
2		As a matter of fact, I go further, and I
3		say all MPs, all politicians should lead
4		the way by making their debts available.
5	MR. MOODIE:	If I can Mr. Chairman, just for
6		clarification because these statements
7		are going out, I just wish the record to
8		reflect that FINSAC has provided to this
9		Commission a list of all debtors who
10		received concessions and that would
11		include the persons that Dr DEBTOR 8 is
12		making representation about.
13	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I also have a clarification on behalf of
14		my client and would also like to point
15		out that a list of all debtors was made
16		available by my client from 2009 before
17		the very first sitting in response to a
18		request from the then Commission.
19	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, what we have seen from
20		experience, is that lists of all things
21		provided by FINSAC have shown that
22		things were not provided, were not on
23		the list including titles which were
24		sold by FINSAC and I am specifically
25		relating to DEBTOR 18 and some

1		other things which you are aware of.
2	MR. MOODIE:	Is Mr. Levy speaking of the list to
3		which I referred?
4	CHAIRMAN:	All points made by attorneys have been
5		noted. We will now move on Doctor
6		DEBTOR 8.
7	A:	Thank you Mr. Chairman. I'll not deal
8		with my personal debt, and you notice I
9		said' 'my personal debt' which was
10		related to the purchase of six
11		PROPERTIES. It is possible that
12		repayments were already made as well as
13		the sale of one apartment very early in
14		the history of the loan, coupled with
15		the fact that JRF had put up the other
16		five for auction, that at some stage
17		I'll be advised whether my debt has been
18		cleared and whether they owe me money
19		and will return that money to me or
20		whether or not some years down the line
21		I'll be told that they just didn't get
22		enough money so I still owe them. The
23		principal is so much, the interest is so
24		much, the accrual so much. I don't know
25		what the outcome will be but I await.

1		However, I will now use the instance of
2		debt related to me, to my family for
3		which I have attempted to treat with and
4		show how this was dealt with by the
5		bank, FINSAC and JRF. This will clearly
6		show and you will have supporting
7		letters, that in my opinion an injustice
8		was meted out to how this debt was
9		treated.
10		Apparently, in 1993
11		DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE, (deceased) used their
title to		
12		secure a loan of $Million$ for my JANE DOE
13		JANE DOE.
14		The bank seems to have granted her three
15		additional loans thereafter, and as
16		explained to me some years later, and
17		seeing the bank statements, the
18		overdraft facilities were used to
19		service the first loans, and to operate
20 21 MRS	S. PHILLIPS:	a small business. Through you, Mr. Chairman, I am seeking
22		a clarification. Which bank?
23	A:	National commercial bank.
24	Q:	Thank you.

25 A: This also included a car loan of

1		\$XXXX.
2		By December 29, 1995, the overdraft was
3		cleared, that is, the overdraft operated
4		by my JANE DOE and the account was put in
5		credit XXXX.
6		By January 2, 1996, the car loan was
7		paid out.
8		On January 2, 1996, the loan for \$ XXXX
9		million was also paid out.
10		On July 7, 1997 another loan for JANE DOE
11		was cleared. (This was the loan on which
12		I was paying, but I cannot remember how
13		it or the other loans came to be paid
14		out).
15	MR. MOODIE:	Might I just ask through you Mr.
16		Chairman, whether there is supporting
17		documentation in relation to these
18		assertions?
19 20	MR. GREEN: MR. MOODIE:	Yes, the documents are available. Might I ask to be provided with copies
21		of those documents?
22	COMM BOGLE:	I think DEBTOR 8 did say that he will
23		provide the documents.
24	MR. GREEN:	He will provide the documents.
25	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I am sorry, I know that was the position

