1 Q: And you really empathized with many of these borrowers? 2 3 A: Oh yes. 4 Q: But you continued to sell out their 5 property? 6 A: If the circumstances dictate and there were no other options, somebody would do 7 8 it. That is your option, no other option. 9 Q: You said also in the last sentence of 10 11 paragraph 106, it is the borrower's 12 failure to repay, among other reasons, 13 which puts depositors in jeopardy. The 14 borrower's failure to repay, you think 15 any of those borrowers failed to repay, 16 because their interests rate was 17 escalated way beyond what they could 18 afford. 19 A: May very well be the case, yes. 20 You know of any circumstances that it Q: 21 was? 22 I made the point that some borrowers A: 23 fell in that category, but it doesn't

24	change,	this	is	а	fact,	whether	it	is
25	high int	terest	ra ra	ate	e, what	cever,		

1 irrespective of the reason it is a fact 2 that there is an expectation that 3 repayment is the source to repay the 4 depositors. 5 So the high interest rate threatened the Q: 6 depositors? 7 A: Sorry? The high interest rate charged by the 8 Q: 9 failed financial institutions threatened 10 the interest of the depositors? 11 A: In some instances that may be the case, 12 yes. 13 Q: No, let us be realistic Mr. Hylton and 14 answer this question. Interest rates 15 are increased, extremely high, debtors 16 cannot repay, was that a threat to the 17 continued existence of a good loan? Oh yes and I said so. 18 A: 19 It was a threat? Q: 20 A: Yes. 21 0: And I am asking the question, so high 22 interest rates threatened depositors? 23 A: And I said yes. It's not just the inability of the 24 Q: 25 customers to pay because they did not

1		want to pay?
2	A:	
3		No, no, the inability to repay may have been
4	Q:	caused by other factors.
5	- A:	What was the major factor?
		You and I are going to disagree on that Mr.
6		Levy.
7	Q ·	Well go ahead.
8	A:	I have told you that it was a mixture of
9		different circumstances.
10	Q:	Specify?
11	A:	
12	Q:	If I can find the paragraph
13	A:	Just tell us, you don't have to find it. I
14		am saying there were some loans that quite
15	0.	frankly were bad from initiation.
	Q:	That is a minority?
16	A:	I don't know; there were some where
17		circumstances changed in terms of general
18		circumstances, business circumstances,
19		economic circumstances; there were some
20		
21		where people failed to respond or manage
22		their business properly and there were
23		some when the interest rate regime changed
		on them they couldn't handle it.
24		In all of the instances, the interest
25	Q	

1 rate regime changed on them? 2 Some borrowed in the high interest rate A: 3 regime, borrowed at the high interest rate on the basis that the business plan 4 5 or the project or the undertaking they were entering into, they could afford 6 7 and manage. But the fact that institutions failed 8 Q: 9 leaving some of their customers floating 10 outside in the harbour without a paddle, didn't matter like in the instance with 11 12 DEBTOR2, his institution failed, he did not fail but because his institution 13 14 failed and the lack of cooperation by 15 FINSAC, he was put out of business and 16 his property sold? 17 A: You are making that assertion, I have 18 nothing to support that. 19 0: You have anything to oppose? 20 A: I don't know the details of 21 DEBTOR2's case. 22 Q: The Commission knows the details and 23 that is what is important. 24 Α: And that is good. 25 It's amazing Mr. Hylton how major cases Q:

1 that have come to this Commission, none 2 of them you know the details of. 3 MR. GARCIA: I am going to object, I don't know if a 4 question would be coming. Let me finish my question. 5 MR. LEVY: 6 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Levy do you have a question that is 7 coming forward? 8-9-10, I have counted to ten to get my 8 9 blood pressure down. 10 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Levy just continue please asking 11 questions. 12 MR. LEVY: Are you aware of any specific loans 13 where the high interest rates caused the 14 default, the increase in interest rate caused the default? 15 16 I can't tell you about a specific loan A: 17 today where the high interest rate 18 caused the default. 19 Q: It's amazing Mr. Hylton that you -- can 20 I ask you a question, do you know the 21 details of any of the loans of FINSAC? 22 A: I already made that point. I said we set 23 up a Non-Performing Loan Unit, we had 24 senior managers there, they reported to 25 the General Manager who ultimately

1 reported to me and I told you I have 2 never managed a single loan. What I was 3 responsible for, to put it in framework 4 in terms of policies, processes, in 5 terms of procedures, in terms of 6 approval authority and in terms of the process by which to ensure that it was 7 8 fair, it was transparent and that it was 9 consistent with good principles as to 10 how these types of businesses are run. 11 Q: Could you read paragraph 111 of your 12 statement Mr. Hylton. FIS as well as FINSAC at the same time 13 A: 14 through Recon Trust Limited and Refin Trust Limited had to be careful that it 15 16 did not fall into the same or similar 17 difficulties as Foboproa in the Mexico 18 which had to be disbanded as, among

10which had to be altocated us, among19other things, they engaged in20significant write-offs for persons who21had non-performing loans only to see22them shortly after engaged in the lavish23lifestyles.

24Q:Did you see any person with25non-performing loans engaging in lavish

1		lifestyle?
2	A:	I have never really checked, you know,
3		because the truth is, as I said to you I
4		made the point, persons have come to me,
5		for example, even the person this
6		morning who sent me the e-mail and the
7		person I saw on Sunday.
8	Q:	That is not in evidence, let's deal with
9		the question.
10	A:	I am telling you the person who told me
11		on Sunday thanks for giving me a break,
12		I said I didn't realize you were a
13		FINSAC debtor even though they said I
14		met with them.
15	Q:	Their gratitude was ill-founded.
16	A:	They were the ones who expressed it.
17	Q:	It was ill-founded.
18	A:	I said I don't recall meeting with them,
19		they were saying they got a break and I
20		said well I am glad to hear that.
21	Q:	Paragraph 114?
22	A:	I am going to send them to you Mr. Levy.
23	Q:	There were loans that went bad prior to
24		the period of high interest rates and
25		were being constantly restructured by

1		the banks over several years. How many
2		of these loans fit into that category?
3	A:	I can't tell you a specific number of
4		loans but I got the impression that it
5		was quite a few and some significant
6		ones as well.
7	Q:	So that is pure speculation?
8	A:	It's not speculation, it's based on
9		information that I got from the people
10		who managed the loans.
11	Q:	You said some significant loans as well?
12	A:	Yes, that is what they told me.
13	Q:	Can you name one?
14	A:	I can't tell you one Mr. Levy, that's
15		fourteen years ago.
16	Q:	Paragraph 114. There were also
17		borrowers who took out their loans at
18		low interest rates and saw those rates
19		increased on them, you are aware of what
20		percentage of the borrowers were those?
21	A:	No, sir.
22	Q:	In some of those cases they tried to
23		cope with the situation by paying down
24		their facility but some also borrowed
25		more at the new higher rates for new

1 ventures that at times were 2 unsuccessful. Do you know how many of 3 these? 4 A: No. 5 Q: So this is pure speculation? 6 A: Not speculation, it's factual, I can't 7 tell you how many but I know there were 8 some who fell in both categories. 9 Q: But you don't know of any specific one. 10 A: I can't tell vou out of thirty or forty 11 thousand different accounts which one. 12 0: This statement in your evidence means 13 absolutely nothing because you knew 14 absolutely nothing about anything? 15 A: That may be your interpretation but then 16 it is not your call. 17 0: In the case of DEBTOR1COMPANY, 18 which was handled by FINSAC, 19 was there any political intervention or 20 involvement? 21 A: Not that I am aware of. 22 0: Nothing by the Minister of Finance? 23 A: In DEBTOR1COMPANY? I don't remember 24 the Minister being involved in 25 DEBTOR1COMPANY, possibly but I don't

1 remember. 2 0: Were you instructed on occasions to hold 3 off on the sale, so instructed by 4 Mr. Downer? 5 A: Hold off on the sale? 6 0: Sale of assets? 7 A: Instructed by who? 8 0: Minister of Finance? 9 A: I don't remember the Minister asking me 10 to hold off on the sale. 11 Q: We will get back to that after lunch 12 when I put something else to you. 13 A: I don't remember that particularly now. 14 Q: Were you aware that the Ministry of 15 Finance had a special interest in the 16 sales of the DEBTOR1COMPANY assets 17 going to National Investment Bank of Jamaica Limited? 18 19 No, sir. A: 20 Q: Was National Investment Bank of Jamaica 21 Limited an associated company with 22 FINSAC and FINSAC companies? 23 A: No, sir. 24 Q: Were there? 25 A: It is possible but I don't know who the

1 directors of NIBJ were, I couldn't say 2 offhand. 3 0: I think you said this morning Mr. David 4 Coore was a director. A: 5 Of FINSAC at some stage, yes. 6 Q: You were not aware that he was a 7 Director of NIBJ, Chairman? 8 A: He may very well have been, I don't 9 remember that specific detail now. 10 0: Did he disclose at any of your directors 11 meetings a conflict of interest in dealing 12 with DEBRTOR1COMPANY where he was talking 13 about selling by FINSAC to NIBJ? 14 I would expect if that... 15 A: Did he or did he not? 16 Q: I can't tell you. 17 Α You were not at any of those meetings? I 18 Q: can't remember that. 19 A: Let's get on down the list. Were you aware 20 Q that Shirley Tyndall was also a Director 21 of NIBJ? 22 May or may have been, I don't remember, I A: 23 am not going to deny that she was, if you 24 are asserting it, I can't say. 25

You can't recall her disclosing her 1 Q: 2 conflict of interest at the Board 3 meetings whereby the sale of Refin Limited to NIBJ was being discussed? 4 5 I don't know, she may very well have, if A: 6 she was. 7 Q: She may very well have but you don't 8 know? 9 A: I don't know. 10 You were not at those meetings? Q: 11 I may have been there, I don't remember A: 12 if she was a director, I hear what you 13 are saying, and if in the circumstances 14 she was a director I would have expected 15 a declaration in the scheme of things 16 and I am not saying she did, I can't 17 say, I don't remember which board 18 meeting DEBTOR1COMPANY was discussed, we discussed thousands of loans at 19 20 various meetings. 21 0: Mr. Hylton you are an experienced 22 director having been a director of so 23 many hundreds of companies, are you 24 aware of the obligation of directors for

disclosure where there is a conflict of

25

1 interest? 2 Absolutely, that is why I said I would Α: 3 expect, if she was a director, she would have so disclosed. 4 5 But you have a very poor memory, is that 0: correct? 6 Poor memory? I think my memory is 7 A: 8 reasonable Mr. Levy but I can't remember 9 tens of thousands of details, that I 10 find challenging. 11 0: Mr. Hylton after lunch I will show you 12 some minutes of meetings of FINSAC and 13 ask you to accept them or deny them? 14 A: Okav. 15 Paragraph 124 of your statement. 0: 16 A: Yes. 17 Q: Read it for us Mr. Hylton. Finsac's arduous task was now to 18 A: maximise on the recovery of these loans, 19 20 to minimize the cost of the intervention 21 and provide urgently needed liquidity 22 for its own operations internally as 23 well as those of the financial 24 institutions it had intervened. The 25 difficulties in such an undertaking by

- 1 itself were compounded by weak economic 2 conditions including soft markets 3 generally as well as poor documentation 4 and records for many of the loans 5 acquired from the legacy institutions. 6 Could you expand on what you mean when 0: 7 you say 'poor documentation and records 8 for many of the loans acquired from the 9 legacy institutions'? 10 A: There were many instances in the 11 portfolio where we found that there were 12 gaps in the documentation, sometimes 13 things were not properly executed,
- 14sometimes there were documents that were15missing and we had to go back to its16legacy institutions and asked them to17provide the documentation. In some18instances those were not provided.19Q:Can you give us one instance when this

 19
 Q.
 Can you give us one instance when this

 20
 happened?

21A:No, sir. I mean if this enquiry had22taken place maybe a year after or six23months after or two years I could have24told you of many instances, but when you25look at the time removed there is no way

I could do that. 1 2 0: Paragraph 125. Notwithstanding the 3 challenges as I have outlined, Finsac 4 assisted many persons and businesses in 5 sorting out their financial challenges 6 and getting a new start. Yes, sir. 7 A: 8 0: How did you assist? 9 A: Well, by working with the persons, 10 reaching reasonable compromises on the 11 debts and the persons were able to 12 settle those indebtedness according to 13 the arrangements that were reached. Some 14 of them never even completed the settlement while at Finsac or JRF. 15 16 You say there were many persons? Q: 17 A: Oh, yes. Can you name one? 18 0: I am not going to name any, sir. 19 A: So your statement is a general one? 20 Q: 21 I won't because I believe it is their Α: 22 private business. If they want to have 23 their situation before the Commission, 24 then they can make a statement and come 25 here.

