VERBATIM NOTES

OF

COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE 1990s

HELD AT

THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KNUTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5

ON

TUESDAY, MAY 17, 2011

PRESENT WERE:

COMMISSIONERS

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick Bogle

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION

Hon. Justice Henderson Downer (Retired)

SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Mr. Fernando DePeralto

ATTORNEY MARSHALING EVIDENCE FOR THE COMMISSION

Miss Judith Clarke - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING JAMAICAN REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

Mrs. Sandra Minott-Phillips - Attorney-at-Law Mr. Gavin Goffe - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING MR PATRICK HYLTON

Mr. Dave Garcia - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING FINSAC LIMITED

Mr. Bryan Moodie - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING DEBTOR1

Mr. Anthony Levy - Attorney-at-Law

GIVING EVIDENCE

Mr. Jason Rudd

1 3	COMM. BOGLE:	May 17, 2011 Good morning ladies and gentlemen. This
4		enquiry is now in session and for the
5		records may we have the names of the
6		attorneys present?
7		And just before you go, a request from
8		the stenowriters that many times they
9		can't hear persons, hear what persons
10		are saying, they are therefore asking us
11		to speak into the microphone because it
12 13		is very difficulty for them at times and if they don't hear then they can't
14		record so I am appealing to everyone to
15		try and speak as loudly as possible and
16		into the microphone.
17	MR. LEVY:	Could we have the hotel provide more
18		microphones to this table?
19	COMM. BOGLE:	We will request that. Okay the names of
20		the attorneys present.
21	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Sandra Minott-Phillips and with me is
22		Gavin Goffe instructed by Myers Fletcher
23		& Gordon, and we appear for Jamaican
24		Redevelopment Foundation Inc.
25	MR. LEVY:	Anthony Levy representing DEBTOR1

1

24

and DEBTOR1COMPANY.

2 MR. MOODIE: Bryan Moodie instructed by Samuda and
3 Johnson appearing for FINSAC.

4 COMM. BOGLE: Okay, we have received a submission from 5 JRF, this we got last night and as such 6 we have not had the opportunity of going through this document, neither have the 7 attorneys that might have an interest in 8 9 this matter, therefore, this morning we 10 will ask JRF to present the document to 11 you in the usual form, thereafter we will adjourn until the last week of May 12 13 at which time cross examination will 14 take place which will allow us time to study the document. The length of time has nothing 15 to do with the document, itself because as we 16 had said last week that we were only going to 17 meet today and half day tomorrow and we would 18 return at the end of the month, therefore, it 19 is not because of the length of the document 20 why we would have been having such a long 21 adjournment, however the adjournment today 22 and tomorrow is to allow time for 23

1persons, the Commissioners and others2that might be interested to go through3the document.

MR. LEVY: Mr. Chairman, the witness Mr. Rudd is 4 5 fairly new to this country and to JRF. I do not expect that he has an ongoing 6 7 flowing knowledge of many of the cases 8 and instances which were brought to him here. Might we be given an opportunity 9 10 at the end of today's meeting for at least some of those to be mentioned in 11 12 order that he can research it in order 13 that he can come and give evidence, 14 unlike the usual evidence we get; I don't know, I do not recall, I do not 15 16 know a thing about it, if he did 17 research he could come and respond to 18 that.

19COMM. BOGLE:My response to that Mr. Levy, would be20that JRF has had representation at this21enquiry from the outset, from the first22day and of all of the persons requiring23representation, JRF has had consistent24representation in the persons of25Mrs. Minott-Phillips and Mr. Goffe and

1 Iherefore, I would take it that they 2 have been keeping their client well 3 informed of the proceedings here and of 4 all of the comments or allegations or 5 whatever against their client, therefore 6 I do not think that there needs to be 7 this list of persons, that we should 8 provide it to JRF. 9 MR. LEVY: Then maybe we will have to come back a third time in June, sir. 10 Let's see, I am sure we will not, but 11 COMM. BOGLE: 12 let's see. 13 MR. MOODIE: Mr. Chairman, my question in regards to 14 schedule. You mentioned we will be 15 returning in the last week of May, does 16 that mean that the 30th, we come back on the 30th when Mr. Downer is scheduled. 17 18 COMM. BOGLE: Yes. 19 MR. MOODIE: And thereafter the date of the 2nd for 20 Mr. Crawford, is that confirmed? 21 COMM. BOGLE: No, Mr. Crawford, that date is not 22 confirmed because there are a number of 23 persons interested in the examination of 24 Mr. Crawford who will not be able to 25 make it on the 2nd.

1 As the Secretary was just saying, the 2 attorney for Mr. Patrick Hylton and the attorney for Bank of Jamaica have 3 informed us that the 2nd of June would 4 not be convenient to them. We are 5 therefore looking at the 7th of June. 6 7 However, as you would understand video 8 conferencing, guite a number of persons 9 and organizations will have to come into 10 play, therefore, it is not a set date as 11 yet but that is the proposed date at this moment, the 7th of June. 12 13 MR. MOODIE: I am guided, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 14 COMM. BOGLE: In view of the adjournment, early 15 adjournment today and also of 16 Mr. Crawford not being on the 2nd of 17 June, the secretariat is now as usual 18 redoing the schedule and this you will 19 get shortly so that you will know what 20 the schedule going forward will be. MR. MOODIE: Grateful. 21 22 Can you state the name of the witness? COMM. BOGLE: 23 MRS. PHILITPS: Mr. Jason Rudd. 24 MR. RUDD SWORN. 25 COMM. BOGLE: Thank you very much. Mrs. Phillips?

1	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Commissioners, you would have received
2		the revised statement by Jamaican
3		Redevelopment Foundation. I am just
4		indicating that it's only revised in the
5		sense that it is a different person
6		giving the evidence and by the addition
7		of further details, given that the first
8		statement was submitted from even before
9		the enquiry started in response to a
10		request of a statement and so, as the
11		enquiry emerged there have been certain
12		details that we felt needed speaking to.
13		So, Mr. Rudd, can you state your name
14		please?
15	A:	My name is Jason Rudd.
16	Q:	Would you look at page 20 of the
17		document in front of you.
18	A:	Yes.
19		Whose signature is that?
20	A:	Mine.
21	Q:	And this document would be JRF's witness
22		statement given through you?
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	In accordance with the I am just
25		going to mention Commissioners, that in

addition to being the CEO of the JRF 1 2 Mr. Rudd is also trained as an attorney-3 at-law and as a CPA but his capacity here is as CEO of JRF. 4 5 In accordance with the procedure that you have indicated that you prefer 6 Mr. Commissioner, I will ask Mr. Rudd to 7 speak to his statement and follow 8 9 chronologically for your benefit, given 10 that you only received it recently, the 11 form of the written statement. 12 COMM. BOGLE: Fine. 13 0: You may proceed Mr. Rudd? 14 A: May name is Jason Rudd and I am one of 15 the principals of Jamaican Redevelopment 16 Foundation, Inc, as well as the Chief 17 Executive Officer. I became CEO in late 18 2010, succeeding Janet Farrow after Beal Bank sold its stock in JRF. JRF has 19 20 remained a going concern in Jamaica from 21 2002 to the present time without 2.2 interruption. My address for the 23 purposes of this statement is care of JRF, 2nd Floor, 6 St. Lucia Avenue, 24 25 Kingston 5.

