1 DEBTOR1COMPANY2 and the property for DEBTOR1COMPANY's valuation was for some 2 Α: 3 XX million and when it was transferred and presented to him it was for some 4 5 X,000,000 and -- what was the question? The question was, did he recall that? 6 0: 7 But the statement he has made now is 8 simply that he valued the assets or a 9 portion of the assets of DEBTOR1COMPANY2 for 10 XX million, that is based on no 11 valuation which he received, the Stamp 12 Commissioner has valued the factory and 13 real estate for XX million. 14 That evidence is before you, 15 Mr. Chairman. 16 MR. SHELTON: I don't recall any such evidence being 17 before you, I don't recall that evidence. If there is, my friend can 18 19 lead us to it because the document that 20 Mr. Downer is referring to, the report 21 is attached to I think, the Agreement 2.2 for Sale and the Agreement for Sale to 23 NIBJ, it does not have real estate owned 24 by DEBTOR1COMPANY2, all the real estate was 25 owned by DEBTOR1COMPANY and that was sold

for XX million not DEBTOR1COMPANY2. I don't 1 recall what Mr. Levy is speaking about and 2 3 what evidence he says is before you. I was 4 here when DEBTOR1 gave evidence and I have 5 all the exhibits and I don't recall any such 6 evidence before you. 7 I remind the Commission and my friend sir, 8 that the evidence was presented by DEBTOR1, MR. LEVY: 9 a copy of the Stamp Commissioner's Transfer 10 Tax for the property which he valued for XX 11 million and the transfer for 12 DEBTOR1COMPANY2 was for X,000,000. Now, Mr. 13 Downer has said he never got a valuation of 14 that real estate. I am asking him, did he 15 remember the valuation he talked about in 16 his evidence, collecting Transfer Tax from 17 the Stamp Commissioner which he wasn't able 18 to do because his appointment was terminated 19 before he could do that. But the question 20 was, did he recall the amount for which the 21 Stamp Commissioner valued the property 22 referred to as DEBTOR1COMPANY2 Factory but

at

- 23
- 24
- 25

1 no time DEBTOR1COMPANY2 owned that factory, 2 it was DEBTOR1COMPANY who owned that real 3 estate but DEBTOR1COMPANY2 operated out of it. I am sure if he looks back at the 4 5 evidence he will see that there was in 6 evidence the certificate of the Stamp 7 Commissioner showing the amount he 8 assessed the property for and the value 9 on which he collected Transfer Tax. MR. SHELTON: Yes, but he says DEBTOR1COMPANY2. 10 11 MRS. PHILLIPS: Let me try and assist. Upon reflection I 12 seem to recall Mr. Patrick Hylton 13 speaking on the issue of the Stamp 14 Commissioner's assessment and he was 15 saying that he really didn't know 16 whether any valuation had been done, he 17 was trying to understand whether the 18 Stamp Commissioner had reached to the 19 assessment aspect of it or whether it 20 was just a figure put forward by the 21 Stamp Commissioner. 22 COMM. BOGLE: All right Mr. Levy, have you got that 23 exhibit with you? If not can we try 24 to... MR. LEVY: I have so many now I can't stop. I would 25

1 be happy to furnish the Commission with 2 a copy but we would be wasting time. COMM BOGLE: Because you are leading the examination 3 4 so I thought you had the exhibit. 5 MR. LEVY: I will bring it back tomorrow morning, sir. 6 7 CHAIRMAN: All right, can we move on then on that basis. 8 9 Q: On what day you did actually leave from Price Waterhouse. 10 June 2005. 11 A: 12 You were the senior partner weren't you? 0: 13 It took me two years before I retired. Α: Pardon me? 14 0: 15 A: I was not the senior partner when I 16 retired. 17 Q: Oh, you were demoted? 18 Α: No. MR. SHELTON: I am going to ask that when he is asked 19 20 a first question Mr. Levy allows him to answer. In fact I do not see there is 21 2.2 any relevance and I am objecting that 23 this is absolutely irrelevant. COMM. BOGLE: 24 I agree totally. Mr. Levy, that is not 25 relevant to the information we are

1		trying to get.
2	MR. LEVY:	Mr. Downer, you said you weren't aware
3		of any negotiation or offer made to
4		settle the matter, is that correct?
5	A:	Correct.
6	Q:	If I were to tell you that your evidence
7		before this Commission is that
8	COMM. BOGLE:	Speak in the mike.
9	MR. LEVY:	If I were to tell that your evidence
10		before this Commission is an offer that
11		was made and communicated to Mr. Douglas
12		Chambers on the 7th of July, seven days
13		after you retired from the firm, how
14		would you respond?
15	A:	Not aware of it.
16	Q:	Not aware of it?
17	A:	Not aware of it.
18	Q:	Not aware of it?
19	A:	No.
20	Q:	I will come back to that at a later
21		date. Did you have any other
22		receiverships which were this long or
23		with all your vast experience which were
24		successful?
25	A:	Not operating the assets, all my

1 receiverships were much shorter but this dragged on for a protracted period. 2 3 After that you try to collect from the 4 Stamp Commissioner back tax and tidy up 5 some other things that had to be tidied up. They may have gone that long but 6 7 certainly I did not have to operate the 8 assets that long before they were sold. So this was an unusual receivership? 9 Q: 10 Yes. A: I should say these were unusual 11 0: 12 receiverships to be more correct? 13 Correct. A: 14 You said in your statement that: 0: 15 "My understanding of the law and 16 practice of receivership is that the 17 duties of a Receiver and Manager in 18 relation to carrying on the business of 19 a company in receivership are as 20 follows: 21 (a) In carrying on the business the 22 receiver and the manager has to bear in 23 mind his primary responsibilities to his 24 appointers. 25 His agency for the company does not

1 place him under the usual duties of 2 compliance with his principal's 3 requirements. Indeed the very reverse 4 applies. 5 "A receiver is appointed 'not to 6 receive directions from the directors 7 but to give directions'. 8 What you mean by that, to whom would you 9 be giving directions? 10 Give directions to the employees. A: 11 Oh, not to the directors? Q: 12 I don't think that was what it means, A: 13 no. Was it a conflict of interest between 14 0: 15 the company of which you were an agent? 16 This is prior to 1998, December, 1998 and the debenture holders. 17 18 A: Say again, sir. 19 Was there a conflict of interest between Q: 20 the debenture holders and the company 21 for whom you have responsibility having 22 been appointed as agent of the company, 23 whose interests do you put first? 24 A: I don't know that is my... 25 Q: Pardon me?

- I am not aware of any conflict of 1 A: 2 interest between the debenture holders 3 and the company. 4 You are not aware? Q: 5 A: No. 6 Q: The receiver and manager must firstly 7 act in the interest of the debenture 8 holders; but must however act in the 9 interest of the company as well. Right. 10 A: Was there a conflict of interest between 11 Q: 12 the debenture holders and the interest 13 of the company? 14 MR. SHELTON: You know sir, again I am going to object 15 to that. There has been a statement of 16 law put in the context as my learned 17 friend is saying that there was a 18 conflict, which side did you choose? 19 Because we are not here for lesson, no 20 we are not here for lessons in law and 21 practice of receivership, we are here to 22 determine what took place. We have to 23 put the thing in context and move on so 24 it is a fishing expedition. He has not
- 25 said that there is a conflict, if there

1		is a conflict which one do you choose,
2		that is the only relevance to this
3		Enquiry not what he is doing there.
4	MR. LEVY:	The conflict is between the debenture
5		holders and Recon Trust who had an
6		interest in continuing this company's
7		operations not because of the financial
8		side but because of the political side.
9		Now, given that situation on whose
10		interest were you acting when you had to
11		postpone the sale of the business and
12		the assets of the company to seek
13		approval each time an offer was
14		presented to you?
15	A:	I am not sure there was a political
16		interest driving Recon Trust.
17	Q:	Was there any political interest in
18		DEBTOR1COMPANY's situation?
19	A:	Political interest? There was a feud in
20		the family.
21	Q:	That is not what I am talking about, the
22		politics I am asking about you about is
23		political interest.
24	A:	There was interest on the part of the
25		politicians yes, in that there was a

1 meeting where it was expressed to me that they wanted to maintain the 2 3 employment of people there, they wanted to maintain the employment of the people 4 at DEBTOR1COMPANY. 5 0: And who was at that meeting when that 6 7 was expressed? 8 A: The politicians who were there. 9 0: This is actually in the Witness Statement, I can't remember the names. 10 11 Go ahead, Mr. Downer, please go ahead. 12 I know Horace Clarke is one of them, I 13 remember that. Okay, it is paragraph 32 14 of my statement, 21st January 2005. 15 Oh your court statement? 16 Α: Uh-huh, yes. Court statement, Paul 17 Robertson and Horace Clarke were the 18 politicians who were there and also 19 there were two people from the union, Dennis Morrison and Mr. Sanderson from 20 21 NWU. They wanted to know how the 22 progress of the sale was going. And as 23 you put to me, because they had the 24 workers in mind. Did you have any correspondence with or 25 Q:

did you receive any correspondence from 1 Omar Davies? 2 From Omar Davies. 3 A: 4 0: Yes. I can't remember. 5 A: 6 Q: I don't think so. Mr. Downer, I am 7 going to hand the Secretary copies of 8 certain e-mails that might have been 9 sent to the principal, Richard Downer. Are they exhibits? 10 MR. SHELTON: These are the copies the office mail. 11 MR. LEVY: 12 MR. SHELTON: Are they exhibits in the proceedings so 13 far? Because if they are maybe I can 14 find them. What, he is answering to? 15 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Levy, are you submitting these? 16 MR. LEVY: I am going to submit it, it is the 17 witness' email from him, these were 18 handed over by his attorney to Mr. Douglas Chambers at the time of the 19 20 change of receivership. 21 COMM BOGLE: Mr. Shelton needs an answer to your 22 question, these are not now exhibits. 23 MR. LEVY: Pardon me, sir? 24 COMM BOGLE: No, I was telling Mr. Shelton these are 25 not exhibits so far, they were not put