1 Q: Mr. Hylton, this is a public Commission 2 of Enquiry and the operations of FINSAC 3 are no longer private. 4 But the business operations of A: 5 individual persons... 6 ...who dealt with FINSAC are no longer 0: 7 private. I don't know. 8 A: 9 FINSAC wasn't a bank. 0: 10 Well, there are different A: 11 interpretations on that. I have seen 12 various opinions on that issue, and 13 there are many opinions that indicate that FINSAC was so constraint. 14 Opinions by whom, be specific? 15 0: 16 By lawyers, lawyers representing FINSAC A: 17 and lawyers representing other 18 institutions with which FINSAC may have had a relationship. 19 But FINSAC was legally restraint? 20 0: 21 Yes, that's what we were advised. Α: What is the basis of that restraint? 22 0: 23 A: The basis is that the confidentiality 24 which applies in the Banking Act would 25 go by extension to institutions which

1 took over loans and so on from the... What section of the Banking Act says 2 Q: 3 that? I don't have a section right now and so 4 A: 5 I can't give a legal opinion to say that 6 was the case. I even guoted a 7 particular English case of this failed 8 bank in which PricewaterhouseCoopers 9 were appointed as receivers. I am 10 trying to remember the name of the failed bank now, it was global failure 11 12 and we had an operations here 13 incidentally to I think where the court 14 upheld that Pricewaterhouse was so 15 constraint. 16 COMM. BOGLE: BCCI? 17 A: BCCI, yes. 18 MR. LEVY: Pricewaterhouse... 19 A: As Receivers... 20 The receiver. Q: 21 A: ...working in the liquidation... 22 Pricewaterhouse was never a receiver of 0: 23 anything. 24 Whether they were liquidator, receiver, A: 25 whatever you call it.

Not Pricewaterhouse, sir, it has to be 1 Q: 2 an individual. Well, I don't know that anything turns 3 A: on that point. 4 5 COMM. BOGLE: The point is whether or not it was Pricewaterhouse. 6 Whether it was Partner or 7 A: 8 Pricewaterhouse or whatever I know it 9 turns on the fact that they were 10 constraint and they were not a bank. 11 MR. LEVY: How dear you with that constraint filed 12 a suit and disclosed people's business 13 in public. 14 Because a suit, the law makes an A: 15 exception for law suits, the same 16 legislation. 17 Schedule 4, Section 45 of the Banking MRS. PHILLIPS: 18 Act. 19 MR. LEVY: Which deals with banks, not FINSAC. The 20 Banking Act, Mr. Chairman, deals with 21 banks not with FINSAC. FINSAC was not 22 and has never been a bank. Banking Act 23 does not apply to FINSAC. 24 Mr. Chairman, unlike Mr. Levy I don't A: 25 advise myself on legal matters, I take

1 the advice that I am given. 2 0: Maybe you need to get competent legal 3 advice, Mr. Hylton. I am very happy with the legal advice 4 A: 5 that I am getting. The debtors are not. 6 0: 7 COMM. BOGLE: Can we get back to guestions and answers 8 please. 9 MR. LEVY: Could you tell us, Mr. Hylton, back to section 125, how did FINSAC assist these 10 11 many persons and businesses in sorting 12 out their financial challenges and 13 getting a new start, you lent them 14 money? 15 A: No, sir, we never lent anybody money. 16 0: Oh, you never lent anybody money? 17 A: No, sir. FINSAC never lent anybody 18 money. 19 Q: Because you were broke, you had no 20 money. How did you assist them in 21 sorting out their financial challenges? 22 A: By sitting down with them, agreeing, compromises around the debts, giving them an 23 opportunity by restructuring the debt to 24 repay the debt. - -

What you mean by restructuring the debt? 1 Q: 2 Meaning extended payment terms, changing Α: 3 maybe sometime on moratorium, on 4 interest, maybe three months, six 5 months, seven months to get something in 6 order. Those types of arrangements. And did you do that with DEBTOR1COMPANY? 7 0: 8 A: DEBTOR1COMPANY was in receivership. 9 0: Did you do that with the Receiver of 10 DEBTOR1COMPANY? With the receiver? 11 Α: 12 Q: Yes. 13 A: I didn't know that was an option that 14 the receiver presented, a viable option 15 to restructure the debt. Can I assist Mr. Hylton in showing the 16 Q: 17 distinction between a liquidator and a 18 receiver, because he seems to be confused? 19 20 COMM. BOGLE: The question is, would FINSAC have 21 called in the receiver and offered him 22 or it should be the receiver that should 23 take the case to FINSAC? 24 MR. LEVY: Thank you, sir. 25 COMM BOGLE: I think that is the case. The receiver

1 would have taken their matter to FINSAC 2 and not FINSAC calling them in. 3 MR. LEVY: Mr. Hylton, what are the rights and obligations of a receiver and manager of 4 5 a company, what its obligations? MR. GARCIA: Commissioner, I am a little bit 6 7 concerned because there have now been a 8 number of questions in which Mr. Levy 9 has asked Mr. Hylton something which I 10 think is a matter of law. On the 11 previous occasion what it has then led 12 to, it is an argument between the 13 attorney and the witness on the question 14 of law which I submit is not 15 appropriate. 16 COMM. BOGLE: I think that is a reasonable objection. 17 MR. LEVY: I will rephrase the question, Mr. 18 Chairman. 19 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Hylton has not come here as an 20 attorney. 21 MR. LEVY: I will rephrase the question. Because FINSAC had many receivers in their 2.2 portfolio, in FINSAC's portfolio receivers 23 have been appointed by FINSAC or by the 24 legacy banks and Mr. Hylton - -

2 COMM. BOGLE: You may rephrase the question bearing in 3 mind that Mr. Hylton is not an attorney. 4 MR. LEVY: Mr. Hylton, whilst I know you are not an 5 attorney, what is your understanding of 6 what a receiver should do, you appointing him? 7 8 A: The receiver is appointed as the agent 9 of the company, he receives the property 10 of the company and then goes out to try 11 and settle its obligations. I mean, I 12 don't know if I can put it more succinct than that. 13 14 If I told you you are wrong, you 0: 15 wouldn't accept it because I am not your 16 attorney and I wouldn't expect you to? 17 A: Right. 18 What's your understanding of the Q: 19 difference between a receiver and a 20 liquidator? 21 A: Again, that's a technical legal question in 22 my view, sir, and I don't know if I am 23 competent to elaborate on those 24 distinctions. 25 Q: The question is what is your

1 understanding, not whether you are 2 competent to give a legal opinion. You 3 were instructing receivers, you were 4 instructing Mr. Downer, you were giving 5 instructions to Mr. Downer, which he 6 accepted. I just told you what I thought the 7 A: responsibilities of a receiver was and 8 9 the liquidator is to liquidate. In 10 other words, to settle off and so on so 11 as to wind up the operations of the

business.

12

13 Q: You say your understanding of a receiver 14 was to pay off creditors? 15 Sorry, I said he was appointed as an A : 16 agent of the company, to receive the 17 properties of the company and to manage 18 its affairs so as to discharge its 19 obligations or to assist in returning 20 debtors of a growing concern if that is 21 a potential course open to him or her. 22 Q: What obligation does the receiver have 23 to the unsecured creditors? Unsecured creditors? 24 A: 25 Q: Yes?

- 1A:I think the law sets out a hierarchy as2to how creditors are dealt with in terms3of secured...
- 4Q:That's not the question, Mr. Hylton.5What is your understanding of the6obligation which a receiver appointed7who is an agent of the company has to8unsecured creditors?

9 MR. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, the same objection.

10 MR. LEVY: Pardon me?

11 MR. GARCIA: I am making an objection and it is the12 same objection.

COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Levy, just to remind you of the fact
that Mr. Hylton is not a lawyer and
therefore, we have to be careful, you
are asking him questions that he might
not be competent to answer.
MR. LEVY: My question is what is his

19understanding, not whether it is right20or wrong. That was my question.21A:Mr. Chairman, my response to Mr. Levy is22this, I don't make moves on that basis23without legal advice. I tell you that24straight. Mr. Garcia is here and he can25tell you. If I am going to deal with a

receiver, my lawyer is going to be in 1 2 the room with me or a liquidator or any 3 of those special appointments. 4 0: So every time you write a letter to the receiver it is passed through your 5 6 lawyer? 7 Α: Oh, ves. 8 0: Did you at any time give instructions to 9 Mr. Richard Downer, Receiver and Manager 10 of DEBTOR1COMPANY who was appointed 11 under your hand? 12 A: I recall meeting with Mr. Downer, I may have asked Mr. Downer - to be honest 13 14 with you, I can't give you a specific 15 detail on the instructions. I mean 16 generally I have indicated to Mr. Downer 17 that we would want to get the best deal, 18 that is what I would do with any 19 receiver. 20 Q: Let me move on to something else and I 21 will come back to this at a later stage. 22 On the Finsac'd companies in relation to 23 mortgages, did they have any legal 24 obligations, to your knowledge, to the 25 mortgagors, persons whose properties

1		were mortgaged?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	Can you tell us what you believe it was?
4	A:	To act in good faith as a general rule.
5		If you are going to the issue of
6		security realization to go through a
7		fair and transparent process which is
8		usually by way of auction and then if it
9		didn't happen there, then you would have
10		a responsibility to try and obtain the
11		best price from the market.
12	Q:	Your FINSAC companies sold many
13		properties by private seal or by private
14		auction, didn't they?
15	A:	Yes.
16	Q:	You have any idea how many?
17	A:	I couldn't tell you off hand now, sir.
18	Q:	And you were involved in those sales?
19	A:	I would be involved at the stage of
20		approval of the sale. In other words,
21		what would happen is that at the point
22		where they have reached an offer which
23		the Assets Disposal Unit who handled the
24		sale felt that it was acceptable and
25		reasonable in the circumstances, then

1 they would bring it to me to sign off 2 on. This is what you used to sign off on? 3 0: 4 A: No, first of all they would go through 5 an auction and if they are unsuccessful 6 by auction, based on the reserved price, 7 then it goes through a process of 8 marketing through agencies and so on to 9 try and get the best price. 10 0: And how was the reserved price set by 11 FINSAC? 12 I think in most instances, if my memory Α: 13 serves me right, the reserved price 14 would have been the forced sale price, in most instances. 15 16 Who determined the forced sale price? 0: 17 Α: The valuators. 18 And when that valuation would have been Q: 19 made? 20 We generally have a policy to require A: 21 the valuation to be within a certain 22 timeframe. If my memory serves me right 23 it is probably within a year or so. 24 And was this a standard requirement? 25 Α: Yes, that's what I am saying, generally

1 we have that as a requirement.

2 Q: Generally it is a kind of...

3 A: No, no, the reason why I say generally, 4 because some of these things came into 5 being - remember there was a period when 6 the loans were being handled by the 7 legacy banks and then there was a period during which they were being 8 9 transitioned to FINSAC and I am saying within the transition process to FINSAC 10 11 and subsequent to that, this is how they 12 would have been handled. So I just want 13 to be careful Mr. Levy, because I never 14 wanted you to pull the beating wife 15 trick on me again. 16 Q: Would you say it would be correct, 17 Mr. Hylton, for FINSAC or one of its 18 subsidiaries to sell a property for \$43 19 Million which was valued at \$183 20 Million, that would have been within the 21 policy? 22 I don't think the policy sets out how A: 23 much you sold a property for; the policy 24 sets out a process which is consistent 25 with the law.

1 You said consistent with the law? 0: 2 Α. Yes. That law, as you referred to, requires a 3 0: valuation? 4 5 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Again, the witness is being 6 asked about what the law requires. 7 COMM. BOGLE: I think as well, Mr. Levy, the witness 8 did say with all sales, valuation is 9 required. 10 MR. LEVY: Was a valuation obtained by FINSAC of 11 the properties of DEBTOR1COMPANY 12 within one year before sale by 13 REFIN Trust Limited to a connected 14 associated Company, National Investment 15 Bank of Jamaica Limited, was it obtained 16 within a year? 17 A: I didn't know that FINSAC sold the property of DEBTOR1COMPANY, sir. 18 19 Well, FINSAC including REFIN Trust Q: 20 Limited. Take my word for that. 21 A: I thought it was the receiver who did. 22 Mr. Chairman, after the lunch break I Q: will show him the documents. 23 24 COMM. BOGLE: Well, at this point therefore, we will 25 take lunch.

1	MR. LEVY:	I have a far way to go, Mr. Hylton, I am
2		not finished.
3	COMM. BOGLE:	We will reconvene at 2:00 p.m. Thank you
4		ladies and gentlemen.
5		
6		LUNCHEON BREAK
7		ON RESUMPTION
8	COMM. BOGLE:	Ladies and gentlemen, this Enquiry is
9		now reconvened. And Mr. Hylton, the
10		usual reminder that you are still under
11		oath. Mr. Levy?
12	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Hylton, you were trying to explain
13		what your understanding of the duties
14		and obligations of a receiver were. You
15		had a number of receivers appointed by
16		FINSAC, didn't you?
17	A:	Yes sir, that is correct.
18	Q:	And you give many of these receivers
19		instructions as to how to proceed?
20	A:	We appointed the receivers and asked
21		them to, well, to take over the
22		operations pursuant to our debenture so
23		as to enable us to realize the maximum
24		that we could from the obligations that
25		were dear to us.