1		of the Commission, but we don't know if
2		it has changed. Could I get a copy
3	COMM BOGLE:	please. Copies will be provided.
5	DEBTOR 8:	May I continue?
6	COMM BOGLE:	Yes. copies will be provided.
7	MR. GREEN:	I undertake to provide copies and
8		undertake at an appropriate time to put
9		these documents in evidence.
10	DEBTOR 8:	One thing I am sure, my JANE DOE did not
11		pay out these loans. By examining the
12		bank statements which I have I noticed
13		that on January 2,1997, the same date
14		that other loans were cleared, a loan
15		account was opened in DEBTOR 8's
16		name (my RELATIVE) and was debited \$2.5M
17		for principal and interest of
18		\$ XXXX.
19		Some years later, towards the end of
20		1995 and early 1996, I don't know what
21		happened but I assumed, and rightfully
22		so, that the bank was tidying up these
23		accounts. Somehow, JANE DOE's loans
24		were cleared and DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE now had

a

age. He would never have taken out this 1 loan. This loan was apparently transferred 2 to him and an account opened on the same day 3 that JANE DOE' loans were closed, that is, January 2, 1996. By August 21, 1996, a letter 5 was addressed to DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE stating 6 that, as of the close of business on August 7 19, 1996, his account reflected the balance 8 and arrears quoted, and that he was to make 9 an immediate deposit to clear. 10 Again, one can only assume or I can only 11 assume, that the bank was tiding up their 12 books. This certainly would have been a 13 massive surprise to my DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE, had 14 the letter reached him, but JANE DOE, in a 15 letter dated August 27, 1996, informed the 16 bank that she intercepted the letter and 17 reprimanded them for showing what she 18 described as 19 callousness to a cardiac patient and 20 requested that in the future, all such 21 documents relating to the loan should be 22 referred to her, the borrower. Apparently, 23 she was unaware that the 24

つち

bank had somehow transferred the loan to 1 DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE. Surprisingly, however, by 2 March 9, 1998, the said loan was transferred 3 to DEBTOR 8. and that refers to me. By March 4 11, 1998, a reversal takes place, and again 5 by April 9, 1998, by advice, an amount of 6 \$XXXX with interest, appeared on an account 7 in my name at NCB, now creating an overdraft 8 in my name which showed a figure of \$XXXX. 9 Apparently, they now had an account with an 10 overdraft to send to FINSAC and this became 11 known as DEBTOR 8 National Commercial Bank 12 Loan. 13 Let me be absolutely clear that the only thing 14 I remember is that when the loans associated 15 with my JANE DOE and secured by DEBTOR 8 16 RELATIVE and DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE stood at 17 \$XXXX, a letter 18 threatening to sell my parents' house if the 19 loan was not cleared, was sent to them. That 20 would be somewhere towards the end of 1995 21 and January 1996. As the Lord would have it 22 the Post Office 23 24

つに

1	confused DEBTOR 8 with DEBTOR 8 and the
2	letter came to me instead. Being a medical doctor I was well aware of
3	the damage that could be done to my aged and
4	ailing parents, using their primary
5	residence to secure a loan for JANE DOE.
6	
7	I told my big brother, JOHN DOE, and we both
8	went to NCB, held discussions with the
9	Manager and verbally agreed to pay on this
10	loan which would be in the name of JANE DOE
11	DEBTOR 8/JANE DOE Ventures Limited and the
12	security was changed from the residential
13	property owned by my parents to commercial
14	property on THE PROPERTY, Savanna-la-mar,
15	owned by my family.
16	An arrangement was made to pay the loan for
17	\$XXXXM thus
18	\$XXXXM was to be paid from an account in the
19	name of DEBTOR 8 Insurance (Agents)
20	Limited. The monthly payment was \$XXXX,
21	and.
22	2. \$XXXXM was to be paid by me. The
23	
24	

つに

1 2	monthly payment of \$XXXX was
3 4	debited to my current account.
5 6	The arrangements ran successfully until for
7 8	some reason the bank appeared to have had
9	a better offer so the loans were first
10	transferred to my DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE and
11	ultimately to my name, and then passed on
12	to FINSAC.
13	I held discussions with FINSAC, explaining
14	the nature of the loan and that the
15	Westmoreland Parish Council had occupied the
16	PROPERTY for years, and had an interest in
	purchasing. I offered the land for sale
17	proceeds to liquidate.
18	On January 5, 1999, I received a letter from
19	Veronica Bailey, Credit Officer of FINSAC,
20	saying they would be willing to accept \$10
21	million and giving me 30 days to conclude the
22	sale. I responded immediately acknowledging
23	discussions held and explained that the
24	
25	agreement was not that I should seek to get
	a Letter of Undertaking in respect of the
	property purchased, but a Letter of

