VERBATIM NOTES

OF

COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE 1990s

HELD AT

THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KNUTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5

ON

FRIDAY, JULY **8, 2011**

PRESENT WERE:

COMMISSIONERS

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick Bogle

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION

.Hon. Justice Henderson Downer (Retired)

SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Mr. Fernando DePeralto

MARSHALLING EVIDENCE FOR THE COMMISSION

Ms. Judith Clarke - Attorney -at-Law

REPRESENTING JAMAICAN REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

Mr. Gavin Goffe- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING MR PATRICK HYLTON

Mr. Dave Garcia - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING FINS AC LIMITED

Mr. Brian Moodie - Attorney-at-Law Ms. Danielle Chai - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING MR. DEBTOR 18 DIRECTOR, DONOVAN CRAWFORD Mr.

Anthony Levy - Attorney-at-Law

GIVING EVIDENCE

Mr. Errol Campbell

1		FRIDAY JULY 8, 2 011
2		COMMENCEMENT : 10 :15
3	COMM BOGLE:	Morning ladies and gentlemen. This
4		Enquiry is now in session, and again we
5		apologise for the late start.
6		Thankfully, we have Commissioner Ross
7		with us. For a while there we thought
8		he might not have been with us for today
9		but he is with us and we are thankful
10		for that.
11		For the record may we have the names of
12		the attorneys present?
13	MS. CHAT:	Brian Moodie and Danielle Chai,
14		appearing on behalf of FINSAC.
15	MR. GOFFE:	Gavin Goffe instructed by Myers Fletcher
16		and Gordon for Jamaican Redevelopment
17		Foundation Incorporated.
18	MR. GARCIA:	Dave Garcia appearing for Patrick
19		Hylton.
20	MR. LEVY:	Anthony Levy instructed by G. Anthony
21		Levy and Company for DEBTOR 18 DIRECTOR,
22		DEBTOR 18, Donovan Crawford and
23		myself.
24	COMM BOGLE:	Thank you very much. Today we are
25		supposed to be having a submission from

Errol Campbell regarding some FINSAC
 transactions and so I'll turn over to
 him. Could you swear him.

4 Mr. Errol Campbell Sworn

5 MR. MOODIE: Chairman, before we get into our 6 substantive response today which is 7 really a response from FINSAC to the 8 debtors who appeared before this 9 Commission and gave evidence, we wish to 10 follow up on the evidence given by 11 Mr. Campbell on Monday of this week in 12 relation to Mr. Crawford. The Commission had asked for certain 13 14 documents to be provided and so I wish 15 to formally tender some of those 16 documents. 17

17Under cover of letter dated July 5, we18provided the Commission with valuations19for certain properties. I think20Mr. DePeralto has them with him so if we21could just go through and enter those22into evidence formally. The valuations23are in respect of VARIOUS PROPERTIES

24

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		Those were already provided to the
9		Commission. I ask that they be given the
10		appropriate exhibit numbers.
11		We have some additional documents to
12		submit but
13	COMM ROSS:	Mr. Moodie, your letter states PROPERTY
14		are you saying that the
15		PROPERTY description is
16		incorrect?
17	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, it is. It is in relation to
18		PROPERTY, both items 5 and 6
19		Strata Lot 11 and 42; they are not in
20		relation to PROPERTY but in
21		relation to the PROPERTY
22		apartments which, were I think, in
23		exhibit EC 7/11(a) on the last occasion.
24	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Moodie, 5 and 6?
25	MR. MOODIE:	Yes. The list was also provided to my

1 friend Mr. Levy, so he is able to follow 2 along. 3 COMM BOGLE: Were you regarding item 14 on the 4 EC8/11(a)? MR. MOODIE: In fact we have an extended list to 5 6 submit this morning so that's what I 7 intended to do. 8 COMM BOGLE: Okay. 9 MR. MOODIE: You will recall the Commission had asked 10 for certain information to be included; 11 the names of the valuators and the names 12 of the purchasers. 13 COMM BOGLE: I just mention that because you were 14 trying to cover. There is a valuation for that item but 15 MR. MOODIE: 16 we will get there. 17 The next document I would wish to put forward is the expanded list of assets 18 19 owned by Donovan Crawford and connected 20 parties and/or companies? 21 COMM BOGLE: Just before you go on, would you like to 22 mark these? 23 MR. MOODIE: Certainly. Mr. Moodie, if we take the letter as 24 COMM BOGLE: 25 8/11 and then go a, b, c, d. The letter

1		will be $8/11(a)$ and so on to the end.
2	MR. MOODIE:	The last one therefore, Chairman would
3		be 8/11(k.
4	COMM BOGLE:	You say the last one is?
5	MR. MOODIE:	8/11(k). There are 11 of them.
6	COMM BOGLE:	I am getting (j).
7	MR. MOODIE:	There are 11 of them.
8	COMM BOGLE:	I am getting j as the vehicle, valuation
9		for the vehicle.
10	MR. MOODIE:	What I have, Chairman, is Strata Lot 10
11		is 8/11(a); Strata Lot 1 8/11(b); #3
12		8/11(c); #4 8/11(d), #5 8/11(e).
13	COMM BOGLE:	Let us, as you have started, just
14		identify. So (b) would be Lot 1.
15	MR. MOODIE:	PROPERTY, St. Andrew.
16	COMM BOGLE:	(c) would be PROPERTY, St. Elizabeth.
17	MR. MOODIE:	Valuations for one and two would be
18		together. So I guess we can call
19		numbers 1 and 2, Strata Lot 10 and
20		Strata Lot 1 as (a)combined.
21		In terms of PROPERTY I think
22		the two apartments may also share one
23		valuation; so I think together Strata
24		Lots 11 and PROPERTY would
25		be 8/11(d). Are you with me, Chairman?

1 COMM BOGLE: We had said that (a) and (a) would then Strata Lots 1 and 10. 2 3 MR. MOODIE: They would be 8/11()a). So (b) would be 4 PROPERTY, St. Elizabeth; (c) Lands at 5 St. Ann; (d) would be 6 numbers 5 and 6 Strata Lots 11 and 41 at 7 PROPERTY. COMM BOGLE: 8 Let us go by the letter then. And so C. which is Lot 10 PROPERTY. 9 10 MR. MOODIE: 1 and 2 would be (a). COMM BOGLE: Land at PROPERTY? 11 MR. MOODIE: 12 That would be (b). Land at Runaway Bay? 13 COMM BOGLE: 14 MR. MOODIE: (C) 15 Strata Lot 11 PROPERTY? COMM BOGLE: 16 MR. MOODIE: That in addition to Strata Lot 41 at 17 PROPERTY, would be (d). Lot 100? 18 COMM BOGLE: 19 MR. MOODIE: Would be (e) Lot 4, PROPERTY would be (f). Lot 1 20 PROPERTY (g). PROPERTY 21 22 Road, and PROPERTY (i). 23 The valuation of the 1931 Buick motor 24 car would therefore be (j). 25 COMM BOGLE: Okay, fine.

1	MR. MOODIE:	So, now Chairman we move to that
2		expanded list of assets owned by Donovan
3		Crawford and connected parties, those
4		that have been sold, and you will note
5		that this list includes purchasers'
6		names, the date of the sales and the
7		names of the valuators. So if we could
8		give this document an exhibit number,
9		there are additional valuations which we
10		would wish to put in which were not
11		included.
12	COMM BOGLE:	So we will give the complete document
13		9/11. EC 9/11 which comprises pages one
14		to four.
15	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Chairman, and you will note,
16		Chairman, that the valuations which you
17		were previously provided with are items
18		one to eleven on this new expanded list.
19	MR. LEVY:	Through you Mr. Chairman, to Mr. Moodie.
20		First page of EC 9/11, item 15
21	COMM BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, let us allow him to address
22		the others since he has said that he has
23		taken care of items one to twelve on
24		that list so I take it that he is going
25		on to give us details of the others so

1		let us see.
2		(Documents handed to Commission)
3	MR. LEVY:	One is missing from this.
4	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman, what you have been
5		presented with are additional valuations
6		of properties that now appear on this
7		expanded list. There is one copy of
8		each so for purposes of the records
9		could we just give them exhibit numbers
10		we don't intend to go through those
11		valuations but we provide them for the
12		Commission. Certainly if copies are
13		needed by Counsel I would ask
14		Mr. DePeralto.
15	MR. LEVY:	I would need one.
16	COMM BOGLE:	Twelve of them?
17	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Chairman.
18	COMM BOGLE:	And $10/11$ 1-12. And for the record we
19		will just name them so it can be
20		recorded. So if you just state which
21		ones you are covering here, for instance
22		the first town house on Hillcrest.
23	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly, Chairman. So EC 10/11(1)
24		would be appraisal report for townhouse,
25		PROPERTY.

1		EC10/11(2)
2	COMM BOGLE:	The consensus is to say 10/11(a).
3	MR. GARCIA:	So 10/11(b) would be valuation of
4		Apartment PROPERTY
5		St. Ann. 10/11(c) valuation
6		report on land part of PROPERTY
7		St Elizabeth. (e) would be
8		Appraisal Report, PROPERTY.
9		(e) would be the Appraisal Report for
10		PROPERTY. (f) would be lands part of
11		PROPERTY St Ann. Registered
12		at Volume XXX Folio XX; Volume XXX Folio
13		XX; and Volume XXX Folio XX.
14	COMM BOGLE:	Hold on. In my bundle I see residential
15		PROPERTY.
16	MR. MOODIE:	Sure, we could enter that one as (g).
17	COMM BOGLE:	Let us put the (g) to be
18		PROPERTY.
19	MR. MOODIE:	That would be (g). That's PROPERTY.
20	COMM BOGLE:	right.
21	MR. MOODIE:	H Chairman - are you at PROPERTY?
22		There is one at PROPERTY
23		
24	COMM BOGLE:	That is the one I am at now.
25	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly. So that would be (h).