Was there any political involvement in 1 0: 2 the operations of FINSAC? 3 No, sir. A: None whatsoever? 4 0: No, sir. 5 A: 6 Never received instructions from the 0: 7 political directorate? Well the political directorate would set 8 A: 9 the policy agenda and the political 10 directorate from time as you saw even letter the letter to CERTAIN DEBTOR 11 12 would have made suggestions or even sent 13 what I would call their views as to what 14 should be done, but in terms he will 15 what eventually got executed was what 16 FINSAC felt was the proper thing to do 17 in the circumstances. 18 And that was so in the case of Q: 19 DEBTOR1COMPANY? 20 Yes sir. A: 21 COMM. BOGLE: Just a minute, please. 22 COMM. ROSS: Mr. Hylton, you mentioned earlier that 23 there was a hierarchy of approvals that 24 related to the loans that were 25 processed?

1	A:	Yes.
2	COMM. ROSS:	Do you recall what the levels were? In
3		other words, at what level did something
4		have to be approved by the Board, at
5		what level did it have to be approved by
6		the Minister or perhaps at another
7		level?
8	A:	The Minister was not in that hierarchy,
9		sir. The Board was the highest
10		decision making authority when it came
11		to the loans. What would happen - I
12		don't remember the specifics of what it
13		was like for the Unit Head, what would
14		be for the Credit Committee. I know
15		there was, for example we could write
16		off interest, maybe some percentage of
17		some percentage of interest, some
18		percentage of maybe principal in some
19		instances, not large, and the rest of
20		them would be referred to the Board.
21	MR. GARCIA:	If I may, Commissioner Ross, there is
22		exhibit PH5 to Mr. Hylton's statement is
23		from the Annual Report of FINSAC for the
24		year 2000 and the FINSAC standard policy
25		for non-performing loans work out is

contained there, that document sets out what 1 the decisions, authorities are in the 2 different levels, for the Board, the Credit 3 Committee, the Unit Heads, Teams and Team 4 Managers. 6 COMM. ROSS: Thank you very much. 7 8 COMM. BOGLE: Go ahead, Mr. Levy. 9 MR. LEVY: Were you influenced in your decision as 10 a board in any matter relating to 11 DEBTOR1COMPANY? 12 A: Influenced by whom, sir? 13 By anyone, other than the Board, outside Q: 14 the Board? 15 No, just what the management would have A: 16 brought to the Board as their 17 perspective of the issue. And whenever you signed any document 18 Q: 19 dealing with debts you had your lawyers 20 advising you? 21 A: Invariably. I would say most of the 22 times, almost all the times. 23 Mr. Hylton, I am going to show you a Q: 24 document which was exhibited before as 25 DEBTOR1COMPANY.41-11.

I made a few copies for the members of 1 2 the panel so they don't have to get them 3 out of their bundles. This is a letter signed by Audrey B 4 5 Robinson, General Manager of FINSAC 6 addressed to Dennis Joslin Ja. Inc, 7 dated May 17, 2002. Copies were 8 distributed to the lawyers present at 9 the time. Would you read the letter? 10 Α: It is dated May 17, 2002 to Dennis 11 Joslin Ja. Inc. 12 Mutual Life Centre. 13 2 Oxford Road. 14 Kingston 5. 15 Attention: Mr. Dennis Joslin. 16 Dear Sirs: 17 Re: DEBTOR1COMPANY. 18 We refer to your proposal sent to us on 19 May 16, 2002 in respect of DEBTOR1COMPANY. 20 21 Please be advised that the proposal is 22 approved. 23 Yours sincerely. 24 Audrey B Robinson (Mrs.) audiocassette 25 General Manager.

1 Copied to Mr. Patrick Hylton, Mr. Errol 2 Campbell, Mr. Jim Welsh and Mrs. Dianna Davis-Smith. 3 4 Q: Can you recall what proposal was she 5 speaking about? 6 No, sir. A: 7 Q: I am going to let you have a look at Exhibit DEBTOR1COMPANY.40/11. This is a 8 9 letter from Richard Downer, Receiver / 10 Manager DEBTOR1COMPANY addressed 11 to you. RE: DEBTOR1COMPANY SALE OF 12 BUSINESS. Yes sir. 13 A: 14 Q: Would you read the letter to us, Mr. 15 Hylton? 16 April 9, 2002. It's addressed to me at A: FINSAC Limited. 17 18 Mr. Patrick Hylton. 19 FINSAC Limited 20 76 Knutsford Boulevard. 21 Kingston 5. 2.2 Dear Patrick 23 Re: DEBTOR1COMPANY SALE OF BUSINESS. 24 I refer to previous correspondence in 25 this had matter. By your letter dated

February 5, 2002 to my attorneys FINSAC 1 indicated that pursuant to the recent 2 loan sale, the matter would be handled by 3 Joslin Jamaica Limited. By letter dated 4 February 12, 2002 Joslin indicated to my 5 attorneys that they had been appointed to 6 service the debts and obligations which had 7 been acquired from Refin Trust Limited. We 8 were also advised that the relevant 9 Discharges, titles and Memorandum of 10 Complete Satisfaction (the satisfaction 11 documents) were ready and available for 12 completion. A copy of this letter is 13 enclosed for your information. 14 A lot of effort has been made to take this 15 matter to completion to obtain the payment 16 by the purchaser National Investment Bank 17 of Jamaica (NIBJ) of the balance purchase 18 price. Joslin has now taken the position 19 that they will not complete this sale. I 20 enclose a copy of letter dated March 28, 21 2002 from Joslin to me which indicates that 22 they will not complete "until they are 23 satisfied". In

- 24
- 25

light of the great disparity between the 1 estimated final outcome of \$73 million 2 stated in the Accounts provided to FINSAC on 3 June 20, 2001 and the \$25 million to \$30 4 million" (it was actually \$25 to 40 million 5 that I stated in that meeting) advice to 6 Joslin at a meeting held March 18, 2002. In 7 order to be "satisfied" they asked for five 8 items of information, all of which were 9 supplied promptly and in great detail. 10 I feel I have made every effort to 11 accommodate their requests. To my 12 surprise, in a telephone conversation with 13 Mr. Joslin on Friday, it was indicated that 14 they would only release the satisfaction 15 documents in exchange for a payment of \$56 16 million. The sum of \$55 million is the 17 approximate sum due from NIBJ to complete 18 the matter. I have indicated to them that 19 think could not agree to pay them that I 20 could not agree to pay them this sum in 21 light of my closing estimate and 22 commitments which I must satisfy in my 23 capacity as 24

っち

Receiver.

During that telephone conversation I 2 explained that: (i) apart from \$10 of 3 liabilities plus unquantified legal fees 4 arising after 13 December 2001 which have not 5 yet been billed, there were no other known 6 liabilities to be paid out of the \$55 million 7 (ii) we have claimed a substantial refund in 8 respect of transfer tax and (iii) there is 9 pending litigation against DEBTOR1 (iv) I 10 would have to hold back some funds to pursue 11 the claim against DEBTOR1. So if there is for 12 the 45 million from the NIBJ payment after 13 payment of the liabilities, and if I hold a 14 further \$10 million for contingencies, this 15 would leave 35 million for the interim 16 distribution at closing. Despite this Mr. 17 Joslin indicated that he is firm in the 18 position that he will not release the 19 documents unless he receives \$56 million at 20 the time of closing. It appears to me that the 21 position taken by Joslin is based on a 2.2 misunderstanding 23

24

1

1	in relation to two issues namely:
2	1. Their belief that you were to
3	receive \$73 million
4	2. A misunderstanding in relation to my
5	role and obligation as receiver. I'll
6	address both issues below. SUM DUE TO REFIN TRUST LIMITED.
7	** '
8	You will recall that in our meeting on
9	December 19, 2001 we had discussed the
10	issue of the sum which I had initially
11	indicated to you would be due to you on
12	completion and my subsequent estimate. I
	left that meeting on the understanding
13	that the satisfaction documents would be
14	delivered to my attorneys in exchange for
15	a cheque in your favour in the sum of \$35
16	
17	million. For ease of reference I enclose a
18	copy of letter dated December 21, 2001 from
19	you to my attorneys which confirms this
20	agreement.
21	It appears that Joslin has not been
	advised of this. I ask that you as a matter
22	of urgency advise them of this so that we may
23	proceed. Nearly four months (4) have passed
24	since my agreement to pay
25	Strice my agreement to pay

the sum of \$35 million. Each day which 1 passes without the completion of the sale 2 leads to the need to incur additional costs 3 for the receivership and a further reduction 4 of the sum to be paid to the secured 5 creditors. In my view it is therefore in the 6 interest of all the parties which are 7 affected to have the sale completed as a 8 matter of urgency. 9 MY ROLE AS RECEIVER. 10 As you know I was appointed Receiver and 11 Manager from the company by national 12 Commercial Bank Limited and your subsidiary, 13 Recon Trust Limited in 1998, In light of the 14 filing of a petition to wind up the company 15 in 1998, I agreed to continue to trade on the 16 understanding that I was now your agent and 17 not the agent of the company and on the basis 18

that you would issue to me a further indemnity to address this issue. As you know, this indemnity was given. Joslin has effectively stepped into your shoes and I therefore now represent

23

19

20

21

2.2

- 24
- っち

their interest in the company.

Great effort was made to locate a purchaser 2 of the company without success and finally, 3 after lengthy negotiations, in 2001, with 4 your blessing, I entered into an agreement 5 with NIBJ for the sale of the assets of the 6 various companies. As you know most of the 7 assets are subject to charges in favour of 8 entities, which were under the control of 9 FINSAC. In light of FINSAC's control over 10 these entities, we had proceeded on the basis 11 that in addition to your capacity as 12 debenture holder, I would make an interim 13 payment of \$35 million to you in exchange for 14 the satisfaction documents from these 15 entities at the time of the closing, 16 obviously without prejudice to the right to 17 receive 18 further interim payments as funds become 19 available (e.g. from a refund of 20 transfer fax). I believe Joslin now holds 21 these satisfaction documents. I was 2.2 therefore only left with the responsibility

of securing the remaining

っち

24

23

Memoranda of Satisfaction from those 1 entities which were not under FINSAC's 2 control. This I have done. 3 As you know, as Receiver, I am required to 4 satisfy certain preferential obligations 5 and therefore I do not have total 6 discretion in how the funds from the sales 7 should be disbursed. I have tried 8 unsuccessfully to explain this to Joslin's 9 representatives. NIBJ has already served 10 a Notice to Complete and Making Time of the 11 Essence and it is only a matter of time 12 before they become so frustrated with the 13 delays in the completion of the sale and 14 seek to take proceedings in court for a 15 resolution. If I were sued, I would rely 16 on my indemnity. In my view, it is in no 17 one's interest for this matter to result 18 in litigation. I therefore ask for your 19 assistance in clarifying to Joslin the 20 state of affairs to 21 facilitate the completion of the sale. If 22 you think a meeting will assist in 23 resolving these issues, I am available 24

25

- 1 to meet at your earliest convenience. I 2 looked forward to hearing from you as 3 soon as possible. 4 Yours faithfully. 5 Richard Downer. 6 Receiver & Manager. 7 DEBTOR1COMPANY. 8 MR. LEVY: In the last paragraph on the second page, 9 'My role as Receiver' Mr. Downer stated, 10 among other things; "I agreed to continue 11 to trade on the understanding that I was 12 now your agent on not the agent of the 13 company and on the basis that you would 14 issue to me a further indemnity to address
- 15this issue. As you know this indemnity was16given Joslin has effectively step into17your shoes..." You are familiar with that

18 indemnity?

19A:I don't recall that indemnity,20I can't say I am familiar with it at21all. It may very well have been given.22He is asserting it and I can't either23confirm or deny it.

24Q:Do you understand that Mr. Downer was25the agent of Refin at this time?