y knowledge of the matters which are 2 the subject of the enquiry is derived from the files of JRF to which I have 3 4 access. 5 Okay, just pause here for a moment, and MRS. PHILLIPS: 6 I am grateful that you read that because 7 it introduces who you are but you need not, unless you wish to, read it word 8 9 for word. If you are more comfortable 10 speaking to it, that's fine, but whichever is more comfortable to you. 11 12 Okay. Since assuming the post of CEO of A: JRF, I have mixed emotions about 13 14 testifying before this enquiry. On one 15 hand, I have been concerned about the 16 negative publicity that has continued 17 throughout the enquiry and I anticipate 18 will continue after my testimony, but on 19 the other hand I wanted the opportunity 20 to defend the reputation of JRF in the 21 hope that it can open lines of 22 communication that might have been 23 damaged. I believe that some of the 24 testimony against JRF has been somewhat one sided and JRF has not had the 25

М

opportunity publicly to defend itself in a 1 manner to give the whole picture. In 2 preparing for testifying before the enquiry, 3 before the hearing of this enquiry, I have 4 studied various reports that have be issued 5 by various people both in Jamaica and outside 6 of Jamaica, trying to understand what the 7 various opinions are as to how the financial 8 collapse came about and I have also reviewed 9 1 0 files and reviewed witness statements to 11 determine how JRF has seemingly become the 12 scapegoat during this enquiry. 13 This Commission of Enquiry into the collapse

14 of the financial institutions in Jamaica in 15 the 1990s has been charged with what I 16 believe is a daunting task. The intended 17 scope of the enquiry was extremely broad and 18 was generally described by the Jamaica 19 Information Services as covering of five 20 broad tasks which I list in my statement 21 which I will not repeat at this time and I 22 am certain everyone is aware of those. 23

- 24
- 21
- 25

JRF is an investor in the economy of Jamaica 1 and as such we are deeply interested in and 2 concerned with the success of Jamaica and the 3 Jamaican people. The problems are real and 4 substantial. However the problems facing 5 Jamaica were not caused by JRF and JRF should 6 not be blamed for them. Despite our American 7 ownership I should point out that JRF is 8 domiciled in Jamaica, and registered in 9 Jamaica as a company incorporated outside of 10 the island carrying on business within the 11 island. JRF currently employees 23 life long 12 Jamaican citizens: that number has been much 13 higher over the years but it is currently at 14 23. These are all people who love their 15 country deeply and are very committed to 16 their country. Most of these employees have 17 worked for JRF since its inception, many of 18 them even came over from FINSAC prior to JRF's 19 inception. 20 Since 2002, JRF has paid in excess of US 21

\$70,000,000 to the Jamaican Government

22

23

24

25

by way of payment for the non-performing loan 1 portfolio that acquired from we the 2 Government of Jamaica through FINSAC and its 3 related entities on January 30, 4 2002. We continue to pay for the portfolio 5 via funds returned to the Jamaican 6 government for these entities under the 7 relevant agreement. 8 In addition, JRF has invested countless sums 9 1 0 into the Jamaican economy through the 11 services of our accountants, our 12 appraisers, our attorneys, our 13 valuators, consultants, security companies, 14 transportation companies, tourism industry, 15 and various other services and products that 16 JRF uses on a regular basis, not to mention 17 our staff and our payroll that we pay monthly. 18 JRF proudly supports various local causes, 19 currently, of which you may be unaware. We 20 support The Best Care Foundation and Brandon 21 Hill All Age School, and as the new 22 principals in JRF, we look forward to 23 becoming even more involved with local 24 causes. I am sure that over the 25

1past years there have been other causes that1JRF has been involved in and I may not be as2aware of them.

14

4 5 6 Over the past few months, a few, angry and hurt individuals have appeared before this Commission and have

seemingly blamed JRF for their financial 7 difficulties. The events as recalled by these 8 individuals were tragic and made great 9 10 headlines that appeared to place all of the 11 blame for their economic problems and the 12 problems of Jamaica squarely at the feet of 13 JRF. Unfortunately, the events that were forgotten by these individuals and that were 14 often overlooked by the media were, in almost 15 every case, the true cause of the problems 16 that these people faced. These individuals 17 often borrowed money that they should not 18 have borrowed upon terms that they should have 19 known better than to agree to. Some 20 individuals that have testified before this 21 Enquiry signed loans with originating legacy 22 banks and financial institutions at 23

- 24
- 25

rates as high as 70% and then appeared before 1 this Commission and attempted to blame JRF 2 for their financial ruin. The truth is their 3 financial were the result of their own 4 decisions, choices and contractual bargains 5 freely entered into by them, and long before 6 their loans left the legacy banks and 7 financial institutions for transfer to 8 FINSAC or its related entities. 9 Due to the nature of our business, JRF does 10 not pretend to be qualified to look back and 11 offer its opinion on the host of factors that 12 would have caused the economic meltdown that 13 resulted in the creation of FINSAC, nor are 14 we qualified to make recommendations with 15 regard to banking regulations that could have 16 been or could possibly help protect borrowers 17 from potentially predatory lending. However, 18 JRF does know when a borrower is qualified for 19 a loan and is able to determine a borrower's 20 ability to repay a loan. In the case of every 21 borrower that has complained to this 22 Commission 23

- 24
- 25

1about JRF, the fact of the matter is that they2were simply not financially capable of3honouring, or willing to honour, their4agreements with the legacy banks and5financial institutions.