1		in evidence before.
2	MR. LEVY:	No, but I am putting them to Mr. Downer.
3	COMM BOGLE:	Okay, fine.
4	MR. LEVY:	Because they are involving him sending,
5		receiving and responding.
6	COMM BOGLE:	That is fine.
7	Q:	You recognise that document, Mr. Downer?
8	A:	I don't now recognise it but I don't
9		dispute it, I remember the matter which
10		it refers to.
11	Q:	On this page it shows that the
12		principal, meaning DEBTOR1 - I am
13		assuming what it means was Richard
14		Downer and recipient was Michael Hylton?
15	A:	That is what he says.
16	Q:	Could you read the body of the letter.
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	With Mike.
19	A:	I don't know if I should start from the
20		top and go in the reverse order, I don't
21		know whether I should do you want me
22		to do it this way?
23	Q:	Yes.
24	A:	"Mike, you asked in that letter whether
25		you should go ahead with the bailiff in

1 view of the assertion of the matter 2 being up in the lofty realm of the 3 political directorate". 4 Stop there. What Mr. Hylton was telling you, what do you understand him to be 5 telling you about when you made that 6 7 statement? Well, I am saying something which I 8 A: 9 heard I presume from them, I don't know. 10 The lofty realm, what does that mean, 0: 11 high up? 12 A: Yes, it is a jocular way of expressing 13 something I suppose. 14 0: Okay, carry on Mr. Downer. So it is said by DEBTOR1.1, and this is 15 A: 16 bolstered by the fact that NIBJ (in the 17 person of Rex James has told me that 18 they want to buy, as part of the assets 19 to be sold, our rights to any benefits 20 from claim money and the house, as part 21 of the purchase of the business 22 transaction. They would not buy those 23 rights separately i.e., not unless they 24 are getting the business. 25 We have another offer which we hope will

1 result in a transaction since 2 consideration is far greater than 3 offered by NIBJ, but it is too early to tell whether they can complete -- and in 4 5 the case of that offer the rights to the claim would not be transferred. 6 7 letter to of the claim. 8 I would like to wait a week or two 9 before making the decision if that will 10 not somehow jeopardise something or 11 another. 12 0: And the Yvan that you are referring to, who is that? 13 14 Α: Sorry? 15 0: The second sentence, you said "DEBTOR1.1" 16 A: DEBTOR1.1. 17 0: Okay. And who is he? 18 Α: He is the half brother of DEBTOR1. So you were in contact with DEBTOR1.1? 19 Q: 20 A: Yes, he called me from time to time. On a number of occasions? 21 0: 2.2 Α: Yes. 23 Okay. Let's carry on down further. Q: 24 There is a response which appears to be 25 coming from Michael Hylton to you.

1 A: Hi Richard:

2		You are right in noting that I have not
3		agreed with Gresford. I am communicating
4		with him as a courtesy. He represents
5		DEBTOR1.1 and his wife in their dispute with
6		DEBTOR1.
7		You are right the two claims are
8		quite separate and we can enforce either
9		or both without the need for criminal
10		proceedings. Even if DEBTOR1 is hiding in
11		Haiti, we can still pursue our claim for
12		the house and sell any assets he has in
13		Jamaica to cover claim for the funds.
14		Did you receive my letter in relation to
14 15		Did you receive my letter in relation to the political issue raised by Levy?
	Q:	
15	Q: A:	the political issue raised by Levy?
15 16		the political issue raised by Levy? What is that, Mr. Downer?
15 16 17	A:	the political issue raised by Levy? What is that, Mr. Downer? I don't recall.
15 16 17 18	A: Q:	<pre>the political issue raised by Levy? What is that, Mr. Downer? I don't recall. You don't recall?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	A: Q: A:	<pre>the political issue raised by Levy? What is that, Mr. Downer? I don't recall. You don't recall? I don't.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	A: Q: A:	<pre>the political issue raised by Levy? What is that, Mr. Downer? I don't recall. You don't recall? I don't. Let's start back again at the top of the</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A: Q: A:	<pre>the political issue raised by Levy? What is that, Mr. Downer? I don't recall. You don't recall? I don't. Let's start back again at the top of the letter. Why would Mike be corresponding</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A: Q: A: Q:	<pre>the political issue raised by Levy? What is that, Mr. Downer? I don't recall. You don't recall? I don't. Let's start back again at the top of the letter. Why would Mike be corresponding with you, Mike was your lawyer?</pre>

I don't know. All I know is that he 1 A: could have been. We wanted to sell the 2 3 business to NIBJ and they were imposing 4 conditions on this. 5 They were imposing what? 0: 6 They were imposing a condition that he A: 7 wanted the assets to be transferred to 8 them. 9 0: NIBJ? 10 As part of the deal and I am saying we A: may have an option to sell the two 11 12 things separately and also we will get 13 probably more money that way. 14 Let's go back to the last sentence, the 0: 15 political issues raised by Levy. 16 I can't remember, honestly. I recall A: 17 from the very start of political 18 inferences at work but any specifics 19 like that I don't remember. 20 Can I remind you, Mr. Downer? 0: 21 A: Sure. 22 The issue raised was that part of the 0: 23 funds for which you sued DEBTOR1 24 represented payments by 25 DEBTOR1COMPANY for the education of

1		JOHN DOE's son in
2		University.
3	A:	No, I didn't.
4	Q:	Pardon me?
5	A:	Can you say that again for me?
6	Q:	Yes. That part of the funds for which
7		you sued DEBTOR1 represented
8		payments made by DEBTOR1COMPANAY to
9		assist JOHN DOE
10		to educate his son at University abroad.
11	A:	News to me.
12	Q:	News to you?
13	A:	Yes.
14	MR. SHELTON:	No, can I ask one: Is there any
15		evidence? Two: What is the relevance to
16		Mr. Downer's conduct of receivership?
17		this is just a matter I have no brief
18		for JOHN DOE.
19		What is this about?
20		Is there any evidence of
21		Mr. Downer's conduct of the
22		receiverships to that particular bit of
23		evidence given by Mr. Levy? What is it
24		in respect of?
25	COMM BOGLE:	Mr. Levy, can you explain where that is

1		coming from?
2	MR. LEVY:	Yes, Mr. Chairman, this is an e-mail
3		correspondence with Mr. Downer then
4		receiver and his then attorney. And I
5		didn't write it.
6		The question he posed was, did you
7		receive my letter in relation to
8		political issues raised by Levy?
9		Political issue was mentioned in what
10		Mr. Downer read and it was then referred
11		to as
12	COMM BOGLE:	So your statement that you have made
13		regarding use of funds, have you got any
14		evidence, anything to
15	MR. LEVY:	I am not hearing you.
16	COMM BOGLE:	The statement you made regarding use of
17		funds do you have any evidence to
18		support that?
19	MR. LEVY:	I could have DEBTOR1 come back and
20		give the evidence but it has arisen.
21	COMM BOGLE:	Mr. Chairman, because of this e-mail.
22		Mr. Levy, do you have any written
23		evidence of the statement you made a
24		while ago regarding the use of funds?
25	MR. LEVY:	I will repeat, Mr Chairman, I am willing

1 and happy to have DEBTOR1 write the letter and put it in. 2 3 COMM BOGLE: In other words, there is no evidence available at this time of that? 4 5 MR. LEVY: Not before the Commission, sir. 6 COMM BOGLE: Go ahead, Mr. Levy. Continue reading, 7 Mr. Downer. 8 Okay. A: MR. LEVY: Responding to Dear Mike. 9 10 A: This is the last page: 11 Dear Mike: 12 Thanks for sending that copy of the 13 letter from Gresford Jones... 14 MR. SHELTON: Can you just stop? This is going wrong 15 way, e-mail reading from back, he keeps 16 saying in response to e-mail, it is the 17 other way around. It is making no sense 18 dealing with it in that context, it is 19 going in the wrong direction. He 20 doesn't even know that. 21 MR. LEVY: I am just looking at the document as it 22 appears before me, sir. Mr. Downer's 23 e-mail is out of the stream of his 24 e-mails provided by him through his 25 attorneys to the companies subsequent to

1 him being receiver which was provided for the record. 2 3 COMM BOGLE: I don't think that there is anyone 4 refuting, no one is refuting the 5 authenticity of it. What we are saying 6 is that we are taking it from the back 7 to the front rather than the front down. 8 MR. LEVY: Well, I stand corrected. Could we start 9 over again, sir? 10 COMM BOGLE: Now let us... 11 MR. LEVY: Mr. Downer, can you take it from the 12 front to the back or from the back to the front? 13 14 COMM BOGLE: Let us proceed Mr. Downer, the back page 15 as we have it hereunder. 16 Without reading it again? So this was A: 17 initiating a message. 18 Dear Mike: 19 Thanks for sending me a copy of the 20 letter from recognise Gresford Jones. 21 So DEBTOR1 is in hiding, eh. I'm sure that 22 DEBTOR1.1 has been in touch with him 23 recently. Be that as it may, they are 24 claiming that the two matters, the debts 25 to the company and the purloined

1 dwelling house, are inextricably linked 2 to the extent they can't be tried separately? Even if they can be, it is 3 4 being asserted that there must be 5 criminal charges which require the body 6 to be here, and that we can't proceed as a civil matter? Seems to me that 7 8 whether or not here was provable fraud, the fact is that the house is in the 9 10 wrong hands and that should be 11 redressed. Surely the system provides 12 for that? In saying the above, I 13 realise that you have not agreed with 14 Gresford. From the letter I'm not clear what Gresford's role is. 15 16 Best regards. 17 Q: Where did you get the idea Mr. Downer to 18 put into this letter that DEBTOR1 19 was hiding in Haiti? 20 I was responding to something else A: 21 likely to have been forwarded to me, 22 from Gresford Jones, that must have been 23 it. As I said before, I have no idea 24 exactly what the source was, but it 25 ought to be something reported to me.