- 1 A: I understand that is what he is saying. 2 0: But he is taking instructions from you? 3 **A**: Well, he is asking for a Memorandum of 4 Complete satisfaction. 5 How did you react when you got this 0: letter, Mr. Hylton? 6 I think I would have sent it to Mrs. 7 A: 8 Robinson for her to meet with the 9 lawyers and decide how to deal with it 10 and how to respond to it. So you would not have responded 11 Q: 12 yourself? 13 It is possible that they could have A: 14 drafted a response for me, I don't 15 recall, sir. 16 Mr. Hylton, I presented to you a copy of Q: 17 a letter dated July 7, 2001 from Omar Davies Minister to Rex James, NIBJ and 18
- 19 you as Managing Director of FINSAC
  20 Limited.
  21 DEBTOR1COMPANY/ABC Limited
  22 .
  23 Please find attached self-explanatory

23Please find attached self-explanatory24correspondence between Mr. Uwe Kumst,25Managing Director of ABC Limited

1 Limited and myself concerning his 2 company's continued interest in 3 DEBTOR1COMPANY. 4 I would appreciate being brought up to 5 date on the state of affairs, as well as 6 precisely what ABC Limited is offering. 7 You recall that letter? 8 A: Not particularly, sir, but I assume I 9 would have gotten it because I see where 10 I wrote on it; Audrey, could you have 11 someone draft a response for me. 12 Thanks. 13 4: But Mr. Omar Davies was not involved in 14 DEBTOR1COMPANY? 15 A: It wouldn't be unusual for the Minister 16 to ask, based on whether he had received 17 a complaint or he has received some information, to be updated on something. 18 19 This was one of several, Mr. Hylton? I Q: 20 am presenting a letter dated 6th 21 September, 2000 from Portia Nicholson 22 Clarke, Director Portfolio Management 23 Unit, National Investment Bank of 24 Jamaica Limited addressed to Receiver 25 and Manager DEBTOR1COMPANY.

1	
2	Attention Mr. Dave Morrison.
<b>3</b> 4	Re: DEBTOR1COMPANY (In Receivership) DEBTOR1COMPANY

## (Jamaica)

5		Acquisition 1998 Limited. DEBTOR1-
6		COMPANY2 (In Receivership), DEF
7		Limited.
8		Could you read the letter.
9	A:	Okay. It says:
10		Dear sirs – as you say it is to Dave
11		Morrison, Receiver and Manager
12		DEBTOR1COMPANY Acquisition 1998 Ltd
13		Attention Mr. Dave Morrison.
14		Dear Sirs.
15		Re DEBTOR1COMPANY (In
16		Receivership)
17		Re. DEBTOR1COMPANY Acquisition 1998 Ltd
18		
19		DEBTOR1COMPANY2 (In Receivership)
20		DEBTOR1COMPANY3
21 22		Those are the captions. Reference is made to letter dated 24
23		August 2000 (attached), and subsequent
24		telephone conversation (Morrison/Blake)
25		on 1 September 2000 regarding the

captioned.

1

2 As you are aware, the National 3 Investment Bank of Jamaica Limited (NIBJ) has been granted 30 days to 4 5 conduct its due diligence on the subject 6 assets and to submit a firm offer for 7 purchase. The information requested 8 from you on 24 August 2000 has not been 9 forthcoming thereby hindering our 10 ability to perform an analysis of the 11 operations of the companies. 12 You are therefore requested to submit no 13 later than Friday, 8 September 2000, the 14 requested information to facilitate our 15 deadline for the submission of an offer 16 to purchase the assets. 17 Yours sincerely. 18 Portia Nicholson Clarke. 19 Director, Portfolio Management Unit. 20 If you look at the foot of letter, who 0: 21 is the chairman shown there? 22 Hon. David Coore, O.J, Q.C. A: Was he a director of FINSAC? 23 0: At the time, yes, I would think so. I 24 A: 25 would think so.

1 Q: Let me move on to the next letter, 2 letter dated 6 September 2000, again 3 from Portia Nicholson Clarke addressed 4 to the Receiver and Manager, 5 DEBTOR1COMPANY Acquisition 1998 Limited, Industrial Estate, 6 Twickenham Park, St. Catherine. 7 8 Attention Mr. Dave Morrison. 9 0: Did you have a debenture of 10 DEBTOR1COMPANY Acquisition 1998 Limited? 11 12 A: Did we have a debenture? 13 0: FINSAC, yes. 14 I don't know, sir. A: 15 Did you appoint a Receiver for Q: 16 DEBTOR1COMPANY Acquisition 1998 17 Limited? 18 I am not sure. I know we appointed a A: 19 Receiver for DEBTOR1COMPANY but I think DEBTOR1COMPANY 20 21 Acquisition 1998 Limited was 22 the hiving down when some creditors 23 sought to force DEBTOR1COMPANY 24 (in liquidation) and what the receiver did 25 was to have hived down some assets,

1 that's what I remember, and I think into 2 a company with a different name. I don't 3 know if the debenture itself would 4 extend to that company as a matter of 5 course. 6 0: Read the second paragraph. 7 Please be advised that NIBJ is formally A: 8 requesting exclusivity in its 9 negotiations to purchase the subject 10 assets. Therefore, during the period of 11 NIBJ's due diligence, 12 PricewaterhouseCoopers is not expected 13 to enter into any discussions with third 14 parties for the sale of the above 15 assets. 16 Yours sincerely. 17 Portia Nicholson Clarke. 18 Director, Portfolio Management Unit. 19 0: Were you aware that NIBJ requesting 20 exclusivity as opposed to any other 21 member of the public who wished to make 22 an offer? 23 A: I may or may not have been aware, sir. I don't remember. 24 25 This Mr. Hylton, is a letter from a Q:

1 politician who was not involved in this 2 matter at all to: 3 Mr. Kumst, Managing Director of ABC 4 Limited. 5 Dear Mr. Kumst. 6 DEBTOR1COMPANY 7 I acknowledge receipt of your letter 8 dated June 21, 2001 indicating the 9 continued interest of your company in 10 being involved in the resuscitation of DEBTOR1COMPANY. 11 I have passed on your communication to 12 13 Mr. Rex James, the President of NIBJ as 14 well as Mr. Patrick Hylton, the Managing 15 Director of FINSAC. You recall this letter? 16 17 A: Not particularly, no sir, but I assume I would have received it if he said he had 18 19 passed it on to me. 20 Q: Who was the letter from, sir? 21 A: The letter was from Omar Davies, 22 Minister of Finance and Planning. 23 Q: Was he the minister in charge of your 2.4 organization? 25 Yes, he had portfolio responsibility. A:

1 Q: I am going to present now, Mr. Hylton 2 your letter dated July 28, 2000, 3 purportedly signed by you, Mr. Hylton as Managing Director of FINSAC to Mr. 4 5 Richard Downer, who you said was the 6 agent of the company? 7 A: Yes, sir. Dear Mr. Downer, I have received 8 Q: 9 correspondence from NIBJ indicating 10 their interest in acquiring all at assets of DEBTOR1COMPANY and 11 12 DEBTOR1COMPANY3 for \$200 13 million. 14 I have written to NIBJ asking them to 15 forward their indicative offer to you so 16 that they can complete the process of 17 due diligence and contract finalization 18 as soon as possible. 19 I would be grateful if you could make 20 the necessary arrangements to facilitate 21 the NIBJ team as soon as you have 22 received their letter, as we are 23 extremely anxious to bring this matter 24 to closure. 25 The date of this letter, Mr. Hylton?

- 1 A: 28 July, 2000.
- 2 Q: Was this request to Mr. Richard Downer
  3 complied with?
- A: I don't know sir, maybe. I can't tell
  you. I mean I don't know. I know that
  eventually a sale took place to NIBJ. I
  don't know if it is in relation to this
  specific request or it is at a later
  date.
- 10 Q This sale took place in 2002?
- 11 A: Oh yes, 2002.
- 124:They bought lock, stock and barrel, real13estate, tools for \$205M?
- 14 A: Okay.
- 15 Q: Now in your letter of July 28th, 2000 16 you expressed extreme anxiety for 17 bringing this matter to a closure?
- 18 A: Oh, yes.
- 19 Q: Now, this was not originated by Mr.
- 20 Richard Downer, was it?
- 21A:You mean if you are comparing the time22that had passed between the two?
- 23 Q: Yes, sir.
- 24 A: For the period?
- 25 Q: Yes.

- 1A:Well, it would be obtained over a period2of time, I don't know of any other3reasons.
- Q: Now, had FINSAC obtained a valuation of
  the assets of DEBTOR1COMPANY sometime in
  July of 2000?
- A: I think we received something in the
  report by the way of what the assets
  were valued because they did provide
  reports from time to time.
- 11 The question was, was a valuation Q: 12 obtained by FINSAC to approach Mr. 13 Downer encouraging him that you had a bidder and wanted to conclude a sale for 14 \$200M, that's NIBJ in July 2000? 15 16 A: FINSAC represented at that time, the 17 best offer and, and I don't know if you 18 remember that at one stage FINSAC had to 19 be providing guarantees so as to 20 formally provide liquidity to 21 DEBTOR1COMPANY operations, I don't know 22 if that was the arrangement but I know 23 that we had to guarantee some facilities 24 with one of the banks for NIBJ to 25 continue operating as the receiver as

1 they had no funds. So we wanted to get 2 out of that situation. 3 Q: You appointed Mr. Downer? 4 A: Among others, yes, ourselves and NCB at the time. 5 6 0: Wasn't DEBTOR1COMPANY making money during the time when Mr. Downer in his 7 8 receivership was collecting major fees? 9 A: I can't answer that question sir, I 10 would have to have the benefit of the 11 reports so I could see what transpired. 12 You have been speculating from time to Q: 13 time if you were giving guaranteed loans 14 from the banks, do you think they were 15 making money. Well, that's clear they had a liquidity 16 A: 17 problem. 18 So that obviously they were not 0: 19 producing revenues to take care of their 20 obligations? 21 A: It would not appear so, not on a timely 22 basis anyway. 23 I am going to present you with the Q: 24 letter dated the 26th July 2000, which 25 is Exhibit DEBTOR1COMPANY.34/11, it is the letter

- dated 26th of July, from Rex James, 1 President of NIBJ to Patrick Hylton, 2 Managing Director of FINSAC. 3 Re: DEBTOR1COMPANY. We refer to recent 4 telephone 5 conversation James/Hylton. 6 That's Mr. James and you I am assuming. 7 Regarding the acquisition of the captioned 8 company by NIBJ. We now propose the 9 following: 10 NIBJ will through a subsidiary, acquire all 11 of the assets of DEBTOR1COMPANY, DEBTOR1-12 COMPANY2, and DEBTOR1COMPANY3 for \$200M. 13 A deposit of \$20M will be made upon the 14 acceptance of this offer \$80M on 15 completion/possession and the balance 16 provided by Promissory Note payable in 17 installments over a period of two (2) years 18 at 12% per annum. 19 The offer of \$200M is based on our 20 preliminary assessment given the available 21 information. It is subject to satisfactory 2.2 completion of our due diligence and to 23 formal contract being 24
- つ 5

1		executed. A period of thirty (30) days is
1		required for proper due diligence to be done.
2		kindly provide us with any current financial
3		
4		information you may have to facilitate the
5		process.
6		This offer remains open for acceptance
		until 28th of August, 2000.
8		PS.
9		Signed by Rex James.
10		President of NIBJ.
11		For your information we mention that it
12		is our intention to offer DEBTOR1
13		family the right to purchase 20% of the
14		shares of the new company which will be
15		used to acquire the above-mentioned
16		assets.
17		This was an offer by the company, do you
18		know?
19	A:	Yes. Well, it was to acquire assets of
20		the company.
21	Q:	Well, it says to acquire the acquisition
22		of captioned company.
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	That means to acquire the company?
25	A:	"NIBJ will, through a subsidiary,

1 acquire all the assets". 2 So what I did which I thought was appropriate it seemed from the trail 3 here sir, was to send it to Mr. Downer, 4 who was receiving offers for 5 DEBTOR1COMPANY and its assets and. 6 7 0: And you did so? 8 A: Yes, sir. Well this is what my 9 correspondence is saying, I received an 10 offer from NIBJ so a part of the offer 11 was to treat with. And this was in July 2000? 12 0: Yes, sir. 13 Α: And this was a company which from time 14 Q: to time had increased guarantees to the 15 bank to have provided additional 16 facilities to the receiver? 17 18 A: I don't know if their guarantees increased from time to time but I know 19 20 that we guaranteed some facilities. 21 I don't know how this got out of place, Q: 22 this letter presented the 22nd of June 23 which precedes the one that you got 24 there. The letter from Rex James. 25 A: Yes.

- 1 Q: To you.
- 2 A: Yes.

3 Q: Re: DEBTOR1COMPANY.

4We understand the Receiver is still in5negotiations with DEF LIMITED. Please6let us know the up-to-date position, as,7should the sale not materialize, NIBJ8would have an interest in putting a new9proposal for the acquisition of the

10 assets of the company.

11 Signed by Rex James.

12And it's copied to who, Mr. Hylton?13A:It is copied to the Right Honourable PJ14Patterson, QC, MP, Prime Minister and15Dr. the Honourable Omar Davies, Minister16of Finance.

17 Q: Just to see who it was circulated to,18 who was involved.

19 A: Sorry?

20 Q: Just to see who it was circulated to,

21 who was involved.