The ability to obtain debt for which they were 6 unqualified, combined with the inability to 7 understand that they were unqualified for the 8 debt (sometimes coupled with a determination 9 not to repay the money borrowed), seems to JRF 10 to be the primary cause of so many people in 11 Jamaica finding themselves encumbered with 12 debts that they were unable (and in some 13 cases, unwilling) to repay. 14

There are many economic and political 15 theories that must be considered by this 16 Commission on how the financial industry 17 should be regulated or on how we can learn 18 from this crisis and how we can better adapt 19 fiscal economic policies to prevent its 20 recurrence. There are lessons that can and 21 should be learned from the facts and evidence 22 relating to 23

- 24
- 25

	regulation and economic policy, but I hope
123	that in opining on these topics, this
4 5 6	Commission does not overlook the role that
789	
10	personal responsibility of
11	borrowers must also play.
12	This is just as an aside. I would want to
13	refer Dr. Blythe's recent article where he
14	mentioned, although probably not in the
15	same context that I am using it now, where
	he points out that
16	everyone seems to be blaming everyone else
17	but no one is taking the blame for
18	themselves, and I will concur with that
19	statement.
20	
21	None of the individuals that appeared before
22	this Commission had their debt "imputed" to
23	them, rather each one voluntarily signed
24	loan documents and mortgage documents with
25	financial institutions and accepted the
	terms upon which the money was lent to them.
	Now, over fourteen years later, in many cases
	sometimes longer than that, from the date

the loans were originally signed, they come

before the Commission and cry

'foul' against JRF. This fact must not go
123
456
I sympathize with the individuals who

789 appeared before this Commission and with 10 other Jamaicans who have been hurt through 11 this situation but who have chosen not to 12 appear before Commission. However, I would 13 point out to this Commission and to the 14 people of Jamaica that when JRF took over the 15 portfolio from FINSAC that we acquired 16 approximately 23,530 accounts representing 17 17,459 borrowers. Of those borrowers less 18 than one tenth of one percent appeared before 19 this Commission. Considering the nature of 20 our business, the fact that only nine debtors 21 have appeared before this Commission to 22 complain about JRF is actually quite 23 impressive. And I can hear from the crowd 24 some disagreement with that statement and I 25 don't pretend to think that these nine are the only people who would seek to have a claim against JRF. I am certainly aware of many complaints,

many people who have struggled to get their financial situation. I have met with other debtors in my office who have been hurt through the financial meltdown but it is still a fact that only nine have chosen to appear before this Commission.

It is also important to note that despite the 7 horror stories that have been told to this 8 commission and that have subsequently been 9 reported by the media, that JRF has an 10 enviable success record in the independent 11 and honourable courts of Jamaica. JRF has been 12 sued countless times by disgruntled debtors 13 and has also been forced to pursue litigation 14 over the last ten years with a success record 15 of more than 99%. That is not because JRF is 16 lucky but it is because as a matter of policy, 17 JRF acts in accordance with the law of the 18 lands, which by definition means that JRF 19 acts in a manner that is 'fair'. Even more 20 importantly for this Commission to realize is 21 the fact that each and every 22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 20
- 24

one of the borrowers who has appeared before 1 this Commission to complain against JRF has 2 also had an opportunity to present his or her 3 complaint and his or her side of the story 4 to the local courts, and where they done so, 5 the courts, after weighing the facts 6 presented, have not found any 7 credibility in the complaints. 8 Accordingly, I trust that this 9 Commission will have due regard, not just to 10 the witness statements or evidence-in-chief, 11 of this small, albeit vocal, small percentage 12 of borrowers, but also to the evidence 13 elicited under cross-examination of omitted 14 information and omitted documents of those of 15 the debtors, which demonstrate that these 16 debtors were not quite the victims that their 17 testimony would have led people to believe. 18 JRF has always respected the debtors' 19 confidentiality and will continue to do so, 20 even to the point of not defending itself in 21 the media when debtors say 2.2

- 23
- 24
- 25

unchallenged in that medium those same 1 things that have not stood up to 2 scrutiny here when tested in 3 cross-examination. When both sides have 4 their say a wholly different picture is 5 painted from that in the one-sided stories 6 of the debtors' complaints made via the 7 media. Rarely do the media publish the 8 details of the cross-examination of the 9 complainants before this Commission, with 10 the prominence, extensiveness and gusto 11 given to their publication of the 12 examination-in-chief. During many of the 13 early days of this Commission, the media 14 packed up and left once the 15 examination-in-chief was over, not even 16 bothering to stay for the cross-examination. 17 If I could, I am going to move on from my 18 written statement for just a second and 19 highlight that point. As we were doing our 20 due diligence on JRF, that was actually one 21 of the questions that I specifically asked 22 Mrs. Phillips, why JRF was not even defending 23 itself at 24

25

this enquiry because all the information 1 about the enquiry that I was able to glean 2 came from newspaper, television, radio, 3 which was shocking and very troubling from 4 someone looking to acquire a company and at 5 that point Mrs. Phillips did inform me that 6 the problem was that the media usually did 7 not stay for the end of the day and only 8 reported what was said that morning and now 9 having had the opportunity to go through a 10 significant number of transcripts, I think 11 I have had the opportunity to read most every 12 day that this Commission has met and I see 13 that that was certainly the case although it 14 certainly does not make the facts and the 15 emotions that the debtors feel any less 16 painful for them and I still sympathize 17 greatly with them. I mean it's certainly 18 their feelings or their realities, that is 19 the case and they struggle greatly during 20 this time and I feel very bad about that, but 21 I think that the stories that were told were 22 not 23

- 24

25

fair to JRF and oftentimes to FINSAC as well.

1	
2	JRF is a corporation organized in accordance
3	with the laws of the State of Texas in the USA
4	and registered in Jamaica in 2002 under Part
5	X of the Jamaican Companies Act as a company
6	incorporated outside of Jamaica carrying on
7	business within the island.
	After a competitive bidding process, JRF was
8	selected by the Government of
9	Jamaica as the purchaser of a portfolio of
10	non-performing loans held by the Government
11	of Jamaica to various government-controlled
12	companies. These loans had been acquired by
13	
15	the
14	the
	Government of Jamaica from various banks and
14	
14 15	Government of Jamaica from various banks and
14 15 16 17	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort
14 15 16 17 18	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort to rehabilitate and stabilize the Jamaican
14 15 16 17 18 19	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort to rehabilitate and stabilize the Jamaican financial sector which is the object of your
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort to rehabilitate and stabilize the Jamaican financial sector which is the object of your Commission of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort to rehabilitate and stabilize the Jamaican financial sector which is the object of your Commission of Enquiry.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort to rehabilitate and stabilize the Jamaican financial sector which is the object of your Commission of Enquiry. By way of an agreement for the Sale of Purchase of Assets between FINSAC Limited,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort to rehabilitate and stabilize the Jamaican financial sector which is the object of your Commission of Enquiry. By way of an agreement for the Sale of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Government of Jamaica from various banks and financial institutions as part of its effort to rehabilitate and stabilize the Jamaican financial sector which is the object of your Commission of Enquiry. By way of an agreement for the Sale of Purchase of Assets between FINSAC Limited,

1 Limited, Workers Savings and Loan Bank and Refin Trust Limited on the one hand 2 3 and JRF on the other, dated January 30, 4 2002, JRF acquired all the rights, title 5 and interest that those entities had in 6 the non-performing loan portfolio 7 consisting of 23,530 accounts. 8 Under the agreement what was sold to JRF 9 are included and I quote, "the aggregate 10 amount in respect of principal, 11 interest, insurance premiums, fees, 12 rates charges, costs, damages and any 13 other sums of whatever nature owing from 14 time to time by the relevant borrowers 15 or any Security Party to the Seller", 16 together with the credit security documents. The several agreements 17 18 entered into between the Buyer and 19 Seller are listed in the copy letter to 20 the Commission of July 3 2009 annexed to this statement as... 21 2.2 MRS. PHILLIPS: Pause there for a moment, Mr. Rudd. 23 Commissioners, you will see after page

2420 that on the 3rd of July, 2009 a25letter of that date was sent to the

1 Secretary of this Commission and that the 2 letter lists all the agreements that were 3 requested by this Commission to be provided 4 in the Commission's letter of May 28,2009 5 and on the second page you will see that this 6 has been signed by Secretary confirming 7 receipts of all the enclosures. Proceed Mr. Rudd. 8 9 MR. RUDD: Included among the documents referenced in 10 Annexure 1 are the agreements that speak to

10 Annexule I are the agreements that speak to 11 the collection of the proceeds of recovered 12 debts and the distribution of those 13 proceeds and to the custody of the security 14 documents.