1			Intent to purchase the property.
2			I again responded to her on April 5, 1999
			telling her that there is a draft
4			agreement in place, but it was taking
5			time to conclude the sale.
6			On January 12, 2000, I received a letter
7			from Mr. Patrick Hylton expressing
8			concern in delay to settle the debt and
9			also stating they would be willing to
10			accept cash and real estate. At this
11			time, the debt was reported as being -
12			Principal XXXX and interest of
13			\$XXXX. Don't forget that this
14			was the same initial debt of \$XXXXM made to
15			JANE DOE DEBTOR 8.
16			Mr. Hylton also expressed that he could
17			consider some compromise on the
18			interest.
19	MR.	GARCIA:	Commissioner, I am wondering if the
20			witness has copies of letters to which
21			he is making reference and is going to
22			be putting them in
23	MR.	GREEN:	That is what I said.
24	MR.	GARCIA:	I am not finished. At this time, because
25			it seems that if the witness is now

1		dealing with the correspondence then
2		this would be the appropriate point at
3		which it should be put into evidence and
4		such aspects as are relevant, and I
5		think that is the procedure that the
6		Commission has been following up to this
7		time.
8 9	COMM BOGLE: MR. GREEN:	Mr. Green? I'll invite your guidance, but can we do
10		it in the luncheon adjournment?
11	COMM BOGLE:	I think it is customary that we would
12 13	MR. GREEN:	get the exhibits as we go along. Understandably so.
1 4	COMM BOGLE:	If they are available at this time we
	COMM DOCLE.	If they are available at this time we
15 16	MR. GREEN:	will take them. You wouldn't take the adjournment now?
15		will take them.
15 16	MR. GREEN: COMM BOGLE:	will take them. You wouldn't take the adjournment now? No, no.
15 16 17 18	MR. GREEN: COMM BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	will take them. You wouldn't take the adjournment now? No, no. We don't have sufficient copies.
15 16 17 18	MR. GREEN: COMM BOGLE: MR. MOODIE: COMM BOGLE:	will take them. You wouldn't take the adjournment now? No, no. We don't have sufficient copies. You don't have sufficient copies?
15 16 17 18	MR. GREEN: COMM BOGLE: MR. MOODIE: COMM BOGLE:	will take them. You wouldn't take the adjournment now? No, no. We don't have sufficient copies. You don't have sufficient copies? The copies would be the problem. We
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GREEN: COMM BOGLE: MR. MOODIE: COMM BOGLE:	will take them. You wouldn't take the adjournment now? No, no. We don't have sufficient copies. You don't have sufficient copies? The copies would be the problem. We have the document but not sufficient
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GREEN: COMM BOGLE: MR. MOODIE: COMM BOGLE:	will take them. You wouldn't take the adjournment now? No, no. We don't have sufficient copies. You don't have sufficient copies? The copies would be the problem. We have the document but not sufficient copies to circulate at this time so I

1		what you have to Mr. DePeralto and he
2		will in the interim start the process
3		whereby copies will be made.
4	MR. GREEN:	May I just consult with my client and we
5		can copy some of these. With your
6		permission, Chairman.
7	MR. GARCIA:	The witness has been giving evidence in
8		regard to the loans and who the loans
9		were granted to, perhaps it might be
10		also appropriate that he might be asked
11		to provide copies of the loan documents
12		to be put in evidence as well.
13	MR. GREEN:	Mr. Commissioner, I had requested an
14		opportunity to consult with my client
15		and I note that counsel is making
16		enquiries in circumstances where I am
17		consulting my client in response to the
18		very objection that he has made. I just
19		asked him to just permit me an
20		opportunity to consult with my client
21		before he raises other issues.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	However, Mr. Green, in view of the fact
23		that we are close to the luncheon
24		interval, may I suggest that we continue
25		as we were going until the luncheon

