VERBATIM NOTES
OF
COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE 1990s
HELD AT
THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KNUTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5
ON
FRIDAY, JULY 8, 2011

### PRESENT WERE:

#### COMMISSIONERS

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick Bogle

# **COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION**

Hon. Justice Henderson Downer (Retired)

# SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Mr. Fernando DePeralto

### MARSHALLING EVIDENCE FOR THE COMMISSION

Ms. Judith Clarke - Attorney -at-Law

# REPRESENTING JAMAICAN REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

Mr. Gavin Goffe- Attorney-at-Law

# REPRESENTING MR PATRICK HYLTON

Mr. Dave Garcia - Attorney-at-Law

### REPRESENTING FINSAC LIMITED

Mr. Brian Moodie - Attorney-at-Law Ms. Danielle Chai - Attorney-at-Law

#### REPRESENTING DEBTOR1, DONOVAN CRAWFORD

Mr. Anthony Levy - Attorney-at-Law

GIVING EVIDENCE

Mr. Errol Campbell

1		FRIDAY JULY 8, 2 011
2		COMMENCEMENT : 10 :15
3	COMM BOGLE:	Morning ladies and gentlemen. This
4		Enquiry is now in session, and again we
5		apologise for the late start.
6		Thankfully, we have Commissioner Ross
7		with us. For a while there we thought
8		he might not have been with us for today
9		but he is with us and we are thankful
10		for that.
11		For the record may we have the names of
12		the attorneys present?
13	MS. CHAT:	Brian Moodie and Danielle Chai,
14		appearing on behalf of FINSAC.
15	MR. GOFFE:	Gavin Goffe instructed by Myers Fletcher
16		and Gordon for Jamaican Redevelopment
17		Foundation Incorporated.
18	MR. GARCIA:	Dave Garcia appearing for Patrick
19		Hylton.
20	MR. LEVY:	Anthony Levy instructed by G. Anthony
21		Levy and Company for DEBTOR1, DEBTOR1-
22		COMPANY, Donovan Crawford and
23		myself.
24	COMM BOGLE:	Thank you very much. Today we are
25		supposed to be having a submission from

1		Errol Campbell regarding some FINSAC
2		transactions and so I'll turn over to
3		him. Could you swear him.
4		Mr. Errol Campbell Sworn
5	MR. MOODIE:	Chairman, before we get into our
6		substantive response today which is
7		really a response from FINSAC to the
8		debtors who appeared before this
9		Commission and gave evidence, we wish to
10		follow up on the evidence given by
11		Mr. Campbell on Monday of this week in
12		relation to Mr. Crawford. The
13		Commission had asked for certain
14		documents to be provided and so I wish
15		to formally tender some of those
16		documents.
17		Under cover of letter dated July 5, we
18		provided the Commission with valuations
19		for certain properties. I think
20		Mr. DePeralto has them with him so if we
21		could just go through and enter those
22		into evidence formally. The valuations
23		are in respect of PROPERTY 1, St. Andrew
24		PROPERTY 2, St. Andrew; PROPERTY 3, St.
25		Andrew, PROPERTY 4

1		St. Elizabeth; PROPERTY 5, St.
2		Ann; PROPERTY 6, St. Ann;
3		PROPERTY 7, St. Ann; PROPERTY 8,
4		St. Ann; PROPERTY 9, Kingston 8;
6		
7		PROPERTY 10, Kingston 6.
8		Those were already provided to the
9		Commission. I ask that they be given the
10		appropriate exhibit numbers.
11		We have some additional documents to
12		submit but
13	COMM ROSS:	Mr. Moodie, your letter states
14		PROPERTY 2, are you saying that this
15		description is
16		incorrect?
17	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, it is. It is in relation to
18		St. Ann, both items 5 and 6
19		Strata Lot X and Y; they are not in
20		relation to St. Andrew but in
21		relation to the FP apartments in
22		St. Ann which, were I think, in
23		exhibit EC $7/11(a)$ on the last occasion.
24	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Moodie, 5 and 6?
25	MR. MOODIE:	Yes. The list was also provided to my

1		friend Mr. Levy, so he is able to follow
2 3	COMM BOGLE:	along. Were you regarding item 14 on the
4		EC8/11(a)?
5	MR. MOODIE:	In fact we have an extended list to
6		submit this morning so that's what I
7 8	COMM BOGLE:	intended to do. Okay.
9	MR. MOODIE:	You will recall the Commission had asked
10		for certain information to be included;
11		the names of the valuators and the names
12		of the purchasers.
13	COMM BOGLE:	I just mention that because you were
14 15	MR. MOODIE:	trying to cover. There is a valuation for that item but
16		we will get there.
17 18		The next document I would wish to put forward is the expanded list of assets
19		owned by Donovan Crawford and connected
20		parties and/or companies?
21	COMM BOGLE:	Just before you go on, would you like to
22 23	MR. MOODIE:	mark these? Certainly.
24	COMM BOGLE:	Mr. Moodie, if we take the letter as

8/11 and then go a,b,c,d. The letter

1		will be 8/11(a) and so on to the end.
2	MR. MOODIE:	The last one therefore, Chairman would
3		be 8/11(k).
4	COMM BOGLE:	You say the last one is?
5	MR. MOODIE:	8/11(k). There are 11 of them.
6	COMM BOGLE:	I am getting (j).
7	MR. MOODIE:	There are 11 of them.
8	COMM BOGLE:	I am getting (j) as the vehicle, valuation
9		for the vehicle.
10	MR. MOODIE:	What I have, Chairman, is Strata Lot 10
11		is 8/11(a); Strata Lot Z 8/11(b); #3
12		8/11(c); #4 8/11(d), #5 8/11(e).
13	COMM BOGLE:	Let us, as you have started, just
14		identify. So (b) would be Lot 1.
15	MR. MOODIE:	Sterling Castle, St. Andrew.
16	COMM BOGLE:	(c) would be PROPERTY 4, St. Elizabeth.
17	MR. MOODIE:	Valuations for one and two would be
18		together. So I guess we can call
19		numbers 1 and 2, Strata Lot 10 and
20		Strata Lot Z as (a) combined.
21		In terms of FP, St. Ann I think
22		the two apartments may also share one
23		valuation; so I think together Strata
24		Lots X and Y FP, St. Ann would
25		be 8/11(d). Are you with me, Chairman?

1	COMM BOGLE:	We had said that (a) and (a) would then
2		Strata Lots Z and W.
3	MR. MOODIE:	They would be 8/11(a). So (b) would be
4		PROPERTY 4, St. Elizabeth; (c)
5		PROPERTY 5, St. Ann; (d) would be
6		numbers 5 and 6 Strata Lots X and Y at
7		FP, St. Ann.
8	COMM BOGLE:	Let us go by the letter then. And so ${\it C.}$
9		which is Lot W PROPERTY 3, St. Andrew.
10	MR. MOODIE:	1 and 2 would be (a).
11	COMM BOGLE:	Land at PROPERTY 4?
12	MR. MOODIE:	That would $be$ $(b)$ .
13	COMM BOGLE:	Land at PROPERTY 5, St. Ann?
14	MR. MOODIE:	(c)
15	COMM BOGLE:	Strata Lot X FP, St. Ann?
16	MR. MOODIE:	That in addition to Strata Lot Y at
17		FP, St. Ann, would be (d).
18	COMM BOGLE:	PROPERTY 8?
19	MR. MOODIE:	Would be (e) Lot V, PROPERTY 2, St.
20		Andrew would be (f). Lot X, PROPERTY
21		1, St. Andrew (g). PROPERTY 9, Kingston
22		8 (h), and PROPERTY 10, Kingston 6 (i).
23		The valuation of the 1931 Buick motor
24		car would therefore be (j).

Okay, fine.

25 COMM BOGLE:

1	MR. MOODIE:	So, now Chairman we move to that
2		expanded list of assets owned by Donovan
3		Crawford and connected parties, those
4		that have been sold, and you will note
5		that this list includes purchasers'
6		names, the date of the sales and the
7		names of the valuators. So if we could
8		give this document an exhibit number,
9		there are additional valuations which we
10		would wish to put in which were not
11		included.
12	COMM BOGLE:	So we will give the complete document
13		9/11. EC 9/11 which comprises pages one
14		to four.
15	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Chairman, and you will note,
16		Chairman, that the valuations which you
17		were previously provided with are items
18		one to eleven on this new expanded list.
19	MR. LEVY:	Through you Mr. Chairman, to Mr. Moodie.
20		First page of EC 9/11, item 15
21	COMM BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, let us allow him to address
22		the others since he has said that he has
23		taken care of items one to twelve on
24		that list so I take it that he is going
25		on to give us details of the others so

1		let us see.
2		(Documents handed to Commission)
3	MR. LEVY:	One is missing from this.
4	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman, what you have been
5		presented with are additional valuations
6		of properties that now appear on this
7		expanded list. There is one copy of
8		each so for purposes of the records
9		could we just give them exhibit numbers
10		we don't intend to go through those
11		valuations but we provide them for the
12		Commission. Certainly if copies are
13		needed by Counsel I would ask
14		Mr. DePeralto.
15	MR. LEVY:	I would need one.
16	COMM BOGLE:	Twelve of them?
17	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Chairman.
18	COMM BOGLE:	And $10/11$ 1-12. And for the record we
19		will just name them so it can be
20		recorded. So if you just state which
21		ones you are covering here, for instance
22		the first town house at Kingston 6.
23	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly, Chairman. So EC 10/11(1)
24		would be appraisal report for townhouse,
25		PROPERTY 11, Kingston 6.