22 A: Oh, I see.

23 Q: No politician was involved in any way.

24 A: This is not my letter.

25 Q: I know that sir, but I just wanted to

remind you of who was involved in 1 2 receiving correspondence concerning 3 this. A: 4 Okay. 5 Q: I want to present you a letter signed by a by Dianna Davis, Unit Head of NPL of 6 FINSAC Limited to; 7 Mr. Richard Downer, the 8 9 Receiver/Manager, 10 PriceWaterhouseCoopers. 11 Re: Receiverships of DEBTOR1COMPANY 12 and DEBTOR1COMPANY2. 13 We note that the last written report was 14 received in February 2000 and hereby 15 request that you provide an update of 16 the issues and events that have occurred 17 since. 18 Further having agreed that expressions 19 of interest to purchase the companies 20 will not be entertained beyond 21 February 29, 2000, we must now establish 2.2 a practical timetable for termination. 23 In light of the above, kindly submit an exit timetable for consideration. 24 25 A: Yes.

Now, Dianna Davis sir, what was her 1 Q: 2 position? Other than the name she 3 doesn't tell us anything? 4 A: She was the Head of the Non-performing 5 Loan Unit. 6 Q: So at this time under the Receivership, 7 DEBTOR1COMPANY' loan was classified as 8 non-performing loan? 9 A: Yes, it would have been non-performing. 10 Q: I notice on this letter that Dr. Kenneth 11 Rattray was the Chairman and Shirley 12 Tyndall was the Vice Chairman? 13 A: Yes. 14 0: Of the Company you were Managing 15 Director for? 16 A: Yes. 17 And that Mr. David Coore... 18 A: Yes. 19 Q: I see they say Honourable David Coore, 20 O.J, Q.C. was a Director? 21 A: Yes. 22 Q: Did Mr. David Coore disclosed to the 23 Board that at some meeting of FINSAC and 24 DEBTOR1COMPANY it was being **discussed** 25 that he was a Director of NIBJ which was

the party it obviously was intended to 1 2 sell the assets to? 3 As I answered in the first instance that A : he may very well did in the first 4 5 meeting, I just don't remember, sir. I am going to present you now with a 6 0: letter dated 17th of November 1999 from 7 8 Richard Downer, Receiver and Manager 9 DEBTOR1COMPANY, (in 10 Receivership) and DEBTOR1COMPANY2. The 11 letter is addressed to you in your 12 capacity as Financial Sector Adjustment 13 Company Limited. 14 Re: Receiverships of DEBTOR1COMPANY 15 and DEBTOR1COMPANY2. 16 And here Mr. Downer is saying: 17 In response to our invitation to 18 purchase the business and assets of the 19 captioned companies, we received the 20 following responses at the expiry date 21 29th October 1999. 1. A cash offer of \$275 million from a 22 23 consortium of local and overseas 24 investors. 25 2. An offer from National Investment

1 Bank of Jamaica ("NIBJ") of

2 \$XXX MILLION.

3 So in 1999 -- let me finish the letter. Both letters indicate that the offers 4 5 are for the assets and businesses of the 6 companies but NIBJ's letters did not 7 state whether their offer is for cash. Subsequent discussions with Dr. Cheng's 8 9 offer indicate that NIBJ's offer should have been for the debt and not for the 10 assets. If NIBJ's offer is to acquire 11 FINSAC's debt then NIBJ should now 12 13 address their offer to FINSAC. 14 Because of course Mr. Downer couldn't 15 sell the debt? 16 A: Couldn't sell the debt, yes. 17 In my interim report to you dated Q: 18 November 1999... 19 Which **is** a week before the letter. 20 ...5 briefly examined both offers and 21 showed the likely result of either 22 option to FINSAC. The conclusion was 23 that the revised NIBJ offer (if for 24 cash) is superior to the consortium's from the point of view of FINSAC. 25

1 Perhaps you need to ask NIBJ to put up 2 this offer for the debt in writing at 3 this point. 4 Signed Richard Downer. 5 A: Yes. 6 Did you also put that offer in writing? 0: 7 A: I probably would have, maybe not directly but through Mrs. Robinson or 8 9 Mrs. Davis-Smith, Dianna Davis, sorry. She became Davis-Smith. 10 What about the cash offer for \$275M? 11 0: 12 A: Well, the problem with the cash offer, 13 let me tell you, my interest in this was 14 to get the maximum return in because we 15 realised that we couldn't recover the 16 entire balance on the bank's loans. And 17 while looking at this, if NIBJ were 18 writing debts we would get the full 19 XXX MILLION whereas if somebody was 20 willing to buy the assets we would get 21 the residue after deduction of all the 22 various expenses and all the liabilities and so on which the receiver would have 23 24 had to deal with. So in those 25 circumstances and just being very candid

1 the superior offer to my mind, would be 2 the purchaser of the debt because it now 3 becomes NIBJ's problem to deal with. 4 Why did this not take place? 0: 5 A: I don't know, sir, because a lot of 6 these things are just reminders to me as 7 to what transpired in relation to this particular situation. 8 9 0: This particular situation was not one of the 20,000 small debts, it was one of 10 the hundreds of large debts that were 11 12 there in terms of your classification? 13 A: No, there were hundreds of other assets, 14 probably more than five hundred if my 15 memory serves me well. What do you classify as a large debt? 16 0: 17 Principal balance would be at least 15 A: 18 million and then interest would be 19 whatever. And here you are talking about an offer 20 Q: of 20 million debt? 21 22 A: Yes. 23 0: This is not something that would have 24 received your attention as Managing Director of FINSAC? 25

1 Well, it was obviously given my A: 2 attention but it wasn't something I 3 would be personally working with, I am 4 just dealing with very significant issues in relation to distressed 5 6 companies and their rehabilitation. So 7 we have some other people who had 8 responsibility for different aspects of 9 the work including this aspect, and 10 that's not unusual, Mr. Levy. What's unusual is a matter to come 11 0: 12 across a letter which she signed? 13 Α: No, that's not an unusual letter. 14 0: And it was not totally delegated to 15 somebody else. May I present you with 16 exhibit DEBTOR1COMPANY1.29/11, that is, 17 August 13, 1999. 18 A: Yes. 19 Q: From - Oh! Patrick Hylton, Managing Director of FINSAC and... 20 21 Sorry? A: 2.2 Mr. Downer, Richard Downer. 0: 23 Yes. A: 24 Q: Richard Downer, Director. 25 DEBTOR1COMPANY Acquisition

1 1998 Ltd. 2 Receiver and Manager, DEBTOR1COMPANY2. (in 3 Receivership) 4 P.O. Box 680. 5 Spanish Town. 6 Dear Mr. Downer: 7 Re: DEBTOR1COMPANY (in 8 Receivership) & DEBTOR1COMPANY2 in 9 Receivership) 10 Reference is made to our letter to you 11 dated January 13, 1999 requesting that 12 you extend your decision, to dispose of 13 these assets, to February 1999. 14 We have been advised that the 15 prospective purchasers of our loan are 16 not able to complete the purchase and as 17 such, we ask that you continue your 18 disposal strategy. 19 A: Yes. 20 Q: Was it in your letter of January 13, 21 1999 you asked them to extend your 22 decision to dispose of these assets? 23 A: Yes. What do you understand that to mean, 24 "Extend your decision?"

1 A: Let me see what it means. "Extend your 2 decision", I am not sure, sir. I would have 3 to see the context why it was used. It's your 4 Q: letter, sir. 5 A: I know sir, but it's twelve years ago. You 6 0: don't know what it meant? 7 A: No, as I say I would have to have some more 8 context around this. 9 0: So it talks about your letter of 10 January 13, 1999? 11 A: Yes. 12 Q: Asking that Mr. Downer extends his decision 13 to dispose of these assets? Yes. I would like 14 A: to see the letter of January 13, to see if 15 I can get to understand the context around 16 this. Maybe Mr. Campbell can give me, he 17 Q: works there still. 18 (No answer). 19 A: Mr. Hylton, another letter signed by you, 20 0: June 25, 1999. You have written fully 21 into your delegation status at this time, 22 were you? 23 You noticed I signed it with somebody else 24 A: you notice, it's the same person. 25

1 Q: This is a letter from FINSAC Limited 2 signed by Patrick Hylton as Managing Director and Audrey Robinson, General 3 4 Manager, Assets Management and 5 Divestment to: 6 Dunbar McFarlane. 7 National Commercial Bank. 8 The Atrium. 9 A: Yes. 10 0: Re: Overdraft Facility for DEBTOR1-11 COMPANY. 12 Reference is made to our letters of May 13 15 and June 21, 1999, and subsequent to 14 telephone conversation (McFarlane/ 15 Robinson) of today's date. 16 Please be advised that we are still in 17 negotiations for the disposal of this 18 property and as such, we are requesting 19 that you extend this facility for 20 another 90 days, from June 28 to 21 September 30, 1999. National Commercial 22 Bank would be paid as priority out of proceeds received and, should a 23 24 shortfall result in interest from this 25 transaction, FINSAC undertakes to cover

1		the difference to NCB.
2		We are also asking that you maintain the
3		same terms and conditions, but with an
4		increase.
5		And then you set out the amount of
6		overdraft facilities of:
7		\$17.8 million for DEBTOR1COMPANY and
8		Guarantee Facilities for US. 0.2
9		million. and for DEBTOR1COMPANY2, J\$7.3
10		million and US\$0.1 million.
11		Thank you for your cooperation in this
12		matter.
13		Now, Mr. Hylton?
14	A:	Yes, sir.
15	Q:	These negotiations you are talking about
16		in the second paragraph, what are these
17		negotiations?
18	A:	Well, I suppose it would be negotiation
19		that the receiver was conducting.
20	Q:	That's not what the letter is saying.
21	A:	I know, but it was just the way it was
22		written.
23	Q:	Your letter is saying about negotiation,
24		"We are still in negotiations for
25		disposal of this property"

1	A:	W.
2	Q:	Yes.
3		And as such are requesting to extend the
4	A:	facility?
5	Q:	Yes.
	2. A:	So you were in negotiations?
6	A.	No. Basically the receiver is acting and you
7		will observe that every time we got an offer
8		it was sent to the receiver. Even the
9		receiver had to say to me: "An offer of a debt
10		-
11		is not properly for my consideration, it is
12	Q:	really for you". But here you are asking for
13	~	an extension of facilities and agreeing to
		pay interest, et cetera, for the bank to
14		extend these facilities because you were in
15		negotiations for the disposal of the
16		facilities.
17		Because the receiver would have asked for
18	A:	
19		the facilities.
20	Q:	The receiver?
21		Yes.
	A:	As whose agent?
22	Q	The agent of the company.
23	A:	If he was the agent of the company why did
24	Q:	you give him a second indemnity?
25		jou give nim a becond indemnity.

1 A: Well, I see that as an allegation there 2 about giving him a second indemnity. I 3 am saying it may very well have happened 4 that it may have changed. I am at a 5 disadvantage in the sense that I don't 6 have a proper context around the whole 7 thing. A lot of these letters I would 8 not have seen at all. Well, all of 9 them, not a lot of them, since perhaps 10 1999. 11 If he was the agent of the company why Q: 12 would he be asking you for instructions 13 as to whether to go for order of sale or pull back on sale, wouldn't that be 14 15 something you expect from your agent? I think Mr. Downer can better answer the 16 A: 17 question.

18 Q: What?

19A:Mr. Downer will be better able to answer20why he thought he needed instructions21from us. He is asserting that he became22the agent of Recon Trust and that may23very well be the case, I can't confirm24or deny that assertion at this point, I25don't have a context to do that.

1 Q: If that were not the case Mr. Hylton, 2 why would you have given him a second 3 indemnity when the first indemnity in 4 1995, his actions when he was 5 receiver... 6 A: And I am saying I don't know if they 7 gave him a second indemnity, I am saying 8 they may very well have, I don't know. 9 Q: I am going to provide you with a letter 10 which is Exhibit TP 27/11, the letter 11 addressed to you as: 12 Managing Director of FINSAC. 13 From Mr. Richard Downer. 14 Receiver and Manager. 15 DEBRTOR1COMPANY. Dated the 10th June 1999. 16 17 Re: DEBTOR1COMPANY and DEBTOR1COMPANY2 18 19 Dear Mr. Hylton. On 3 March 1999 I was instructed to 20 21 pursue the sale of the business and 22 assets of the captioned companies to 23 certain prospective purchasers. This was 24 done to allow FINSAC to review an offer 25 from National Investment Bank of Jamaica

1 Limited("NIBJ"} to purchase the former 2 NCB indebtedness in the companies. Since 3 that date I have not been advised on the 4 progress of the negotiations with NIBJ 5 or instructed as to whether I should 6 recommenced negotiations with Messrs. 7 Bergemeier and Murray, the prospective 8 purchasers of DEBTOR1COMPANY and DEBTOR1-9 COMPANY2. 10 Please instruct me on whether I should

1011111111now resume negotiations with the12prospective purchasers of the companies.13If I do not receive a written response14on this matter within 14 days I will15assume that I may proceed with the16negotiations.

17In the first sentence he said, "I was18instructed to suspend the sale of the19businesses and assets of the captioned20companies to certain prospective21purchasers to allow FINSAC to review an22offer".

23 **A:** Yes.