- Yes. 10/11(h). 1 COMM BOGLE: 2 MR. MOODIE: I - Chairman, if you could guide me as to 3 your order. 4 My order Commercial/office units, PROPERTY. COMM BOGLE: 5 That would be (i). The next one now would be PROPERTY. 6 MR. MOODIE: 7 COMM BOGLE: That one would be (j) and the final one now 8 would be PROPERTY. 9 MR. MOODIE: Yes, PROPERTY. That would be (k). 10 11 COMM BOGLE: Then you have another one which is Lotl(a) 12 MR. MOODIE: and Lot 1(b) Land part of PROPERTY, St 13 COMM BOGLE: Catherine. 14 I think I may have just provided the 15 Commission with a copy, I don't have a copy 16 MR. MOODIE: of that one before me. Appraisal Report lots 17 numbers 1(a) and 1(b), PROPERTY St. Catherine. 18 That would be (1). 19 We have also been able to secure Vendor's 20 Statements of Account which I think would 21 COMM BOGLE: be useful for this Commission too in 2.2 MR. MOODIE: relation to the 23 24
- 25

1		various properties.
2		There is one more valuation, Chairman,
3		too, that for PROPERTY. Do you
4		have a copy of that before you?
5	COMM BOGLE:	N o .
6 7	MR. MOODIE:	Okay, well EC/11(m) for PROPERTY. In relation to the statements of
8		account, certainly in a quest to provide
9		as much information as possible we
10		thought you may wish to have the vendors
11		statements of accounts in relation to
12		those properties which were sold.
13	COMM BOGLE:	Okay. This will now be 11/11 and again
14		we will go the letter route. So the
15		first one will be (a).
16 17	MR. MOODIE: COMM BOGLE:	First one is Lot 10 Sterling Castle. Right, and that will be (a).
18	MR. MOODIE:	EC/11(11a)
19		(b) would be Strata Lot 01, PROPERTY
20		
21	COMM BOGLE:	Which is Strata Plan XXX?
22	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Volume XXXX Folio XXXXX, 11(llb).
23		(c) would be PROPERTY, St Elizabeth?
24	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
25	MR. MOODIE:	(d) lands at PROPERTY.

1 COMM BOGLE: Yes. 2 MR. MOODIE: (e) would be sale of Apartment 11 at 3 PROPERTY. 4 COMM BOGLE: Yes. MR. MOODIE: (f) would be Apartment PROPERTY 5 6 7 COMM BOGLE: Yes. 8 MR. MOODIE: (g) would be Apartment HL at PROPERTY 9 (h) PROPERTY. (1) PROPERTY. (j) would 10 11 be **PROPERTY** 12 Just to point out I think the 13 PROPERTY and PROPERTY, 14 that's one property. They were sold 15 together. There were two lots of land 16 which together made up the property, 17 which were sold separately which is why 18 we have two separate statements of 19 account. The last one would be (k), 20 which is PROPERTY. 21 COMM BOGLE: So you are saying that (j) and 22 (k) comprise one sale? 23 MR. MOODIE: They were separate sales but they 2.4 comprised the same property. 25 MR. CAMPBELL: Mr. Chairman, PROPERTY was

1		made up of two titles. In the process
2		of selling, each lot was sold to a
3		different person that's why we have two
4		statements.
5	COMM BOGLE:	But on the list it would appear as
6		probably PROPERTY?
7	A:	That's right.
8	COMM BOGLE:	So it would be (k); the last one would
9		be 11(11k)
10	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Mr. Chairman.
11		(1) PROPERTY.
12	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
13	MR. MOODIE:	(m), the next one, would be lands part
14		of PROPERTY, Manchester.
15		(n) would be Apartment, #1 PROPERTY
16		(o) would be Unit #7 PROPERTY
17		(p) would be Studio PROPERTY
18		(q) Unit #15 PROPERTY
19		(r) Unit #25 PROPERTY.
20		(s) Unit #27, PROPERTY (t)
21		would be Unit #29 PROPERTY and
22		(u) would be Unit #30, PROPERTY.
23		That concludes the valuation which we
24		put in along with EC 9/11.
25		Perhaps, Chairman, I could ask

1		Mr. Campbell to comment on the total
2		amount received from the sale of the
3		properties as appears on EC 9/11.
4	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, before we go there, could
5		I be provided with copies of those
6		valuations and those statements of
7		accounts because none were provided.
8	COMM BOGLE:	You will be provided.
9	MR. LEVY:	Today?
10	COMM BOGLE:	We will endeavour to do so. It is quite
11,		a number we have to copy but you will
12		definitely get copies.
13	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Chairman to continue, I was asking
14		Mr. Campbell to comment on the total
15		sums received in relation to the sale of
16		property owned by Donovan Crawford
17		and/or connected parties to date.
18	COMM BOGLE:	You were about to say.
19	MR. MOODIE:	I was asking Mr. Campbell to give a
20		comment on the sum received from the
21		sale of assets owned by Donovan Crawford
22		and/or connected parties; EC 9/11.
23	COMM BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, do you have a copy of EC 9/11
24		pages one to four?
25	MR. LEVY:	Yes, I do.

1 COMM BOGLE: Because, Mr. Moodie, for the individual 2 valuations, what I would suggest, in 3 view of the fact that attorneys have not got copies of them, that when we are 4 5 looking at one to four if there is any 6 comment regarding any of the valuations 7 that we will hold that until copies are 8 provided to the attorneys. 9 MR. MOODIE: We certainly don't intend to offer any 10 comments at the time. I think you had 11 indicated that Mr. Campbell will be 12 recalled so any question arising from 13 evidence or documents submitted today 14 will be addressed at that time. 15 COMM BOGLE: Fine. Go ahead Mr. Campbell. 16 MR. LEVY: Mr. Chairman, once again sir, Item 15 of 17 EC 9/11, page one... 18 COMM BOGLE: What is the question? 19 MR. LEVY: Description of the property, something 20 is missing. Can Mr. Campbell help us by 21 completing? Put item five rental 22 property at numbers 9 and 10; it doesn't 23 say whether rental properties... I think Counsel on cross-examination, 24 MR. GARCIA: 25 once he has a chance certainly can get

1 any additional information. 2 COMM BOGLE: I think in fairness though, since the 3 list is here we just want for the 4 completion of it, in that it says rental 5 property at numbers 9 & 10 and no 6 further information. I am sure 7 Mr. Campbell will have some additional 8 information that he can give relative to 9 this item. Yes, Mr. Chairman. Item number 15 which 10 MR. CAMPBELL: 11 says, 'rental' is really primarily just 12 to show that from rental of these two 13 properties FINSAC has collected a total 14 of roughly \$XXXX Million. This property listed at number 9 which is the 15 townhouse at PROPERTY and 16 17 property number 10 which is PROPERTY 18 so it is really just rental 19 income, it is not a separate property. 20 MR. MOODIE: Thank you, Mr.Chairman. 21 A: Now, we have provided a sub-total on 22 page 1 of EC 9/11 just so that we will 23 recognise that it is in fact just a 24 repeat of the information that was 25 previously submitted at EC 7/11. That is

1 really the only reason we give it a 2 subtotal here. That was what was 3 submitted on Monday. 4 On the following pages 2, 3 and 4 5 additional properties as identified by 6 Mr. Levy, belong to Crawford Connection. 7 So, on page 4 of 4 under column, page, the 8 total net proceeds from the JADA sales 9 are roughly 10 XXXX Million Dollars. In addition there 11 were the sales of Blue Cross Jamaica and 12 PROPERTY. Now from the files we 13 are not able to determine - we did not 14 find the Vendor's Statements of Accounts 15 to assist us in providing the net sales 16 proceeds but we continue our search in 17 this regard. 18 COMM. BOGLE: So you were not able to find any valuation either regarding the Blue 19 20 Cross of Jamaica? 21 A: No Mr. Chairman, but we are continuing 22 to search for that. 23 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Levy, you seem anxious. Any 24 question? We are dealing right now with 25 9/11 1 to 4.

1	MR. LEVY:	I would like to offer some assistance to
2		where Mr. Campbell could find it if he
3		does not find it among his file, sir.
4		Whoever the buyer was, the lawyers would
5		have those statements and should have
6		the valuation as well. Maybe he can
7		contact his lawyers and the lawyers who
8		acted for the purchasers to assist him
9		in getting the Statement Accounts and
10		their valuations.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, point noted.
12		Mr. Campbell?
13	MR. CAMPBELL:	In relation to PROPERTY we have
14		actually made contact with the attorneys
15		who represent PROPERTY and we
16		expect to get that information, so when
17		next I appear I will have it.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	And you will apply the same efforts for
19		Blue Cross.
20	A:	Yes.
21	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman, the next document we wish
22		to put before the Commission are the
23		Formal Orders obtained from the Supreme
24		Court in relation to the sale of
25		properties belonging to Mr. Crawford and

1 connected entities.