1		EC10/11(2)
2	COMM BOGLE:	The consensus is to say 10/11(a).
3	MR. GARCIA:	So 10/11(b) would be valuation of
4		PROPERTY 12 at FP, St. Ann 10/11(c);
5		valuation
6		report on land part of PROPERTY 13,
7		St Elizabeth. (d) would be
8		Appraisal Report, HWT Road, PROPERTY 14.
9		(e) would be the Appraisal Report for
10		PROPERTY 15. (f) would be lands part of
11		The Point, St Ann, PROPERTY 16, registered
12		at Volume/Folio; Volume/Folio
13		and Volume/Folio.
14	COMM BOGLE:	Hold on. In my bundle I see residential
15		apartment Hope Road, PROPERTY 17.
16	MR. MOODIE:	Sure, we could enter that one as (g).
17	COMM BOGLE:	Let us put the (g) to be
18		Hope Road.
19	MR. MOODIE:	That would be (g). That's Hope Road.
20	COMM BOGLE:	Right.
21	MR. MOODIE:	Chairman - are you at Norman Manley
22		Boulevard? There is one at Devon
23		House East.
24	COMM BOGLE:	That is the one I am at now.
25	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly. So that would be (h).

1	COMM BOGLE:	Yes. $10/11(h)$ .
2	MR. MOODIE:	I - Chairman, if you could guide me as to
3		your order.
4	COMM BOGLE:	My order Commercial/office units, Hope
5		Road, PROPERTY 18.
6	MR. MOODIE:	That would be (i).
7	COMM BOGLE:	The next one now would be in Negril PROPERTY
8		19.
9	MR. MOODIE:	That one would be (j) and the final one now
10		would be Waterloo Road, PROPERTY 20.
11	COMM BOGLE:	Yes, Waterloo Road.
12	MR. MOODIE:	That would be (k).
13	COMM BOGLE:	Then you have another one which is Land at
14		PROPERTY 21, St Catherine.
15 16	MR. MOODIE:	I think I may have just provided the
17	M. MOODIE.	Commission with a copy, I don't have a copy
1 /		of that one before me. Appraisal Report for
18		PROPERTY 21, St. Catherine.
19		That would be (1).
20		We have also been able to secure Vendor's
21	COMM BOGLE:	Statements of Account which I think would
22	MR. MOODIE:	be useful for this Commission too in
23		relation to the
24		

1		various properties.
2		There is one more valuation, Chairman,
3		too, that for Jamaica Grande. Do you
4		have a copy of that before you?
5	COMM BOGLE:	N o .
6 7	MR. MOODIE:	Okay, well EC/11(m) for Jamaica Grande. In relation to the statements of
8		account, certainly in a quest to provide
9		as much information as possible we
10		thought you may wish to have the vendors
11		statements of accounts in relation to
12		those properties which were sold.
13	COMM BOGLE:	Okay. This will now be 11/11 and again
14		we will go the letter route. So the
15		first one will be (a).
16 17	MR. MOODIE:	First one is PROPERTY 3. Right, and that will be (a).
18	MR. MOODIE:	EC/11(11a)
19		(b) would be PROPERTY 1
20		
21	COMM BOGLE:	Which is Strata Plan 368?
22	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Volume/Folio (11), 11(llb).
23		(c) would be PROPERTY 4, St Elizabeth?
24	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
25	MR. MOODIE:	(d ) lands at PROPERTY 5.

1	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
2	MR. MOODIE:	(e) would be sale of Apartment X at
3 4 5	COMM BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	PROPERTY 6. Yes.  (f) would be Apartment Y at PROPERTY 7.
6		
7	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
8	MR. MOODIE:	(g) would be Apartment at PROPERTY 8
9 10		<ul><li>(h) Lot 4, PROPERTY 2.</li><li>(i) PROPERTY 9. (j) would</li></ul>
11		be PROPERTY 10(a).
12		Just to point out I think the
13		PROPERTY 10(a) and PROPERTY 10(b),
14 15		that's one property - PROPERTY 10. They were sold together. There were two lots of land
16		which together made up the property,
17		which were sold separately which is why
18		we have two separate statements of
19		account. The last one would be (k),
20		which is PROPERTY 10.
21	COMM BOGLE:	So you are saying that (j) and
22 23	MR. MOODIE:	(k) comprise one sale? They were separate sales but they
24		comprised the same property.
25	MR. CAMPBELL:	Mr. Chairman, PROPERTY 10 was

1		made up of two titles. In the process
2		of selling, each lot was sold to a
3		different person that's why we have two
4		statements.
5	COMM BOGLE:	But on the list it would appear as
6		probably PROPERTY 10?
7	A:	That's right.
8	COMM BOGLE:	So it would be (k); the last one would
9		be 11(11k)
10	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Mr. Chairman.
11		(1) PROPERTY 14.
12	COMM BOGLE:	Yes.
13	MR. MOODIE:	(m), the next one, would be lands at
14		PROPERTY 15, Manchester.
15		(n) would be Apartment, PROPERTY 17(a)
16		(o) would be Apartment, PROPERTY 17 (b)
17		(p) would be Studio, PROPERTY 17 (c)
18		(q) Apartment, PROPERTY 17 (d)
19		(r) Apartment, PROPERTY 17 (e).
20		(s) Apartment, PROPERTY 17 (f).
21		(t) would be Apartment, PROPERTY 17 (g) and
22		(u) would be Apartment, PROPERTY 17 (h).
23		That concludes the valuation which we
24		put in along with EC 9/11.
25		Perhaps, Chairman, I could ask

1		Mr. Campbell to comment on the total
2		amount received from the sale of the
3		properties as appears on EC 9/11.
4	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, before we go there, could
5		I be provided with copies of those
6		valuations and those statements of
7		accounts because none were provided.
8	COMM BOGLE:	You will be provided.
9	MR. LEVY:	Today?
10	COMM BOGLE:	We will endeavour to do so. It is quite
11,		a number we have to copy but you will
12		definitely get copies.
13	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, Chairman to continue, I was asking
14		Mr. Campbell to comment on the total
15		sums received in relation to the sale of
16		property owned by Donovan Crawford
17		and/or connected parties to date.
18	COMM BOGLE:	You were about to say.
19	MR. MOODIE:	I was asking Mr. Campbell to give a
20		comment on the sum received from the
21		sale of assets owned by Donovan Crawford
22		and/or connected parties; EC 9/11.
23	COMM BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, do you have a copy of EC 9/11
24		pages one to four?
25	MR. LEVY:	Yes, I do.

1	COMM BOGLE:	Because, Mr. Moodie, for the individual
2		valuations, what I would suggest, in
3		view of the fact that attorneys have not
4		got copies of them, that when we are
5		looking at one to four if there is any
6		comment regarding any of the valuations
7		that we will hold that until copies are
8		provided to the attorneys.
9	MR. MOODIE:	We certainly don't intend to offer any
10		comments at the time. I think you had
11		indicated that Mr. Campbell will be
12		recalled so any question arising from
13		evidence or documents submitted today
14		will be addressed at that time.
15	COMM BOGLE:	Fine. Go ahead Mr. Campbell.
16	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, once again sir, Item 15 of
17		EC 9/11, page one
18	COMM BOGLE:	What is the question?
19	MR. LEVY:	Description of the property, something
20		is missing. Can Mr. Campbell help us by
21		completing? Put item five rental
22		property at numbers 9 and 10; it doesn't
23		say whether rental properties
24	MR. GARCIA:	I think Counsel on cross-examination,
25		once he has a chance certainly can get

1		any additional information.
2	COMM BOGLE:	I think in fairness though, since the
3		list is here we just want for the
4		
		completion of it, in that it says rental
5		property at numbers 9 & 10 and no
6		further information. I am sure
7		Mr. Campbell will have some additional
8		information that he can give relative to
9		this item.
10	MR. CAMPBELL:	Yes, Mr. Chairman. Item number 15 which
11		says, 'rental' is really primarily just
12		to show that from rental of these two
13		properties FINSAC has collected a total
14		of roughly \$1.9 Million. This property
15		listed at number 9 which is the
16		townhouse at Sterling Castle and
17		property number 10 which is 122 Constant
18		Spring Road, so it is really just rental
19		income, it is not a separate property.
20	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	A:	Now, we have provided a sub-total on
22		page 1 of EC $9/11$ just so that we will
23		recognise that it is in fact just a
24		repeat of the information that was
25		previously submitted at EC 7/11. That is

1		really the only reason we give it a
2		subtotal here. That was what was
3		submitted on Monday.
4		On the following pages 2, 3 and 4
5		additional properties as identified by
6		Mr. Levy, belong to Crawford Connection.
7		So, on page 4 of 4 under column, page, the
8		total net proceeds from the JAD sales
9		are roughly \$XXX
10		Million Dollars. In addition there
11		were the sales of Blue Cross Jamaica and
12		Jamaica Grande. Now from the files we
13		are not able to determine - we did not
14		find the Vendor's Statements of Accounts
15		to assist us in providing the net sales
16		proceeds but we continue our search in
17		this regard.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	So you were not able to find any
19		valuation either regarding the Blue
20		Cross of Jamaica?
21	A:	No Mr. Chairman, but we are continuing
22		to search for that.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, you seem anxious. Any
24		question? We are dealing right now with
25		9/11 1 to 4.