1 What made you sure that DEBTOR1.1 would have Q: 2 been in touch with him recently? 3 A: It could be, I have no idea, I don't know why I said that. 4 5 Let me move on. Q: 6 COMM. BOGLE: Are you tendering this? 7 MR. LEVY: This would be RD9/11. Going back to your witness statement 8 9 before the Commission, in paragraph 10 number 4, you say that your firm PwC 11 members did numerous receiverships and 12 you were appointed the Receiver and 13 Manager by commercial banks of companies 14 in several industries. I acted as 15 Receiver/Manager of the Henderson Group 16 of Companies consisting of ten 17 companies, Kern Products Limited, West 18 Indies Publishing Limited, and you list 19 a few companies and DEBTOR1COMPANY. 20 How many of those companies survived 21 your receivership? 22 MR. SHELTON: Objecting? 23 I think the companies are probably still A: in existence, I don't know. 24 COMM. BOGLE: 25 Mr. Shelton, is there an objection?

1 MR. SHELTON: I am objecting, I am saying again that 2 has nothing to do with the 3 DEBTOR1COMPANY receivership. I mean I 4 don't even know the answer, you know, 5 Mr. Chairman, but the point is, the 6 question of relevance, what is the 7 relevance if those other receiverships 8 survived his management, that is not 9 relevant to the particular proceedings, 10 and so I am objecting again. I don't 11 even know the answer but I don't think 12 there is any relevance to these 13 proceedings; it is against the personal 14 issue which we continue to have. 15 A: In all cases the assets were sold to 16 purchasers. 17 In paragraph 5d, the statement of the Q: 18 Commission, you say one of the 19 responsibilities as a receivership --20 would you read it? 21 A: In relation to the management the 22 receiver and manager must at every stage 23 have close regard to the purpose for 24 which he has been appointed, and must 25 not exercise his powers of management

1 for a collateral purpose or one alien to 2 the purpose for which he was appointed. 3 0: Mr. Downer, do you consider the 'on 4 again off again' sale practiced by your 5 appointor to be a collateral purpose to 6 keep the company open, to employ labour, 7 was that collateral or that was the 8 primary purpose for which you were 9 appointed? 10 A: I think what you mean by collateral in the sense of corruption where you deal 11 12 with somebody in a self-serving way or 13 favour a crony or something of that 14 nature. 15 In dealing in a corrupt way would -- if Q: 16 I were to put it to you that the constant involvement by Recon Trust and 17 18 Mr. Patrick Hylton as the Managing 19 Director in particular, and you were 20 being told to sell and hold off selling 21 to allow NIBJ to present offers, was 22 that the collateral purpose, those are 23 two connected parties. 24 A: I didn't look at it that way, I just saw 25 it as raw interference. But they were

-		unilateral hold-offs and the Receiver was
1		not doing anything wrong vis-a-vis the
2		debenture holder because I was very
3		interested
5	Q:	So they were not doing anything wrong to
6		tell you to hold off.
7	A:	No.
8	Q:	That is not collateral, that is not your
9		objective?
10	A:	It is their money.
11	Q:	Were you aware that they were connected
12		companies, Recon Trust and NIBJ?
13	A:	Connected through common ownership of
14		the Accountant General maybe.
15	Q:	That is one of them and that they had
16		common directors?
17	A:	I think I knew that, that was not in the
18		forefront of my consciousness but I knew
19		that.
20	Q:	Were you aware that David Coore QC was
21		Chairman of NIBJ and Director of Recon
22		Trust?
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	Were you aware that the then Financial
25		Secretary was a director of both

1 companies? 2 A: That is usually so in government 3 agencies. 4 Mr. Downer... Q: I don't know as a fact. 5 A: 6 Were you aware that David Coore QC Q: 7 represented DEBTOR1.1 in court even 8 though he has been retired? No, I didn't know that. 9 A: 10 Q: Now in paragraph 5 you conclude: 11 However, if the company is put into 12 liquidation then any agency relationship 13 with the company is terminated and the 14 Receiver/Manager is then only the agent 15 of the debenture holder. 16 I take it that you were addressing that 17 in relation to DEBTOR1COMPANY? 18 Α: Yes, sir. 19 How did that, your conduct in relation Q: 20 to DEBTOR1COMPANY differ in relation to 21 the receivership of DEBTOR1COMPANY2 which 22 did not have a Winding-down Order. In the substance there is no difference 23 A: 24 to the conduct, just pointing it out as 25 a fine point because you have said to

1 the Commission on several occasions that 2 I say I would be... 3 0: You are mumbling, speak up. 4 You said I was behaving as the agent to A: 5 the company as if I was employed 6 throughout and I am employed to 7 DEBTOR1COMPANY so I am simply 8 correcting that, that after December 9 1998 I was not the agent of the company. 10 0: Moving on to Paragraph 6 of your 11 statement. You state in March 1998 NCB 12 approached Price Waterhouse to provide 13 receivership services in respect of 14 DEBTOR1COMPANY and DEBTOR1COMPANY2, as 15 these companies were deeply indebted to the 16 bank and were not servicing their indebtedness and were insolvent. What 17 18 knowledge did you have when they 19 approached you as to how deeply they 20 were indebted and whether they were 21 servicing their debt or not? 2.2 Α: I didn't know until I got there but this 23 is the impression conveyed to me by the 24 bank, this was their impression that 25 they conveyed to me.

1 Were you aware that in January -- that Q: in December DEBTOR1COMPANY had 2 approached NCB to lend it half a million 3 US dollars for working capital? 4 5 A: That was just before I was appointed Receiver, so I wasn't aware of it. 6 7 I have to continue. Were you aware that Q: 8 at that time NCB told DEBTOR1COMPANY 9 they didn't have the money ... COMM. BOGLE: December of what year? 10 11 MR. LEVY: December of 1997. 12 A: No, sir, not aware. 13 Were you aware that in January through 0: the instrumentality and on the advice of 14 15 NCB, DEBTOR1COMPANY made an application 16 to NIBJ for a half a million dollar 17 financing order for it to clear twelve containers of raw material off the 18 wharf? 19 20 A: When I went in, there was a deal with 21 NIBJ... 22 Pardon me? 0: 23 A: When I went in I found out there was 24 some deal NIBJ Ex-Im whereby some raw 25 material was acquired, this is before I

went in March, this would have been done 1 and they were to repay out of sales to 2 specific customers including D&G and I 3 think Cable and Wireless. 4 5 0: This was a substantial transaction, wasn't it, half a million US dollars? 6 7 Everything is relative. A: 8 In relation to the operations of 0: 9 DEBTOR1COMPANY, was a 10 half a million loan and the clearing of 11 twelve containers of raw material a 12 substantial transaction? 13 In the then circumstances of operations A: 14 it was substantial, yes, but their 15 operation was way less than they were 16 the year before. 17 0: Did you Mr. Downer, familiarize yourself 18 with the terms of that arrangement? 19 Yes, because I think I referred to it in A: 20 the Receiver's Report. 21 Don't mumble? 0: 22 MR. SHELTON: He is not mumbling, you are not hearing. 23 MR. LEVY: Get off my back. 24 Mr. Downer were you aware of the terms 25 of the receivership, of the arrangement?

1 A: I must have been aware because I refer 2 to it in the Receiver's Report. 3 Q: And what is the terms of the 4 arrangement? 5 A: I can't remember, I am not sure of the 6 terms of the agreement necessarily. Will 7 I get time to look it up? If it is there I will look it up. 8 9 Go ahead, it is important, because you Q: have discounted it. 10 I am talking about the facility that was 11 A: 12 awarded, a facility that came into 13 effect, it was in effect when I went in. 14 I am not sure if you are talking about 15 the same facility. 16 I am talking about a facility of a half Q: 17 a million US dollars made available to 18 DEBTOR1COMPANY by NIBJ with the full 19 cooperation and certain releases by NCB 20 holder of the debenture. 21 A: Right, in the Receiver's Report I refer 22 to that agreement. Shall I read it? 23 Q: Please. 24 A: Under Letter of Agreement between NCB... 25 Q: Under what type of agreement?