2		Mr. Chairman, the first Order would be
3		Order filed on February 2, 2006 between
4		Financial Institution Services Limited,
5		CNB, Century National Development,
6		Donovan Crawford and others consolidated
7		with Claim #1997C050; that first Claim
8		was C330 of 1996. That would be the
9		first order. If you could give that an
10		exhibit number.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	EC 12/11.
12	MR. MOODIE:	The second order filed on the same
13		date
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Just A minute. The second order
15	MR. MOODIE:	The second order filed - there is a
16		court stamp - February 2, 2006 in Claim
17		#CL1999F066 Financial Institution
18		Services Limited against Regardless
19		Limited?
20	COMM. BOGLE:	That will be EC 13/11.
21	MR. MOODIE:	The third one with the same date filed
22		February 2, 2006,Consolidated Claim of
23		CL1996C330 and 1997 C330.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	You are going a bit fast.
25	MR. MOODIE:	Just describing it, Chairman. That is

the one with 'C' marked in brackets at 1 2 the top of it. So that will be EC 14... 3 A: That is EC 14/11. 4 MR. MOODIE: A 'Final Attachment of Debt Order', is 5 the next document. 6 COMM. BOGLE: I am just trying to find out, I have 7 read the document, what is the difference between 'A' and 'C', 'A' and 8 9 'C' meaning 12, 11 and... 10 MR. MOODIE: One corrects an error in the Judgment. 11 COMM. BOGLE: 14/11 corrects an error then? 12 Yes, it does. Which relates to the MR. MOODIE: 13 description of the property in the first 14 Judgment. 15 COMM. BOGLE: 14/11 which you have 'C', you say it 16 corrects the description. 17 MR. MOODIE: Yes, if you look at the back of the 18 document it indicates. 19 The next document, Chairman, will be the 20 Final Attachment of Debts Order in that 21 Consolidated claim filed on August 25, 22 2006. 23 COMM. BOGLE: That would be 15/11. 24 MR. MOODIE: EC 15/11 is actually two separate Orders 25 in relation to the same claim. So you

1		may want to give one 15/11(a) and the
2 3	COMM. BOGLE:	other 15/11(b), Mr. Chairman? Yes, (a) and (b).
4	MR. MOODIE:	The next document we wish to enter into
5		evidence, Mr. Chairman, is an Order for
6		Payment Out of Court filed on
7		January 9,2007. So there will be two
8 9	MR. LEVY:	Orders but the first Mr.Chairman I have not received a copy
10		of this.
11 12	COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	I have not got any either. We don't have any additional copies.
13 14	COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	The last one we have here is 18/11(b). Mr.Chairman, I will provide you with
15		my copies. But just to describe them,
16		The next document is a two-page document
17		filed on January 9, 2007 in the
18		consolidated suit that I presume you
19		will give EC 16/11 and the last
20 21	COMM. BOGLE:	document Is it an order for payment?
22	MR. MOODIE:	Out of court. If you are looking at A
23		one page document then that will be
24		EC17. I have 16 to give you. It is the
25		same one you have there.

- 1 COMM. BOGLE: What is 16/11 then?
- 2 MR. MOODIE: 16/11 would be a two-page document so
- 3 you have something printed at the back.
- 4 COMM. BOGLE: Order for payment out of court, February
- 5 9, 2007. That is EC 16/11.
- 6 Q: Yes, That is it. And that will be it for 7 the formal order for the sale of 8 properties owned by Donovan Crawford. 9 MR. LEVY: Mr. Chairman, will the Secretary please 10 note that I would like to have copies of
- 11 both those orders, 16 and 17.
- 12 COMM. BOGLE: Yes, Mr. Moodie?
- 13MR. MOODIE:We are now presenting our submission in14relation to the debtors who appeared and15gave evidence before the Commission.16There is a table we have prepared which17we are making available to the18Commission and there may be extra
- 19 copies.
- 20 Mr. Chairman, we will start by generally 21 speaking to some of the allegations that 22 were made and asking Mr. Campbell -23 COMM. BOGLE: Go ahead.
- 24MR. MOODIE:We will start by relating some of the25complaints against FINSAC by the various

persons who appear and asking 1 Mr. Campbell to give a response and based on 2 the records of FINSAC and certainly while 3 giving that response we will have some 4 documents which we will wish to tender and 5 put into evidence. So we will start, Mr. 6 Chairman, with your leave, with the 7 complaint made by DEBTOR 14 of DEBTOR 14 8 COMPANY. Specifically and transcribe 9 reference is there on the table. The 10 transcript would be from the transcript of 11 the March 8, 2011 and there are page 12 references there to assist the Commission 13 certainly when doing your report. 14 DEBTOR 14 alleged that he was treated 15 unfairly by FINSAC and coerced into giving 16 up his apartment after having repaid 75% of 17 his debt and even after he sought to take 18 advantage of the window of opportunity. He 19 alleges that he only owed \$XXXX Million and 20 that he was making payments as he was obliged 21 to. He further alleges that his apartment was 22 sold at a gross under value and that 23

っち

24

1 FINSAC failed to account to him of how 2 the proceeds of sale were applied in 3 reduction of his debt. 4 Mr. Campbell, could you please indicate 5 what FINSAC's records in relation to 6 these allegations have revealed? 7 Thank you. There is a memo on FINSAC's MR. CAMPBELL: 8 file which speaks to the fact that the 9 total debt for DEBTOR 14 was in the 10 region of XXXX Million Dollars 11 and in fact, in June 1999, his attorney 12 wrote to FINSAC to confirm that, that 13 was his figure as well. 14 MR. MOODIE: May I pause to present a copy of that memo dated June 15, 1999 and we could 15 16 perhaps enter it into evidence to the Commission. 17 18 There is a letter as Mr. Campbell just 19 indicated, but first, Chairman, shall we 20 give that memo an exhibit number? 21 COMM. BOGLE: EC17/11. 22 MR. MOODIE: We also have that letter dated June 25, 23 1999 which confirms the amount of the 24 debt which we wish to tender in evidence 25 as well. So the second exhibit will be

th'e June 25,1999 letter. 1 2 Mr. Campbell if I could just ask you to 3 briefly go through that memo dated June 15, 1999? 4 5 Α: I will just read the memo. It is an inter-office memo from Kurtis Bray to 6 7 Patrick Hylton regarding DEBTOR 14 COMPANY. 8 'I don't have much to tell you regarding 9 this loan, the borrower has not paid 10 back any of the principal amount. He 11 mentioned in his letter that he has paid 12 \$ XXXX million but we do not show any 13 payments. This man has always had some 14 excuse as to why he cannot pay but never 15 offers any solution. 16 The letter given to you by Tom outlines 17 assets that he says he can sell to repay 18 the loan. The valuations are his, not 19 ours. According to people around the 20 Head Office the values are inflated. 21 I do not know if an auction will bring 22 us much. I told him when we met with 23 him last week that Mutual would consider 24 a reduction of the balance to \$XXXX 25 million if he opted to pay us off. His

balance is over \$XXXX million. 1 2 I suggest that we continue with the 3 foreclosure or get him to sign over other assets in addition to this 4 5 property so we can attempt to sell them 6 ourselves. 7 This is Signed by Kurt Bray. 8 Thank you and this is memo dated June 0: 9 15,1999. Could I ask you now to read the 10 content of letter dated June 25, 1999 11 from DEBTOR 14's attorneys? 12 COMM. BOGLE: The letter June 25 we will mark that EC 13 18/11. 14 MR. MOODIE: Thank you, Chairman. 15 URGENT & IMMEDIATE. 16 June 25, 1999. 17 Without Prejudice 18 Mr. Curtis Bray. 19 Chief Executive Officer. 20 Jamaica Mutual Life Assurance Society 21 Head Office. 22 2 Oxford Road. 23 Kingston. 24 Dear Sir. 25 RE: DEBTOR 14 COMPANY

This is a letter from Chancellor & 1 Company and it is signed by Walter B. 2 Scott. 3 We act for and on behalf of DEBTOR 14 4 Company and its Managing Director, Mr. 5 DEBTOR 14 who have instructed us to write 6 to you in connection with the indebtedness 7 of DEBTOR 14 Company to Jamaica Mutual Life 8 Assurance Society. 9 THE BACKGROUND 10 Our instructions are that our client is 11 indebted to you in the amount of \$XXXX which 12 sum is past due and that formal demand has 13 been made on it for the repayment of the said 14 sum. We are further instructed that the 15 property, the subject of the mortgage 16 granted by our client to you, to secure its 17 indebtedness to you, had been put up for sale 18 by public auction pursuant to the Powers of 19 Sale contained in the said mortgage. 20 We are also instructed that, after 21 discussions with our client's Managing 2.2 23 2.4

30

っち

31

1Director, Mr. DEBTOR 14 you have agreed2firstly, to accept the sum of \$XXXX in full3and final settlement of our client's4indebtedness to you and secondly, to5withdraw the property from the auction6"Block" for a period of fourteen (14) days7commencing on June 14, 1999.