15 I might add that over the last, almost ten 16 years JRF I believe, has enjoyed an excellent 17 relationship with FINSAC and the Government 18 of Jamaica as far as this business is concerned. I am aware of no situation where 19 20 FINSAC or the Government of Jamaica has ever 21 claimed or implied or inferred in any way 2.2 that there was any mismanagement of funds 23 recovered by JRF or any challenges to how 24 much money should have been or was actually

25 paid

1 over to FINSAC. 2 Also pointing out **Annexure 4** at this 3 time, and **Annexure 4** is a letter that I will not read, but **Annex 4** was written 4 to FINSAC with relation to release of 5 information to debtors and just to point 6 out the terms of the original agreement 7 which very clearly testifies that after 8 the closing of, or the acquisition of 9 10 the portfolio to JRF was the only part 11 that was authorised to deal with debtors 12 on any level particularly, was the only 13 party authorised to provide that 14 information to JRF with regards to their 15 accounts or with regards to the terms of 16 their loan agreements or their 17 settlement or restructuring agreements. 18 This type of agreement for the 19 acquisition of a non-performing loan 20 portfolio is not unique to FINSAC, JRF 21 or Jamaica. Examples abound 2.2 worldwide, and there are several examples 23 listed in Annexure 2.

I would further point out here that...MRS. PHILLIPS: Just a minute. You might be going just a

1 shade quickly. Annexure 2 you will find 2 of course behind **Annex1** Commissioner, 3 and you will see that it relates to a 4 situation in Ireland and a situation in 5 Trinidad in relation to CLICO, et 6 cetera. Yes Mr. Rudd. 7 COMM BOGLE: As is usual, you are placing these as 8 exhibits? 9 MRS PHILLIPS: I have no objection if you wish to do 10 so, Commissioner. Annexure 1 could be 11 JRF1. 12 COMM BOGLE: Right. And that is the letter... MRS PHILLIPS: To the Secretariat. 13 14 COMM BOGLE: ... from Myers, Fletchers & Gordon to 15 this Commission. 16 MRS PHILLIPS: Dated July 1, and Annexure 4 -- Well, Mr. Rudd referred to that earlier but 17 18 since he has already dealt with it we 19 could just call it JRF 4 if you don't 20 mind because we are going to come back 21 to JRF 2 and 3, and the illustrations of 22 the other countries where similar things 23 have happened we could call JRF 2. 24 COMM. BOGLE: Yes. 25 MRS. PHILLIPS: Obliged, Commissioner.

1You were at numbered paragraph 20 your2Witness Statement, Mr. Rudd.

28

3 MR. RUDD: Yes, although I don't have anything that I 4 can annex as an example, I think that you are 5 probably saying that this similar type of 6 agreement adopted from the United States in 7 recent years as a result of bailing out of failed institutions in the United States. 8 9 The FDIC partnered with certain companies 10 for the acquisition of toxic loans and those 11 partnerships, financing was provided in a 12 bail out package and there were also revenue 13 sharing agreements between the government 14 and qualified buyers.

15 Now, certainly it does not only happen in 16 the public sector, as well our company in 17 the United States has often, we also have 18 purchased non-performing loan packages, 19 however we have traditionally purchased 20 directly from banks that were not failing 21 or failed banks, but banks simply that 22 wanted to divest certain assets for 23 various

24

reasons.

Prior to JRF, Mr. Dennis Joslyn had been very 2 successful in the acquisition of 3 non-performing loan acquisitions from the 4 FDIC back in the early '80s and late '80s from 5 the Resolution Trustees in America. And I 6 would suggest that many, of those 7 acquisitions by Dennis Joslin and his 8 various companies were actually at lesser 9 percentage on the dollar than what has been 10 paid to the Jamaican Government and there was 11 no revenue sharing at that time. 12

13
14
14
15
16
17
18
19
(21) In 2003 over 16,000 of the loans being
mostly credit cards and overdraft accounts
were sold to International Assets Service
Limited ("IAS") and all books and records
related to those accounts were sent to IAS.
IAS is not related to, or connected with JRF
in any way.

(2) The sale of the portfolio to JRF was
(2) The sale of the portfolio to JRF was
conducted on an "as is" basis with the
Government of Jamaica warranting to JRF that
it would do nothing to diminish in

1

24

25

any way the existing value of the 123 portfolio. As such, JRF stands in the 456 shoes of the *banks* as it has had 789 assigned to It their ability to collect on 10 their delinguent accounts, and all pledged 11 securities together with their ability to 12 enforce the legal contracts made by the 13 borrowers. An important but seemingly under 14 appreciated legal *fact* is that *an agreement* 15 it writing cannot be varied otherwise by a 16 subsequent agreement in writing signed by 17 all parties. Delinguent borrowers who did 18 not enter *into* subsequent *compromise* 19 agreements with either a FINSAC entity or 20 JRF were left only with their 21 original agreements with the legacy 2.2 banks. 23 This is an important point to be made because 24 in certain cases if those agreements had been 25 varied you know, either by JRF even to the benefit of the borrower such a variation

could

actually come back to haunt JRF so to speak, because at those points borrowers

could have argued that we have 1 rights under the contracts by and 2 invariably modified the terms without 3 written agreements. 4 Also it is a normal feature of restructuring 5 that the new agreement is conditional on it 6 being adhered to. Therefore, if a debtor who 7 has restructured his debt does not abide by 8 that subsequent agreement, the debt reverts 9 to the original agreement upon his default 10 under the restructure agreement. JRF's 11 business is the 12 recovery of debt from persons who 13 voluntarily borrowed money from the legacy 14 banks or financial institutions under 15 private contracts entered into between them 16 and the legacy banks or financial 17 institutions. All debtors have been given the 18 opportunity to come into JRF and restructure 19 their debts at lower interest rates than 20 those agreed to pay to the legacy banks, and 21 very many have done so. 22 (23) When JRF acquired non-performing 23

24

25

loan portfolio, the Government of Jamaica, 1 2 through FINSAC, received an initial payment 3 4 of US\$23M from JRF and retained a continuing 5 6 interest in future collections by JRF in such 78 a manner as to ensure that the Government of 9 Jamaica collects a higher and higher portion 10 of the collections as higher recovery 11 thresholds by JRF, thus preventing from 12 receiving a windfall from its investment. 13 This is the model now being followed by the 14 US Government in addressing the current 15 financial crisis there. All money paid to the 16 Government of Jamaica by JRF is for the 17 benefit of the Jamaican taxpayers paid out 18 by the Jamaican taxpayers as it is a recovery 19 of the funds paid out by the Jamaican 20 taxpayers in the rehabilitation of the 21 financial sector. The Jamaican taxpayers 2.2 benefited from the initial payment made by 23 JRF for the portfolio and continue to benefit 24 from the Government of Jamaica's portion of 25 the collections by JRF from the portfolio.