1	MR. LEVY:	I would like to offer some assistance to
2		where Mr. Campbell could find it if he
3		does not find it among his file, sir.
4		Whoever the buyer was, the lawyers would
5		have those statements and should have
6		the valuation as well. Maybe he can
7		contact his lawyers and the lawyers who
8		acted for the purchasers to assist him
9		in getting the Statement Accounts and
10		their valuations.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, point noted.
12		Mr. Campbell?
13	MR. CAMPBELL:	In relation to Jamaica Grande we have
14		actually made contact with the attorneys
15		who represent Jamaica Grande and we
16		expect to get that information, so when
17		next I appear I will have it.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	And you will apply the same efforts for
19		Blue Cross.
20	A:	Yes.
21	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman, the next document we wish
22		to put before the Commission are the
23		Formal Orders obtained from the Supreme
24		Court in relation to the sale of
25		properties belonging to Mr. Crawford and

1		connected entities.
2		Mr. Chairman, the first Order would be
3		Order filed on February 2, 2006 between
4		Financial Institution Services Limited,
5		CNB, Century National Development,
6		Donovan Crawford and others consolidated
7		with Claim #1997C050; that first Claim
8		was C330 of 1996. That would be the
9		first order. If you could give that an
10		exhibit number.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	EC 12/11.
12	MR. MOODIE:	The second order filed on the same
13		date
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Just A minute. The second order
15	MR. MOODIE:	The second order filed - there is a
16		court stamp - February 2, 2006 in Claim
17		#CL1999F066 Financial Institution
18		Services Limited against Regardless
19		Limited?
20	COMM. BOGLE:	That will be EC 13/11.
21	MR. MOODIE:	The third one with the same date filed
22		February 2, 2006, Consolidated Claim of
23		CL1996C330 and 1997 C330.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	You are going a bit fast.
25	MR. MOODIE:	Just describing it, Chairman. That is

1		the one with 'C' marked in brackets at
2		the top of it. So that will be EC 14
3	A:	That is EC 14/11.
4	MR. MOODIE:	A 'Final Attachment of Debt Order', is
5		the next document.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	I am just trying to find out, I have
7		read the document, what is the
8		difference between 'A' and 'C', 'A' and
9		'C' meaning 12, 11 and
10	MR. MOODIE:	One corrects an error in the Judgment.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	14/11 corrects an error then?
12	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, it does. Which relates to the
13		description of the property in the first
14		Judgment.
15	COMM. BOGLE:	14/11 which you have 'C', you say it
16		corrects the description.
17	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, if you look at the back of the
18		document it indicates.
19		The next document, Chairman, will be the
20		Final Attachment of Debts Order in that
21		Consolidated claim filed on August 25,
22		2006.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	That would be 15/11.
24	MR. MOODIE:	EC 15/11 is actually two separate Orders
0.5		' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '

in relation to the same claim. So you

1		may want to give one 15/11(a) and the
2 3	COMM. BOGLE:	other 15/11(b), Mr. Chairman? Yes, (a) and (b).
4	MR. MOODIE:	The next document we wish to enter into
5		evidence, Mr. Chairman, is an Order for
6		Payment Out of Court filed on
7		January 9, 2007. So there will be two
8 9	MR. LEVY:	Orders but the first Mr. Chairman I have not received a copy
10		of this.
11 12	COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	I have not got any either. We don't have any additional copies.
13 14	COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	The last one we have here is $18/11(b)$ . Mr. Chairman, I will provide you with
15		my copies. But just to describe them,
16		The next document is a two-page document
17		filed on January 9, 2007 in the
18		consolidated suit that I presume you
19		will give EC 16/11 and the last
20 21	COMM. BOGLE:	document Is it an order for payment?
22	MR. MOODIE:	Out of court. If you are looking at A
23		one page document then that will be
24		EC17. I have 16 to give you. It is the
25		same one you have there.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	What is 16/11 then?
2	MR. MOODIE:	16/11 would be a two-page document so
3		you have something printed at the back.
4	COMM. BOGLE:	Order for payment out of court, February
5		9, 2007. That is EC 16/11.
6	Q:	Yes, That is it. And that will be it for
7		the formal order for the sale of
8		properties owned by Donovan Crawford.
9	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, will the Secretary please
10		note that I would like to have copies of
11		both those orders, 16 and 17.
12	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, Mr. Moodie?
13	MR. MOODIE:	We are now presenting our submission in
14		relation to the debtors who appeared and
15		gave evidence before the Commission.
16		There is a table we have prepared which
17		we are making available to the
18		Commission and there may be extra
19		copies.
20		Mr. Chairman, we will start by generally
21		speaking to some of the allegations that
22		were made and asking Mr. Campbell -
23	COMM. BOGLE:	Go ahead.
24	MR. MOODIE:	We will start by relating some of the
25		complaints against FINSAC by the various

1	persons who appear and asking
2	Mr. Campbell to give a response and based on
3	the records of FINSAC and certainly while
4	giving that response we will have some
	documents which we will wish to tender and
5	put into evidence. So we will start, Mr.
6	Chairman, with your leave, with the
7	complaint made by DIRECTOR1 of DEBTORLB
8	Limited. Specifically and transcribe
9	reference is there on the table. The
10	transcript would be from the transcript of
11	the March 8, 2011 and there are page
12	references there to assist the Commission
13	
14	certainly when doing your report.
15	DIRECTOR1 alleged that he was treated
16	unfairly by FINSAC and coerced into giving
17	up his apartment after having repaid 75% of
18	his debt and even after he sought to take
19	advantage of the window of opportunity. He
20	alleges that he only owed \$3X Million and
21	that he was making payments as he was obliged
22	to. He further alleges that his apartment was
23	sold at a gross under value and that

1		FINSAC failed to account to him of how
2		the proceeds of sale were applied in
3		reduction of his debt.
4		Mr. Campbell, could you please indicate
5		what FINSAC's records in relation to
6		these allegations have revealed?
7	MR. CAMPBELL:	Thank you. There is a memo on FINSAC's
8		file which speaks to the fact that the
9		total debt for DEBTORLB Limited was in the
10		region of 4X Million Dollars
11		and in fact, in June 1999, his attorney
12		wrote to FINSAC to confirm that, that
13		was his figure as well.
14	MR. MOODIE:	May I pause to present a copy of that
15		memo dated June 15, 1999 and we could
16		perhaps enter it into evidence to the
17		Commission.
18		There is a letter as Mr. Campbell just
19		indicated, but first, Chairman, shall we
20		give that memo an exhibit number?
21	COMM. BOGLE:	EC17/11.
22	MR. MOODIE:	We also have that letter dated June 25,
23		1999 which confirms the amount of the
24		debt which we wish to tender in evidence
25		as well. So the second exhibit will be

1		the June 25, 1999 letter.
2		Mr. Campbell if I could just ask you to
3		briefly go through that memo dated June
4		15, 1999?
5	A:	I will just read the memo. It is an
6		inter-office memo from Kurtis Bray to
7		Patrick Hylton regarding DEBTORLB Limited.
8		'I don't have much to tell you regarding
9		this loan, the borrower has not paid
10		back any of the principal amount. He
11		mentioned in his letter that he has paid
12		\$8 million but we do not show any
13		payments. This man has always had some
14		excuse as to why he cannot pay but never
15		offers any solution.
16		The letter given to you by Tom outlines
17		assets that he says he can sell to repay
18		the loan. The valuations are his, not
19		ours. According to people around the
20		Head Office the values are inflated.
21		I do not know if an auction will bring
22		us much. I told him when we met with
23		him last week that Mutual would consider
24		a reduction of the balance to \$3X
25		million if he opted to pay us off. His

1		balance is over \$4X million.
2		I suggest that we continue with the
3		foreclosure or get him to sign over
4		other assets in addition to this
5		property so we can attempt to sell them
6		ourselves.
7		This is Signed by Kurt Bray.
8	Q:	Thank you and this is memo dated June
9		15, 1999. Could I ask you now to read the
10		content of letter dated June 25, 1999
11		from DIRECTOR1's attorneys?
12	COMM. BOGLE:	The letter of June 25 we will mark that EC
13		18/11.
14	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you, Chairman.
15		URGENT & IMMEDIATE.
16		June 25, 1999.
17		Without Prejudice
18		Mr. Curtis Bray.
19		Chief Executive Officer.
20		Jamaica Mutual Life Assurance Society
21		Head Office.
22		2 Oxford Road.
23		Kingston.
24		Dear Sir.
25		RE: DEBTORLB Limited

1	This is a letter from Chancellor &
2	Company and it is signed by Walter B.
3	Scott.
4	We act for and on behalf of DEBTORLB Limited
5	and its Managing Director, DIRECTOR1 who
6	have instructed us to write to you in
7	connection with the indebtedness of
	DEBRTORLB Limited to Jamaica Mutual Life
8	Assurance Society.
9	
10	THE BACKGROUND
11	Our instructions are that our client is
12	indebted to you in the amount of \$4X MILLION
13	which sum is past due and that formal demand
14	has been made on it for the repayment of the
15	said sum. We are further instructed that the
16	property, the subject of the mortgage
	granted by our client to you, to secure its
17	indebtedness to you, had been put up for sale
18	by public auction pursuant to the Powers of
19	
20	Sale contained in the said mortgage.
21	We are also instructed that, after
22	discussions with our client's Managing
23	

Director, DIRECTOR1 you have agreed
firstly, to accept the sum of \$3X MILLION
in full and final settlement of our client's
indebtedness to you and secondly, to
withdraw the property from the auction
"Block" for a period of fourteen (14) days
commencing on June 14, 1999.
Reality
Our client and its Managing Director have
neither cash nor any liquid assets however,
both are asset rich. These assets consist
entirely of real estate. We are instructed
that, given the prevailing circumstances in
the Tourism Industry our client's business
cannot generate sufficient cash to either
liquidate or make a meaningful reduction to
this indebtedness to you.
The Proposal.
Our client's proposal for the
elimination of its indebtedness to you is
<del>-</del>
as follows:
1. Our client will sell in a public auction
carried out by D.C. Tavares &