8 Reality

Our client and its Managing Director have 9 neither cash nor any liquid assets however, 10 both are asset rich. These assets consist 11 entirely of real estate. We are instructed 12 that, given the prevailing circumstances in 13 the Tourism Industry our client's business 14 cannot generate sufficient cash to either 15 liquidate or make a meaningful reduction to 16 this indebtedness to you. 17

18 The Proposal.

Our client's proposal for the

elimination of its indebtedness to you is as follows:

21 1. Our client will sell in a public auction

carried out by D.C. Tavares &

24

23

20

っょ

1	Finson Reality Limited the property at
2	ADDRESS consisting of 4 bedroom, 4 bathroom
3	house and a 2 bedroom cottage on one and a half
4	acres of land and being the lands registered
5	at Volume XXX Folio XXX and Volume XXXX Folio
6	XX of the Register Book of Titles (the said
7	land).
8	2. The Certificate of Title for the said
9	land will be deposited with you and upon
10	completion of the sale the net proceeds of
	sale will be paid to you to liquidate the
11	debt.
12	3. You will stay the forced sale of the
13	mortgaged premises pending the sale by
14	public auction of the said land.
15	4. You will confirm in writing that the
16	"pay out" sum is \$XXXX.
17	We are instructed by our client that the
18	approximate value of the said land is \$XXXX.
19	However, we have
20	advised it and have as a result instructed
21	D.C. Tavares Finson to do a current valuation
22	of the said lands. As soon as this valuation
23	is to hand it
24	

っょ

will be forwarded to you. Further, as an 1 act of good faith we have instructed D. C. 2 Tavares Finson to immediately put the said 3 land up for sale by public auction and we 4 have instructed Mr. William Tavares-Finson 5 of that firm to provide you with any 6 information which you may require. 7 Our client does not wish to lose its business 8 which it has spent the last thirty years 9 developing. It is potentially the only 10 source of income for our client and its 11 principal. Our client's position is simply 12 to dispose of one asset (using the same 13 process and the same or a shorter time period 14 that you wish to use as on forced sale of the 15 mortgaged property) to liquidate its 16 indebtedness to you thereby saving the 17 mortgage premises. 18 If this proposal is acceptable to you we are

24

<u>о</u>г

land to you.

1

2 We look forward to hearing from you as 3 soon as is possible. We are also 4 prepared to meet with you at our mutual convenience to discuss this matter 5 further if you deem it necessary. 6 7 Yours faithfully, 8 Chancellor & Company 9 Walter H. Scott' 10 Q: Mr. Campbell, was a valuation, in fact, 11 obtained from D C Tavares and Finson? 12 Α: Yes. 13 In relation to the property that was Q: sold was there a valuation obtained? 14 15 Yes, a valuation was obtained in A: 16 relation to the property that was sold 17 but it is a different property from the one mentioned in this letter. 18 19 Could you indicate the valuation of the Q: 20 property that was sold and we will be 21 exhibiting that valuation. Just before you go on to that, was the 22 COMM. BOGLE: 23 offer or the suggestion or the proposal from Mr. Walter Scott, Chancellor & 24 Company, did they fellow through with 25

1 that proposal by providing you with the 2 valuation of the land that they 3 mentioned in the letter? I didn't find that correspondence, Mr. 4 A : 5 Chairman, but it appears they didn't 6 follow through with it and that is why 7 every other property that is the bone of 8 his contention was partially transferred in settlement, this Fisherman's Point. 9 10 COMM. BOGLE: So you have no record to say whether or 11 not the proposal was followed through no 12 record. 13 MR. MOODIE: In relation to the allegation that Mr. 14 DEBTOR 14's apartment was sold at a gross 15 under value, could you indicate what the 16 valuation that was obtained for that 17 property indicated and the sale price 18 which was obtained for it? 19 A: PROPERTY, 20 valuation was obtained for it. The 21 valuation is between \$XXXX Million and 22 XXXX Million Dollars. 23 MISS CLARKE: Sorry, I am just trying to ascertain 24 whether this PROPERTY is, in fact, the 25 subject property relative to DEBTOR 14?

1 A: Yes, is the one that he has the allegation. 2 3 Q: Is that PROPERTY? 4 A: Yes. Thank you. 5 0: Chairman, do you have a copy of that 6 MR. MOODIE: 7 valuation in front of you? COMM. BOGLE: Yes. 8 9 MR. MOODIE: Could we give it an exhibit number please? 10 COMM. BOGLE: 11 19/11. Is this the letter dated 20th 12 MISS CLARKE: October, 2003? 13 COMM. BOGLE: Yes. 14 It is not a valuation. The guestion was 15 MISS CLARKE: asked if the Chairman has a copy of the 16 valuation. Are we going to designate 17 it as such? 18 MR. MOODIE: It is a letter dated the 5th. It is a 19 letter from D.C. Tavares & Finson 20 indicating the value. Is that acceptable 21 to Counsel? 22 It is a letter dated so and so. MISS CLARKE: 23 'we valued this property...' the last MR. MOODIE: 24 two paragraphs on the first page. 25

1	COMM. BOGLE:	I think the first paragraph explains it.
2		What they are saying is that in the near
3		future they will provide a full
4		Valuation Report. In the mean time the
5		urgency of the matter is set out here
6 7	MR. MOODIE:	for preliminary findings. Thank you, Chairman.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	This is the preliminary finding which
9		should have been backed up by a full
10 11	MR. MOODIE:	valuation. Thank you Chairman. Could we give that
12		an exhibit number?
13 14	COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	Yes, 19/11. Thank you, Chairman.
15	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr.Campbell, were you able to locate
16		the full valuation?
17	A:	Efforts were made Mr. Chairman. I
18		really didn't get an update from my
19		staff but because this quoted a figure
20		we rely on this, so we didn't pursue
21 22	COM. BOGLE:	much in terms of the valuation. I would imagine that before you would
23		obtain or you would have paid Mr.
24		Tavares Finson he would have submitted
25		one.

1 I am not suggesting for a moment that we A: 2 didn't get one, and I wouldn't, but I am 3 just saying that in the process of doing the research here since we saw this 4 5 letter we just quote the figure. I would suggest that you continue in 6 COMM. BOGLE: 7 your effort because it could be that the 8 full Valuation Report may vary from 9 this. You don't know. Since you have 10 not seen it you won't hazard a guess on 11 that either? 12 I am prepared to hazard a guess on that A: 13 one, Mr. Chairman, because in the past 14 whenever this sort of thing has happened 15 the value that we get is the figure that we have in the letter here. It is just 16 17 that it is more extensive in terms of 18 the description and location and all 19 that sort of thing. 20 MR. MOODIE: Chairman, there is one further document 21 which we wish to tender. 2.2 MISS CLARKE: Sorry, before my friend moves on, I am 2.3 staying with that point and I am one 2.4 with the Chairman in terms of any 25 variation or any detail which may be

reflected in that valuation. I believe some 1 effort should be made to find it because I 2 am looking at this letter . 4 \sim COMM. BOGLE: Miss Clarke, I think that Mr. Campbell 5 6 has said that he will continue to make 7 efforts to find it. 8 MISS CLARKE: So we are not accepting that? 9 COMM. BOGLE: We are excepting this exhibit but at the same time he will continue his efforts 10 to find the full valuation. 11 12 MISS CLARKE: Thank you very much, sir. 13 MR. MOODIE: Mr. Chairman, can we move on. 14 COMM. BOGLE: Yes. 15 MR. MOODIE: There is one more document which we 16 would wish to tender and that is 17 FINSAC/FIS Limited matter for Board 18 Decision, it is a Board Proposal dated 19 October 2003 in relation to the property 20 which we are speaking about which I ask Mr. Campbell to indicate the details of 21 22 that Board Proposal and the 23 recommendation to the Board therein. 24 Mr. Campbell might I ask you to start 25 with the background and then indicate

1		the offer in terms of the purchase and
2		the recommendation. I would not ask you
3		to go through that section marked
4		'current situation' unless the Chairman
5		wishes to?
6	A:	This is the usual format of submissions
7		to the Board seeking approval, Mr.
8		Chairman.
9	Q:	Have you seen this document before, Mr.
10		Campbell?
11	A:	I have seen it.
12	Q:	And where did you find this document?
13	A:	This was in FINSAC's files and we copied
14		it for you.
15	Q:	Grateful.
16		Please go ahead.
17	A:	This is a submission to the FINSAC Board
18		seeking approval to sell apartment
19		PROPERTY. In the background it
20		mention that FINSAC obtained ownership
21		of this property as part of the debt
22		swap between Mutual Life and its debtor,
23		DEBTOR 14.
24		In 2001, Theo Dixon valued the property
25		at \$XXXXM and thus it was listed with our

1 Brokers at that price. Over the last 2 month, leading up to October 2003 a 3 prospective purchaser has submitted two 4 offers to purchase this property; one 5 for \$XXXXM that was later revised to \$XXXXM. She suggested that this property was 6 7 infested with termites and required 8 major repairs hence her low offer. We 9 therefore decided to arrange a site 10 visit to get a first hand view of the 11 precise state of the apartment. 12 The penultimate paragraph I will ask you 0: 13 to read in terms of, that paragraph 14 beginning with, 'In the quest for a more 15 objective...'? 'In the quest for a more objective and 16 A: 17 up-to-date valuation, D. C. Tavares & 18 Finson Realty Limited was commissioned 19 to do an urgent report which revealed a 20 market value of \$XXXXM with a forced sale 21 value of \$XXXXM. 22 Sorry, Mr. Chairman. May I request that MISS CLARKE: 23 the paragraph before that be read into 24 the record as well?

25 COMM. BOGLE: I was thinking of it because it would

1		seem that, that paragraph tends to put
2		it in prospective.
3	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly, Chairman.
4	A:	<u>Current</u> Situation.
5		This apartment is the only one of its
6		kind in the complex, comprising two
7		bedrooms, a bathroom, kitchen, living
8		and dining combined, a loft and an
9		attic, which gives a commanding view of
10		the harbour and hills overlooking Ocho
11		Rios.
12		Fixtures in the kitchen, some windows
13		and carpeting need to be repaired or
14		replaced, the walls need repainting and
15		some fixtures in the bathroom could also
16		be replaced. We thought the apartment
17		was in fair condition, having been
18		locked-up for almost three years and
19		there was no trace of termites.
20		In the quest for a more objective and
21		up-to-date valuation, D.C. Tavares &
22		Finson Realty Limited was commissioned
23		to do an urgent report which revealed a
24		market value of \$XXXXM with a forced sale
25		value of \$XXXXM.