1	A:	Under Letter of Agreement between $NCB$ ,
2 3		the other secured creditors and NIBJ, NIBJ has guaranteed the indebtedness to
4		Ex-Im bank for the financing of raw
5		material supplies and the secured
6		creditors have agreed that NIBJ could
7		secure its positions. As supplemented
8		by oral agreement, NIBJ secured its
9		position by DEBTOR1COMPANY agreeing to
10		a procedure whereby the customers who
11		are supplied with goods made from the
12		raw materials imported under the
13 14		revolving facility pay NIBJ the invoiced amounts and NIBJ would retain 25%
15		thereof, out of which prior indebtedness
16		and the revolving facility would be
17		serviced. No formal assignment of the
18		accounts was given.
19		Those were the terms.
20	Q:	Yes. Can you tell us what the terms of
21		repayment of that loan were?
22	A:	Well as it says here, it's a revolving
23		facility, so they would pay back out of
24		sale and then they could borrow it
25		again.

Where you get the revolving from 1 0: Mr. Downer, it was a loan, not a 2 continuing facility; for a specific 3 purpose, working capital loan to get the 4 raw material off the wharf to produce 5 millions of dollars of contracts. 6 7 MR. SHELTON: Mr. Downer, one minute please, I am 8 objecting again. Mr. Downer was asked 9 the question, Mr. Downer gave an answer. 10 My learned friend is seeking to give the 11 evidence upon which he is now asking 12 Mr. Downer to comment when no other 13 evidence is before this Commission other 14 than what he just said. Mr. Downer said 15 it's a revolving loan, he is telling him 16 it is not, and he has now given evidence 17 which he is giving him as to what it is. 18 There is no evidence before the 19 Commission other than what Mr. Downer 20 just said Mr. Chairman. 21 0: Mr. Chairman with due respect, my friend 22 has selective memory. Evidence was 23 given by DEBTOR1 before this 24 Commission of the terms of the loans, 25 because NCB could not, did not have the

money, suggested that NIBJ could do it and 1 2 they actually released their debenture in 3 4 relation to millions of dollars of debts 5 6 which were assigned which the raw material 78 would be 9 producing a product for. That evidence is 10 before the Commission, maybe the Secretary 11 can find it because I can't find it right now, 12 but it was before the Commission, I am sure 13

you all remember. And the terms, just to 14 continue, the terms were that NIBJ, the debt, 15 substantial debt, specific Rate A customers 16 were assigned with the consent of NCB to NIBJ 17 and that the proceeds of those orders 18 produced by the company would be collected 19 by NIBJ, and 40% would be retained by NIBJ to 20 repay, which was projected to repay the half 21 a million US to them with interest within six 22 months. NIBJ would pay over to NCB, forty 23 percent of those debts collected which one 24

25

can conclude would be repaying NCB a half million within six months and the twenty percent was going to be used

1 for the working capital of the company 2 to produce the goods. I am asking Mr. Downer if that ... 3 4 MR. SHELTON: I am objecting, because Mr. Downer 5 earlier stated Mr. Chairman, and 6 Mr. Commissioner Ross, that the report 7 that he is speaking about was in 8 relation to a facility which existed 9 when he went into the business as the Receiver. What I remember the evidence 10 from DEBTOR1 to be was that a 11 12 facility was negotiated that would have 13 been able to assist the company in its 14 production and the receivership took place negativinG all of that, that is 15 16 my understanding of the evidence which 17 was given that all of this was in place 18 and yet a receivership was put in. My 19 understanding from Mr. Downer is that 20 when I went in, this I saw from the 21 books, a revolving loan to NIBJ, that is 22 my understanding. 23 MR. LEVY: And I am trying to correct Mr. Downer's 24 memory because there was no revolving 25 loan and the evidence before the

1 Commission is that there is no revolving 2 loan. 3 COMM. BOGLE: Let's see if we can get some 4 understanding. Mr. Downer, when you 5 took over the receivership, you are 6 saying that there was a facility in 7 place? 8 A: Correct. 9 COMM. BOGLE: With NIBJ. After you took over the 10 receivership, was there any further loan 11 or advances by NIBJ, was there a five 12 hundred thousand US loan or advance from 13 NIBJ? 14 A: No. 15 COMM. BOGLE: Therefore, what you are saying is the 16 only facility that you know of was one 17 that was there before you took over? Correct. 18 A: 19 COMM. BOGLE: Are we clear on that? In other words, 20 the facility that you are talking about, 21 that five hundred thousand facility, was 22 it actually crystallized? Was the money 23 paid over by NIBJ. 24 MR. LEVY: It was done? 25 COMM. BOGLE: When was this done.

- 1MR. LEVY:It was paid over in January to clear the2goods off the wharf.
- 3 COMM. BOGLE: Well, that is what Mr. Downer is saying 4 that it would have been what he came in 5 and saw because he went in March. 6 MR. LEVY: Not so, sir. Mr. Downer stated it was a 7 revolving loan and I understand a 8 revolving loan to be one that rolls 9 over, it was a specific loan to clear twelve containers off the wharf? 10 11 COMM. BOGLE: Have you got the terms of that loan? The evidence is before the Commission 12 MR. LEVY: 13 already Mr. Chairman, Mr. Downer has the 14 terms.
- 15 COMM. BOGLE: Well...
- 16 MR. LEVY: Let me put another question to Mr.

Downer to continue on this.

18 COMM. BOGLE: Continue.

19Q:Mr. Downer, did you not refuse to allow20NIBJ to collect this money? Didn't you21have a conflict with them which almost22resulted in a lawsuit.

- 23A:I will explain that, that is truth. It24says here:
- 25 Since this arrangement would constitute

1 the distribution of proceeds to a 2 creditor outside of the order of 3 preferences in the law, I informed the customers, Ex-Im Bank and NIBJ that such 4 5 an arrangement could not continue. The 6 customers have agreed to co-operate and 7 in one case even stopped payment on a 8 cheque that had been sent to NIBJ. This 9 is a matter to be sorted out between NCB and NIBJ/Exim and in the schedule 10 11 showing the Estimated Final Outcome I 12 have shown NIBJ/Exim as unsecured. 13 Q: Were you provided with evidence by NCB 14 that they as the first debenture holder 15 had consented to the assignment of those 16 debtors to NIBJ to pay that debt and had 17 given that assurance? I said that this is a Letter of 18 Α: 19 Agreement between NCB and the other 20 secured creditors and NIBJ for allowing 21 this to happen and agreed that NIBJ 22 could secure its position. 23 NIBJ could what? Q: Secure its position. As supplemented by 24 A: 25 oral agreement, NIBJ secured its

1 position by DEBTOR1COMPANY agreeing to 2 a procedure whereby certain things 3 happened and I ended by saying no formal 4 assignment of the accounts was given. 5 That is when the Receiver would go in, 6 if you give preference to the wrong 7 person. Mr. Downer how does a company have oral 8 Q: 9 agreement? 10 A: By speaking. I thought it was a hit and it was moot. 11 0: 12 A: Well you lost me there. 13 Q: We are talking about a half a million 14 dollars, a deal between three banks, 15 Ex-Im Bank, NIBJ and NCB, that is oral, 16 you expect the Commission to believe 17 that? 18 I said there was a Letter of Agreement A: 19 supplemented by an oral agreement. 20 What was the Letter of Agreement? 0: As reported to me, this is all what 1 21 A: 22 was told, as I said I was not part of 23 it. 24 Q: What was the Letter of Agreement? 25 A: I will read it again.

- 1 No, no, I am asking you, not what you Q: 2 read, what was the Letter of Agreement. 3 NIBJ would guarantee the indebtedness to A: 4 Ex-Im Bank for the financing of raw 5 material and the secured creditors have 6 agreed, NIBJ could secure its position. 7 Doesn't mean that they .... 8 I am sorry, Mr. Downer, you are kind of 0: 9 mumbling, I am not hearing, I am hearing impaired but I do have in my hearing aid 10 but when you mumble like that I am not 11 12 hearing. 13 I am sorry, I didn't realize at all. It A: 14 was agreed, according to this, I have 15 not got the document and the best 16 information is what is in my report, I 17 have no option but to rely on this. It 18 says that NIBJ has guaranteed the 19 indebtedness to Ex-Im and the secured
  - creditors have agreed....
- 21 Q: Meaning?
- 22 A: NCB.