1		interval and during the luncheon
2		interval, if you would go through all
3		the documents and we will have it after
4		lunch.
5	MR. GREEN:	That was my original proposal, that is
6		why I enquired about the luncheon
7		adjournment.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	So we will continue as we were going, we
9		are pretty close to lunch, we continue
10		until lunch and during luncheon
11		interval, as soon as we resume, the
12		copies will be put in evidence. So
13		Mr. Green can we continue.
14	MR. GREEN:	Yes, sir. Yes DEBTOR 8. You had made
15		reference to correspondence of January
16		12, 2000.
17	A:	Right. And I said I received a letter
18		from Patrick Hylton expressing concern
19		in the delay to settle the debt and also
20		stating that they will be willing to
21		accept cash and real estate. At this
22		time the debt was reported, as I said,
23		to be thirteen plus million, I don't
24		think I have to repeat it and the
25		interest seven plus million.

1		On January 21 2000, I replied to
2		Mr. Hylton expressing the nature of the
3		loan and its origin again. I was willing
4		to surrender the properties to clear
5		this debt but simply wanted to await the
6		conclusion of the sale of the Parish
7		Council and I would turn over the sales
8		proceeds to FINSAC.
9	MR. GREEN:	I think you meant sale to the Parish
10		Council.
11	A:	Yes, sale to the Parish Council sorry,
12		and I would turn over the proceeds to
13		FINSAC. Correspondence continued
14		between myself and Mr. Hylton and
15		Veronica Bailey and by March 30, 2000, I
16		received another letter stating that the
17		debt was now \$XXXX with a per
18		diem accrual of \$\$XXXX. They also
19		stated that they would accept the eight
20		million being offered by the Parish
21		Council as partial payment for this loan
22		and that the matter between the Parish
23		Council and myself was indeed private.
24		On April 3rd I wrote to them still
25		pleading for them just to take the land

1			and advising that I thought it extremely
2			unfair for one arm of Government, the
3			Parish Council, to be occupying the same
4			land used as security for this loan,
5			refusing to give me what I consider a
6			fair price, refusing to pay me lease or
7			rental while occupying the land,
8			charging the vendors a fee for
9			occupying, actually installing light and
10			power as well as toilet facilities and
11 12	MRS.	PHILLIPS:	tying my hands Objection, just a minute DEBTOR 8. I
13			am afraid I am not following. Through
14			the Commissioners, the witness has said
15			the Parish Council was occupying land
16			used as security for this loan, to which
17			loan is he referring that the Parish
18			Council lands were security for?
19		A:	May I clarify?
20	MRS.	PHILLIPS:	I would be obliged.
21		A:	The loan is the loan given to my JANE DOE
22			which I am giving a history on of which
23			I was paying on for all these years, in
24			other words, they were deducting it from
25			my account because it fell into trouble

1	and the loans were loans which the bank
2	transferred to my DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE and the
bank	
3	made me the owner of the loan and sent
4	it to FINSAC, not as JANE DOE 's
5	loan, not as DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE 's loan but
as	
6	DEBTOR 8's loan; that is the
7	loan that I am referring to, so I now
8	have the full authority to proceed on a
9	loan they referred to FINSAC in my name.
10 COMM. BOGLE:	Proceed.
11 A:	And my objection, my concern at the time
12	was that one arm of government which was
12 13	was that one arm of government which was the Parish Council, they were occupying
13	the Parish Council, they were occupying
13 14	the Parish Council, they were occupying my land by putting an arcade on it, they
13 14 15	the Parish Council, they were occupying my land by putting an arcade on it, they were not paying me lease or anything,
13 14 15 16	the Parish Council, they were occupying my land by putting an arcade on it, they were not paying me lease or anything, they were offering me a price which I
13 14 15 16 17	the Parish Council, they were occupying my land by putting an arcade on it, they were not paying me lease or anything, they were offering me a price which I thought was far below the value, they
13 14 15 16 17	the Parish Council, they were occupying my land by putting an arcade on it, they were not paying me lease or anything, they were offering me a price which I thought was far below the value, they were preventing me from selling the land
13 14 15 16 17 18	the Parish Council, they were occupying my land by putting an arcade on it, they were not paying me lease or anything, they were offering me a price which I thought was far below the value, they were preventing me from selling the land because nobody wanted the land that was
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Parish Council, they were occupying my land by putting an arcade on it, they were not paying me lease or anything, they were offering me a price which I thought was far below the value, they were preventing me from selling the land because nobody wanted the land that was occupied by a set of vendors, that was