1 The prospective purchaser has again 2 revised her offer as follows: 3 Purchaser: REALTOR of United 4 Realty Limited. 5 Offer Price: \$XXXXM. 6 Market Value: \$XXXXM. 7 Forced Sale: \$XXXXM. 8 Recommendation. 9 We recommend acceptance of this offer 10 based on the following: 11 1. Based on the most recent valuation, 12 the offer is 110% of the market value. 13 2. The property has been on the market 14 for over two years and this is the first 15 serious offer, revised twice. 16 3. The apartment is on the third floor 17 of the building and access is by stairs. 18 Its location is therefore not very 19 appealing to prospective purchasers. 20 Would you therefore say Mr. Campbell 0: 21 that DEBTOR 14's allegation that his 22 apartment was sold at a gross under 23 value is not accurate as far as the 24 records of FINSAC indicate? 25 A: That is definitely true.

1 0: Thank you. 2 That will be Exhibit EC 20/11. COMM. BOGLE: Thank you. We move on now, Chairman, to 3 MR. MOODIE: 4 DEBTOR 15. The transcript 5 reference is the transcript of February 6 2, 2011. Mr. DEBTOR 15 accused FINSAC of 7 being unfair and fraudulent with him as 8 regards XXX Million Dollars allegedly 9 placed by him on fixed deposit at 10 Corporate Merchant Bank. He alleges that 11 FINSAC wrote to him confirming the 12 deposit and alleges that he was told 13 that the Board had decided he should be 14 reimbursed. 15 Mr. Campbell, could you kindly indicate 16 FINSAC's records in relation to 17 DEBTOR 15 and his allegations? There are two memoranda that are in 18 A: 19 FINSAC's file which were obtained from 20 Citizens Corporate Merchant Bank, 21 Citizens Bank/Corporate Merchant Bank 22 and these suggest that there was in fact 23 a commercial paper facility for 24 DEBTOR 15 at some point but it was 25 encashed in September 1996.

1 Q: Could I refer you to internal memo 2 dated August 30, 2000, from 3 Prvce to Mr. Linval Freeman. 4 A: You want me to read it. 5 Q: Yes, I will ask that as soon as the 6 Commission has received a copy of the 7 document. 8 Mr. Chairman, I am asking him to read 9 that memorandum dated August 30, 2000 10 and then I will ask that it be enter 11 into evidence. 12 COMM. BOGLE: That is the one to Linval Freeman? 13 Yes, it is. 0: 14 Mr. Campbell could you. 15 A: 'memorandum to Linval Freeman, Audit 16 Department and that was from Lorna 17 Pryce, and this is dated August 30,2000. 18 Subject: Corporate Merchant Bank 19 Commercial Paper in the name of DEBTOR 15 20 21 Would this be an internal memorandum 0: 22 obtained from Corporate Merchant Bank? 23 That is correct. A: 24 Q: And this was another document among the 25 files of FINSAC which you have seen

1

before?

2 A: Yes, sir.

3 Q: Thank you.

'Mr. DEBTOR 15 has made several 4 A: visits to the bank in an effort to 5 6 encash a Commercial Paper he purchased 7 from the former, Corporate Merchant 8 Bank, 1996. Below are the details: 9 August 29, 1996 Commercial Paper purchased by DEBTOR 15 in the 10 11 amount J\$XXXX. September 11, 1996 Commercial Paper was 12 13 encashed. Request was made in writing. 14 (You got a copy of this letter that it

15 speaks to it).

16Details of payment Workers Bank cheque17#2129 dated September 11, 1996 in the18amount of \$XXXX. And this is a

19breakdown in the principal and interest.20The cheque was collected and signed for

21 by DEBTOR 15.

His file was retrieved from FINSAC with all original documentation evidencing his request for encashment. A copy of his signature from his passport also 1 matches that on the file.

However DEBTOR 15 is adamant that he made no such request, neither did he collect the cheque.
His final visit was on August 30, 2000,

at this time the matter was referred to
Mr. Loren Edwards. (He was a former
executive at Citizen's Bank.)

9 We are seeking your assistance in 10 resolving this matter.'

MR. MOODIE: Mr. Chairman, before we enter this one, I think, in addition to the next document we could perhaps enter them as one exhibit, 'A' and 'B' 12. So I will ask Mr. Campbell to go to the

16 inter-office memorandum dated September 17 18, 2000 from Linval Freeman to Loren

18 Edwards referred to in that previous

19 letter.

Could you read that memorandum please,

21 Mr. Campbell?

22 Sure.

20

23 Inter-office memo.

24 **To:** Loren Edwards, General Manager,
25 Banking Services.

From: Linval Freeman, Senior Inspector 1 (Acting) 2 Date: 18th September, 2000. 3 Subject: Corporate Merchant Bank 4 Commercial Paper in the name of DEBTOR 15. 5 Further to memo dated August 30, 2000 6 received from Lorna Pryce and also copied 7 for your attention, a meeting was held on 8 September 12, 2000 with DEBTOR 15 and 9 members of the Inspection Department. 10 DEBTOR 15 is refuting the encashment of his 11 Commercial Paper on September 11, 1996 12 which was maintained at Corporal Merchant 13 Bank. Our investigations revealed that 14 DEBTOR 15 encashed his Commercial Paper and 15 signed the letter acknowledging 16 receipt of Workers Bank settlement 17 cheque #2129 for the amount 18 1996. \$XXXX on September 11, 19 In our meeting on September 12, 2000 Mr. 20 Hendricks was shown a copy of the letter on 21 which he had acknowledged receipt of the 2.2 cheque and he confirmed that the 23

48

24

っち

signature was his. We then advised him there 1 2 was no reason to conduct further 3 4 investigations. In light of all this, DEBTOR 56 15 was adamant that he still did not receive 78 the cheque, although the signature 9 acknowledging receipt of it was his. 10 Consequently, he requested that we provide 11 him with a copy of the negotiated cheque. We 12 advised him of the search time of 13 approximately two working days and the 14 charge of \$350 per hour to which he raised 15 no objections. A copy of the cheque was 16 obtained which indicated that it was 17 negotiated on an account at National 18 Commercial Bank, Oxford Place branch after 19 being 20

endorsed by DEBTOR 15.

22 23 24 25 Contact was also made with JANE DOE, joint party to the account, and she advised that she has no knowledge of the encashment of these funds, neither did she benefit from the proceeds. DEBTOR 15 contacted us on Friday, September 15, 2000 and was advised that he would have to pay search fees of

\$5,577.50 before obtaining a copy of the 1 cheque. He refused to pay these search fees 2 claiming that he only wanted to see the 3 cheque. In an effort to have the matter 4 settled quickly, the cheque was shown to him. 5 He advised that the signature on the reverse 6 of the cheque (which was in keeping with 7 other documents signed on file) was not his. 8 He is also now refuting having signed any of 9 the documents on file, including one of his 10 hand written letters which he admitted to 11 have written during the meeting on September 12 12, 2000. 13

Based on the evidence reviewed, we are of the 14 opinion that DEBTOR 15 did in fact receive 15 this item and we request that he be 16 officially informed of this. We are however 17 prepared to refer the matter, on his behalf 18 to the Forensic Laboratory for further 19 evaluation and to provide him with a copy of 20 the cheque so that he can continue his own 21 investigations, if he so desires, and 2.2 providing he pays the outstanding 23

50

っち

	research fees and the charge that would
	be levied by the Forensic Laboratory.
	You may also wish to advise him that he
	could refer the matter to the Fraud
	Squad.'
	This is signed by Linval Freeman and
	copied to Mary Powell and Brent
	Curilino.
MR. MOODIE:	Could we have an exhibit number and I
	ask that this be entered.
COMM. BOGLE:	21/11A would be for the first
	inter-office memorandum, and 21/11B for
	the other one.
MR. MOODIE:	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
	Might I also refer you, Mr. Campbell, to
	two further documents, FINSAC's Board
	Submission dated August 30, 2010 and
	FINSAC's Board Decision dated August 30,
	2010. Do you have copies of those
	documents with you?
A:	Yes, I do.
Q:	Have you seen those documents before?
A:	Yes, I have.
Q:	Were they amongst the Minutes of
	FINSAC's Board copies of which were
	COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE: A: Q: A:

1 provided with the submission? 2 A: Yes, they were. Could I ask you to start with the Board 3 0: 4 Submission? 5 Certainly. A: FINSAC/FIS LIMITED. 6 MATTER FOR BOARD DIRECTIVE. 7 AUGUST 30, 2010 8 9 ISSUE: Claim by DEBTOR 15 10 regarding funds owing 11 Background 12 Over the last couple of years, DEBTOR 15 13 who was a policeman up to 14 1998, has been making claims to us on 15 two matters, as follows: 16 1. Balance on a cheque of \$XXXX from 17 NCB after repaying a loan. 18 2. Deposit of \$XXXXM said to have been held at Corporate Merchant Bank in 1996. 19 20 The claim was broken down in Claim 1 and 21 Claim 2. 22 Claim 1 23 DEBTOR 15 has been making the 2.4 following claims that: 1. In or around 1995 he borrowed 25

\$XXXX from National Commercial Bank, Half 1 Way Tree to assist with purchasing a truck; 2 2. as things weren't going as planned, 3 within three months, he sold the truck for 4 \$XXXX and took the cheque to the bank; 5 3. the bank should have repaid the loan and 6 deposited the balance to his savings account; 7 4. he did not follow up with the bank to 8 ensure that this was done and only became 9 aware of the matter when he was contacted by 10 Dennis Joslin Jamaica Limited and advised 11 that he owed over \$XXXX on a loan that was 12 transferred from NCB; and. 13 5. an officer reviewed the files with him 14 and agreed that the funds were really owed 15 and he should contact NCB for the money. 16 Having contacted NCB he is then referred to 17 Finsac. 18 The Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation has 19 since sold his loan to the 20 21 22 23 24

っょ

International Asset Services Limited and we have obtained a copy of the NCB loan file, which indicates that two loans were obtained from the bank and the balances were \$XXXX at the time the \$XXXX cheque was presented. This

cheque therefore reduced the balance to \$XXXX and an additional amount was granted for repairs to a bus, making a loan of \$XXXX. This was not serviced and was later sold to

He refutes this altogether, being adamant that it was only one loan of \$XXXX that was borrowed and the sale proceeds of the truck should have repaid it, leaving a surplus, which he is now claiming.