Q: Which is called a creditor?
A: There were two NCBs involved, there was
unsecured creditors as well. I was not a

1 party to this, I am not sure, all I am 2 telling you is what I read here now 3 because I cannot remember, because I 4 don't have the documents any more. The 5 secured creditors have agreed that NIBJ 6 could secure its position as 7 supplemented by oral agreement; NIBJ 8 secured its position by DEBTOR1COMPANY 9 agreeing to a procedure and I explained the procedure. 10 What was the procedure? 11 Q: 12 Α: The procedures whereby the customers who 13 were supplied by goods made from the raw 14 materials imported under the revolving 15 facility pay NIBJ the invoiced amounts 16 and NIBJ would retain 25% thereof, out 17 of which prior indebtedness and the revolving facility would be serviced. 18 19 No formal assignment of the accounts was 20 given. 21 Prior indebtedness? 0: 22 A: I am just reading what I see here. 23 But you put it there, what you mean? 0:

24A:I can't remember, now sir, the prior25indebtedness would mean that it was

1 incurred before this event. 2 0: The indebtedness you are talking is half 3 a million indebtedness? I don't know, I am not sure, that 4 A: 5 doesn't necessarily mean that. 6 0: Mr. Downer you almost went to court with 7 NLBJ over this, NIBJ almost took you to 8 court on this and you don't remember? 9 A: Well, in fact, although I said no formal 10 assignment of the accounts was given, 11 the fact is that they had a de facto 12 assignment and I needed to honour that. 13 Q: What about the question of how - we have 14 a little difference of opinion on 15 recollection of what the percentage was, 16 but if it were 25 percent, it would mean 17 based on the evidence before this Commission that twenty-five percent of 18 19 the debts assigned would repay half a 20 million with interest, yes, with 21 interest within six months? 2.2 A: I don't know, I have to work it out. 23 Can we take it step by step with numbers 24 to show me, I have not done that sum 25 before, maybe you can show me how you

1		got it.
2	Q:	The arrangement between DEBTOR1COMPANY,
3		National Commercial Bank Jamaica
4		Limited and NIBJ was that
5		since NCB did not have the half a
6		million dollars to lend to
7		DEBTOR1COMPANY, that NIBJ would make
8		the necessary arrangement and grant a
9		facility albeit by guarantee to Ex-Im
10		Bank. This would be secured by an
11		assignment of debts to which NCB
12		consented was specific listed debts?
13	A:	Possibly true.
14	Q:	Number of millions of Jamaican dollars.
15		NIBJ would collect the entire amount of
16		those assigned debts, they would keep, I
17		say forty, you say twenty-five percent,
18		but what they kept would repay the loan
19		within six months with interest.
20	A:	I don't know about the six months, that
21		is news to me, maybe they just pay the
22		interest, but the thing is, with the
23		level of turn over, how fast the turn
24		over of the stock was and whether they
25		could replenish it

1 0: No, Mr. Downer, this raw material import 2 specifically was going to cover the 3 production of pipes, crates, and other 4 materials for these companies and based 5 on the orders which were presented to 6 the bank by DEBTOR1COMPANY, signed, 7 confirmed orders, that upon the goods 8 being produced and they were waiting for 9 the raw material to produce it, that the 10 goods would be produced without any 11 stock outs or any turnover in stock. 12 Out of the stock, the twelve containers, 13 the goods would be produced and the 14 payments for those goods would in six 15 months allow the repayment of half a 16 million dollars US to NIBJ and interest 17 and that NCB would be given the same 18 percentage of the collections as NIBJ 19 collected, whether it was twenty-five or 20 forty percent with the company getting 21 the balance for its operating working 22 capital to produce the goods, the raw 23 material having been provided for in 24 that transaction. 25

So it follows that the funds they

1 retained could buy more raw material and do the same thing again over and over 2 3 again as long as they could. 4 What? Repeat in slow English. 0: 5 A: The funds they retained could be used to 6 buy more raw material. 7 That is not the arrangement, Mr. Downer. Q: 8 A: Well, this is our understanding of it 9 and based on the documents we must have seen there and what was reported to us 10 11 as being the oral supplemental agreement 12 this is all we knew, so our 13 understanding was it was a revolving 14 facility. I can't help you any more than 15 that. 16 Q: You sound confused, but anyway. I am, believe me. 17 A: 18 As long as we know that. Q: 19 You were trying to suggest, Mr. Downer 20 that when you took over there was little 21 raw material - further on in the 22 Witness Statement very little raw 23 material was in the company and you were worried about stockouts. 24 25 A: Yes.

1 But shortly before you took over on the 0: 9th of March 1998, twelve containers of 2 3 raw material were supplied to the 4 company. 5 (No answer) Α: You are trying to suggest that the 6 Q: 7 company was in default of its obligations to NCB. 8 9 A: I am saying that they had \$14,000 in the bank. It is one thing to have raw 10 11 material in the warehouse but it needs 12 to be converted into finished goods to 13 sell. You need working capital for 14 that. You need cash to pay the workers 15 for one thing. 16 0: This is where the percentage that came 17 back to DEBTOR1COMPANY came from, out 18 of the sale, out of the million dollars 19 of sale they received 20 percent back 20 which would cover their working capital. 21 A: But they never got the cash at the same 22 time. The invoice was a document for 23 payment, they need working capital to

24 support that.

25 Q: But there was as six-month period within

1 which that could be paid. Maybe it could 2 take seven months from day one but it is 3 a six-month period. Are you suggesting to this Commission that there were no 4 5 qood debts? No, obviously not. 6 Α: 7 Or very little good debts? 0: No, there were good debts. 8 A: 9 Q: In your statement you stated, the 10 statement dealt with -- were you aware 11 of any prior correspondence or demands 12 by NCB within the three-month period 13 prior to your Receivership for repayment 14 of any of the loans or oblations? 15 Α: No. 16 Were you aware of any demand made by 0: 17 NCB? Just prior to the Receivership starting. 18 A: 19 Q: Tell us about that demand, Mr. Downer, 20 the date of the demand and the time it 21 was presented. 22 A: My recollection is it was presented by 23 the attorneys. 24 Which attorneys? Q: 25 A: Myers, Fletcher and Gordon. I wasn't

1		there when it was done.
2	Q:	They represented NCB?
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	Okay. What was the demand for?
5	A:	The amounts owed under the, some
6		debentures, not all of them.
7	Q:	And what time, what day was that demand
8		presented?
9	A:	Well it must have been the same day that
10		I went in.
11	Q:	I don't want nuh 'must be'.
12	A:	It could only have been. I would not
13		answer any other way.
14	Q:	So it was?
15	A:	I would not have let a day go by
16	Q:	Please stop mumbling and speak into the
17		mike.
18	A:	When you stop mocking I'll stop
19		mumbling. How is that? I could only
20		have gone in simultaneously with the
21		demand or just after the demand.
22	Q:	Were you aware of any prior demands?
23	A:	No, I wasn't aware.
24	Q:	But you were in close contact with the
25		bank in the weeks preceding your

1		appointment?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	And the demand made on the 9th of March,
4		1998?
5	A:	Correct.
6	Q:	At what time?
7	A:	During the afternoon, I think.
8	Q:	About 3 o'clock?
9	A:	Sounds about right.
10	Q:	Was the demand made of the Managing
11		Director?
12	A:	I wasn't there when it was made.
13	Q:	Oh, you weren't. I thought you all went
14		out together.
15	A:	I was in the car but I wasn't there when
16		the demand was made.
17	Q:	Okay, let's stop there then, Mr. Downer.
18		Were you aware that the Managing
19		Director of DEBTOR1COMPANY at that time
20		was in a meeting with Mr. Jeffrey
21		Cobham, the Managing Director of NCB.
22	A:	I read that in the oral testimony.
23		That's the only reason I know.
24	Q:	You weren't part of the manipulation to
25		get him out of the factory before the

1 demand was presented? 2 A: No. My understanding is that 3 DEBTOR1 had asked for a meeting with 4 NCB, not the other way around. 5 Hadn't it been your practice, Mr. 0: 6 Downer, to advise your bank clients to 7 make the demand after banking hours so the demand cannot be met even if it 8 9 wanted to meet it? 10 A: No. I don't make the demands, the bank 11 makes the demands. 12 But you organize it, you make the 0: 13 arrangements. T do not. 14 Α: 15 Can I remind you of others when the 0: exact procedure was followed where you 16 17 walk in with gendarme and your lawyer 18 making a demand at a time when the bank is closed and the demands cannot 19 20 possibly be met? 21 A: I don't make the demand, the bank makes the demand and I don't plot with them. 22 2.3 The banks tell me what to do. They say 2.4 you have got an indemnity lined up when 25 the demand is made I go in.

1 0: So it's the practice of the bank, not 2 your practice to make the demand after 3 banking hours? Correct. 4 A: 5 0: You have nothing to do with advising them on those demands? 6 7 Correct. A: MR. LEVY: I am going to hand the witness letter 8 9 which is TP8/11. 10 (Document handed to witness) 11 Will you read this letter to the 12 Commission, Mr. Downer. A: 13 It is to DEBTOR1. 14 0: From? From NCB, National Commercial Rank. And 15 Α: 16 it says: 17 Dear DEBTOR1.... MR. SHELTON: I am objecting, Mr. Chairman. This has 18 19 nothing to do with Mr. Downer. It's 20 already an exhibit. It's a letter from 21 NCB to DEBTOR1. It's not even copied 2.2 to Mr. Downer. I am objecting to him 23 having anything to do with this exhibit. 24 COMM. BOGLE: Relevance, Mr. Levy. MR. LEVY: Mr. Chairman, this letter is in evidence 25

	already. It is in exhibit, TP 8/11. It is
1	
2	a letter from Mrs. Valda Facey, the
3	Assistant General Manager of NCB, not a
4	bank, talking about a guarantee by National
5	Investment Bank of Jamaica Limited (NIBJ) to
6	EXIM Bank for \$US500,000 J\$18.6 million
7	at the time which is what we will be talking
8	about. The letter says:
	Our letter of December 18, 1997 and
9	subsequent discussion/correspondence
10	ending with yours of January 5, 1998
11	refer.
12	We confirm agreement for the remittance of
13	receivables to us by NIBJ on the basis
14	_
15	outlined in their letter dated December 15,
16	1997.
17	We have provided NIBJ with the release of the
18	relevant receivables from our debenture and
19	now await the anticipated inflows in
20	accordance with arrangements. This talks
21	specifically about the release of the
	relevant receivables from the debenture of
22	NCB and it concludes: We await the
23	anticipated inflows in
24	-
<b>Э</b> Б	

1		accordance with the arrangements.
2	COMM, BOGLE:	And?
3	MR. LEVY:	I am asking Mr. Downer does this look or
4		indicate a situation where there was a
5		default or any adversarial relationship
6		between DEBTOR1COMPANY and its bank,
7		NCB? That is my question.
8	A:	No, it does not.
9	Q:	But you understand the words 'await the
10		anticipated inflows in accordance with
11		arrangements'?
12	A:	Correct.
13	Q:	What you understand that to mean?
14	A:	That we expect to see inflows happening
15		in accordance with the agreement.
16	Q:	So you do see that is not indicating
17		that DEBTOR1COMPANY is in default with
18		any money with NCB at this time, January
19		6?
20	A:	No, it doesn't indicate that.
21	Q:	Let's move on Mr. Downer. But in
22		paragraph 6 of your statement you said:
23		"these companies were deeply
24		indebted to the bank and were servicing
25		their indebtedness and were insolvent".