24Q:Did FINSAC give him those instructions?25A:FINSAC may have said to him he should do

that because we thought it was a better 1 2 offer. If he in his own wisdom thought 3 he should proceed he should proceed. You are never responsible for anything, 4 0: 5 Mr. Hylton? 6 A: No, I am just telling you.... You are totally irresponsible? 7 0: 8 A: No sir, I am telling you that I am 9 responsible for trying to get the best 10 returns for FINSAC in the circumstances 11 and that's what I was pursuing. 12 I thought you had more responsibilities. Q: 13 You are mistaken. A: 14 I thought you had to... 0: 15 I will tell you. A: 16 I am not going to take you on now, 17 later. 18 But I will take you on anytime. A: 19 MR. GARCIA: I am becoming a little bit concerned as 20 we approach here because Mr. Hylton was 21 of course, scheduled to be before this 22 Commission for two days and at the rate 23 at which we are going, I am not sure 24 where my friend has reached. But I am 25 concerned about whether or not it is

1 that he is going to continue questions 2 in relation to things that -- notice he 3 has said he can't recall. Ouestions that 4 the witness has already answered and several questions that are objectionable 5 6 in addition to continuing to make comments rather than ask questions then 7 that I do not think that there is any 8 9 reasonable possibility of finishing 10 today at least, and I do have a concern 11 in that regard. 12 COMM BOGLE: We will not be stopping at 3:00 as we 13 indicated yesterday. The main reason 14 why we had said 3 o'clock yesterday was 15 because we were hoping to have been 16 accommodated in the room that we were

17 yesterday because Digicel - the hotel 18 had informed us that Digicel would be 19 having a function there and the hotel 20 was hoping at the time that we would 21 have been able to meet and then leave by 22 3:00 to accommodate preparations for 23 Digicel's meeting, Digicel of course, 24 wanted the room from last night to start 25 the preparations so this is why we are

1accommodated down here. So we will be2going longer than 3:00. As a matter of3fact 3:00 o'clock could be the time when4we will take a ten-minute break from5under the heat that we are suffering6from here now.7In connection with the completion, if we

8 do not complete we might have to look to 9 continue another day. The Commissioners and the Secretariat will have to look to 10 11 see, along with the attorneys and 12 Mr. Hylton to see what date or time in 13 the future that continuation may be 14 obtained. So at this time that is 15 basically the situation, Mr. Garcia. 16 MR. GARCIA: Thank you, sir. 17 COMM BOGLE: And so at this time we will have a ten-

18 minute break.

19

20 BREAK

21Okay. Mr. Hylton, just the usual22reminder that you are still under oath.23MS. CLARKE:I crave your indulgence. Good afternoon.24I came in the afternoon session, I was a25little late. I would just like to

1 introduce myself for the purposes of 2 these proceedings today. 3 My name is Judith Clarke, appearing on behalf of the Commission. 4 I have another letter that I do not have 5 MR. LEVY: 6 copies of, sir. I'll put it in, read it 7 and I will present it to Mr. Hylton for his comments. 8 9 COMM. BOGLE: Did you hear what you said? No, sir. 10 MR. HYLTON: 11 COMM. BOGLE: Can you repeat what you said. 12 MR. LEVY: I have a copy of a letter written by 13 Mr. Hylton which he won't remember but 14 it has a signature on it. I don't have 15 copies of it but I'll read it and I will 16 put it in and I am going to ask that it 17 be accepted. 18 This is a copy of a letter purportedly 19 written by Patrick Hylton, Manager 20 Director of FINSAC limited and copied to 21 the Honourable Omar Davies, Minister of 2.2 Finance and Planning. Copied to Mr. Gavin Chen, President of NIBJ and 23 24 Mr. Nathan Richards, President of NDB, 25 which I assume is actually is National

- Development Bank, October 5, 1998. Doctor the Honourable Omar Davies, today Minister of Finance and Planning
- Ministry of Finance and Planning in it 30 National Heroes Circle.
- 5 30 National Heroes Ci
- 6 Kingston 4.

1

2

3

- 7 Dear Dr. Davies,
- 8 As I have advised Mr. Chen, I am not in 9 agreement with his suggestion to remove 10 the Receiver as this could facilitate a 11 de-munition of the assets of the 12 company.
- 13 If the expenses of the Receiver are too 14 high, we can either (a) change his Terms 15 of Reference; or (b) replace him as a 16 Receiver with a cheaper Receiver. 17 We however at this point - which is 18 October 5, 1998 - require the presence 19 of a Receiver and the legal protection 20 given to such an office until we are 21 able to determine the viability of the 22 company.
- 23You remember that letter Mr. Hylton?24A:Not particularly but I'll accept it as25mine.

1 0: Does that look like your signature at 2 the bottom? 3 A: Yes. 4 0: Was there any talk, discussion, report, 5 any conversation about the cost which 6 this Receiver was inflicting on your 7 security? There would have been it would appear 8 A: 9 from this letter, yes. 10 Q: Did you consider appointing a new, cheaper Receiver? 11 12 As I say, I don't remember if that came A: 13 up for consideration. This seems to be 14 responding to a suggestion that the Receiver should be removed and I was 15 16 saying I didn't concur with it. 17 So you were quite happy with the 0: 18 Receiver at that stage? 19 I am not going to say I am happy with A; 20 the Receiver but one of the things I 21 find with receivers generally is that 22 sometimes, like you say the receiver is 23 the agent of the company and you are the 24 debenture holder having appointed them, 25 sometime you might not necessarily be

1 always aligned in terms of where you 2 want to go. So I won't say I am happy 3 with the receiver but what I would say 4 is that I obviously wanted to have a 5 receivership in place because we thought 6 it was important in the circumstances. Did you ever become unhappy with this 7 0: 8 receiver, Richard Downer? 9 A: Personally I am speaking I can't recall 10 being unhappy with Richard as a 11 receiver. There may have been things 12 that we may not necessarily have agreed 13 on or seen eye to eye on. I don't know 14 if I would say I was unhappy with 15 Richard. I don't remember a particular 16 context in which I would say I was 17 unhappy with Richard's performance as a receiver. 18 19 I should hardly think that you would be Q:

20 since he carried out your instructions 21 on again, off again sale of a business 22 he was carrying out your instructions 23 like a duly appointed agent.

24 A: Your view, sir.

25 Q: The document supports that, Mr. Hylton.

1	A:	I hear you.
2	MR. LEVY:	I'll have to let these dry off and deal
3		with the dry ones that I have.
4	COMM. BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, would you like to enter -
5		although we haven't got copies but for
6		the record can we mark that so that when
7		we get it, it is marked.
8	MR. LEVY:	I am going to give you this one, sir,
9		and you can have it marked. This one
10		would be Patrick Hylton what, PH what?
11	COMM. BOGLE:	That would be PH 8.
12	MR. LEVY:	Yes. I am going to put it on the
13		Secretary's desk for him to deal with
14		when he returns.
15	MR. GARCIA:	Could it be shown to me?
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Copies will be made as soon as someone
17 18	MR. GARCIA:	from the office assists us. I am wondering if it is being entered
19		into evidence now and I am the attorney
20		for the witness and I am wondering if I
21		could see it.
22	MR. LEVY:	I am happy to do that.
23	MR. GARCIA:	Conventionally my friend would have
24		shown it to me before showing it to the
25		witness but that convention was not

1		observed.					
2	MR. LEVY:	With good reason.					
3	COMM. BOGLE:	You may proceed, Mr. Levy.					
4	MR. LEVY:	After the convention is satisfied and my					
5		good friend has had a look at something					
6		that is already in evidence.					
7	MR. GARCIA:	Thank you finally, Mr. Levy.					
8	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Hylton, this is a copy of the					
9		transfer under the Registration of					
10		Titles Act #1202250 dated some time in					
11		2002, between Refin Trust Limited on the					
12		one part; TPL Limited of the other part.					
13		Is that a copy of what you have?					
14	A:	No, I don't see TPL.					
15	Q:	Can I borrow it back? I thought they					
16		were copies of the same but they are two					
17		separate copies. Yes I only have one					
18		copy so I'll have to deal with it and					
19		pass it up to you. It is already in					
20		evidence.					
21	COMM. BOGLE:	In evidence as?					
22	MR. LEVY:	I don't have the reference.					
23	COMM. BOGLE:	But it is in evidence already?					
24	MR. LEVY:	I assure you that is the case.					
25		Instead of going through the whole					

document, Mr. Hylton, this is an 1 instrument of transfer under the 2 Registration of Titles Act from Refin Trust 3 Limited, TPL Limited, a company duly 4 incorporated under the Laws of Jamaica with 5 registered offices at Ground Floor, 11 6 Oxford Road, Kingston 5 in the parish of St. 7 Andrew which states that the transferor, 8 which is Refin Trust Limited, is the 9 registered proprietor of a mortgage #994521, 10 registered on the 28th of November 1997 from 11 DEBTOR1COMPANY, the land described in Item 12 4 of the Schedule hereto: Free from all 13 encumbrances other than restrictive 14 covenants and easements if any, endorsed on 15 the Title, has agreed to sell the land to the 16 transferee for the price set forth in Item 17 3 of the Schedule. In consideration of the 18 payment by the transferee to the transferor 19 the sale price, the receipt of which sum the 20 transferor hereby acknowledges, the 21 transferor herby transfers all the 22

- 23
- 24
- っち

estate and interest which the transferor is able to transfer in the said land to the transferee in fee simple.

The transferor is shown on this schedule as 4 Refin Trust Limited. The transferee is shown 5 as TPL Limited a company duly incorporated 6 under the laws of Jamaica with offices at 7 Ground Floor, 11 Oxford Road, Kingston 5; the 8 sale price is \$X MILLION allocated by size 9 of land. The said land, land at St. 10 Catherine, registered at Volume / Folio 11 (PROPERTY1) in the Register Book of Titles 12 and the transfer is dated the 17th of July, 13 2002. 14

The transfer purports to be signed under the 15 seal of Refin Trust Limited. Signed by 16 Patrick Hylton, Director, and Judith 17 Thompson, Secretary. And the common seal of 18 TPL was affixed by Portia Nicholson Clarke, 19 a Director and Rex James, Director's 20 Secretary in the presence of an 21 Attorney-at-Law. 22 Please show this to Mr. Hylton.

23 (Document shown to witness)

24

つ 5

That's your signature Mr. Hylton? 1 2 Yes, this is my signature. Α: 3 Tell us about this document you have 0: there? 4 5 A: I can only tell you that it is a 6 transfer under the Registration of 7 Titles Act as you mentioned between Refin Trust and TPL and it describes the 8 9 transferor as the registered proprietor 10 for mortgage #994521, registered on the 11 28th day of November, 1997 from 12 DEBTOR1COMPANY. 13 In the land described at Item 4 of this 14 schedule hereto: free of all 15 encumbrances other than restrictive 16 covenants and easements endorsed on the 17 Certificate of Title thereof and has 18 agreed to sell the land to the 19 transferee for the price set out in 20 Schedule 3. 21 Which is? Q: 22 Which is \$X MILLION. A: 23 You know which property this is for, Mr. 0: 24 Hylton? 25 Some property in St. Catherine, sir, A:

1 as is described here (PROPERTY1). 2 I take it since Refin Trust was signing 0: 3 as transferor under the powers of sale 4 of the mortgage that Refin Trust would 5 have had a valuation, relatively recent 6 valuation of this property before making such a sale? 7 8 Would have had some indication of the A: 9 value of the assets, yes, including the 10 lands, yes, I would expect that. 11 0: You would expect that they would have 12 had a valuation of that property? 13 A: Well of all the assets including this 14 property. 15 0: I am going to show you a similar 16 transfer, Mr. Hylton. I'll take that 17 back so I can compare them. They are similar in words. This is a transfer 18 19 dated the 17th of July, 2002 between 20 Refin Trust Limited and I think it's 21 ABC LIMITED. Can you help us there? 22 Yes, this was ABC LIMITED. A: 23 COMM. BOGLE: And you have said this other document is 24 in evidence? 25 MR. LEVY: Yes, sir, this is also one of the

1		exhibits in the evidence of DEBTOR1.
2 3	COMM. BOGLE: MR. LEVY:	We haven't got that number. I don't have the number on this, sir,
4		nor that, I apologize. I might not have
5		got to this today but for the spill.
6		Yes, Mr. Hylton the who is the
7		transferee in that one?
8	A:	The transferee is ABC LIMITED.
9		
10	Q:	Did we just see the name in a letter
11		from Minister of Finance?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	And what is the description of that
14		property? Second page.
15	A:	All those parcels of land part of
16		St. Catherine
17		namely lots one, two and three
18		on plan of St. Catherine. Of course
19		they are registered at Volume/Folio
20		(PROPERTY2) in the Register Book of Titles.
21	Q:	And what is the sale price?
22	A:	\$XX MILLION.
23	Q:	And the volume and Folio again,
24		Mr. Hylton?
25	A:	Volume/Folio. You wanted this back?