Finsac.

16 <u>Claim 2</u>

17DEBTOR 15 claims that in 1996 he placed on18commercial paper with Corporate Merchant19Bank an amount of \$XXXXM. Because of problems20with his common law spouse, a number of21important documents were removed from his22apartment at PROPERTY

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

- 24

っち

After he reported to the bank that 1 2 his receipt was stolen, he claims he 3 received a note written by someone he 4 says is a former staff on a letter head 5 which says the funds will not be 6 withdrawn without his authority.' 7 I think that document was submitted in 0: 8 the report of DEBTOR 15' evidence, a 9 handwritten note which was presented. 10 Please go ahead, Mr. Campbell. 11 'This he says is the receipt. 12 In researching the files, a Union Bank 13 of Jamaica internal memorandum seen 14 states that a \$XXXXM facility was indeed 15 held but was encashed in 1996 by Mr. 16 DEBTOR 15. As expected, he claims that 17 this is not the one, as he had placed another \$XXXXM sometime afterwards with 18 19 CMB. 20 DEBTOR 15 has written to the Bank of 21 Jamaica on both matters and the response 2.2 understandably does not please him. He 23 indicated that he has been to NCB's 24 Annual General Meetings and spoken with 25 Mr. Lee Chin who promised to have staff

look at the matter and again the result is not pleasing. He has also written to the Ministry of Finance without any success. When one listens to DEBTOR 15, one gets a tale of woes and more woes: 1. He was beaten up by the Police; 2. taken to Court by his spouse and the Court awarded her three properties he says he owns; 3. Judge with Policemen forced entry into his house and on seeing a tea cup in the sink, he was locked up for three months, as he was instructed not to go back to that house; 4. shares in listed companies sold and he did not get the proceeds; 5. and, of course, the two matters mentioned above. DEBTOR 15 remains adamant that his funds were placed with CMB and he has not yet encashed them. We have advised him that FINSAC did not pay out commercial paper investors but still he maintains his claim.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 We are unable to offer any further 2 assistance to DEBTOR 15 and thus 3 have decided to refer the matter to the Board for some directive.' 4 5 MR. MOODIE: Could we have an exhibit number, Mr. 6 Chairman, and could that be entered? 7 COMM. BOGLE: 22/11. 8 MR. MOODIE: Could you now, Mr. Campbell, indicate 9 the response of the Board by the 10 submission dated August 30, 2010. 11 Α: This is an extract from the: 12 MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF BOARD OF 13 DIRECTORS OF FINSAC LIMITED 7 FINANCIAL 14 INSTITUTIONS SERVICES LIMITED HELD ON 15 AUGUST 30, 2010 AT 10:00 A.M. AT THE FID 16 BOARDROOM, 1 SHALIMAR AVENUE, KINGSTON 3 Present were: 17 18 Mr. Robert Martin - Chairman Mr. Ainsley Mitchell -Director Mrs. Bridgett Wilks -19 Director 20 Mr. Devon Rowe 21 Mr. Errol Campbell 22 23 24

1 Apologies for Absence: 2 Apologies were tendered for Mrs. Viralee 3 Latibeaudiere who was unavoidably absent as she was ill. 4 5 MATTERS FOR BOARD DECISION 6 Claim by DEBTOR 15 7 The Board considered the claims by Mr. DEBTOR 15 and directed that he be 8 9 advised that our records do not support 10 his claim that funds are due to be paid 11 to him. 12 MR. MOODIE: I am going to ask that this document be 13 entered into evidence, Mr. Chairman. 14 COMM. BOGLE: EC 23/11. 15 MR. MOODIE: Mr. Chairman in relation to the 16 allegations these documents submitted 17 speak for themselves, so I will not ask 18 Mr. Campbell for any further comments 19 unless you have any questions. 20 We move on now to complaint of Mr. 21 DEBTOR 9. DEBTOR 9 as the 22 transcript reference, Mr. Chairman, is 23 April 12, 2011. DEBTOR 9 alleges that 24 he was treated unfairly by FINSAC and 25 that he was not given an opportunity to

1 negotiate with FINSAC and the details of his balance were incorrect. 2 3 Mr. Campbell, could you indicate what 4 your search of the records of FINSAC have indicated in relation to the 5 allegation of DEBTOR 9? 6 7 A: I will, Mr. Moodie. The main item we 8 wish to bring to the Commission's 9 attention is an internal memorandum, 10 well, before I say that, let me just 11 remind the Commission, it was previously 12 advised that when FINSAC acquired the 13 loans and in particular the ones from 14 National Commercial Bank, the loans with 15 a principal balance below XXXX Million 16 Dollars remained at NCB. They managed 17 them for a period of time for FINSAC and 18 the ones with principal balance in 19 excess of XXXX Million were transferred to FINSAC and FTNSAC dealt with those. 20 21 His being one of those with principal 22 balance below XXXX Million remained at 23 NCB and NCB managed it on their behalf. 24 So in the process of NCB managing it he 25 would have been interfacing with them

and at a point he had a submission --1 2 he brought a proposal to NCB which NCB 3 prepared and submitted to FINSAC for 4 approval. So he did in fact get some 5 sort of relief from FINSAC except, of 6 course, the proposal having been 7 approved, he never settled it so the 8 loan was subsequently transferred to 9 FINSAC. 10 The memo you have, I am not sure if it 11 says October 2000 or 2001, but it should 12 really be 2000. 13 COMM. BOGLE: Which one, I got two documents? 14 A: In this table that you have, Item No. 3 15 under the section, 'FINSAC's Response', 16 I am just saying the date of the 17 transfer should be 2000. 18 So, there is a correction in the fourth MR. MOODIE: 19 column of the Table, Debt Transferred to 20 FINSAC in 2000 as opposed to 2001 which 21 now appears. 22 Yes. So I am saying prior to that he A: 23 would have gone into NCB with a 24 proposal, NCB record it to FINSAC, 25 FINSAC approved it. They were to pay

some money over a period of time. 1 Did you find any records in relation to 2 Q: his proposal made to NCB? 3 Yes, we did. A copy of the memorandum 4 A: which was submitted from NCB to FINSAC 5 6 seeking approval for the proposal. 7 Q: Could you please read through and 8 identify... Which of the two documents? 9 COMM. BOGLE: The one that is headed 'Memorandum to 10 A: 11 Patrick Hylton, Chairman, Joint Credit Committee of NCB/ to RECON trust'. 12 13 0: Could I ask you to read through that 14 memorandum please? 15 A: Sure. To: 16 Mr. Patrick Hylton 17 Chairman 18 Joint Credit committee of. 19 NCB/RECON Trust 20 FROM: 21 Asset Quality Control Division. 22 SUBJECT: DEBTOR 9 - ADDRESS 23 Street 24 DATE: OCTOBER 13, 1998 25 DEBT SOLD TO RECON TRUST \$XXXX

1	LIABILITIES AS AT 09/17/1998
2	Principal \$XXXX
3	Interest <u>\$</u> XXXX \$ XXXX
4	
.5	SECURITY
6	Bill of sale over 1989 Tipper Truck. Current
7	market value \$2 XXXX, valuation report dated
8	9th February, 1998.
9	REMARK
10	DEBTOR 9 began banking with us in 1982.
11	Facilities extended during the period were
12	satisfactorily serviced. In March 1996, an
13	
14	ordinary loan of \$ XXXX was granted to
15	purchase an engine for a truck that is the
16	security held in support of the debt. An
17	additional \$ XXXX was granted on May 14, 1996
18	to pay customs duty on the engine. He was
19	then employed to the Company Limited.
20	The truck developed mechanical problems and
21	therefore the expected deposits were not
	realized. Consequently the truck was seized
22	in September 1997 by the Debt
23	
24	
25	

Collector, and remains in storage at 1 Robinson and Company. So far a 2 purchaser has not yet been found. 3 DEBTOR 9 has deposited \$ XXXX from a refund 4 of pension contributions which he received 5 when he resigned from the Company Limited. 6 We are also in possession of a letter which 7 confirms the intention of General Transport 8 and Equipment Services Limited to contract 9 DEBTOR 9 to provide haulage services with an 10 average remuneration of \$ XXXX monthly. 11 Debtor is proposing that we return the truck 12 and that \$ XXXX monthly will be paid from the 13 income the truck 14 generates. At this rate, the principal debt 15 will be retired in approximately ten months. 16 RECOMMENDATION. 17 Authorization is requested to accept 18 debtor's proposal based on the 19 following: 20 1. Since the truck was seized in September 21 1997, no bids were made on it. 22 23 24 25