24	FINSAC	had	cor	ntrol	over	those	e land	ds
25	because	thc	se	were	the	lands	used	as

security on the loan and the two 1 entities I felt, you mean they could not come 2 together and at least take the lands and 3 relief the family of the burden of this debt 4 on which interest was piling up daily? As a 5 Cabinet Member, I always, when necessary I 6 should say, would copy letters to both Prime 7 Minister and Minister of Finance because as 8 Cabinet Member you have to be careful, so I 9 wanted them to know what was happening with 10 me at all times. By May 15, 2000, Patrick 11 Hylton wrote to say that my offer was 12 refused. At this time the Ministry of Local 13 Government got involved in helping the 14 Parish Council to conclude the sale and it 15 was stated that the Parish Council could not 16 pay more than the value that the Commissioner 17 of Land placed on these lands. Don't forget 18 -- I wouldn't say don't forget -- I had a 19 valuation on this which made the value \$XXXX 20 million. The debt at that time was XXXX plus 21 million. The Parish Council was offering 22 23 24

25

1		between XXXX and XXXX million and I simply
1		said, FINSAC accept that, take the land and
2		let the debt go because in my mind I knew the
3		value of the land was much more, but they
4		wanted to hear from the Commissioner. The
5		commissioner spoke .
6		8 MR. GREEN: Which
		Commissioner?
9	A:	The Commissioner of Land through Land
	Α.	
10		Valuation Department, they acted. They
11		stated at that time that the land was
12		valued some \$XXXX million plus and they
13		also stated that what would be a fair
14		compensation to the owners of the land,
15		that would be the DEBTOR 8 family, would
16		be approximately one point two something
17		per year as a lease and they had
18		occupied the land for seven years, so
19		right away you see that it was over
20		XXXX million, so in essence the value
21		of the land was XXXX and the
22		Parish Council should offer me XXXX, so
23		we are talking about XXXX
24		million dollars value by the person who
25		is to state what they could pay for the

1		land.
2	MR. MOODIE:	Sorry, might I ask through you Chairman,
3		that evidence just given by DEBTOR 8
4		does not indicate that the Land Val's
5		correspondence was contained in any
6		letter which he has, so does he have
7		correspondence from the Land Valuation
8		Department substantiating what is said
9		here because I don't see a reference.
10	A:	May I explain?
11	MR. MOODIE:	Through you Chairman.
12	A:	May I explain Mr. Chairman?
13	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
14	A:	There were two letters, something
15		happened to one, I have the one which
16		states the XXXX million and how to be
17		compensated. I just can't find the one
18		to deal with the valuation, but there
19		are letters which refer to the
20		XXXX from the lawyer, which would
21		suggest that there was such a valuation,
22		so there were two letters, I have one
23		which clearly set out what I should be
24		paid, what the going rate was for
25		commercial lots, signed by the