2 Q: I am going to ask you to make some 3 comparisons. 4 I assure you, Mr. Chairman, these 5 documents are already exhibited by 6 DEBTOR1. 7 The next document I am going to let you 8 have Mr. Hylton, this is as transfer tax 9 certificate issued by the Taxpayer Audit 10 and Assessment Department, the receipt 11 for transfer tax on a property, lots one 12 two and three, part of 13 St. Catherine, Volume/Folio (PROPERTY2) 14 which is the same Volume and Folio of 15 the document that you just saw. 16 A copy is attached to it, sir, I am 17 presenting it to you. 18 A: Okay. 19 Q: And it shows consideration, \$XXX 20 million. Mr. Hylton, will you compare 21 that on the first page. The Volume and 22 Folio is shown by the Stamp Collector as 23 Volume/Folio. 24 A: On the first page? 25 Yes.

1

1 A: I am not seeing that sir, not on the 2 first page anyway. 3 Q: I'll highlight it for you. 4 A: Okay. 5 Q: The highlight is just below. 6 A: Okay. 7 Volume/Folio? 8 A: Or Folio? 9 0: It is Folio. 10 A: It is not clear. 11 0: And if you look over the page there is a 12 transfer. The second page of the 13 transfer under paragraph four of the 14 said land, what is the number of the 15 Volume and Folio on the second to last 16 line? Volume, Folio? 17 A: Yes. 18 Q: And the sale price shown there, sir? 19 A: \$XX MILLION. 20 Q: And on the Transfer Tax Certificate what 21 is shown? 22 A: The consideration of \$XXX million on 23 transfer. 24 Q: Something seems to be wrong. 25 On the face of it. A:

- 1Q:Consideration on the transfer is shown2as XX million.
- 3 A: Yes.
- 4 Q: But the Stamp Commissioner's stamped 5 receipt shows that stamp duty was paid 6 on XXX?
- 7 A: Yes.

8 Q: Was that a mistake?

9 A: Possibly sir, I don't know. This is a
10 document from, it appears, the Stamp
11 Commissioner to NIBJ. I am not sure. I
12 don't know what would account for this.
13 Q: You are not familiar with that form of
14 document?

15 A: No.

16Q:Never seen a receipt from the Stamp17Commissioner for Transfer Tax and Stamp18Duty?

19A:I may have on one or two occasions. It20is not something which I would have21frequently come across.

22Q:Maybe I can assist you but I know I am going23to be stopped by your lawyer then I am going24to ask him to give you the assistance.

 $^{\frown}$   $^{\vdash}$ 

1 I'll proceed, Mr. Chairman. The Stamp 2 Commissioner assessed the value of that 3 property which Refin Trust transferred for \$XX million at \$XXX million. Could 4 5 there be a mistake? 6 A: I don't know, sir. you are making the assertion. I don't know. 7 The assertion I am making, I am going to 8 0: 9 ask you, was the consideration shown on 10 the transfer a gross undervalue if the 11 Stamp Commissioner valued the property for \$XXX million? 12 13 If that is the case then the Stamp A: Commissioner would have had to have a 14 basis for doing that. I don't if that is 15 16 the case. That's the first thing. 17 And secondly, as I made the point 18 earlier on and today, there are 19 circumstances where you might have a 20 particular valuation but you don't 21 realize that in terms of the process of 2.2 sale. 23 Q: You don't what? 24 You don't get that; nobody is willing to A:

25 pay that for it. I don't know what is

1 the set of circumstances there, I could 2 only speculate, which I don't want to. 3 Q: A valuation, a market valuation which is 4 what the Stamp Commissioner would have 5 to use is what in the opinion of the 6 valuer, a reasonable buyer will pay a reasonable seller on reasonable terms at 7 8 the time of sale. So the stamp 9 Commissioner as I assure you, made a 10 valuation of that property for \$XXX 11 million which subsidiary of FINSAC, 12 Refin Trust Limited was transferring to 13 an associated company for \$XX million. 14 To an associated company? A: 15 0: NIBJ? 16 A: Yes. 17 It is not an associated company? 0: I would not describe it as that and as I 18 A: 19 said before, you are making the 20 assertion about relative values. I have 21 no knowledge or context to support that 22 assertion. 23 Maybe I am using the wrong terminology Q: 24 and you don't understand it. How would 25 you consider or classify the

1 relationship between two companies, both 2 of which are wholly owned by the 3 Government of Jamaica? Would they be 4 connected, associated, affiliated? 5 What's the word you would use? 6 A: I would call it two companies owned by the Government of Jamaica. 7 You took dancing classes in your youth 8 0: 9 sir, because you are dancing. You know 10 how to do the Reggae or the waltz? 11 That's the question. 12 As long as you recognize the difference. A: 13 You don't know the difference between a Q: 14 waltz and a Reggae? 15 A: I am talking about you. 16 Q: I know how to and I am very good at both 17 of them, good on my feet; lots of things 18 I can do. 19 You still need to learn a few tricks A: 20 then. 21 You wanted back this copy? 22 Yes, please. Those are similar Q: 23 Mr. Hylton, except the substance is 24 different. 25 The second document, the back of that

1		one is one you saw before?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	The transfer from Refin Trust to TPL
4		Limited?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	You are familiar with the name TPL
7		Limited?
8	A:	Not particularly. I heard you mention
9		it today.
10	Q:	You have heard it mentioned in another
11		context where Mr. James - anyway.
12	A:	Perhaps, sir.
13	Q:	Let me tell you. TPL is a subsidiary of
14		the National Investment Bank of Jamaica.
15	A:	Okay.
16	Q:	And that one the sale price on the
17		transfer is shown as?
18	A:	I don't have the transfer here.
19	Q:	You have it. That's it.
20	A:	No, these are letters.
21	Q:	Can I see what you have?
22	A:	Sure.
23	Q:	That's a transfer from National
24		Investment Bank of Jamaica Limited?
25	A:	Yes.

1 Q: I am sorry, Refin Trust Limited? 2 Α: Yes. 3 To whom? 0: 4 A: TPL Limited. 5 Signed by whom on behalf of TPL? 6 Portia Nicholson Clarke and Rex James. A: And on behalf of TPL Limited? 7 0: 8 A: That's TPL. 9 Q: Okay. And on behalf of Refin Trust? 10 A: Myself and Juliette Thompson. 11 Q: So that purports to be a transfer of 12 land described as a certain Volume and Folio to TPL Limited? 13 14 A: Yes. 15 0: From FINSAC Limited - from Refin Trust 16 Limited signed by you to TPL Limited 17 whose signing officers included Rex 18 James? 19 A: Yes. 20 Q: What was Rex James' substantive title? 21 At the time? A: 22 Q: At the time. Not at the time. What was 23 his substantive title? 24 A: He was President of NIBJ. 25 So that is a connection? Q:

1 A: Yes. You said it already and I said I 2 accepted that. You said that TPL was a 3 subsidiary. 4 0: Now let's look at the Stamp 5 Commissioner's receipt on the front 6 page? 7 A: I don't have the Stamp Commissioner's 8 receipt, sir. 9 That receipt sir, what volume and folio 0: 10 does it relate to? 11 A: Volume/Folio (PROPERTY1). 12 Q: And the transfer relates to? 13 The transfer, DEBTOR1COMPANY A: 14 to NIBJ, National Investment Bank of Jamaica. 15 16 0: The transfer document. 17 A: Oh the transfer document. I thought you 18 were talking on this Tax Certificate. 19 Refin Trust to TPL. 20 Q: Volume what? 21 A: Volume/Folio (PROPERTY1). 22 0: Is that the same as on the receipt? 23 A: Yes. 24 0: Sale price in the transfer was how much? 25 A: \$X MILLION.

And what's shown on the Stamp 1 0: 2 Commissioner's receipt? 3 A: Consideration of XX million. 4 0: Was there a mistake there too? 5 A: Ouite possibly, I don't know sir. 6 0: But you don't know whose mistake it was? 7 No, I don't know. A: 8 0: I put it to you it is a mistake made by 9 Refin Trust transferring a property 10 which the Stamp Commissioner valued at 11 \$XX million for \$X million; a gross undervalue. 12 13 A: And I don't accept that assertion, sir, 14 without more. 15 0: Would Refin Trust have had a valuation 16 of that property, a recent valuation 17 within the last twelve months at the 18 time of that transfer? 19 A: Quite likely, I can't say for sure. 20 Q: And if Mr. Campbell cannot produce it, 21 what would you say. 22 A: It seems there are a lot of things 23 Mr. Campbell has not been able to 24 produce and for good reason. 25 Q: Thank you Mr. Astare, Fred.

1 Probably you should look in the mirror. Α: 2 No, I am better looking than he is. 0: I don't think so. 3 Α: 4 0: That's not relevant. What you think is 5 not relevant as to my looks, just to my 6 parents. 7 COMM. BOGLE: Are those parts of the 8 cross-examination, those questions and 9 answers form part of the 10 cross-examination? 11 MR. LEVY: Cross talk, sir. They call it out of 12 order. (Laughter) 13 Mr. Hylton, I am looking at a letter 14 dated April 1, 1999 from Gavin M Chen 15 President of NIBJ to you as Managing 16 Director of FINSAC, Re DEBTOR1COMPANY 17 18 Reference is made to letter dated 31st 19 March indicating FINSAC's position 20 regarding the offer made by the National Investment Bank for the debt of 21 22 DEBTOR1COMPANY. 23 NIBJ's new offer to reflect your concern is for a total of \$XXX MILLION for 7 24

years at the current Treasury Bill rate

- 1 plus one percent (1%).
- 2 A: That is what it says.

3 Q: You recall receiving this letter?

- A: Not particularly, sir, but I'll take it
  that it was sent and that I received it.
  Q: You notice it also appears to have been
  faxed to you?
- 8 A: Yes.

9 Q: Was this offer accepted?

- 10A:I don't think so because I think from11what you were showing me, the land and12so on, the assets were sold and not the13debt.
- 14Q:But you have said that selling the debts15would have been a better deal than

16 selling the assets?

17 A: Absolutely, in my view.

18 Q: So the Receiver wasn't carrying out your 19 instructions?

- 20A:Well, I don't know why the debt wasn't21sold.
- 22Q:This is an offer to you to purchase the23debt.
- 24A:Yes. And I think the Treasury Bill plus25one would have come, I remember there

1 is a figure that you see appearing from 2 time to time because essentially we are saying to people that if we finance vou 3 4 at a different rate and FINSAC's notes 5 are accruing rates at Treasury Bill plus 6 one, then our net present value 7 circumstance is going to diminish and basically we want to maintain that 8 9 current circumstance. 10 0: So the offer was not accepted? 11 A: It may have been accepted and maybe 12 there wasn't performance; it may not 13 have been accepted, I don't know. 14 NIBJ didn't perform on a contract? 0: Why I say didn't perform, they may have 15 A: 16 changed their approach. 17 Pardon me. Q: 18 Α: They may have decided to do something else, I don't know. This is a 19 20 negotiation with Mr. Chen and I notice 21 that, I think later - Mr. Chen was 2.2 before Mr. James? 23 Yes, and he was the President of NIBJ? Q: 24 Yes, and Mr. James was the subsequent Α: 25 President, I think.

1 Q: Yes. 2 A: So in other words, clearly something 3 went wrong with this in the sense that 4 there were subsequent - at a later date 5 there was correspondence with Mr. James. 6 0: Okay, Mr. Hylton, let's look at an 7 overview. 8 On April 8 - the company was put into 9 receivership on the 9th of March, 1998 10 ate? 11 A: Right. 12 Just over a year later you had an offer Q: 13 to receive a total of \$XXX MILLION for 14 the debt? 15 A: Yes. 16 It was sold in 2002 for a gross sale 0: 17 price after Mr. Richard Downer had 18 extracted or excised from the company 19 \$XXX MILLION in receivership fees it was 20 sold for \$205 million. You got from the 21 correspondence you read earlier 22 somewhere in the vicinity of \$50 23 million. By that time it had gone to 24 JRF? 25 A: Correct.

1 Wouldn't you have been better off 0: 2 selling the debt? At this price? At this offer? 3 A: In April, 1999. 4 0: I said yes. 5 A: 6 0: The receiver didn't do a very good job 7 did he? Like I said, I don't know why the debt 8 A: 9 wasn't sold. 10 Well you would be the one who made the Q: decision whether to sell or not to sell. 11 12 You were the Managing Director of 13 FINSAC. 14 A: Yes, but I don't have the context. I am 15 saying I can't remember twelve years ago 16 in relation to one specific debt, why it 17 wasn't sold. 18 Q: Mr. Hylton, look at the second paragraph 19 in this letter again and read it to the 20 Commission. 21 A: I have seen it. It says: 22 NIBJ's new offer to reflect your concern 23 is for a total of \$XXX MILLION for 7 24 years at the current Treasury Bill rate 25 plus one percent (1%).