(24) In collecting on the debts, JRF is not 1 acting as agent for the Government of 2 Jamaica. An agent does not pay anything to 3 its principal. This initial payment was 4 essential to provide liquidity and other 5 financial support for FINSAC and other 6 related entities. An agent assumes no 7 risk. JRF assumed significant risk in this 8 transaction. It was given no guarantee of 9 what it would collect on the portfolio, 10 which had already spent years at FINSAC, 11 and was considered "seriously impaired." 12 Many of the debts had exceeded the 13 realizable value of the collateral pledged 14 as security. The evidence before this 15 Commission is that it was closely guarded 16 secret at FINSAC that the non-performing 17 loan portfolio was valued at 10c in the 18 dollar. Even before this Commission it has 19 generally accepted that JRF, to date, has 20 paid more than twice that for the 21 portfolio. There was no way for JRF to know 2.2 whether the property values would have 23 declined 24

25

significantly as they did in the USA in 2007. 1 In many cases, JRF had to assume (and has 2 incurred) significant costs including 3 property insurance, stamp duties, transfer 4 taxes, appraiser's fee, property taxes, 5 water rates, security and legal fees. JRF, as 6 current creditor was often sued (albeit 7 unsuccessfully in the main) by disgruntled 8 debtors who did not want to repay their loans 9 in accordance with the terms they had 10 voluntarily agreed. It had to defend itself 11 in dozens of suits, some from borrowers with 12 no prospect success whose only intentions 13 were to delay and frustrate the process. An 14 agent is under the control of its principal 15 and is bound to follow the principal's 16 instructions. JRF is not an agent of anyone. 17 (25) In reviewing these accounts, JRF has 18 found that the majority of these loans were 19 delinquent for several years prior to the 20 intervention of the Government of Jamaica 21 *in* the 22

- 23
- 24
- 25

institutions. There has been discussion 1 about how these loans became delinquent by 2 various borrowers who have stated in public 3 that the sole reason for the 4 delinquencies was the unilateral increasing 5 of interest rates and compounding of 6 interest by the banks. JRF has reviewed the 7 accounts and found the statements being made 8 to be largely untrue. In fact, JRF has found 9 these often repeated allegations of 10 unilateral increasing of interest rates 11 compounding of interest to be the case in 12 very few of the accounts. Among other things 13 JRF's review shows: 14 (i) It is true that there are some accounts 15 where the loan was by way of a current account 16 or what is commonly referred to as an 17 overdraft account and that in those cases 18

interest rates reach in excess of 90% and that the interest rates compounded. However, that was usually because while borrower was drawing money out of the overdraft account each month, they were not

- 24

depositing sufficient funds to repay the 1 amount they were drawing or even to pay the 2 interest that was accruing on the account. 3 The very high interest rates were rates 4 charged on accounts that were in overdraft or 5 overdrawn. These accounts were set up 6 withdrawing limits such as J\$400,000 or 7 sometimes as high as J\$10,000,000 dollars 8 but would often *have* balances outstanding in 9 excess of \$20,000,000 or more due to actual 10 funds withdrawn by the borrowers which, when 11 you add the interest and compounding, can 12 cause the accounts to more than double again. 13 To address the ever increasing overdraft 14 interest, the banks often converted these 15 accounts to demand loans or commercial paper 16 where the interest rates were in the range of 17 25% to 40%. However, there is only **a** benefit 18 to the borrower if the borrower pays the 19 monthly interest accrual on the converted 20 demand loan or commercial paper. In the 21 majority of cases, this was not done so once 22 again the **interest** 23

24

accrual would soon overtake the ability for 1 the *security* to properly cover the debt. 2 (ii) Then, there are some accounts where 3 persons borrowed money at initial interest 4 rates of 45% and higher 5 (usually related to the construction 6 industry) where due to lack of 7 experience they underestimated their 8 costs or over-estimated their revenue. In 9 most of these cases though they agreed to 10 make monthly interest 11 payments, the borrowers often made no 12 payments to the account at all until they 13 began to sell the properties and by that time 14 the interest accrual was such that it was 15 unlikely the sales would be able to retire 16 the debt, so again, the banks were left with 17 loans that were not properly secured. 18 (iii) There are some accounts where persons 19 were borrowing from one bank to pay another 20 and then borrowing from a different bank to 21 pay that bank but in the interim they made 22 no actual payments 23

37

24

on the account.

1 2 (iv) There were some accounts where loans 3 were made that were inadequately secured **because** the funds **were** given to a "regular 4 5 customer" of the bank or other persons of 6 known status in the community **and** it was 7 assumed by the banks that the loans would be 8 9 repaid because of a person's reputation, however the loans were not repaid. 10 The credit documentation showed that (v) 11 12 the banks' credit approval process was seriously flawed, particularly in banks that 13 had **executive** chairmen. The documentation 14 15 shows that the banks did not do sufficient 16 due diligence to ensure that borrowers had 17 the ability to repay the loans being granted 18 to them, other than by way of **sale** of the 19 collateral. 20 (vi) And there are some accounts where after 21 money was lent to an individual or a company, 22 not a single payment was every made on the 23 account. 24 (26) As Chief Executive Officer of JRF, 25

1 2 3	I am aware of the complaints that are made
	against JRF. I believe that JRF's reputation
456	is unjustified, and I believe that the role
789	that JRF has played in the economy of Jamaica
10	is misunderstood.
11	(27) JRF complies with the requirements of
12	Jamaican law in carrying out its business.
13	(28) All borrowers are given the
14	opportunity to negotiate a restructuring of
15	their debt to a manageable repayment
16	schedule. Each case is looked at on its own
17	merits. There is no "one-size fits all"
18	approach adopted.
19	There has been a lot of testimony to the
20	Commission about what's been referred to as

21 the "Window of Opportunity" offered by JRF in 22 2002.