	Finson Reality Limited the property at Main
1	
2	street Ocho Rios consisting of 4 bedroom, 4
3	bathroom house and a 2 bedroom cottage on one
4	and a half acres of land and being the lands
5	registered at Volume 0000 Folio 000 and
6	Volume 0001 Folio 001 of the Register Book of
7	Titles (the said land).
8	2. The Certificate of Title for the said
9	land will be deposited with you and upon
LO	completion of the sale the net proceeds of
11	sale will be paid to you to liquidate the
12	debt.
13	3. You will stay the forced sale of the
L 4	mortgaged premises pending the sale by
15	public auction of the said land.
16	4. You will confirm in writing that the
17	"pay out" sum is \$3X million.
18	We are instructed by our client that the
19	approximate value of the said land is \$3X
20	MILLION. However, we have
	advised it and have as a result instructed
21	D.C. Tavares Finson to do a current valuation
22	of the said lands. As soon as this valuation
23	is to hand it
24	13 CO Hand IC

ο Ε

	will be forwarded to you. Further, as an
1	_
2	act of good faith we have instructed D. C.
3	Tavares Finson to immediately put the said
4	land up for sale by public auction and we
5	have instructed Mr. William Tavares-Finson
	of that firm to provide you with any
6	information which you may require.
7	Our client does not wish to lose its business
8	which it has spent the last thirty years
9	developing. It is potentially the only
10	source of income for our client and its
11	principal. Our client's position is simply
12	to dispose of one asset (using the same
13	process and the same or a shorter time period
L4	
15	that you wish to use as on forced sale of the
16	mortgaged property) to liquidate its
L7	indebtedness to you thereby saving the
18	mortgage premises.
19	If this proposal is acceptable to you we are
	in a position immediately upon the
20	confirmation of same and in accordance with
21	the proposal to forward the Certificate of
22	Title for the said
23	

**∩** E

1		land to you.
2		We look forward to hearing from you as
3		soon as is possible. We are also
4		prepared to meet with you at our mutual
5		convenience to discuss this matter
6		further if you deem it necessary.
7		Yours faithfully,
8		Chancellor & Company
9		Walter H. Scott'
10	Q:	Mr. Campbell, was a valuation, in fact,
11		obtained from D C Tavares and Finson?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	In relation to the property that was
14		sold was there a valuation obtained?
15	A:	Yes, a valuation was obtained in
16		relation to the property that was sold
17		but it is a different property from the
18		one mentioned in this letter.
19	Q:	Could you indicate the valuation of the
20		property that was sold and we will be
21 22	COMM. BOGLE:	exhibiting that valuation.  Just before you go on to that, was the
23		offer or the suggestion or the proposal
24		from Mr. Walter Scott, Chancellor &
25		Company, did they follow through with

1		that proposal by providing you with the
2		valuation of the land that they
3		mentioned in the letter?
4	A:	I didn't find that correspondence, Mr.
5		Chairman, but it appears they didn't
6		follow through with it and that is why
7		every other property that is the bone of
8		his contention was partially transferred
9		in settlement, this Fisherman's Point.
10	COMM. BOGLE:	So you have no record to say whether or
11		not the proposal was followed through no
12		record.
13	MR. MOODIE:	In relation to the allegation that
14		DIRECTOR1's apartment was sold at a gross
15		under value, could you indicate what the
16		valuation that was obtained for that
17		property indicated and the sale price
18		which was obtained for it?
19	A:	Lot XX Fisherman's Point, Ocho Rios,
20		valuation was obtained for it. The
21		valuation is between \$2.5 Million and
22		Three Million Dollars.
23	MISS CLARKE:	Sorry, I am just trying to ascertain
24		whether this lot 73 is, in fact, the
25		subject property relative to DIRECTOR1?

1	A:	Yes, is the one that he has the
2		allegation.
3	Q:	Is that lot 73 Fisherman Point?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	Thank you.
6	MR. MOODIE:	Chairman, do you have a copy of that
7		valuation in front of you?
8	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
9	MR. MOODIE:	Could we give it an exhibit number
10		please?
11	COMM. BOGLE:	19/11.
12	MISS CLARKE:	Is this the letter dated 20th
13		October, 2003?
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
15	MISS CLARKE:	It is not a valuation. The question was
16		asked if the Chairman has a copy of the
17		valuation. Are we going to designate
18		it as such?
19	MR. MOODIE:	It is a letter dated the 5th. It is a
20		letter from D.C. Tavares & Finson
21		indicating the value. Is that acceptable
22		to Counsel?
23	MISS CLARKE:	It is a letter dated so and so.
24	MR. MOODIE:	'we valued this property' the last

two paragraphs on the first page.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	I think the first paragraph explains it.
2		What they are saying is that in the near
3		future they will provide a full
4		Valuation Report. In the mean time the
5		urgency of the matter is set out here
6 7	MR. MOODIE:	for preliminary findings. Thank you, Chairman.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	This is the preliminary finding which
9		should have been backed up by a full
10 11	MR. MOODIE:	valuation. Thank you Chairman. Could we give that
12		an exhibit number?
13 14	COMM. BOGLE: MR. MOODIE:	Yes, 19/11. Thank you, Chairman.
1 5	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Campbell, were you able to locate
16		the full valuation?
17	A:	Efforts were made Mr. Chairman. I
18		really didn't get an update from my
19		staff but because this quoted a figure
20		we rely on this, so we didn't pursue
21 22	COM. BOGLE:	much in terms of the valuation. I would imagine that before you would
23		obtain or you would have paid Mr.
24		Tavares Finson he would have submitted
25		one.

1	A:	I am not suggesting for a moment that we
2		didn't get one, and I wouldn't, but I am
3		just saying that in the process of doing
4		the research here since we saw this
5		letter we just quote the figure.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	I would suggest that you continue in
7		your effort because it could be that the
8		full Valuation Report may vary from
9		this. You don't know. Since you have
10		not seen it you won't hazard a guess on
11		that either?
12	A:	I am prepared to hazard a guess on that
13		one, Mr. Chairman, because in the past
14		whenever this sort of thing has happened
15		the value that we get is the figure that
16		we have in the letter here. It is just
17		that it is more extensive in terms of
18		the description and location and all
19		that sort of thing.
20	MR. MOODIE:	Chairman, there is one further document
21		which we wish to tender.
22	MISS CLARKE:	Sorry, before my friend moves on, I am
23		staying with that point and I am one
24		with the Chairman in terms of any
25		variation or any detail which may be

1		reflected in that valuation. I believe some
1		effort should be made to find it because I
2		am looking at this letter . 4
5	COMM. BOGLE:	Miss Clarke, I think that Mr. Campbell
6		has said that he will continue to make
7		efforts to find it.
8	MISS CLARKE:	So we are not accepting that?
9	COMM. BOGLE:	We are excepting this exhibit but at the
10		same time he will continue his efforts
11		to find the full valuation.
12	MISS CLARKE:	Thank you very much, sir.
13	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman, can we move on.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
15	MR. MOODIE:	There is one more document which we
16		would wish to tender and that is
17		FINSAC/FIS Limited matter for Board
18		Decision, it is a Board Proposal dated
19		October 2003 in relation to the property
20		which we are speaking about which I ask
21		Mr. Campbell to indicate the details of
22		that Board Proposal and the
23		recommendation to the Board therein.
24		Mr. Campbell might I ask you to start
25		with the background and then indicate

1		the offer in terms of the purchase and
2		the recommendation. I would not ask you
3		to go through that section marked
4		'current situation' unless the Chairman
5		wishes to?
6	A:	This is the usual format of submissions
7		to the Board seeking approval, Mr.
8		Chairman.
9	Q:	Have you seen this document before, Mr.
10		Campbell?
11	A:	I have seen it.
12	Q:	And where did you find this document?
13	A:	This was in FINSAC's files and we copied
14		it for you.
15	Q:	Grateful.
16		Please go ahead.
17	A:	This is a submission to the FINSAC Board
18		seeking approval to sell apartment XX
19		Fisherman's Point. In the background it
20		mentions that FINSAC obtained ownership
21		of this property as part of the debt
22		swap between Mutual Life and its debtor,
23		DIRECTOR1.
24		In 2001, Theo Dixon valued the property
25		at \$3.5M and thus it was listed with our

1		Brokers at that price. Over the last
2		month, leading up to October 2003 a
3		prospective purchaser has submitted two
4		offers to purchase this property; one
5		for \$2M that was later revised to \$2.5M.
6		She suggested that this property was
7		infested with termites and required
8		major repairs hence her low offer. We
9		therefore decided to arrange a site
10		visit to get a first hand view of the
11		precise state of the apartment.
12	Q:	The penultimate paragraph I will ask you
13		to read in terms of, that paragraph
14		beginning with, 'In the quest for a more
15		objective'?
16	A:	'In the quest for a more objective and
17		up-to-date valuation, D. C. Tavares &
18		Finson Realty Limited was commissioned
19		to do an urgent report which revealed a
20		market value of \$3M with a forced sale
21		value of \$2.5M.
22	MISS CLARKE:	Sorry, Mr. Chairman. May I request that
23		the paragraph before that be read into
24		the record as well?
25	COMM. BOGLE:	I was thinking of it because it would

1		seem that, that paragraph tends to put
2		it in prospective.
3	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly, Chairman.
4	A:	<u>Current</u> Situation.
5		This apartment is the only one of its
6		kind in the complex, comprising two
7		bedrooms, a bathroom, kitchen, living
8		and dining combined, a loft and an
9		attic, which gives a commanding view of
10		the harbour and hills overlooking Ocho
11		Rios.
12		Fixtures in the kitchen, some windows
13		and carpeting need to be repaired or
14		replaced, the walls need repainting and
15		some fixtures in the bathroom could also
16		be replaced. We thought the apartment
17		was in fair condition, having been
18		locked-up for almost three years and
19		there was no trace of termites.
20		In the quest for a more objective and
21		up-to-date valuation, D.C. Tavares &
22		Finson Realty Limited was commissioned
23		to do an urgent report which revealed a
24		market value of \$3M with a forced sale
25		value of \$2.5M.