1 But I don't see a response to this. 2 Obviously, since it wasn't bought, you 0: 3 didn't accept the offer? 4 A: No, we didn't have to accept the offer. 5 This is a very open offer, not even Q: 6 condition attached if you buy the debt 7 for \$220M. If a letter was sent with 8 this would it be a binding contract on 9 NIBJ? 10 A: You said so sir, I don't know and I 11 don't know what transpired subsequent to 12 this, that is what I am saying. I am 13 just being honest. 14 0: And forgettable. What I have shown you 15 Mr. Hylton, was Exhibit DEBTOR1COMPANY. 16 24/11 and it's a memo purporting to be from 17 Patrick Hylton to Honourable Shirley 18 Tyndall, Financial Secretary. 19 A: Yes. 20 Q: So you reported to the Financial 21 Secretary? 22 A: Yes. And I said so. 23 0: Just wanted to reaffirm. 24 A: Sure. 25 Q: 13 it signed by you?

1 A: Yes, it is my signature. 2 It is your signature. 0: 3 The captioned companies were placed in 4 receivership on March 9, 1998. As at March 19, 1999 the outstanding 5 6 liabilities stood as follows: 7 Principal: \$XXX MILLION. 8 Interest: \$XX MILLION totaling \$XXX, 9 MILLION. 10 Now, that is DEBTOR1COMPANY. Again, 11 DEBTOR1COMPANY2: \$XX MILLION, a total 12 of \$XXX MILLION and a Grand Total indebtedness of \$XXX MILLION. Just 13 14 pause here, Mr. Hylton and refresh your 15 memory. A year before this in April 1999 16 -. no, no, in April 1999, it was the same 17 year, you had an offer to sell this \$350 million for \$XXX MILLION? 18 19 A: Yes. 20 Obviously that still did not take place, Q: 21 a further offer to National Investment 22 Bank of Jamaica with financial capabilities 23 in carrying out this offer. Was that a right 24 decision based on what you got over two years

1

105

~ -

1 later after all the FINSAC Paper had run up 2 to 30% interest. Was that a mistake in not 3 accepting the XXX MILLION from... 4 Α: I am going to repeat the same answer 5 sir, I can't say that without 6 understanding whether or not the offer 7 was or was not accepted and why or why not; just as simple as that. 8 9 If it had been accepted... Q: 10 A: And it had been profound. ....would it have been a good decision? 11 Q: 12 A: If it had been accepted and if it had 13 been profound FINSAC would have ended up 14 with more from the sale, yes. 15 Yes, I put it to you sir, bearing in Q: 16 mind who was making the offer. 17 Yes. A: 18 Q: The President of the National Investment 19 Bank of Jamaica making an unconditional 20 offer, a letter from you accepting that 21 offer you would have made a binding 22 contract on a government owned financial 23 institution, National Investment Bank of

24	Jamaica	Limited	and a	as	you	said,	you
25	would ha	ave done	bette	er	off,		

substantially better off than getting \$55 million at the end of the day. Yes.

- 4 0: Do you think that that was a mistake? 5 No, I am going to repeat the same thing, Α: 6 Mr. Levy. I am saying I need to 7 understand what happened between this 8 offer and its non-performance to make a 9 conclusion. I can't make a conclusion 10 based solely on this and the assertion that you are making. 11 The Commission will make its own 12 Q:
- 13 conclusion so we will move on. And those 14 who are hearing can make the same 15 conclusions too.
- 16 A: Just as we can both make ours.

17 Q: Continue on over page:

- 18 Interest continues to accrue daily on
   19 the outstanding liabilities of
- 20 DEBTOR1COMPANY and DEBTOR1COMPANY2 as
  - **follows:** DEBTOR1COMPANY daily:
- 22 **JA\$63,861.25 and US\$908.23.**

23 And bear in mind this is your memorandum

1

1

2

3

21

A:

24	to your Boss,	Shirley	Tyndall.	One	of
25	your Bosses.				

3The Receiver has made a very concerted and4sustained attempt to sell both companies as5a going concern without any success. To date,6the best offer he has received is JA\$XXX7million from a company named ABC LIMITED,8which is a competitor.

9The Receiver had initially given ABC LIMITED10until the end of January 1999 to produce a11letter of undertaking from a bank indicating12their ability to fund the purchase. This they13were unable to do within that time frame even14though they were negotiating with Bank of15Nova Scotia.

16Subsequent to that we received an offer from17NIBJ to purchase the debt of both companies18for \$XXX MILLION. NIBJ has offered to pay19this amount over seven years at an interest20rate of 5%.

21We indicated to them verbally that 5% would22not be acceptable as we have funded a23purchase with notes attracting T Bill rate24plus one percent.

Let me read that again.

1

1

1	A:	Yes.
2	Q:	We had indicated to them
3		I take that to mean NIBJ?
9	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	verbally that the rate of 5% would
6		not be acceptable as we have funded the
7		purchase with notes attracting T Bill
8		rate plus one percent. They have
9		offered to increase the rate on the \$220
10		million to match the T Bill plus one?
11	A:	Yes.
12	Q:	So what you are saying in this paragraph
13		is that you had funded the purchase of
14		DEBTOR1COMPANY's debt at a rate of
15		T Bill rate plus one percent?
16	A:	That's correct.
17	Q:	And NIBJ offered to purchase it for \$220
18		million to match the T Bill rate plus
19		one?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	So you are getting \$XXX MILLION which
22		should have paid off whatever you paid
23		for the debt in April 1999. Now, does

24this letter refresh you in any way?25A:No more than what I see here.

1 0: The undersigned has recently spoken with the Receiver who stated that ABC LIMITED 2 3 claims to have an arrangement with Citibank 4 to finance the purchase. ABC LIMITED has 5 however not provided a letter of 6 undertaking, which they state is due to the 7 fact that they would be required to pay a 8 fairly substantial commitment fee to get 9 it from the bank. 10 This they are reluctant to pay and 11 having regard to the fact that they are 12 aware of negotiations to between FINSAC 13 an inform and NIBJ. 14 But the ABC LIMITED offer was to buy the 15 assets? 16 A: Yes. 17 And it was less than \$XXX MILLION? Q: 18 A: Yes. 19 Q: Was that a mistake not to accept the 20 offer? 21 You keep making the point ... A: 22 Q: I am asking you that question in the 23 context of what we just read. Was that a

24	good	decision	not	to	accept	the	offer	in
25	19993							

1 A: And I am saying I don't know if the 2 offer was accepted. 3 Come on, Mr. Hylton, we are not fools. Q: 4 Α: I told you. 5 MR. GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, I am a little concerned. 6 My friend keeps showing the witness a 7 document and asking him the same 8 question to which of course, he is 9 getting inevitably the same answer 10 because it seems that what the witness 11 is saying is that he needs the entire 12 context and the individual documents 13 that my friend is showing the witness 14 are not giving the witness the entire 15 context. And I submit therefore, that that line is a waste of time. 16 17 COMM BOGLE: The questions that are being asked Mr. 18 Garcia, are questions that I think that 19 the witness can answer and has been 20 answering the questions. 21 MR. LEVY: Not only that Mr. Chairman, the 22 documents that are being shown to 23 Mr. Hylton are his documents signed by

1

24	him	setting	out	the	essential	facts	of
25	an d	offer.					

1 MR. GARCIA: And in the entire file there are 2 documents that you chose to put into 3 evidence through your witness. At the 4 time they are not an entire file. In 5 respect if I may add, of a matter which 6 is before the Supreme Court where it is 7 to be fully ventilated. 8 MR. LEVY: Irrelevant. 9 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Garcia, I am going to allow the 10 questioning. 11 Continue, Mr. Levy. 12 MR. LEVY: Mr. Hylton, a slightly different way, 13 your documents signed by you, prepared 14 by you... 15 Yes. A: 16 Q: In the second one which is before us, in 17 the first one, there is an offer to buy 18 the debt of DEBTOR1COMPANY to Refin for 19 \$XXX MILLION for seven years at Treasury 20 Bill rate plus one percent. 21 In the second memo to Shirley Tyndall, 22 your former Boss, from you, signed by 23 you, you outlined the debt with interest

24	of	\$XXX	million	for	a to	ota	1 3	inde	ebte	edness
25	of	\$XXX	million.	. You	i the	en	go	on	to	say

1 that interest was accruing at JA\$XX,000 2 per day and US\$000 per day. You said 3 that ABC LIMITED that you had paid for the 4 debt using T Bill plus one percent? 5 Α: Yes. 6 0: Is that correct? 7 That's what I said? Yes. A: 8 So we assume that since you said it then Q: 9 it was right before you and you were 10 aware of it? 11 A: Yes. 12 0: You accept that as a fact? 13 I accept all that is written here. I A: signed it. 14 15 Q: Do you now in retrospect looking at 16 facts, the evidence, not just facts 17 which you created, accept that it was a 18 mistake not to accept the offer of NIBJ? 19 But you keep saying the same thing Mr. A: 20 Levy, and I am telling you that I don't 21 know that offer had -- first of all, I 22 don't know that it was not accepted. And 23 in any event -- and let me help you a

24	little bit. In any event, the decision
25	to accept that offer is not one I could

1 make unilaterally, it would have to be 2 made by the FINSAC Board. So clearly 3 there would have to be an approval 4 process. And having said that I am 5 saying to you that I don't have the 6 present context about what transpired 7 between when this letter was received 8 and the lack of performance of this 9 arrangement to say what caused it. 10 Q: Let's put it back in context 11 Mr. Chairman. 12 Mr. Hylton, the memo is of March 1999, 13 the letter from Gavin Chen is repeating 14 an offer where obviously at this time, 15 two days after you obviously got a verbal offer. 16 17 It was verbal, yes. A: 18 Q: On the 30th when you wrote Miss Tyndall, 19 was she on the Board of FINSAC at that

20 time?

21 A: Yes, she was on the Board.

22 Q: And you were reporting to her?

23 A: Yes. She was the Financial Secretary.

1

24	Q:	That NIBJ,	which s	she was	also d	on their
25		Board made	an offe	er to pa	ay 20 r	million.

1 You go on to explain about ABC LIMITED, 2 irrelevant. 3 A: Yes, but giving a total picture. 4 0: Well this picture that you created are 5 the facts that existed in relation to 6 the offer and debt situation that 7 existed at that time? 8 Yes. A: 9 Since you don't make any mistake do you Q: 10 think the Board made a mistake in not accepting this offer? 11 I don't know if the Board didn't accept 12 A: 13 the offer that's the point I am making 14 to you, Mr. Levy. I mean, haven't seen where this matter was considered by the 15 Board -- I don't have in front of me 16 17 what the Board deliberated on and what 18 conclusion it came to and why. So I 19 can't make that assertion, I am not in a 20 position to do it. 21 COMM BOGLE: Mr. Levy, I think that particular 22 question you have asked a number of 23 times and I think we have been getting

1

24	the	same	ans	swer	and	on	that	basi	s a	nd	so
25	that	we	can	reg	roup	an	other	day	we	wi	11

1 have the adjournment at this time. 2 MR. LEVY: I trust that Mr. Hylton's memory will 3 improve by that time. 4 COMM ROSS: Mr. Levy, I would just suggest that 5 maybe you ask FINSAC information in 6 relation to that because that must be in 7 their records, it should have been 8 instead of the Board. 9 MR LEVY: Mr. Campbell is not here, his attorney 10 is here. Can I make the request, sir? COMM ROSS: 11 Yes. The documents concerning this or the 12 MR. LEVY: 13 Board papers concerning this 14 application, this evidence be produced 15 as soon as possible to the Commission 16 and that I be copied. 17 COMM BOGLE: All right, the Commission endorses that 18 request and on that note we will take 19 our adjournment. I do not see 20 Mr. Deperalto, I know we will be meeting tomorrow morning and we are scheduled to

21 tomorrow morning and we are scheduled to
22 have Mr. Campbell tomorrow morning at
23 9:30. I do not think that that has

1

24	changed	SO	we	will	have	Mr.	Campbell
25	tomorrow	<i>i</i> mo	orni	ing at	9 <b>:</b> 30	).	

1 Mr. Hylton, I am sure you recognise that 2 we will be asking you to return and 3 therefore the Secretariat will be 4 speaking to your attorney. 5 Α: Sure. 6 COMM. BOGLE: And the other attorneys involved in this 7 matter and a suitable date and time will be agreed upon. 8 9 A: Okay. 10 COMM BOGLE: Thank you for your attendance at this 11 Commission at this time. Mr. Chairman, I have explained to the 12 MR. LEVY: 13 Secretary, Mr. DePeralto, that I have 14 certain difficulties in the morning, the first part of the day. He has assured me 15 16 that time will be used with Mr. Campbell 17 adequately by other persons and I am 18 just expressing my apologies for not 19 being able to come until in the 20 afternoon. 21 COMM BOGLE: Thank you ladies and gentlemen, and good 22 afternoon. And again our apologies for 23 the beautiful accommodation that we have

24	had today.

25 A: Thank you very much, sir.

2	ADJOURNMENT TAKEN AT 4:00 P.M. 3
4 5	
67	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	