2. Monthly storage fees of \$2,850 are 1 being made which are increasing the debt. 2 3. DEBTOR 9 has constantly been in dialogue 3 with the Bank and has shown his commitment by 4 depositing \$XXXX in May 1998 to reduce the 5 debt. 6 4. We will still maintain a charge over the 7 truck. The debtor's wife is the owner of a 8 1989 Nissan Pick-up and is willing to give 9 us a charge over it. This will deepen the 10 commitment to retire the debt and to reduce 11 our exposure. 12 However, if debtor fails to meet his 13 obligation, we will repossess the unit and 14 we are requesting authorization to pursue 15 legal action. 16 This is signed by N.F. Marsh 17 Assistant General Manager 18 and 19 Belinda Brown. 20 Analyst. 21 This was submitted and approved by FINSAC 22 but because DEBTOR 9 did not 23 24

っょ

1 service this while it was at NCB it was 2 subsequently transferred to FINSAC and 3 later sold to Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation. 4 5 MR. MOODIE: Thank you. Could we enter that 6 memorandum dated October 13, 1998 into 7 evidence, Chairman? EC 24/11. Go ahead. 8 COMM. BOGLE: We are about to move onto DEBTOR 2 9 MR. MOODIE: 10 11 COMM. BOGLE: There was another document that we got. 12 MR. MOODIE: That document, we are not putting into 13 evidence, it's the same thing, its 14 earlier in time and gives the same information and so we only wish to 15 16 submit the joint memo dated October 13 17 1998. I am sorry. Mr. Chairman the document 18 MS CLARKE: 19 being at hand, you know, if there is one 20 distinction between them which may have some 21 significance is the date. I would like for 2.2 it to be considered. 23 MR. MOODIE: We have no other document we are 24 submitting before the Commission, Mr. Chairman. 25

1 MS CLARKE: It being at hand, I am sorry

Mr. Chairman, it is a memo, Recon Trust
Account dated June 9, 1989; Classified
Debt - DEBTOR 8.

5 COMM. BOGLE: Ms Clarke, FINSAC does not wish to 6 present this, however, if in examination 7 you wish to present you may, but FINSAC 8 at this time does not wish to present 9 it.

10 MS CLARKE: Very well.

MR. MOODIE: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Mr. DEBTOR 2
the transcript reference is March
8....

14COMM. BOGLE:Just before you move on; therefore, the15debt was sold to JRF and then to IAS so16therefore FINSAC has no further17interest.

18 A: That is correct.

19MR. MOODIE:Yes, the transcript reference to20Mr. DEBTOR 2 March 8 2011, he21alleges that FINSAC failed to correspond22with him and rejected his offer to pay23fifty percent of his debt during the24window of opportunity. Have you got a25chance to research the records as it

1 relates to DEBTOR 2? 2 I have. A: 3 0: Could you indicate what the records have 4 revealed in relation to his allegation? There were in fact a number of 5 Α: 6 correspondence between FINSAC and 7 Mr. DEBTOR 2, some which he has already tendered into evidence. 8 9 Would that be correspondence which 0: 10 appears in the list as correspondence 11 dated June 6, 2000, August 13, 1999, 12 July 28 1999, July 20, 1999 and March 16 13 2000? 14 A: Yes indeed. 15 Those letters, as the table indicates Q: 16 Chairman, were already tendered in 17 evidence as exhibits when Mr. DEBTOR 2 was 18 giving evidence. Yes, could you please 19 indicate what the records of FINSAC have 20 shown in respect of the proposal by 21 Mr. DEBTOR 2 to reduce his debt? 22 A: The proposal was rejected and FINSAC 23 offered to take principal plus fifty 24 percent of interest in settlement of the 25 debt provided it was paid within a six

- 1 month period but this was not paid. 2 0: We wish to tender -- do you have with 3 vou Mr. Campbell a memorandum, interoffice memorandum from Pat Spence to 4 5 Mr. Patrick Hylton dated June 15, 1999? 6 A: Yes, I do. 7 Q: Could you read that memorandum and then 8 afterward Chairman, I ask that it be 9 tendered, Chairman? COMM. BOGLE: Could we have a copy of it. 10 11 MR. MOODIE: Mr. DePeralto has been given a copy. 12 Mr. Chairman... A: 13 June 15 1999, memorandum to Mr. Patrick Q: 14 Hylton from Velda Grant-Taylor, could 15 you read that please? 16 Α: Inter office memo to Patrick Hylton from 17 Velda Grant-Taylor dated June 15 1999 18 regarding DEBTOR 2 COMPANY, trading as DEBTOR 2 and that was a debtor with 19 20 Citizens Bank, the debt originated at 21 Citizens Bank. 2.2 Captioned client's indebtedness totaled 23 \$ XXXX as at today's date and broken down as follows: 24
 - Demand Loan \$ XXXX

25

1 Overdraft facility - \$XXXX 2 In a meeting with client in December, 3 1998, client advised that an arrangement 4 was worked out at Citizens Bank for them 5 to pay \$XXXX per month effective 6 October, 1998. However, there is 7 nothing on file to confirm this 8 arrangement. The client paid \$ XXXX in 9 October and November, 1998 and no 10 further payment on account to date. As 11 a result demand was made and Statutory 12 Notice reserved January 7, 1999. Client advised that DEBTOR 2 COMPANY 13 14 was defunct and has been for guite a 15 while. 16 The property at ADDRESS which is the 17 principal security was auctioned on June 10, 1999 but there were no takers. 18 19 Could we give that one an exhibit number 0: 20 and admit it into evidence, Chairman? EC 25/11. 21 A: 22 The other two letters which I would ask Q: 23 Mr. Campbell to read have already, as 24 far as I am able to ascertain, been 25 entered into evidence but I think it's

1		important in responding to the
2		allegation to ask Mr. Campbell to go
3		through those two particular letters.
4		The first would be the letter dated July
5		20, 1999 to Mr. DEBTOR 2. Do you
6		have that letter dated July 20 1999?
7	A:	I do.
8	Q:	And have you seen that letter before?
9	A:	Yes, I have.
10	Q:	That letter was also among the FINSAC
11		files relating to DEBTOR 2 and
12		DEBTOR 2 COMPANY?
13	A:	That's correct.
14	Q:	Please read the content of that letter?
15	A:	Letter dated July 20, 1999.
16		DEBTOR 2 trading as DEBTOR 2 COMPANY
17		
18		
19		
20		St. Andrew
21		Dear Mr. DEBTOR 2:
22		Re: Your indebtedness to FINSAC
23		Limited.
24		Thank you for your letter dated July 19,
25		1999. The proposal as outlined therein

1		is unacceptable to us as the sum offered
2		in settlement is way too low.
3		The balance outstanding on the accounts
4		as at July 20, 1999 totals \$XXXX
5		and is accruing interest daily at the
6 7		rate of \$ XXXX Therefore, please let us have a new
8		proposal, one that will be to the
9		benefit of both parties within seven
10		days of the date hereof, failing which
11		we will proceed to recover our exposure.
12		That is signed by Velda Grant-Taylor and
13		Pat Spence on behalf of the FINSAC.
14	Q:	There is a document that is attached to
15		that letter, could you identify that
16		document?
17	A:	This is a statement that was prepared
18		and submitted to Mr. DEBTOR 2 which shows
19		how the balance of \$ XXXX was
20		arrived and as mentioned
21	MS CLARKE:	Sorry, the witness has asserted that this is
22		the statement that was prepared and
23		submitted to Mr. DEBTOR 2. I would have no
24		difficulty with the assertion if there was
25		some correspondence, if the
		······································

correspondence to which it was attached bore 1 2 it out. I am aware that the letter forms part of the exhibits that were previously 3 tendered relative to this particular 4 witness but the attachment I am not able to 5 identify with it. The question I am asking, 6 on what basis is the witness asserting that 7 this is a statement that was supplied to 8 Mr. DEBTOR 2, because the letter certainly 9 doesn't identify it as an enclosure or 10 - -12 an attachment and has not been given as 13 evidence elsewhere. 14 MR. MOODIE: If I may respond, Chairman, the witness 15 has already indicated these documents were documents which he saw before and 16 17 which were retrieved from Mr. DEBTOR 2' 18 file. If counsel wishes to test any 19 document she can certainly do so when 20 she is cross-examining and make her 21 submission as to the weight that this 22 Commission ought to give to this 23 document. But I think the witness has 24 indicated where he got the document from 25 and that it was among Mr. DEBTOR 2' file.

1 I take counsel's point but certainly I think 2 that is a matter she can pursue at the 3 appropriate time. 4 MS CLARKE: Mr. Chairman, I am not testing the validity 5 of the document, I am simply here seeking to establish the source of the assertion by the 67 witness as to it is a document that was sent 8 9 to 10

Mr. Campbell. All the witness has said, he 11 has retrieved these documents from certain 12 records. Now there is nothing here, as far 13 as I am concerned, based upon which this 14 witness can say assertively that this 15 document was sent to Mr. DEBTOR 2, so it is 16 not the document per se that I am testing or 17 having any difficulty with, it is actually 18 the evidence that is now proceeding from the 19 witness, so I am simply asking that he 20 indicates, if he is able, on what basis he 21 is now asserting that this is a document that 2.2 was sent to Mr. DEBTOR 2. If he is not able 23 to say so assertively, perhaps he should so 24 indicate, that is as to whether it was sent 25 to Mr. DEBTOR 2.