23

The terms of the so-called JRF Window of Opportunity applied only to single family, owner-occupied residences which were used as security for debts and which had balances of \$5M or less. Over 90% of the portfolio acquired by JRF fell into this category. See the pages

1		from The Gleaner published on
2		January 31, 2002, the day after the
3		agreement made between the GoJ and JRF
4 5		which is annexed to this statement as <i>Annexure 3.</i>
6 7	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Pause there for me, Mr. Rudd, please. Commissioners, if you look at Annexure 3
8		you will see that already there is an
9		exhibit number that is because it was
10		previously put in evidence through the
11		testimony of DEBTOR6.
12		However, we also would like to rely on
13		this document and would ask that you
14		mark it as JRF3 as well. And if I
15		could just oh sorry. Could you call
16		it JRF3(a) and JRF3(b), JRF3(a) being
17		the first page and JRF3(b) being the
18		second page.
19		And if I could just draw your attention
20		Commissioners, in relation to JRF3(b), I
21		know the writing is very small and maybe
22		difficult to read but if you look at the
23		end of the first column that starts with
24		the words "of the 23,000 accounts" you
25		see those words, Commissioner?

1 COMM BOGLE: Yes, I do.

3

2 MRS PHILLIPS: Comprising the bad debt portfolio 21,500

to 5M or less that serves as 93% of the

- 4 accounts. And you would have course,
- 5 recalled Mr. Hylton's testimony when he 6 said that the majority of the portfolio
- 7 was contained in just one thousand
- 8 accounts, the value.
- 9 Sorry to interrupt you, Mr. Rudd, but if 10 you could pick up back at...
- 11 MR. RUDD: Paragraph 30.
- 12 MRS PHILLIPS: Paragraph 30. Thank you so much.
- 13 MR. RUDD: (30) JRF is not obliged to give this window of opportunity, yet it did so 14 15 readily in accordance with the 16 Government of Jamaica's request. The 17 signature of its agent, Dennis Joslin, 18 appearing on the memorandum about the 19 window of opportunity exhibited before 20 this Commission during Mr. Hylton's 21 testimony as "PH 6" attests to that. And just pause there for a moment. It 22 MRS PHILLIPS: was not mentioned and I don't know 23 whether the Commissioners were aware of 24 25 it but when you get the chance if you

1 look back at PH 6 which was exhibited to Mr. 2 Hylton's statement you will see that it also 3 bears the signature of Dennis Joslin. 4 Very well, paragraph 31. 5 (31) For the 6-month period between 6 MR. RUDD: 7 February 2002 and July 2002, JRF accepted 89 80% of the principal balance outstanding on 10 these *accounts* and waived all *interest once* 11 the borrower paid the sum within 120 days. 12 Once the facility qualified under this 13 program, the borrower would automatically 14 benefit, without any special approval process being required. In other words, it 15 16 was not discretionary; JRF extended the 17 facility to all qualifying accounts for the 18 period in question, even though this was not 19 part of the terms and conditions of the sale 20 to JRF.

(32) In cases borrowers could not come up with
a lump sum payment of 80% of the principal
debt, they were given the opportunity to
restructure their loans on terms which would
allow them to repay

1the principal amount only within 52years. The principal was amortized over3a 20 year period so that the monthly4payments were manageable to the debtor,5with a lump sum payment required on the65-year anniversary.

7 (33) I do not know the exact number of 8 persons who benefited from these types 9 restructuring arrangements but I 10 understand that it was a significant number, far more than the number of 11 complainants at this Commission. 12 1.3 Notwithstanding, I understand that 14 several borrowers did not take advantage 15 of the offer because they were hoping to 16 secure an even better deal.

17 (34) Apart from the arrangements for18 single-family...

19COMM ROSS:Mr. Rudd, you really have to go a little20more specific in 33. It is pretty21pointless telling us about the great22facility that you have and how many23people would have benefited and not24telling us how many actually did. Could25you let us know, please.

MR. RUDD: I have reviewed that file but I do not 1 2 have that number in front of me. 3 COMM ROSS: I appreciate that but if we could get 4 some information it would be helpful. 5 MR. RUDD: I think it is important to realise though that there are a lot of people 6 who may have been benefited from the 7 offer they had actually implemented the 8 9 programs if you will, and by that I mean 10 that the publication of the offering of 11 paper generated a lot of activity on 12 accounts even by folks who may not have 13 qualified for that program, people whose 14 homes may have been valued at higher 15 than \$5m came in and were able to 16 negotiate settlements who might not 17 otherwise have come in but for the 18 advertising of the window. I think also 19 it would have attracted borrowers in the 20 commercial sector with commercial loans. 21 So to simply say okay, this number of 22 people came in and were able to pay 80% 23 of their loan balance is not really a 24 fair analysis of whether the window of 25 opportunity was successful if you will.

And certainly, there were also, I am sure, borrowers who took advantage of

2 sure, borrowers who took advantage of 3 the window who ultimately defaulted on 4 this five-year balance and that number 5 also skewed the benevolence of the 6 window depending on how you look at it. 7 COMM ROSS: But it still would be useful to have the information. 8 9 Α: Certainly. 10 (34) Apart from the arrangements for 11 single-family, owner-occupied 12 residences, JRF was and is always open to 13 *restructuring* the debts with significant 14 write-offs where appropriate. 15 (35) Prior to Beal Bank's divestment of its stock in *JRF*, whenever a serious 16 17 settlement offer was put to the local branch of JRF, a case submission was 18 prepared and sent to a credit committee 19 20 of Beal Bank in Texas for approval. 21 This committee, detached from social or 22 political influence, would carefully 23 assess the settlement proposal, make 24 recommendations where appropriate and 25 *liaise with* the local branch to arrive

1	at a workable settlement. Final authority
2	for the approval of all settlements rested
3	with this Committee. The approval process is
4	now much quicker because currently I preside
5	over the committee that grants approvals and
6	it meets frequently here in Jamaica.
7	(36) Many borrowers have restructured their
8	debts and have subsequently paid their
9 10	obligations and had their pledged security
11	and properties returned to them. In many
12	cases this restructuring involves a
13	significant write-off of interest and/or
14	principal owned by the borrower. In
15	considering the amount to write-off,
16	several factors are taken into account,
17	including the percentage of the debt that
18	represents accrued interest, the borrower's
19	repayment history from the inception of the
20	loan, their current resources, their
21	creditworthiness and attitude towards
22	compromise.
23	I would like to emphasize in paragraph 36.
24	"The borrower's repayment history

from the inception of the loan", that is 1 always a consideration that's taken into 2 account when we settled our 3 restructuring our debt despite the fact that 4 JRF, may or may not have received any of that 5 debt repayment we do oftentimes consider 6 what the original of our principal was, how 7 much money we had to pay back over the course 8 of the entire loan even before we received 9 that debt. 10

(37) JRF does not "charge" interest, JRF 11 seeks to recover interest that borrowers 12 have agreed to pay and, in the overwhelming 13 majority of cases, does so at a percentage 14 well below that agreed. Interest rates 15 charged on any account *never* exceed the rates 16 agreed upon by the borrower under their 17 contracts with the banks and in most cases 18 are much lower. In general, JRF seeks to 19 recover 25% -- 30% on Jamaican dollar debts 20 and 12% on US dollars debts. It is therefore 21 unfortunate to hear borrowers like DEBTOR9 22 deny that JRF was 23

_ _

24

- acting reasonably when it cut *his* 1 2
- interest rate in half.