1		The prospective purchaser has again
2		revised her offer as follows:
3		Purchaser: Sandra Samuels of United
4		Realty Limited.
5		Offer Price: \$3.3M.
6		Market Value:\$3M.
7		Forced Sale: \$2.5M.
8		Recommendation.
9		We recommend acceptance of this offer
10		based on the following:
11		1. Based on the most recent valuation,
12		the offer is 110% of the market value.
13		2. The property has been on the market
14		for over two years and this is the first
15		serious offer, revised twice.
16		3. The apartment is on the third floor
17		of the building and access is by stairs.
18		Its location is therefore not very
19		appealing to prospective purchasers.
20	Q:	Would you therefore say Mr. Campbell
21		that DIRECTOR1's allegation that his
22		apartment was sold at a gross under
23		value is not accurate as far as the
24		records of FINSAC indicate?
25	A:	That is definitely true.

1	Q:	Thank you.
2	COMM. BOGLE:	That will be Exhibit EC 20/11.
3	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you. We move on now, Chairman, to
4		DEBTOR5. The transcript
5		reference is the transcript of February
6		2, 2011. DEBTOR5 accused FINSAC of
7		being unfair and fraudulent with him as
8		regards X Million Dollars allegedly
9		placed by him on fixed deposit at
10		Corporate Merchant Bank. He alleges that
11		FINSAC wrote to him confirming the
12		deposit and alleges that he was told
13		that the Board had decided he should be
14		reimbursed.
15		Mr. Campbell, could you kindly indicate
16		FINSAC's records in relation to
17		DEBTOR5 and his allegations?
18	A:	There are two memoranda that are in
19		FINSAC's file which were obtained from
20		Citizens Bank/Corporate Merchant Bank,
21		Citizens Bank/Corporate Merchant Bank
22		and these suggest that there was in fact
23		a commercial paper facility for
24		DEBTOR5 at some point but it was
25		encashed in September 1996.

1	Q:	Could I refer you to internal memo
2		dated August 30, 2000, from Miss Lorna
3		Pryce to Mr. Linval Freeman.
4	A:	You want me to read it.
5	Q:	Yes, I will ask that as soon as the
6		Commission has received a copy of the
7		document.
8		Mr. Chairman, I am asking him to read
9		that memorandum dated August 30, 2000
10		and then I will ask that it be enter
11		into evidence.
12	COMM. BOGLE:	That is the one to Linval Freeman?
13	Q:	Yes, it is.
14		Mr. Campbell could you.
15	A:	'memorandum to Linval Freeman, Audit
16		Department and that was from Lorna
17		Pryce, and this is dated August 30, 2000.
18		Subject: Corporate Merchant Bank
19		Commercial Paper in the name of DEBTOR5.
20		
21	Q:	Would this be an internal memorandum
22		obtained from Corporate Merchant Bank?
23	A:	That is correct.
24	Q:	And this was another document among the
25		files of FINSAC which you have seen

1		before?
2	A:	Yes, sir.
3	Q:	Thank you.
4	A:	'DEBTOR5 has made several
5		visits to the bank in an effort to
6		encash a Commercial Paper he purchased
7		from the former, Corporate Merchant
8		Bank, 1996. Below are the details:
9		August 29, 1996 Commercial Paper
10		purchased by DEBTOR5 in the
11		amount J\$X MILLION.
12		September 11, 1996 Commercial Paper was
13		encashed. Request was made in writing.
14		(You got a copy of this letter that it
15		speaks to it).
16		Details of payment Workers Bank cheque
17		#2129 dated September 11, 1996 in the
18		amount of \$X MILLION. And this is a
19		breakdown in the principal and interest
20		The cheque was collected and signed for
21		by DEBTOR5.
22		His file was retrieved from FINSAC with
23		all original documentation evidencing
24		his request for encashment. A copy of
25		his signature from his passport also

1		matches that on the file.
2		However DEBTOR5 is adamant that he
3		made no such request, neither did he
4		collect the cheque.
5		His final visit was on August 30, 2000,
6		at this time the matter was referred to
7		Mr. Loren Edwards. (He was a former
8		executive at Citizen's Bank.)
9		We are seeking your assistance in
10		resolving this matter.'
11	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman, before we enter this one,
12		I think, in addition to the next
13		document we could perhaps enter them as
14		one exhibit, 'A' and 'B' 12. So I will
15		ask Mr. Campbell to go to the
16		inter-office memorandum dated September
17		18, 2000 from Linval Freeman to Loren
18		Edwards referred to in that previous
19		letter.
20		Could you read that memorandum please,
21		Mr. Campbell?
22		Sure.
23		Inter-office memo.
24		To: Loren Edwards, General Manager,
25		Banking Services.

1	From: Linval Freeman, Senior Inspector
2	(Acting)
3	Date: 18th September, 2000.
4	Subject: Corporate Merchant Bank
5	Commercial Paper in the name of DEBTOR5
6	Further to memo dated August 30, 2000
7	received from Lorna Pryce and also copied
8	for your attention, a meeting was held on
9	September 12, 2000 with DEBTOR5 and members
10	of the Inspection Department. DEBTOR5 is
11	refuting the encashment of his Commercial
12	Paper on September 11, 1996 which was
13	maintained at Corporal Merchant Bank. Our
14	investigations revealed that DEBTOR5
15	encashed his Commercial Paper and signed the
16	letter acknowledging
17	receipt of Workers Bank settlement
18	cheque #2129 for the amount
	\$X MILLION on September 11, 1996.
19	In our meeting on September 12, 2000
20	DEBTOR5 was shown a copy of the letter on which
21	he had acknowledged receipt of the cheque and
22	he confirmed that the
23	
24	

つち

1 2	signature was his. We then advised him there
3 4	was no reason to conduct further
5 6	investigations. In light of all this,
7 8	DEBTOR5 was adamant that he still did not
9	receive the cheque, although the signature
10	acknowledging receipt of it was his.
	Consequently, he requested that we provide
11	him with a copy of the negotiated cheque. We
12	advised him of the search time of
13	approximately two working days and the
14	charge of \$350 per hour to which he raised
15	no objections. A copy of the cheque was
16	obtained which indicated that it was
17	negotiated on an account at National
18	Commercial Bank, Oxford Place branch after
19	being
20	endorsed by DEBTOR5.
21	Contact was also made with Venice Miller,
22	joint party to the account, and she advised
23	that she has no knowledge of the encashment
24	of these funds, neither did she benefit from
25	
	the proceeds. DEBTOR5 contacted us on Friday,
	September 15, 2000 and was advised that he
	would have to pay search fees of

\$X,000.00 before obtaining a copy of the 1 cheque. He refused to pay these search fees claiming that he only wanted to see the 3 cheque. In an effort to have the matter 4 settled quickly, the cheque was shown to him. 5 He advised that the signature on the reverse 6 of the cheque (which was in keeping with other documents signed on file) was not his. 8 He is also now refuting having signed any of 9 the documents on file, including one of his 10 hand written letters which he admitted to 11 have written during the meeting on September 12 12, 2000. 13 Based on the evidence reviewed, we are of the 14 opinion that DEBTOR5 did in fact receive this 15 item and we request that he be officially 16 informed of this. We are however prepared to 17 refer the matter, on his behalf to the 18 Forensic Laboratory for further evaluation 19 and to provide him with a copy of the cheque 20 so that he can continue his own 2.1 investigations, if he so desires, and 22 providing he pays the outstanding 23

24

つに

1		research fees and the charge that would
2		be levied by the Forensic Laboratory.
3		You may also wish to advise him that he
4		could refer the matter to the Fraud
5		Squad.'
6		This is signed by Linval Freeman and
7		copied to Mary Powell and Brent
8		Cuirlino.
9	MR. MOODIE:	Could we have an exhibit number and I
10		ask that this be entered.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	21/11A would be for the first
12		inter-office memorandum, and 21/11B for
13		the other one.
14	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15		Might I also refer you, Mr. Campbell, to
16		two further documents, FINSAC's Board
17		Submission dated August 30, 2010 and
18		FINSAC's Board Decision dated August 30,
19		2010. Do you have copies of those
20		documents with you?
21	A:	Yes, I do.
22	Q:	Have you seen those documents before?
23	A:	Yes, I have.
24	Q:	Were they amongst the Minutes of
25		FINSAC's Board copies of which were

1		provided with the submission?
2	A:	Yes, they were.
3	Q:	Could I ask you to start with the Board
4		Submission?
5	A:	Certainly.
6		FINSAC/FIS LIMITED.
7		MATTER FOR BOARD DIRECTIVE.
8		AUGUST 30, 2010
9		ISSUE: Claim by DEBTOR5
10		regarding funds owing
11		Background
12		Over the last couple of years, DEBTOR5,
13		who was a policeman up to
14		1998, has been making claims to us on
15		two matters, as follows:
16		1. Balance on a cheque of \$8XX,000 from
17		NCB after repaying a loan.
18		2. Deposit of \$XM said to have been held
19		at Corporate Merchant Bank in 1996.
20		The claim was broken down in Claim 1 and
21		Claim 2.
22		Claim 1
23		DEBTOR5 has been making the
24		following claims that:
25		1. In or around 1995 he borrowed