I think that is fair, if Mr. Campbell 1 COMM. BOGLE: 2 can say, yes, it was sent and has some 3 indication or he is assuming based on 4 the fact that he found it on the file, 5 assuming that it was sent. Mr. Campbell can you indicate which of them. 6 7 I withdraw the comment then Α: Mr. Chairman. My reason for making the 8 9 point is that these documents were 10 stabled together and as I have mentioned 11 in previous statement, I did not deal 12 directly with the debtor but the letter 13 speaks of the balance of XXXX million and 14 gave a statement showing a balance of XXXX 15 million and it was stabled together. 16 MR. MOODIE: Is there a date attached to that 17 statement? 18 Α: Dated July 20, 1999. 19 0: And the letter to which it is attached, 20 what is the date of that letter? 21 A: July 20, 1999. 2.2 COMM. BOGLE: It still doesn't confirm whether or not 23 it was sent. 24 MR. MOODIE: We take that point Chairman, but 25 certainly we are asking that the

statement be admitted in evidence. 1 2 COMM. BOGLE: We will admit both documents, but on the 3 proviso that there is no proof that it was sent to Mr. DEBTOR 2. 4 MR. MOODIE: Certainly Chairman. 5 6 MS CLARKE: Are we admitting them as one document 7 because they are stabled to each other 8 as of now, or it ought to be, since this 9 one is in evidence to admit the 10 statement -- I am suggesting that we 11 admit them as two separate documents. COMM. BOGLE: We can but in any case ... 12 13 MS CLARKE: There is nothing to connect them to each 14 other. 15 MR. MOODIE: I have no difficulty with that. 16 COMM. BOGLE: No problem with that, the letter itself 17 will be 26/11 and the statement will 18 then be 27/11. 19 MR. MOODIE: Could you move on, Mr. Campbell, to read 20 the letter dated July 28, 1999? Letter dated July 28, 1999. 21 A: Messrs JOHN DOE \$ DEBTOR 2 22 23 Trading as DEBTOR 2 COMPANY 24 25

St. Andrew

Dear Sirs,

1

2

22

っち

3Re: Your Indebtedness to Finsac Limited4Thank you for your letter dated July 23,51999, enclosing the revised proposal. This6is to advise that it has not been accepted7as it is insufficient to cover the principal8and would also leave a balance of \$xxxx99

In light of your indication to settle this 10 debt, if the principal outstanding is 11 settled in full within thirty days, fifty 12 percent of interest would be deferred and 13 the balance payable over a six month period. 14 Please note that the deferral of fifty 15 percent interest is conditional on 16 receiving the principal payment within the 17 thirty days. 18 The balance outstanding on the accounts as 19 at July 28, 1999 totals \$XXXX and is 20 accruing interest daily at the rate of \$ 21 XXXX.

23 24
Therefore, please let us have confirmation of this proposal within

1 seven days of the date hereof, failing 2 which we will proceed to recover our 3 exposure. 4 And that letter is signed on behalf of 5 FINSAC by Velda Grant-Taylor and Pat 6 Spence 7 Let me ask that this letter be exhibited Q: 8 again as . 28/11. 9 COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE: Are you able to indicate, Mr. Campbell, 10 whether in fact that proposal was 11 12 accepted and complied with by Mr. DEBTOR 2, as far as your recollection from the 13 file? 14 As far as my recollection from the file, 15 A: 16 no, he did not settle the debt within 17 the time period granted, as a result the 18 loan was sold to Jamaican Redevelopment 19 Foundation. 20 MR. MOODIE: Thank you. 21 COMM. BOGLE: Just a minute. 22 COMM. ROSS: Mr. Campbell, can you tell us a little 23 bit about the headings in the 24 attachment. 25 COMM. BOGLE: That is EC 27/11?

1 COMM. ROSS: First Interest in Arrears, Interests 2 suspended (transferred) and interest 3 suspended (accrued). Could you tell us 4 something about that, what is it in 5 comparison to the various columns? 6 A: I am aware that at FINSAC we basically 7 had three interest categories, yes. 8 Most accounts would have all three. I 9 really don't recall which heading is 10 which but I can tell the three 11 categories generally. One would be the 12 interest that accrues within a ninety 13 day period just before the loan was 14 classified as a bad debt, this is while it is still at the institution. The 15 second one would be the interest that 16 17 accrued after that ninety days up to the point when the loan is transferred to 18 FINSAC and the third one would be the 19 20 interest accrued since the loan was 21 transferred to FINSAC. I really don't 22 remember the headings for which but 23 those are the three categories, so if 24 you want me to say it again ... 25 COMM. ROSS: Say it again.

1 A: It is ninety day interest based on the 2 Banking Act after the account - if the 3 interest has been outstanding for more 4 than three months it becomes a bad debt, 5 so that ninety day interest is one of, 6 the interest elements. At that point we 7 classify the account as a non-performing 8 loan, as a bad debt but interest 9 continues to accrue and you no longer 10 putting that interest into profit so a separate bucket, for want of a better 11 12 word, captures that interest so at the 13 point when the loan is then transferred 14 to FINSAC it has two interest balances 15 that are transferred and when it comes 16 to FINSAC, FINSAC continues to accrue 17 interest and that is in a separate bucket as well. 18 COMM. ROSS: 19 You lost me in the first exercise, you 20 mentioned ninety days before . 21 A: The account is classified as a bad debt. 2.2 COMM. ROSS: Before it is classified as a bad debt? 23 A: Yes. So the debtor has not paid and 24 three months have passed and he hasn't 25 paid so the interest that is accrued in

1 that three months, the bank -- if they 2 had put it to profit, then they need to 3 reverse it from profit; that figure is 4 suspended. Having classified the 5 account as bad debt they continue to 6 accrue interest for however long 7 thereafter and at the point now when the 8 account is transferred to FINSAC you 9 have those two separate interest figures 10 and having transferred it to FINSAC, 11 FINSAC continues to accrue interest and 12 that figure is reflected separately. I 13 really don't remember the headings but 14 that is what the three interest figures 15 relate to. COMM. ROSS: 16 Ladies and gentlemen, at this time, we 17 will have our lunch break and we will resume at 1:30. 18 19 LUNCHEONADJOURNMENT 20 ON RESUMPTION 21 COMM. BOGLE: Ladies and gentlemen, this enquiry is 2.2 now in session and Mr. Campbell, just to 23 remind you that you are still under oath 24 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. My apologies, matter I had to 25 deal with so I was running late.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	Your attorney did express on your	
2		behalf. Mr. Moodie.	
3	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you Chairman. We will be	
4		continuing now, with your permission	
5		Mr. Chairman, with the complaints made	
6		by DEBTOR 13, DEBTOR 13 COMPANY 1	
7		Limited, DEBTOR 13 COMPANY 2. Transcript	
reference is			
8		January 26, 2011. Mr. DEBTOR 13 alleged	
9		that his proposal to restructure the	
10		debt which he put at \$XXXX million was	
11		rejected and his proposal to buy back	
12		the shares in DEBTOR 13 COMPANY 2 was also	
rejected.			
13		He also alleged a corrupt sale to	
14		CORPORATION and alleged that	
15		there was an alternate bid by a firm	
16		called COMPANY which he said was better	
17		and ought to have been taken up.	
18		Mr. Campbell, could you kindly indicate	
19		what your search of the FINSAC's records	
20		in relation to Mr. DEBTOR 13 and	
21		DEBTOR 13 COMPANY ladcasting Limited have	
22		revealed.	
23	A:	In November 1999 FINSAC had taken the	

24	decision to go to the open market to
25 1	sell the shares and debt of DEBTOR 13 COMPANY

and just about

1

2 the same time Mr. DEBTOR 13 submitted a 3 proposal as well which he would have 4 been fully aware by then that this was 5 the intention of FINSAC. Now, one of the bids that was submitted was a bid 6 7 for \$XXXX million from COMPANY which Mr. DEBTOR 13 is alleging ought to have been 8 9 considered since that would have been, 10 in his estimation, the highest bid, but, 11 as we have stated in here that bid was 12 to purchase the entire asset portfolio 13 of this company which FINSAC did not 14 have control of, so as a result it could 15 not have been accepted. 16 Was a valuation of DEBTOR 13 COMPANY 2 ever Q: obtained? 17 A valuation was obtained, yes, and it A: 18 shows that the value of the shares was 19 nil. Could I ask that the Commission be given 20 Q: 21 a copy of that valuation, valuation dated May 2000, Commissioners, do you 22 23 have that valuation with you?

24 COMM. BOGLE: Yes.

1 turn to page 23, you have the document there with you? 2 Yes, I do. 3 A: Is that the valuation of which you 4 0: 5 speak? Yes, it is. 6 A: 7 And that valuation was among the FINSAC Q: 8 files that you have seen before? 9 That is correct, yes. A: 10 May I ask you, Mr. Campbell, just to Q: 11 read the portion on page 203 which is 12 practically the last page of the 13 valuation which is highlighted in bold? The valuators state that in their 14 A: 15 opinion and subject to restrictions and 16 assumptions expressed elsewhere in this 17 report, there is no value attached to the shares held in DEBTOR 13 COMPANY 18 1adcasting 19 Services. 20 0: Are you able to say who provided this 21 valuation? 22 A: ASSOCIATES. 23 COMM. BOGLE: 24 Can we hear a little about who are these