5 6
{38)Whilst the borrower is compliant with
7 8
9 10
11
12
12
13
{38)Whilst the borrower is compliant with
the terms of the structure agreement, JRF
will not seek to realize the security, even
where the debt might still not be classified
as a "performing loan." There are several
cases,

including 2 before this Commission (namely 14 DEBTOR11 and DEBTOR9), where JRF consented 15 to the *borrower* selling the *property* 16 himself, whilst he remained *current* with 17 the terms of the restructure agreement. 18 (39) Typically, JRF has only sought to 19 recover by way of sale of underlying 20 collateral in situations where a 21 borrower (i) is in serious default of his 22 original obligations as well as his 23 restructured obligations or (ii) is in a 24 serious default of his original obligation 25 and has been *unwilling* to negotiate a reasonable restructure agreement. (40) If restructuring is unsuccessful,

JRF may choose to sell the pledged 1 security and properties to recover the 2 balances owed by a borrower. In that case, JRF 3 complies with the Registration of Titles Act 4 and other relevant 5 Jamaican laws. Independent valuation reports 6 are obtained from reputable Jamaican firms, 7 generally not older than 6 months prior to 8 agreeing to the sale price. In most cases JRF 9 obtains a sale price in excess of the 10 valuation. Upon completion, the net proceeds 11 of the sale are applied to the debt. If the 12 net proceeds are sufficient to repay the 13 debt, any surplus is delivered to the 14 borrower or guarantor (depending on who was 15 the registered proprietor of the sold 16 property) 17 (41) With respect to the issue of statements 18 of account, the longstanding policy of JRF 19 is that it will provide a statement of 20 account upon written request to the 21 borrower. Indeed, 22 several statements of account have been

23 entered into evidence, some by the 24

25

50

1borrowers and others by JRF. (see testimony2of DEBTOR12, DEBTOR10 and DEBTOR9 to name3a few). Some borrowers have blamed JRF for4its failure to provide statements of5accounts in circumstances where the6cross-examinations reveal that they7have, in fact, received them.7(42) There is not a single complaint from

(43) DEBTOR14 is one of several guarantors
of the debt of her daughter and this
Commission has ruled that it has
insufficient information about that
borrowing arrangement to be able to draw any
conclusion in relation to DEBTOR14's case.
DEBTOR1COMPANY

DEBTOR1COMPANY2 (DEBTOR1), DEBTOR3,

DEBTOR5, DEBTOR4COMPANY & DEBTOR4COMPANY2

(DEBTOR4), DEBTOR2 & DEBTOR7 have all

testified that

24

20

21

22

23

unpleasant business, but it

is a business that *plays a* vital *role* in the 1 2 economy, not least because it is still 3 4 contributing in a meaningful way to the 5 6 coffers of the Government of Jamaica. First, 7 8 consider the assertions that I have heard 9 10 that the assets *should* have been offered to 11 Jamaicans before they were offered to JRF. 12 The portfolio was offered to anyone and 13 everyone before JRF purchased the portfolio. 14 In fact, Beal Bank (the prior owner of JRF) 15 looked at the portfolio and 16 17 initially passed on the investment until it was brought back before them by the *late* 18 19 Dennis Joslin. The fact of the matter is that 20 no other company (Jamaican or foreign) was willing to take on 21 this risk. It has also been argued by at least 2.2 one of the borrowers that appeared before 23 the Commission that the *discount* that he was 24 offered was simply not enough in light of 25 what JRF paid for his loan.

> This argument lacks merit - it would be ludicrous for this Commission to make

recommendations to limit or cap the profit 1 that an entrepreneur can make on an 2 investment. JRF bought the NPL portfolio at 3 a discount, not a portfolio of discounted 4 loans. Nevertheless this entrepreneur has 5 (in recognition of the debtor's hardships) 6 voluntarily imposed on itself interest rate 7 caps and entered into numerous compromises at 8 the expense of its own bottom line and when 9 there is absolutely no legal or other 10 requirement for it to do so. 11 Although, difficult for many to accept, the 12 role that JRF has played over the last ten 13 years is actually important and contributes 14 to the over-reaching goal of this commission. 15 If the Government of Jamaica had simply 16 bailed out the banks, forgiven all the loans 17 and walked away, the government would have 18 created an economic environment where bank 19 failure would be more desirous than bank 20 success. All borrowers and deposit 21 holders of each and every failed bank 22

would have looked forward with eager

23

24

25

anticipation to the next financial crisis 123 because it would mean that their assets were 456 safe, but that their liabilities were washed 789 away. In fact, one of the criticisms of the 10 FINSAC regime that I have seen made by 11 economists is that the Government actually 12 went too far in protecting depositors, 13 because by making sure that no depositors 14 lost money as a result of the failure, 15 depositors were not held accountable for 16 contributing to the bank failure by doing 17 business with the failing banks. Although it 18 sounds nice to those who lost property as a 19 result of their inability to pay, a blanket 20 forgiveness of debt would have 21 ultimately been disastrous. I daresay that 2.2 if that had happened that there would be far 23 more that nine Jamaicans that borrowed money 24

25

from banks that did not fail that would have appeared before this Commission to complain about *the* inequity of the government's forgiveness of debt only to those that

borrowed money at a failed institution. 1 Imagine if you were a borrower at a strong 2 healthy bank and had struggled for years to 3 stay current on your loan and to maintain a 4 good relationship with your lender, but then 5 your neighbour who is not current on his loan 6 receives forgiveness of his debt simply 7 because he borrowed money from a failed bank. 8 Again, this would have created an economic 9 environment where bank failure is the 10 desired outcome, and that would be a fatal 11 blow to Jamaica. 12

Again I recognize that many people have been 13 hurt due to the economic turmoil of the 1990s 14 that resulted in the creation of FINSAC. I 15 also recognize that many people blame JRF for 16 their current state. That simply is 17 unwarranted, not least of all because JRF did 18 not even come to Jamaica until 2002. We at JRF 19 know that due to the circumstances under which 20 we were forced to do business with our 21 customers that many people will not like us, 22 but we are committed to

23

24

12treating every borrower and guarantor with34respect and dignity as they work through56what is always a very difficult time. We are78committed to treating borrowers fairly, and910to work with anyone who is willing1111