1 Does that letter indicate that they were 2 not servicing their indebtedness? 3 A: No. It makes it look like things were 4 0: 5 running well. No. It means that the bank was not 6 Α: 7 willing to extend credit to DEBTOR1COMPANY and they had to go 8 9 somewhere else. And the bank guaranteed it? 10 0: 11 A: NIBJ guaranteed it. Right, but the bank released it? 12 Q: 13 A: Yes, the bank had a fixed and floating charge and in the floating charge is 14 15 always receivables so they could simply 16 have said these particular receivables 17 we exclude from the floating charge. Mr. Downer, our Commissioners are 18 Q: 19 intelligent people, they know this. 20 A: Okay. 21 MR. SHELTON: Would you ask the question. MR. LEVY: 2.2 Let us go Mr. Downer, to paragraph 7. 23 You talked about letter from PwC to 24 Dunbar McFarlane. Lets look more 25 closely at that letter. Letter dated

1		6th March, 1998 from Richard Downer on
2		Price Waterhouse letterhead to Dunbar
3		McFarlane, Managing Director, NCB. in
4		this letter you said:
5		I am enclosing 3 documents which have
6		also been faxed to your office:
7		1 Description of the activities at the
8		beginning of the Receivership and the
9		staff who will be there at the
10		commencement.
11		2 the press release which I have asked
12		Winnie Hunter to review and discuss with
13		you.
14		3 A discussion of the 'hiving down'
15		issues (mainly tax) which will be
16		considered as soon as possible after
17		commencement."
18		Let's look at the press release which 1
19		take it you or your firm prepared and
20		you have to take responsibility for it.
21		The thing is headed XYZ placed in
22		receivership by NCB?
23	A:	This was a draft.
24	Q:	Draft by whom?
25	A:	Me.

- 1 Q: You are the author?
- 2 A: Yes.
- 3Q:Tell us about the previous willingness4on the part of the bank of the secured5creditor to restructure the company to
- 6 which you refer?
- 7 A: Where is this?
- 8 Q: Second line and continue:
- 9 Previous willingness on the part of the
  - secured creditors to financially

11 restructure the company.'

12 Tells about those.

13 A: Can't say where it is.

- 14 Q: 'previous' second line, right hand
- 15 side.

10

- 16 A: Which paragraph?
- 17 Q: XYZ PLACED IN RECEIVERSHIP BY NCB.

18 First paragraph:

19This afternoon XYZ Ltd was placed in20receivership by National Commercial21Bank. The company owes the bank and22other secured creditors some \$x0023million. Previous willingness on the24part of the secured creditors to25financially restructure the company..."

1		What are those?
2	A:	This is what I had been told by NCB.
3		This is why I would have them approve
4		the press release. I am just putting
5		what I understand based on what they
6		told me into the press release. They
7		would have to review it and send it out
8		if it is correct.
9	Q:	Mr. Downer, you told us you had meetings
10		and I think those meetings were with
11		Theo Golding, Mr. Chester Giddarie,
12		Mitch Stephenson and Dunbar McFarlane
13		prior to the Receivership?
14	A:	Correct.
15	Q:	So I am going to assume it was prior to
16		this letter.
17	A:	Correct.
18	Q:	And you were not told about the efforts
19		to restructure?
20	A:	I was told about them vaguely, nothing
21		specific.
22	Q:	Oh what a tangled web we weave. Was NCB
23		at that time, based on the knowledge you
24		have now, in a position to restructure
25		anything? They couldn't make a loan for

1 half a million US Dollars; were they in 2 a position to restructure anything? FINSAC was in there hovering their tail. 3 4 If the bank said that they could A: restructure it I guess they could. They 5 6 said it was contingent on harmony within 7 the shareholders, so I took it to mean 8 they could have written off some debts, 9 they could have found a way to restore it but it was contingent upon the 10 11 shareholders settling their differences. 12 That is how I understood it. 13 0: Who runs a company, Mr. Downer, the Directors or the shareholders? 14 15 A: Directors. 16 Q: Who were the shareholders of 17 DEBTOR1COMPANY in 18 March, 1998? I can't remember. If my recollections 19 Α: 20 serves me, I know that DEBTOR1.1 had 21 shares, DEBTOR1 had shares 22 and perhaps other family members as 23 well. I can't remember. The thing is I wasn't that concerned with the 24 25 shareholders.

- 1Q:So you were not aware of who the2shareholders were?
- A: During a Receivership I don't need to
  be, I relate to the assets.
- 5Q:And you knew who the directors were?6A:Even then it wouldn't have mattered to7me. I probably did. I had a meeting with8them after the Receivership started so I9must have known who they were.
- 10Q:If I told you that ninety percent of the11shares were registered in the name of12DEBTOR1 and Jeffery Pattinson13as the trustees under a trust set up by14THOMAS DOE at the time they took15over, would you accept that or you would16still dispute it?
- 17 A: I don't know. It was a big dispute in18 the court.

19 Q: I am not talking about that.

20A:I am not sure where it ended up. Several21disputes were around.

Q: If I tell you that the shareholders on record today are ninety percent the trustees of the trust of THOMAS DOE - DBETOR1.1 owns three

- 1 percent in his own right.
- Well, you could inform me but it is not 2 A: relevant to anything to do with me so 1 3 don't know and I don't really care. 4 5 You, in this press release which you 0: 6 prepared after consultation with the 7 bank spoke of willingness to restructure the credit. 8
- 9 Previous willingness on the part of the 10 secured creditors to financially 11 restructure the company were contingent 12 on the restoration of harmony within the 13 ranks of the shareholders and their 14 respective role in management.
- That is what I was told. 15 A: 16 Q: What role do shareholders have in 17 management, Mr. Downer? You have been 18 involved with many public companies. Well the first thing was that I think 19 Α: 20 the shareholdings themselves were still 21 in dispute.
- 22 Q: What?
- A: I think from recollection the
  shareholdings themselves were still in
  dispute so that could have been a

reason.

2 Q: You are skirting the question, sir. 3 Α: No, I am not. Every case is different. 4 Generally the shareholders wouldn't 5 really be relevant as you suggest. In 6 the case of the dispute as to who the 7 shareholder's actually are, there could 8 be a difference.

9 Q: But in this case one shareholder owns 90 10 percent and the one who you say is in 11 dispute owns three percent, what is the 12 relevance of that shareholders dispute 13 to the major shareholder in relation to 14 the management of the company at that 15 time?

16 A: In the case of a hypothetical company...17 Q: In this company.

18 A: I can't accept necessarily the three19 percent either.

20 Q: Okay.

A: Even if I do accept it's just disruptive
on the operations of the company when
you have squabbling of the shareholders.
But, I don't know what NCB's reasons
were for making that condition; it's not

my condition.

2Q:Okay. You are quoting Mr. Dunbar3McFarlane, could you go down the line.4A:Well, he hadn't actually said those5words. When you write a press release6you put words in quote and the person7has to approve the words.

8 Q: Okay, Mr. Downer. You were appointed to 9 take over the management of the company? 10 A: Yes, sir.

11 What did you do to recapitalize the 0: 12 operations of the company? What did you 13 do to try to recapitalize the company? 14 A: I wouldn't even try to recapitalize the 15 company, it would be good money after 16 bad. That company was so indebted that 17 there is no way I could attract share 18 capital to it. In any case I had no 19 power to issue shares, only directors 20 could do that.

21 Q: You had no what?

22 A: Powers to issue shares.

23 Q: You had no power to issue shares?

A: Not in the company, no.