	\$3XX,000 from National Commercial Bank,
1	Half Way Tree to assist with purchasing a
2	
3	truck;
4	2. as things weren't going as planned,
5	within three months, he sold the truck for
6	\$8XX,000 and took the cheque to the bank;
7	3. the bank should have repaid the loan and
	deposited the balance to his savings account;
8	4. he did not follow up with the bank to
9	ensure that this was done and only became
10	aware of the matter when he was contacted by
11	Dennis Joslin Jamaica Limited and advised
12	
13	that he owed over \$X MILLION on a loan that
14	was transferred from NCB; and
15	5. an officer reviewed the files with him
16	and agreed that the funds were really owed
17	and he should contact NCB for the money.
	Having contacted NCB he is then referred to
18	Finsac.
19	The Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation has
20	since sold his loan to the
21	office bota his foun to the
22	
23	
24	

o E

International Asset Services Limited and we 1 have obtained a copy of the NCB loan file, 2 which indicates that two loans were obtained 3 from the bank and the balances were 4 \$1,XXX,000 at the time the \$8XX,000 cheque 5 was presented. This cheque therefore reduced 6 the balance to \$2XX,000 and an additional amount was granted for repairs to a bus, 8 making a loan of \$3XX,000. This was not 9 serviced and was later sold to Finsac. 10 He refutes this altogether, being 11 adamant that it was only one loan of \$3XX,000 12 that was borrowed and the sale proceeds of 13 the truck should have repaid it, leaving a 14 surplus, which he is now claiming. 15 Claim 2 16 Mr. Hendricks claims that in 1996 he placed 17 on commercial paper with Corporate Merchant 18 Bank an amount of \$XM. Because of problems 19 with his common law spouse, a number of 20 important documents were removed from his 21 apartment at XXX, Kingston 22 23

24

1		10. After he reported to the bank that
2		his receipt was stolen, he claims he
3		received a note written by someone he
4		says is a former staff on a letter head
5		which says the funds will not be
6		withdrawn without his authority.'
7	Q:	I think that document was submitted in
8		the report of DEBTOR5's evidence, a
9		handwritten note which was presented.
10		Please go ahead, Mr. Campbell.
11		'This he says is the receipt.
12		In researching the files, a Union Bank
13		of Jamaica internal memorandum seen
14		states that a \$XM facility was indeed
15		held but was encashed in 1996 by
16		DEBTOR5. As expected, he claims that
17		this is not the one, as he had placed
18		another \$XM sometime afterwards with
19		CMB.
20		DEBTOR5 has written to the Bank of
21		Jamaica on both matters and the response
22		understandably does not please him. He
23		indicated that he has been to NCB's
24		Annual General Meetings and spoken with
25		Mr. Lee Chin who promised to have staff

	look at the matter and again the result is
1	not pleasing. He has also written to the
2	Ministry of Finance without any success.
3	
4	When one listens to DEBTOR5, one gets a
5	tale of woes and more woes:
6	<ol> <li>He was beaten up by the Police;</li> <li>taken to Court by his spouse and the</li> </ol>
7	Court awarded her three properties he says
8	he owns;
9	
10	3. Judge with Policemen forced entry into
11	his house and on seeing a tea cup in the sink,
12	he was locked up for three months, as he was
13	instructed not to go back to that house;
14	4. shares in listed companies sold and he
15	<pre>did not get the proceeds; 5. and, of course, the two matters</pre>
16	mentioned above.
17	
18	Mr. Hendricks remains adamant that his funds
19	were placed with CMB and he has not yet
20	encashed them. We have advised him that
21	FINSAC did not pay out commercial paper
22	investors but still he maintains his claim.
23	
24	

 $^{\circ}$ 

1		We are unable to offer any further
2		assistance to DEBTOR5 and thus
3		have decided to refer the matter to the
4		Board for some directive.'
5	MR. MOODIE:	Could we have an exhibit number, Mr.
6		Chairman, and could that be entered?
7	COMM. BOGLE:	22/11.
8	MR. MOODIE:	Could you now, Mr. Campbell, indicate
9		the response of the Board by the
10		submission dated August 30, 2010.
11	A:	This is an extract from the:
12		MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF BOARD OF
13		DIRECTORS OF FINSAC LIMITED 7 FINANCIAL
14		INSTITUTIONS SERVICES LIMITED HELD ON
15		AUGUST 30, 2010 AT 10:00 A.M. AT THE FID
16		BOARDROOM, 1 SHALIMAR AVENUE, KINGSTON 3
17		Present were:
18		Mr. Robert Martin - Chairman
19		Mr. Ainsley Mitchell - Director
20		Mrs. Bridgett Wilks - Director
21		Mr. Devon Rowe Mr. Errol Campbell
22		ri. ditoi campbeii
23		

1		Apologies for Absence:
2		Apologies were tendered for Mrs. Viralee
3		Latibeaudiere who was unavoidably absent
4		as she was ill.
5		MATTERS FOR BOARD DECISION
6		Claim by DEBTOR5
7		The Board considered the claims by
8		DEBTOR5 and directed that he be
9		advised that our records do not support
10		his claim that funds are due to be paid
11		to him.
12	MR. MOODIE:	I am going to ask that this document be
13		entered into evidence, Mr. Chairman.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	EC 23/11.
15	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. Chairman in relation to the
16		allegations these documents submitted
17		speak for themselves, so I will not ask
18		Mr. Campbell for any further comments
19		unless you have any questions.
20		We move on now to complaint of
21		DEBTOR7. DEBTOR7 as the
22		transcript reference, Mr. Chairman, is
23		April 12, 2011. DEBTOR7 alleges that
24		he was treated unfairly by FINSAC and
25		that he was not given an opportunity to

1		negotiate with FINSAC and the details of
2		his balance were incorrect.
3		Mr. Campbell, could you indicate what
4		your search of the records of FINSAC
5		have indicated in relation to the
6		allegation of DEBTOR7?
7	A:	I will, Mr. Moodie. The main item we
8		wish to bring to the Commission's
9		attention is an internal memorandum,
10		well, before I say that, let me just
11		remind the Commission, it was previously
12		advised that when FINSAC acquired the
13		loans and in particular the ones from
14		National Commercial Bank, the loans with
15		a principal balance below Five Million
16		Dollars remained at NCB. They managed
17		them for a period of time for FINSAC and
18		the ones with principal balances in
19		excess of Five Million were transferred
20		to FINSAC and FINSAC dealt with those.
21		His being one of those with principal
22		balance below Five Million remained at
23		NCB and NCB managed it on behalf of FINSAC.
24		So in the process of NCB managing it, he
25		would have been interfacing with them

1		and at a point he had a submission
2		he brought a proposal to NCB which NCB
3		prepared and submitted to FINSAC for
4		approval. So he did in fact get some
5		sort of relief from FINSAC except, of
6		course, the proposal having been
7		approved, he never settled it so the
8		loan was subsequently transferred to
9		FINSAC.
10		The memo you have, I am not sure if it
11		says October 2000 or 2001, but it should
12		really be 2000.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	Which one, I got two documents?
14	A:	In this table that you have, Item No. 3
15		under the section, 'FINSAC's Response',
16		I am just saying the date of the
17		transfer should be 2000.
18	MR. MOODIE:	So, there is a correction in the fourth
19		column of the Table, Debt Transferred to
20		FINSAC in 2000 as opposed to 2001 which
21		now appears.
22	A:	Yes. So I am saying prior to that he
23		would have gone into NCB with a
24		proposal, NCB record it to FINSAC,
25		FINSAC approved it. They were to pay

1		some money over a period of time.
2	Q:	Did you find any records in relation to
3		his proposal made to NCB?
4	A:	Yes, we did. A copy of the memorandum
5		which was submitted from NCB to FINSAC
6		seeking approval for the proposal.
7	Q:	Could you please read through and
8		identify
9	COMM. BOGLE:	Which of the two documents?
10	A:	The one that is headed 'Memorandum to
11		Patrick Hylton, Chairman, Joint Credit
12		Committee of NCB to RECON trust'.
13	Q:	Could I ask you to read through that
14		memorandum please?
15	A:	Sure. To:
16		Mr. Patrick Hylton
17		Chairman
18		Joint Credit committee of.
19		NCB/RECON Trust
20		FROM:
21		Asset Quality Control Division.
22		SUBJECT: DEBTOR7 - 54 King
23		Street
24		DATE: OCTOBER 13, 1998
25		DEBT SOLD TO RECON TRUST \$5XX,000

1	LIABILITIES	AS AT 09/17/1998
2	Principal	\$4XX,000.00
3	Interest	\$3XX,000.00
4		\$8XX,000.00
5		
6	SECURITY	
7	Bill of sale	e over 1989 Tipper Truck. Current
8		
9	market valt	ue \$200,000, valuation report
1.0	dated 9th I	February, 1998.
10	REMARK	
11	DEDTOD7 had	gan banking with us in 1982.
12	DEDIOK/ Dec	gan banking with us in 1902.
13	Facilities	extended during the period were
13	satisfactor	rily serviced. In March 1996, an
14	ordinary lo	oan of \$2XX,000 was granted to
15	purchase ar	n engine for a truck that <b>is</b> the
16	security he	eld in support of the debt. An
17	<del>-</del>	<del></del>
18	additional	\$7X,000 was granted on May 14,
	1996 to pay	customs duty on the engine. He
19	was then er	mployed to the CCC Limited.
20	The truck d	eveloped mechanical problems and
21	therefore t	the expected deposits were not
22	roalized (	Congoguently the truck was soized
23		Consequently the truck was seized
24	in Septembe	er 1997 by the Debt

1	Collector, and remains in storage at
2	Robinson and Company. So far a
3	purchaser has not yet been found.
4	DEBTOR7 has deposited \$1XX,000 from a refund
5	of pension contributions which he received
6	when he resigned from the CCC Limited. We are
7	also in possession of a letter which confirms
8	the intention of General Transport and
9	Equipment Services Limited to contract
10	DEBTOR7 to provide haulage services with an
11	average remuneration of \$1XX,000 monthly.
12	Debtor is proposing that we return the truck
13	and that \$5X,000 monthly will be paid from
14	the income the truck generates. At this rate, the principal debt
15	will be retired in approximately ten months.
16	
17	RECOMMENDATION.
18	Authorization is requested to accept
19	debtor's proposal based on the
20	following:
21	1. Since the truck was seized in September
22	1997, no bids were made on it.
23	
24	