1		Commissioner herself, so I did have
2		those letters but I can only find one at
3		this stage.
4	MR. MOODIE:	Might I, through you Chairman, ask one
5		more question; in the proceeding there
6		is a reference to the Valuation Report.
7	A:	I have all those.
8	MR. MOODIE:	I am grateful so those would be among
9		the documents.
10	A:	You are talking about the valuation,
11		which I have we had many valuations
12		for the property but I have all the
13		valuations.
14	MR. MOODIE:	For the XXXX million that you make
15		reference to?
16	A:	Yes.
17	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you Mr. Chairman.
18	A:	I must say that I would be paying, in
19		essence, using these values, I would be
20		paying two dollars for every dollar
21		owed, but this offer was refused. Does
22		this seem like a debtor who just wanted
23		his debt written off and assets
24		returned? But Dr. Davies' report that
25		the Committee reported so. The debt was

sold for seventeen cents in the dollar, 1 approximately at the time \$XXXX million and 2 the value of the assets I was seeking for 3 them to take, using that which was given to 4 us by the 5 Commissioner was \$XXXX million. 6 On August 22nd, I wrote to Mr. Hylton 7 expressing how sorry I was that the Board 8 would not accept my offer and I said, 9 rightfully so or not, I said, I reserve the 10 right to purchase the loan if a decision to 11 sell is made. 1 further expressed that I 12 would offer no more than that which they 13 would be selling the loan for at that time. 14 On March 26 I still continued pleading with 15 Mr. Hylton, I really didn't want him to sell 16 my loan, take the offer to take up the land 17 and to accept the \$XXXX million. On February 18 15, 2002, I received a letter from one Dianne 19 Russell-Grant, Loan Recovery Manager for JRF 20 stating that the loan and other obligations 21 have been acquired by JRF. No, it was not 22 until March the same year that I 2.3 2.4

つに

received a letter from FINSAC/Refin Trust 1 Limited stating that the debt was sold to JRF 2 Inc from February 1 the same year and that 3 Joslin Jamaica Limited has been appointed by 4 JRF Inc to service all such debts. Someone 5 signed the letter, I can't make out the 6 signature, for Mrs. Audrey Robinson, General 7 Manager for Asset Management and Divestment 8 at Refin. So this debt was sold seventeen 9 cents in the dollar and I had offered the land 10 plus the compensation, simply asking one 11 agency to take it because the other agency 12 was occupying it. 1.3 After discussion with my colleagues in 14 Cabinet and others that were not in the 15 Cabinet, I was advised that their 16 matters were treated fairly. I truly believe 17 that all debts, not only mine, would have 18 been treated in that manner, so I decided to 19 meet with Mr. Joslin. This meeting took place 20 at his apartment with three or four persons 21 present in what was a very relaxed 22 atmosphere. As discussions developed, I 23 became 24

1		concerned that my debt as well as those
2		relating to the rest of the family were
3		not going to be settled along the same
4		line offered to those persons who had
5		encouraged me to meet with Joslin. All
6		related debts, both principal and
7		interest to date, had to be paid.
8		Having, you must understand, been
9		informed by my colleagues how they said
10		that their matter was dealt with fairly,
11		I had to enquire why. The answer was
12		unexpected and somewhat upsetting as he
13		advised them that the value of your
14		assets being held as security is much
15		higher than the debt and I cannot
16		convince my principals to write off any
17		part of your debt, even the interest.
18		Furthermore, I have been instructed that
19		when .
20	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Objection, Mr. Chairman. Is this the
21		subject of a letter, this quotation
22		here, could you clarify and if so is
23		there a document?
24	A:	Mr. Chairman, we were in discussion in
25		his apartment but even though I am

1		reporting this today, many years ago I
2		would have reported it to the Prime
3		Minister and to the Minister of Finance
4		in the same way that it was said so I
5		would have no reason not to proceed as
6		was said to me then. So it's not today
7		that I am saying it, it was said maybe
8		eight years ago to my Prime Minister and
9	COMM. BOGLE:	quoted as what was said. It appears there is no written documents
11		to this.
12 13	MRS. PHILLIPS: COMM. BOGLE:	I am obliged. Go ahead.
14	A:	Further more I have instructed that when
15		it comes to any adjustment in your debt,
16 17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	the Ministry One thing further, sorry, Mr. Chairman,
18		is this a discussion which he was having
19		with Mr. Dennis Joslin, I am not
20		completely clear?
21	A:	This was a discussion I was having with
22		Mr. Joslin in the presence of three
23		others of which I wouldn't be familiar
24		with, they were members of his team.
25	MRS. PHILLIPS:	As Mr. Joslin is now deceased, we have