25 Q: So this whole talk about putting it into

1 receivership and trying to recapitalize 2 is a farce. 3 Recapitalize? Restructure; meaning A: 4 restructure the debts. 5 Q: "The only way to improve the prospects 6 of the company now is to take over its 7 management by putting it into 8 receivership and trying to recapitalise 9 the operation". 10 I am quoting what you wrote in this 11 press release. 12 Well the only way that it could be done A: is to sell it and it would not be the 13 14 company being recapitalized. 15 Mr. Downer, you said you had no control Q: over the issue of shares? 16 17 A: Correct. 18 Q: Do you have that control in any 19 receivership? 20 I don't think so. A: So what is the relevance of that 21 0: 22 statement - putting it into receivership 23 and trying to recapitalise the 24 operations. 25 A: Well, it could be to get forgiveness of

1 some of the debts and keep it going on that basis. 2 3 Did you manage to do that in the three 0: 4 and-a-half years while you pulled out \$XXX million? 5 6 A: Well, we had to sell the company, that 7 was the only solution, and it took three- and-a-half years to sell it, 8 9 unfortunately. Was it sold or was it given away to NIBJ 10 Q: 11 at that price? 12 A: It was sold at that price, yes. Tomorrow morning we will look at some 13 Q: 14 board minutes of FINSAC. Within the Board it would have been talked about 15 16 giving the company to NIBJ. 17 Lets move on through this press release 18 drafted by you. 19 "The Receiver, Richard Downer of Price 20 Waterhouse, intends to keep the company 21 in operation. He stated that operations 22 can be more profitable under a 23 receivership." 24 Let's stop there. Which of your receiverships have shown the operations 25

1		to be more profitable?
2	A:	All of them, after the receivership
3		started than they were before.
4	Q:	What?
5	A:	All of them?
6	Q:	This one?
7	A:	Yes. This one was making a gross loss
8		in the six months before I went in
9		there; I made a gross profit.
10	Q:	What were the rates of interest being
11		charged by the bank at that time?
12	A:	I don't remember.
13	Q:	But they were in high in 1998,
14		March/April?
15	A:	Everything was high.
16	Q:	Selective memory.
17	A:	They were high, sorry.
18	Q:	I continue.
19		The Receiver, Richard Downer of Price
20		Waterhouse, intends to keep the company
21		in operation. He stated that operations
22		can be more profitable under a
23		receivership as it will provide the
24		company with a breathing space from its
25		creditors to be better able to

1		capitalise on its strengths and in a
2		better position to experience a
3		turnaround.
4		Mr. Downer, do you recall what
5		DEBTOR1's salary was at the time you took
		over?
7	A:	No.
8	Q:	Thirty- five million a year?
9	A:	No.
10	Q:	Let's have an estimate of what you
11		thought it was.
12	A:	I don't know what it was.
13	Q:	Do you think the cost of the
14		receivership which increased the
15		management cost exponentially would
16		allow it to turn around?
17	A:	Well, all I can say is that after we
18		went in it had a positive cash flow,
19		before that it didn't.
20	Q:	Then why was it you needed all this
21		overdraft money which we will come to at
22		a later stage?
23	A:	To start up, to give it a kick start.
24	Q:	But you kept demanding more overdraft to
25		be guaranteed by the bank and FINSAC.

1 A: I did because the period had run out, 2 the six months had run out. The debt 3 had been transferred to FINSAC and to 4 get an increase in the overdraft it had to be arranged with NCB. 5 6 Pardon me? Q: 7 The overdraft was with NCB and NCB would A: 8 not renew the facility unless FINSAC 9 guaranteed. FINSAC wasn't going to 10 quarantee it unless they got BoJ, Bank 11 of Jamaica approval; they never got it. 12 But there were guarantees by FINSAC of Q: 13 the overdraft which NCB required. 14 A: Well, it may have happened for one or 15 two periods but there came a point where 16 they couldn't give them another 17 quarantee. Because the hole being dug by your 18 Q: 19 receivership fees was getting deeper and 20 deeper, isn't that correct? 21 A: Because they couldn't get it from Bank 22 of Jamaica. 23 Q: No, the hole being dug by excessive 24 receivership fees caused the company to 25 go deeper and deeper into the debt.

- 1 That's your opinion, not mine. 2 0:
- It continues; 'for example, he says' and 3 they are talking about you - 'he will be 4 able to use the cash generated from sales 5 to first put an end to stockouts'. 6 Yes. A: 7
- Now on the 9th of March 1998, what did you 8 Q: know about stockouts of this company? 9 I had been told before I went in that this A: 10 was one of the problems that they were 11 experiencing. They had demand from 12 customers but they couldn't fulfill the 13 orders because they didn't have the raw 14 materials to make the products. 15 Did you verify that with anybody in the
  - company?
- No. A:

Q:

A:

You met with DEBTOR1 some days after 19 Q: the receivership?

- 20 A: Correct.
  - Did you ask him about that?

No. Nowhere in your witness statement,

No.

Q:

A:

Q:

- 23
- 25

24

16

17

18

21

- Mr. Downer, did you say that you gave
- 2 back DEBTOR1 his briefcase when you met with him at the Terra Nova hotel? 3 I did give him his briefcase back. 4 A: 5 But you don't say that here in your 0: 6 statement. 7 A: Well, I gave him back his personal 8 effects. 9 Q: Were you trying to conceal the fact that 10 DEBTOR1 had asked you at the gate of 11 DEBTOR1COMPANY on the 9th of March, 12 would you let him have his briefcase 13 with his medication in it, or were 14 trying to stay clear of that? 15 He never mentioned medication at all and A: 16 I was certainly not going to give him 17 his briefcase before I went through it. But if he had told me medication was in 18 19 it, I would certainly have given it to 20 him. 21 Weren't you invading his privacy to do Q: 2.2 that? No, I was not invading his privacy. 23 A: 24 There could have been documents in there 25 pertinent to the company.

1	Q:	You are out of order, Mr. Downer. And,
2		Mr. Chairman, you can rule me out of
3		order but he was out of order for saying
4		he would not give him back his own
5 6	COMM. BOGLE:	briefcase. Thank you very much for reminding me
7		that I should rule you out of order for
8 9	MR. LEVY:	that outburst. I am not worried about that, Mr.
10		Chairman. There are times when it is
11		good to be out of order.
12	COMM. BOGLE:	No, but the thing is that if you have
13		asked the witness a question and he has
14		answered and he has given you his reason
15		then I don't think that the outburst was
16 17	MR. LEVY:	necessary. Okay, Mr. Downer.
18		"During the breathing space, the
19		Receiver will also put together a
20		refinancing proposal at an early date
21		and seek capital from other sources,
22		repay the secured creditors and end the
23		receivership."
24	A:	That was the wish.
25	Q:	Pardon me?

- 1 That would have been the ideal outcome. A: 2 Did you ever have any discussion with 0: 3 the majority shareholder about your 4 recapitalizing the company and involving 5 other parties in share capital? 6 A: When I entered the company it is 7 always... 8 Answer my question? Q: 9 A: I am answering the question but if you 10 want a shorter answer, the answer is no. 11 0: You are giving a speech. I am not asking 12 you to do that, I am asking you to 13 answer. 14 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Levy, while I understand you want 15 yes or no but the Commission doesn't 16 mind getting the explanation. What we 17 are trying to do is get information and I know sometimes we allow for the 18 19 explanations because what we trying to 20 get is information and if the no is 21 followed by some additional information
  - we are always happy to have it.MR.LEVY:Let's hear the no first.

24 COMM. BOGLE: We are always happy to get the

2.2

23

25 explanation. Mr. Levy, whilst I

1 understand you want yes or no, but the Commission doesn't mind getting 2 explanation. What we are trying to do 3 4 is to get information and the no is 5 followed by some additional information. We are always happy to get the 6 7 explanation. MR. LEVY: Let's us move on. The question was, did 8 9 you ever have any discussions with 10 DEBTOR1, DEBTOR1 - not 11 DEBTOR1.1 because he seemed to have many -12 about recapitalizing the company? 13 A: No, because when I got in sir, and the 14 receivership started I realized it was a 15 hopeless case and recapitalization was 16 not even put on the table in terms of 17 equity. There was nowhere we could get 18 equity from in that company. 19 Could that have also involved Q: 20 restructuring the loans of the bank? 21 Yes, as I said earlier. A: 22 0: Was that addressed by you to NCB? 23 It was always probably on the table, but A: 24 I mean, we had to start somewhere, no I 25 didn't. At the end of the day of

1 course, they never got paid back and so I suppose you could say... 2 3 You never got what? 0: 4 A: At the end of the day they never got 5 paid back all their money and so I 6 suppose you could say that did happen. 7 0: Over One Hundred and odd Million Dollars. 8 9 A: And the other secured persons also I 10 think some of them didn't get fully paid 11 back. 12 Q: In the last paragraph of your Press 13 Release it says: 14 This is the second time that XYZ has 15 been placed in receivership under the 16 stewardship of a team from 17 Pricewaterhouse. 18 Receiverships are teams or individuals? 19 I think he was appointed the receiver, A: 20 he was named as a receiver, but he 21 doesn't do it alone, he does it with a 22 team. 23 On the other occasion in 19xx a Q: refinancing package.... 24 25 COMM. BOGLE: Speak into the mike, Mr. Levy.

1MR.	LEVY:	On the other occasion in 19xx a
2		refinancing package was put together
3		with Citibank and the receivership was
4		terminated after x months when the
5		company was returned to the directors.
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	You know that as a fact?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	You do?
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q:	Would it surprise you, Mr. Downer, if I
12		told you that your former partner, Brian
13		Young was that Receiver?
14	A:	It wouldn't surprise me at all.
15	Q:	It was a fact?
16	A:	It was a fact.
17	Q:	Would it surprise you if I told you his
18		appointment as a Receiver was done by
19		Citibank in the presence of DEBTOR1
20		and his father?
21	A:	It wouldn't surprise me at all.
22	Q:	And that DEBTOR1 drove his father
23		and BRIAN DOE to the factory, would
24		that surprise you?
25	A:	No, sir.

- 1Q:And he didn't take control of the assets2as he was legally required to do as you3state?
- A: That I don't know, if he had actually
  come back to take control.
- 6 Q: He might have died and gone to heaven 7 too, is that so?