We invite every borrower who has an open 12 account with JRF to contact us and open up 13 a dialogue about how your account can be 14 settled. Do not come to us with unreasonable 15 expectations. However if you come to us 16 willing to work with us, then after you have 17 demonstrated your credibility and 18 determination we will likely be able to work 19 together in achieving a resolution of your 20 account as we have done successfully with so 21 many others. 22

In conclusion I would urge this commission to recognize that the primary problem that faced Jamaica during the financial meltdown is the same problem that continues to plague Jamaica today - that problem is primarily a problem

debt. Debt is a primary problem for life. 1 Debt if not handled with the utmost caution 2 by those seeking it, offering it and even 3 those seeking to enforce it, can undercut the 4 ability to live and to lead. It become a great 5 destructive force. While at time debt can be 6 beneficial and useful, the ability to gain 7 possession of things without paying for them 8 must be used with great caution. Debt is a 9 relentless burden, rarely well handled, 10 though those who sell it to you present it 11 as a wonderful opportunity. When not managed 12 properly it becomes a constant treat to 13 well-being and character, to maturity and 14 integrity. I think that all those who have 15 testified before this commission would agree 16 with this statement. Additionally this 17 statement applies not only to individuals 18 but to companies and even to governments, as 19 is currently being experienced by the 20 government in the US, Greece and even in 21 Jamaica. On the consumer level, one of

57

23

- 24
- 25

1 the greatest recommendations that could come from the commission would be for 2 3 the Government, through partnership with the financial sector (JRF included) to 4 5 do a better job of educating those 6 seeking debt, and attempting to ensure 7 that people understand the risk they are 8 taking on. JRF are committed to working 9 with the Government of Jamaica to do its 10 part to be part of the solution moving 11 forward and welcomes the opportunity to 12 work with the Government with whatever 13 recommendations this Commission may 14 make. Thank you, Mr. Rudd. 15 MRS. PHILLIPS: That, Commissioners is the evidence of 16 17 Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation. 18 COMM. ROSS: Mr. Rudd, just a couple of questions. I 19 don't know if you can answer them now, 20 but if you could research them before 21 you come back. Can you tell us how many 2.2 loans were resolved and how many you 23 have outstanding both in terms of value 24 and number. 25 You also mentioned what happens when JRF

1 seeks to recover on a loan. If you could let 2 us know what happens when the sales of the 3 proceeds do not cover the amount outstanding or the amount that you would 4 5 seek to recover, what happens then? 6 And also if you can tell us a bit more about 7 the ownership. You mentioned that Beal Bank 8 has sold their interest. It might be 9 interesting for us to know who are the people 10 or entities that acquired that interest. 11 Was that last question a part of the 12 Terms of Reference, Commissioner? I 13 MRS. PHILLIPS: don't think so. We are asking the question, you can decide 14 whether or not you wish to answer it. 15 16 COMM. ROSS: Okay, he will decide. You want me to answer those now? If 17 you can, yes. 18 19 MRS. PHILLIPS: With respect to the first question I 20 A: can't, I don't have that answer. I think 21 COMM. BOGLE: that the current value of the unpaid 22 A: principal balance which 23 24 25

oftentimes in this Commission the numbers 1 2 they have used have not been unpaid 3 4 principal. However in this business anytime 5 6 someone is looking at acquiring portfolio 7 8 the only number you are really looking at is 9 1 0 principal, not interest, not penalties and 11 I believe the unpaid principal balance at 12 this time on the remaining portfolios is 13 approximately a hundred and thirty or a 14 hundred and forty million U.S., but I'll get 15 more accurate numbers on that. 16 With respect to how many loans have been 17

18 19 settled, how many are outstanding, I do not have those numbers.

The second question I believe was what happens to a deficiency? In most cases JRF does not seek to recover on a deficiency balance. Again that's most cases. Certainly there are the exceptions to the rule but in those exceptions, those decisions would be made on a case by case basis and oftentimes various factors would come into play; liquidity of the borrower and

then there are situations where -- and 1 2 here I not referring to a specific example, I am speaking very generally 3 here. There are situations where a 4 borrower who is adept at hiding assets, 5 6 moving things around and on the flip side were pretty adept at finding them, 7 8 and so we sell that collateral. We know 9 that borrower had the ability to pay and in those situations we seek to recover a 10 11 deficiency. That is a rare situation. 12 Generally deficiencies are not sold. 13 And then the third question relating to 14 ownership; the current ownership of JRF, 15 unless I am advised not to, I don't have 16 a problem answering that question. JRF 17 is currently owned by Tennessee LLC; 18 it's called JRF/Tennessee LLC, and 19 JRF/Tennessee LLC is owned by myself and 20 Mr. Joe Gibson. 21 COMM. BOGLE: Okay, thank you Mr. Rudd. As I said earlier that in view of the 22 23 fact that we got the submission rather 24 late yesterday, or the revised 25 submission -- I see

1		Mrs. Minott-Phillips, her eyebrows went
2		up – the revised submission yesterday
3		afternoon late. We have taken the
4		decision that at this point we will
5		adjourn and we will return you will
6		be informed. I know that we will be
7		returning on 30th of May. Mr. Downer
8		will be here but thereafter the
9		secretariat will inform all concerned of
10		the schedule when JRF again come back
11		for cross-examination.
12		So at this time, unless there are any
13		questions from any of the attorneys, any
14		particular concern.
15	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Just to say through you to the
16		Secretariat, Commissioner, that we would
17		want it to be obviously a date that is
18		convenient to us.
19	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, it will be. I am sure by now you
20		know that usually the Secretariat will
21		do whatever it can to work with all the
22		attorneys, so that all persons involved,
23		all interested persons are adequately
24		represented. Therefore - Mr. Levy?
25	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Chairman, I just handed the

1 Secretary a copy of a letter which I had 2 sent to the Secretary by e-mail last 3 night dealing with disclosures by Dr. 4 Blythe. Dr. Blythe was a Minister of 5 Government during the financial melt 6 down and ask that he be invited to 7 attend the Commission and give the evidence that he as a member of the 8 9 Government at the time can give, which is totally contrary to that given by the 10 Minister of Finance. 11 The Commission will discuss and make a 12 COMM. BOGLE: decision on that. 13 14 MR. LEVY: Well, I think that Dr Blythe, sir, will 15 have a lot to offer to you from his own 16 knowledge, personal, intimate knowledge 17 of the situation that existed as a member of the Cabinet at the time. He 18 19 seems to be the only politician, former 20 politician as he says, who is prepared 21 to come and tell the truth. Your comments are noted. As I said the 2.2 COMM. BOGLE: 23 Commission will review and make a decision on in a matter. 24

Okay. Therefore at this time this

25

1		Enquiry is now adjourned until the 30th of
1		
-		May.
3		Thank you very much Mr. Rudd.
4	MR. RUDD:	Thank you.
5		
6		Adjournment taken
7		
8		
9		
10 11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		