つに

1	2. Monthly storage fees of \$X,000 are
2	being made which are increasing the debt.
3	3. DEBTOR7 has constantly been in dialogue
4	with the Bank and has shown his commitment by
5	depositing \$1XX,000 in May 1998 to reduce the
6	debt.
7	4. We will still maintain a charge over the
8	truck. The debtor's wife is the owner of a
9	1989 Nissan Pick-up and is willing to give
10	us a charge over it. This will deepen the
11	commitment to retire the debt and to reduce
12	our exposure.
13	However, if debtor fails to meet his
14	obligation, we will repossess the unit and
15	we are requesting authorization to pursue
16	legal action.
17	This is signed by N.F. Marsh
18	Assistant General Manager
19	and
20	Belinda Brown.
21	Analyst.
22	This was submitted and approved by FINSAC
23	but because DEBTOR7 did not
24	

つ E

1		service this while it was at NCB it was
2		subsequently transferred to FINSAC and
3		later sold to Jamaican Redevelopment
4		Foundation.
5	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you. Could we enter that
6		memorandum dated October 13, 1998 into
7		evidence, Chairman?
8	COMM. BOGLE:	EC 24/11. Go ahead.
9	MR. MOODIE:	We are about to move onto DEBTOR10.
10		
11	COMM. BOGLE:	There was another document that we got.
12	MR. MOODIE:	That document, we are not putting into
13		evidence, it's the same thing, its
14		earlier in time and gives the same
15		information and so we only wish to
16		submit the joint memo dated October 13
17		1998.
18	MS CLARKE:	I am sorry. Mr. Chairman the document
19		being at hand, you know, if there is one
20		distinction between them which may have some
21		significance is the date. I would like for
22		it to be considered.
23	MR. MOODIE:	We have no other document we are
24		submitting before the Commission,
25		Mr. Chairman.

1	MS CLARKE:	It being at hand, I am sorry
2		Mr. Chairman, it is a memo, Recon Trust
3		Account dated June 9, 1989; Classified
4		Debt - DEBTOR7.
5	COMM. BOGLE:	Ms Clarke, FINSAC does not wish to
6		present this, however, if in examination
7		you wish to present you may, but FINSAC
8		at this time does not wish to present
9		it.
10	MS CLARKE:	Very well.
11	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you Mr. Chairman. DEBTOR10,
12		the transcript reference is March
13		8
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Just before you move on; therefore, the
15		debt was sold to JRF and then to IAS so
16		therefore FINSAC has no further
17		interest.
18	A:	That is correct.
19	MR. MOODIE:	Yes, the transcript reference to
20		DEBTOR10 March 8 2011, he
21		alleges that FINSAC failed to correspond
22		with him and rejected his offer to pay
23		fifty percent of his debt during the
24		window of opportunity. Have you got a
25		chance to research the records as it

1		relates to DEBTOR10?
2	A:	I have.
3	Q:	Could you indicate what the records have
4		revealed in relation to his allegation?
5	A:	There were in fact a number of
6		correspondences between FINSAC and
7		DEBTOR10, some which he has already
8		tendered into evidence.
9	Q:	Would that be correspondence which
10		appears in the list as correspondence
11		dated June 6, 2000, August 13, 1999,
12		July 28 1999, July 20, 1999 and March 16
13		2000?
14	A:	Yes indeed.
15	Q:	Those letters, as the table indicates
16		Chairman, were already tendered in
17		evidence as exhibits when DEBTOR10 was
18		giving evidence. Yes, could you please
19		indicate what the records of FINSAC have
20		shown in respect of the proposal by
21		DEBTOR10 to reduce his debt?
22	A:	The proposal was rejected and FINSAC
23		offered to take principal plus fifty
24		percent of interest in settlement of the
25		debt provided it was paid within a six

1		month period but this was not paid.
2	Q:	We wish to tender do you have with
3		you Mr. Campbell a memorandum, inter-
4		office memorandum from CREDIT OFFICER to
5		Mr. Patrick Hylton dated June 15, 1999?
6	A:	Yes, I do.
7	Q:	Could you read that memorandum and then
8		afterward Chairman, I ask that it be
9		tendered, Chairman?
10	COMM. BOGLE:	Could we have a copy of it.
11	MR. MOODIE:	Mr. DePeralto has been given a copy.
12	A:	Mr. Chairman
13	Q:	June 15 1999, memorandum to Mr. Patrick
14		Hylton from CREDIT OFFICER, could
15		you read that please?
16	A:	Inter office memo to Patrick Hylton from
17		CREDIT OFFICER dated June 15 1999
18		regarding DEBTOR10 trading as CCs
19		and that was a debtor with
20		Citizens Bank, the debt originated at
21		Citizens Bank.
22		Captioned client's indebtedness totaled
23		\$X MILLION as at today's date and
24		broken down as follows:
25		Demand Loan - \$5XX,000.00

1		Overdraft facility - \$5XX,000.00
2		In a meeting with client in December,
3		1998, client advised that an arrangement
4		was worked out at Citizens Bank for them
5		to pay \$1X,000.00 per month effective
6		October, 1998. However, there is
7		nothing on file to confirm this
8		arrangement. The client paid \$1X,000 in
9		October and November, 1998 and no
10		further payment on account to date. As
11		a result demand was made and Statutory
12		Notice reserved January 7, 1999.
13		Client advised that DEBTOR10 trading as CCs
14		was defunct and has been for quite a
15		while.
16		The property at Irish Town which is the
17		principal security was auctioned on June
18		10, 1999 but there were no takers.
19	Q:	Could we give that one an exhibit number
20		and admit it into evidence, Chairman?
21	A:	EC 25/11.
22	Q:	The other two letters which I would ask
23		Mr. Campbell to read have already, as
24		far as I am able to ascertain, been
25		entered into evidence but I think it's

1		important in responding to the
2		allegation to ask Mr. Campbell to go
3		through those two particular letters.
4		The first would be the letter dated July
5		20, 1999 to DEBTOR10. Do you
6		have that letter dated July 20 1999?
7	A:	I do.
8	Q:	And have you seen that letter before?
9	A:	Yes, I have.
10	Q:	That letter was also among the FINSAC
11		files relating to DEBTOR10 and
12		CCs?
13	A:	That's correct.
14	Q:	Please read the content of that letter?
15	A:	Letter dated July 20, 1999.
16		DEBTOR10 trading as CCs
17		
18		,
19		,
20		St. Andrew
21		Dear DEBTOR10:
22		Re: Your indebtedness to FINSAC
23		Limited.
24		Thank you for your letter dated July 19,
25		1999. The proposal as outlined therein

1		is unacceptable to us as the sum offered
2		in settlement is way too low.
3		The balance outstanding on the accounts
4		as at July 20, 1999 totals \$X MILLION
5		and is accruing interest daily at the
6 <b>7</b>		rate of \$4xx.00. Therefore, please let us have a new
8		proposal, one that will be to the
9		benefit of both parties within seven
10		days of the date hereof, failing which
11		we will proceed to recover our exposure.
12		That is signed by CREDIT OFFICER and
13		CREDIT MANAGER on behalf of the FINSAC.
14	Q:	There is a document that is attached to
15		that letter, could you identify that
16		document?
17	A:	This is a statement that was prepared
18		and submitted to DEBTOR10 which shows
19		how the balance of \$1,XXX,000 was
20 21	MS CLARKE:	arrived and as mentioned
22		Sorry, the witness has asserted that this is
22		the statement that was prepared and
23		submitted to DEBTOR10. I would have no
24		difficulty with the assertion if there was
25		some correspondence, if the

1		correspondence to which it was attached bore
2		it out. I am aware that the letter forms part
3		of the exhibits that were previously
4		tendered relative to this particular
5		witness but the attachment I am not able to
6		identify with it. The question I am asking,
7		on what basis is the witness asserting that
8		this is a statement that was supplied to
9		DEBTOR10, because the letter certainly
10		doesn't identify it as an enclosure or
		12 an attachment and has not been given as
13		evidence elsewhere.
14	MR. MOODIE:	If I may respond, Chairman, the witness
15		has already indicated these documents
16		were documents which he saw before and
17		which were retrieved from DEBTOR10's
18		file. If counsel wishes to test any
19		document she can certainly do so when
20		she is cross-examining and make her
21		submission as to the weight that this
22		Commission ought to give to this
23		document. But I think the witness has
24		indicated where he got the document from
25		and that it was among DEBTOR10's file.