1		no way of checking the accuracy of his
2		statement.
3	A:	We have the letters that were written to
4		Prime Minister
5	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Sorry DEBTOR 8, I am speaking to the
6		Chairman.
7	A:	I am so very sorry.
8	MRS. PHILLIPS:	So the corroborating documents then,
9		Chairman, you will ask him to produce
10		them?
11	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
12	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Obliged.
13	A:	Mr. Chairman, I don't want to be mis-
14		understood, I made it very clear, this
15		was discussion taking place with
16		Mr. Joslin; the only letters that I
17		would have had back then would have been
18		letters written to JRF explaining what I
19		was told, also letters copied to the
20		Prime Minister and the Minister of
21		Finance repeating the same thing that
22		was said to me at that time.
23	MRS. PHILLIPS:	That is what we are asking for.
24	A:	Yes, I thank you.
25	MRS. PHILLIPS:	You can produce those?

1	A:	Yes I can. Furthermore, and I continue
2		the second thing he said, furthermore, I
3		have been instructed that when it comes
4		to any adjustment in your debt, the
5		Ministry of Finance as well as FINSAC
6		would have to agree. It was clear to me
7		that I was to be treated differently and
8		they would be in no hurry to settle my
9		debt. Nevertheless I had no choice but
10		to proceed to negotiate in good faith.
11		So November 18, 2002, same year, I wrote
12		to Joslin Jamaica Limited, attention
13		Miss Veronica Bailey, advising that yes
14		I would abide by the terms set out in
15		letter dated November 18, 2002. To show
16		I was serious .
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	A copy of that will also be presented?
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Mrs. Phillips, please .
19	MR. GREEN:	Chairman, may I? I gather you want to
20		speak about the adjournment.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	I was responding.
22	MR. GREEN:	I am objecting
23	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Just a minute, Mr. Green. When my
24		friend Mr. Garcia made the objection, he
25		was speaking on behalf his client. The

1		witness is now referencing documents
2		that relate to my client. Your ruling
3		was specific to the particular objection
4		taken. If it is that every reference to
5		a letter in this witness statement my
6		friend Mr. Green is undertaking to
7		provide a copy for the Commission, then
8		I have no difficulty.
9	COMM. BOGLE:	I am sorry; our understanding seems to
10		be different. As far as I know the
11		witness and his attorney have said that
12		all the correspondence that they refer
13		to in the submission, they will
14		endeavour to provide to this Commission.
15		Now that is my understanding.
16	MR. GREEN:	That is the correct understanding.
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	To endeavour to provide and provide it
18		are two different things.
19	COMM. BOGLE:	We are going in semantics, the witness
20		and his attorney
21 22	MR. GREEN: COMM. BOGLE:	We are lawyers, aren't we? Can we the witness and his attorney
23		have promised that they will endeavour
24		to provide copies of the documents
25		referred to and they would go through

1		during the lunch break and try to
2		provide those. Can we wait and see, let
3		us not preempt. Thank you.
4	A:	Mr. Chairman, you know, I am giving
5	MR. GREEN:	DEBTOR 8 one second, I thought you may
6		well be contemplating the adjournment at
7		this time.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, I think now is a good time for us
9		to take our lunch break, and we will
10		return at 1:30. Will that give you
11		enough time?
12	MR. GREEN:	That will be fine.
13 14	COMM. BOGLE: MR. GARCIA:	We will return at 1:30. When I previously was making my request
15		I believe my friend was conferring with
16		his client, I made that request in
17		relation to the loan documentation of
18		the witness, so I am going to renew that
19		request now so that my friend could
20		address it.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	Ladies and gentlemen, please.
22	A:	Mr. Chairman may I be of some help; as I
23		explained then all loan documents were
24		with the bank. My DEBTOR 8 RELATIVE, my JANE
	a: a	

DOE did

not give me a copy and I cannot find it.