1		I take counsel's point but certainly I think
2		that is a matter she can pursue at the
3 4 MS	CLARKE:	appropriate time.
	CLARKE:	Mr. Chairman, I am not testing the validity
5		of the document, I am simply here seeking to
6 7		establish the source of the assertion by the
8 9		witness as to it is a document that was sent
10		to
11		Mr. Campbell. All the witness has said, he
12		has retrieved these documents from certain
13		records. Now there is nothing here, as far
14		as I am concerned, based upon which this
15		witness can say assertively that this
16		document was sent to DEBTOR10, so it is not
17		the document per se that I am testing or
18		having any difficulty with, it is actually
19		the evidence that is now proceeding from the
20		witness, so I am simply asking that he
21		indicates, if he is able, on what basis he
22		is now asserting that this is a document that
23		was sent to DEBTOR10. If he is not able to
24		say so assertively, perhaps he should so
25		indicate, that is as to whether it was sent
		to DEBTOR10.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	I think that is fair, if Mr. Campbell
2		can say, yes, it was sent and has some
3		indication or he is assuming based on
4		the fact that he found it on the file,
5		assuming that it was sent. Mr. Campbell
6		can you indicate which of them.
7	A:	I withdraw the comment then
8		Mr. Chairman. My reason for making the
9		point is that these documents were
10		stabled together and as I have mentioned
11		in previous statement, I did not deal
12		directly with the debtor but the letter
13		speaks of the balance of a million and
14		gave a statement showing a balance of a
15		million and it was stabled together.
16	MR. MOODIE:	Is there a date attached to that
17		statement?
18	A:	Dated July 20, 1999.
19	Q:	And the letter to which it is attached,
20		what is the date of that letter?
21	A:	July 20, 1999.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	It still doesn't confirm whether or not
23		it was sent.
24	MR. MOODIE:	We take that point Chairman, but
25		certainly we are asking that the

1		statement be admitted in evidence.
2	COMM. BOGLE:	We will admit both documents, but on the
3		proviso that there is no proof that it
4		was sent to DEBTOR10.
5	MR. MOODIE:	Certainly Chairman.
6 MS	S CLARKE:	Are we admitting them as one document
7		because they are stabled to each other
8		as of now, or it ought to be, since this
9		one is in evidence to admit the
10		statement I am suggesting that we
11 12	COMM. BOGLE:	admit them as two separate documents. We can but in any case
13	MS CLARKE:	There is nothing to connect them to each
13 14	MS CLARKE:	There is nothing to connect them to each other.
	MS CLARKE:  MR. MOODIE:	
14		other.
14 15	MR. MOODIE:	other. I have no difficulty with that.
14 15 16	MR. MOODIE:	other.  I have no difficulty with that.  No problem with that, the letter itself
14 15 16 17	MR. MOODIE:	other.  I have no difficulty with that.  No problem with that, the letter itself will be 26/11 and the statement will
14 15 16 17	MR. MOODIE: COMM. BOGLE:	other.  I have no difficulty with that.  No problem with that, the letter itself will be 26/11 and the statement will then be 27/11.
14 15 16 17 18	MR. MOODIE: COMM. BOGLE:	other.  I have no difficulty with that.  No problem with that, the letter itself will be 26/11 and the statement will then be 27/11.  Could you move on, Mr. Campbell, to read
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MOODIE:  COMM. BOGLE:  MR. MOODIE:	other.  I have no difficulty with that.  No problem with that, the letter itself will be 26/11 and the statement will then be 27/11.  Could you move on, Mr. Campbell, to read the letter dated July 28, 1999?

1	St. Andrew
2	Dear Sirs,
3	Re: Your Indebtedness to Finsac Limited
4	Thank you for your letter dated July 23,
5	1999, enclosing the revised proposal. This
	is to advise that it has not been accepted
6	as it is insufficient to cover the principal
7	and would also leave a balance of
8	\$4XX,000.00 representing interest.
9	In light of your indication to settle this
10	debt, if the principal outstanding is
11	settled in full within thirty days, fifty
12	percent of interest would be deferred and
13	the balance payable over a six month period.
L 4	Please note that the deferral of fifty
15	_
16	percent interest is conditional on
L7	receiving the principal payment within the
18	thirty days. The balance outstanding on the accounts as
L 9	at July 28, 1999 totals \$1,XXX,000 and is
20	accruing interest daily at the rate of
21	\$4XX.00.
22	YEAR.UU.
23	Therefore, please let us have
24	confirmation of this proposal within

つに

1		seven days of the date hereof, failing
2		which we will proceed to recover our
3		exposure.
4		And that letter is signed on behalf of
5		FINSAC by CREDIT OFFICER and CREDIT
6		MANAGER
7	Q:	Let me ask that this letter be exhibited
8		again as .
9	COMM. BOGLE:	28/11.
10	MR. MOODIE:	Are you able to indicate, Mr. Campbell,
11		whether in fact that proposal was
12		accepted and complied with by DEBTOR10,
13		as far as your recollection from the
14		file?
15	A:	As far as my recollection from the file,
16		no, he did not settle the debt within
17		the time period granted, as a result the
18		loan was sold to Jamaican Redevelopment
19		Foundation.
20	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	Just a minute.
22	COMM. ROSS:	Mr. Campbell, can you tell us a little
23		bit about the headings in the
24		attachment.
25	COMM. BOGLE:	That is EC 27/11?

1	COMM. ROSS:	First Interest in Arrears, Interests
2		suspended (transferred) and interest
3		suspended (accrued). Could you tell us
4		something about that, what is it in
5		comparison to the various columns?
6	A:	I am aware that at FINSAC we basically
7		had three interest categories, yes.
8		Most accounts would have all three. I
9		really don't recall which heading is
10		which but I can tell the three
11		categories generally. One would be the
12		interest that accrues within a ninety
13		day period just before the loan was
14		classified as a bad debt, this is while
15		it is still at the institution. The
16		second one would be the interest that
17		accrued after that ninety days up to the
18		point when the loan is transferred to
19		FINSAC and the third one would be the
20		interest accrued since the loan was
21		transferred to FINSAC. I really don't
22		remember the headings for which but
23		those are the three categories, so if
24		you want me to say it again
25	COMM. ROSS:	Say it again.

1	A:	It is ninety day interest based on the
2		Banking Act after the account - if the
3		interest has been outstanding for more
4		than three months it becomes a bad debt,
5		so that ninety day interest is one of,
6		the interest elements. At that point we
7		classify the account as a non-performing
8		loan, as a bad debt but interest
9		continues to accrue and you no longer
10		putting that interest into profit so a
11		separate bucket, for want of a better
12		word, captures that interest so at the
13		point when the loan is then transferred
14		to FINSAC it has two interest balances
15		that are transferred and when it comes
16		to FINSAC, FINSAC continues to accrue
17		interest and that is in a separate
18		bucket as well.
19	COMM. ROSS:	You lost me in the first exercise, you
20		mentioned ninety days before .
21	A:	The account is classified as a bad debt.
22	COMM. ROSS:	Before it is classified as a bad debt?
23	A:	Yes. So the debtor has not paid and
24		three months have passed and he hasn't
25		paid so the interest that is accrued in

1		that three months, the bank if they
2		had put it to profit, then they need to
3		reverse it from profit; that figure is
4		suspended. Having classified the
5		account as bad debt they continue to
6		accrue interest for however long
7		thereafter and at the point now when the
8		account is transferred to FINSAC you
9		have those two separate interest figures
10		and having transferred it to FINSAC,
11		FINSAC continues to accrue interest and
12		that figure is reflected separately. I
13		really don't remember the headings but
14		that is what the three interest figures
15		relate to.
16	COMM. ROSS:	Ladies and gentlemen, at this time, we
17		will have our lunch break and we will
18		resume at 1:30.
19		L U N C H E O N A D J O U R N M E N T
20		ON RESUMPTION
21	COMM. BOGLE:	Ladies and gentlemen, this enquiry is
22		now in session and Mr. Campbell, just to
23		remind you that you are still under oath
24	MR. CAMPBELL:	Yes, sir. My apologies, matter I had to
25		deal with so I was running late.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	Your attorney did express on your
2		behalf. Mr. Moodie.
3	MR. MOODIE:	Thank you Chairman. We will be
4		continuing now, with your permission
5		Mr. Chairman, with the complaints made
6		by DEBTOR3, DEBTOR3IBS Limited.
7		Transcript reference is
8		January 26, 2011. DEBTOR3 alleged
9		that his proposal to restructure the
10		debt which he put at \$2X million was
11		rejected and his proposal to buy back
12		the shares in DEBTOR3IB Limited was also
13		rejected. He also alleged a corrupt sale to
14		WC and alleged that
15		there was an alternate bid by a firm
16		called CT which he said was better
17		and ought to have been taken up.
18		Mr. Campbell, could you kindly indicate
19		what your search of the FINSAC's records
20		in relation to DEBTOR3 and
21		DEBTOR3IBS Limited have revealed.
22		
23	A:	In November 1999, FINSAC had taken the
24		decision to go to the open market to
25		sell the shares and debt of DEBTOR3IBS

1		Limited and just about
2		the same time DEBTOR3 submitted a
3		proposal as well which he would have
4		been fully aware by then that this was
5		the intention of FINSAC. Now, one of
6		the bids that was submitted was a bid
7		for \$1x million from CT which DEBTOR3
8		is alleging ought to have been
9		considered since that would have been,
10		in his estimation, the highest bid, but,
11		as we have stated in here that bid was
12		to purchase the entire asset portfolio
13		of this company which FINSAC did not
14		have control of, so as a result it could
15		not have been accepted.
16	Q:	Was a valuation of DEBTOR3IBS ever obtained?
17	A:	A valuation was obtained, yes, and it
18		shows that the value of the shares was
19		nil.
20	Q:	Could I ask that the Commission be given
21		a copy of that valuation, valuation
22		dated May 2000, Commissioners, do you
23		have that valuation with you?
24	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
25	Q:	I would just ask you Mr. Campbell to

1		turn to page 23, you have the document
2		there with you?
3	A:	Yes, I do.
4	Q:	Is that the valuation of which you
5		speak?
6	A:	Yes, it is.
7	Q:	And that valuation was among the FINSAC
8		files that you have seen before?
9	A:	That is correct, yes.
10	Q:	May I ask you, Mr. Campbell, just to
11		read the portion on page 23 which is
12		practically the last page of the
13		valuation which is highlighted in bold?
14	A:	The valuators state that in their
15		opinion and subject to restrictions and
16		assumptions expressed elsewhere in this
17		report, there is no value attached to
18		the shares held in DEBTOR3IB Limited.
19		
20	Q:	Are you able to say who provided this
21		valuation?
22	A:	Sierra Associates. S-I-E-R-A
23		Associates.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	Can we hear a little about who are these
25		persons?