8 A: It wouldn't surprise me too.

- 9 Q: Because he is a nice person. Would it 10 surprise you if I told you that BRIAN
- 11 DOE assured THOMAS DOE, and
- 12 his son DEBTOR1 that they were to continue

to manage the company, he was there to

- 14 put in systems and reorganize the
- 15 operations of the company?

- 16 A: Correct. But the main thing is that 17 there were some superfluous assets that 18 the company had on the North Coast which 19 - I was not in the firm at the time and 20 I only knew this from being told, but my 21 understanding is that there were some 22 assets on the North Coast that were 23 easily saleable. They were sold, the 24 bank was paid back and he was out. So
- 25 there was no need for him to take over

1 production and all that. It was a clear 2 path to getting out of the situation of 3 Citibank and it was done in a short 4 time. 5 Have you ever conducted a receivership, 0: 6 Mr. Downer, without moving in with an 7 army of security guards and taking over 8 the company as you were legally required 9 to, according to your words? 10 A: I don't know about any army of security 11 guards, no, but I have never been 12 fortunate enough to have an easy 13 receivership like it was on a prior 14 occasion. 15 Do you know - you saw one, Mr. Downer? Q: T think so. 16 Α: Would it surprise you if I told you 17 Q: 18 BRIAN DOE was a gentlemen? 19 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Levy, how does the answer to that 20 affect the gathering of information in this Commission? It doesn't. 21 22 A: It wouldn't surprise me if he was a 23 gentlemen. 24 MR. LEVY: I have to come back to that letter. 25 Let's move on to paragraph 7 of your

1 witness statement, the statement to the 2 Commission, no, paragraph 9 where you 3 say you were provided with an Indemnity 4 by the holders of record of the relevant 5 DEBTOR1COMPANY and DEBTOR1COMPANY2 debentures and Recon Trust Limited (a 6 7 subsidiary of FINSAC with which NCB was then 8 known to me in the negotiations concerning 9 the acquisition of the debentures) dated 9th March, 1998 in relation to both DEBTOR1-10 11 COMPANY and DEBTOR1COMPANY2. 12 To your knowledge, did the sale of that 13 loan to Recon go through? 14 A: Yes. 15 It did? Q: 16 A: Yes. 17 And so the security was sold with the 0: 18 loan? 19 Well, along with the loan went the A: 20 security. 21 Along with the security went the loan? Q: I don't know if it went in that order. 22 A: 23 They bought the loan and therefore automatically have the security. 24 25 Q: Why therefore, Mr. Downer, that being

1 the fact as you state was that the securities were transferred to Refin 2 Trust Limited? 3 4 A: I don't know. You don't know? 5 0: 6 A: No. 7 0: And you don't know a lot of other things, is that correct? 8 9 A: No. Let's move on. The last sentence, you 10 Q: 11 said, I was given a further Indemnity by 12 the same parties in or about April 1999, 13 an Indemnity in respect of what? 14 This is to do with DEBTOR1COMPANY after A: 15 it was put in receivership. Because I 16 then became an agent of the debenture 17 holder, so the relationship had changed 18 so we needed a new Indemnity. Let's move on to paragraph 10. Could you 19 Q: 20 read it for us? 21 When I undertook the receivership I took A: 22 possession and secured the companies' 23 properties and assets, as I am legally required as the Receiver to take control 24 25 of all the companies' assets.

1 Q: Was the law changed after BRIAN DOE was 2 appointed Receiver? 3 A: No. 4 Q And he was legally required to take 5 possession of the assets? A: 6 He probably did. 7 0: But you don't know if he did? 8 A: Well, he possibly did. 9 Q: He must have. 10 A: He was appointed the Receiver and he had that 11 legal power and he controlled the business 12 as was appropriate at the time. He had the 13 Q power, but he wasn't legally required? 14 Yes, he was. He had the power. A: 15 What's the basis of your statement that you 16 Q: were legally required to take control of the 17 companies' assets, required by virtue of 18 what documents or statute? 19 Well, you are in control of those assets and 20 responsible for them so you have got to take 21 A: control of them. You have to insure 22 everything else as soon as you are appointed 23 receiver. 24

78

1 Paragraph 11. When I started these 0: 2 receiverships, as in the case of other 3 receiverships, I had no certain knowledge of what I would find or how 4 5 long the receivership would take. My 6 objective was to find a buyer as soon as 7 possible, because long receiverships bring about vastly more risks than short 8 9 ones and cost substantially more. Yes. 10 Α: That being the case, you being in 11 Q: 12 charge, you taking control of 13 DEBTOR1COMPANY2 and being their agent and 14 manager, why didn't you sell the business instead of relying on the instructions of 15 FINSAC? A: We tried to get the best deal that 16 17 we could. 18 Did you advertise it overseas? Q: 19 A: No. 20 It was advertised in the local papers? Q: 21 It was, yes. A: 22 I put it to you, Mr. Downer, you went Q: 23 there and acted like a lackey of FINSAC 24 in the operations of your receivership. I don't regard that as being a lackey of 25 A:

1		FINSAC. I took exceptions to things and told
2	0	them on several occasions.
3	Q ·	Oh, several occasions?
4	A:	
5	Q	Yes.
6	-	Little occasions, big occasions, tell us?
7	A:	It is all in the report. You can see from
	£ 1.0	that how many times
8		Why did you get instructions to withdraw the
9	Q:	property from sale, withdraw it from the
10		
11		market and then go back on the market?
12		Well, I had to continue to follow their
10	_	instructions.
	$\wedge \bullet$	
13	A:	You had to what?
13	A:	You had to what? I had to ultimately follow their
	A: Q	I had to ultimately follow their
14		I had to ultimately follow their instructions.
14 15	Q	I had to ultimately follow their
14 15 16 17	Q A:	I had to ultimately follow their instructions.
14 15 16 17 18	Q	I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to
14 15 16 17	Q A:	I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to follow their instructions?
14 15 16 17 18	Q A:	I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to follow their instructions? I was their agent, they were responsible for my acts.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A: Q:	<pre>I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to follow their instructions? I was their agent, they were responsible for my acts. Yes, but their responsibility is if you</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A: Q:	I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to follow their instructions? I was their agent, they were responsible for my acts.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A: Q: A:	<pre>I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to follow their instructions? I was their agent, they were responsible for my acts. Yes, but their responsibility is if you</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A: Q: A: Q:	<pre>I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to follow their instructions? I was their agent, they were responsible for my acts. Yes, but their responsibility is if you acted wrongly in your performance.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A: Q: A:	<pre>I had to ultimately follow their instructions. What was the basis that you had to follow their instructions? I was their agent, they were responsible for my acts. Yes, but their responsibility is if you acted wrongly in your performance. Well, I think they would see it as wrong if</pre>

instructions. 1 2 Pardon me? 0: 3 I think they would see it as wrong if I A: had contravened their direct 4 5 instructions. 6 0: What they saw as right or wrong was not 7 relevant, Mr. Downer? 8 That's between me and them, it was, yes. A: 9 What's that? 0: 10 I don't understand the question. Α: 11 Whether FINSAC saw that you were 0: 12 obedient to their instructions or you 13 were disobedient to their instructions, 14 which never happened, if that was right 15 or wrong, is that relevant in this situation? You are dealing with a 16 17 receivership and you had legal 18 responsibilities to the company under 19 the Companies' Act? 20 A: I don't understand. My first duty was to the debenture holder and I had to have 21 22 regard to the fortunes of the company 23 as well. I was in a situation where 24 there were negotiations going on between 25 the debenture holder and a potential

1 buyer directly. They thought they had 2 higher prospects in locating a deal. 3 There weren't any good offers on the 4 table for a lot of the time. 5 0: There weren't any what? There weren't any good offers on the 6 A: 7 table for a lot of the time. I think there was one occasion where we could 8 9 have given Omni a couple more days and 10 they could probably have come through, 11 but when I evaluated that proposed deal 12 against NIBJ's deal, the NIBJ deal was 13 better. But there was interference, I 14 just felt that I had no option but to 15 adhere to their instructions because at 16 the price that was offered I wouldn't 17 have been able to achieve anything by 18 refusing to do their bidding in terms of 19 the sale of the companies. 20 Mr. Downer, you said there were very few Q: 21 bidders? 22 A: Yes, very few bidders in the whole 23 enterprise, yes, there were piecemeal 2.4 bids for various aspects. 25 Q: Let us address DEBTOR1COMPANY2.

1 Α: Yes. 2 0: You said there were very few bidders? 3 Α: Yes. 4 0: Would this have improved if you had 5 advertised it in the professional 6 journals, business journals and 7 overseas? 8 I don't think so. A: 9 You don't think so? 0: 10 A: I do not. 11 Q: But you were quite happy to carry out 12 the instructions of your appointors? 13 A: Well, we were working on different 14 thrusts and they did listen to me when I 15 said I have something in the wings which 16 may be better than this and they would 17 sometime say okay, go ahead. I remember 18 distinctly when Musdsen came to DEBTOR1-19 COMPANY2 the words of Ralph Thompson who was 20 in charge at that time said, "This thing 21 is only running because the operators 22 and the machines have a love affair, 23 they understand each other." 24 He said they were never going to satisfy 25 anybody who is going to operate it

1 unless they have the things put 2 together. Who said that? 3 Q: 4 A: Ralph Thompson. 5 0: And he is an experienced plastic company operator? 6 7 He was in Seprod at the time, A: Q: He is an attorney? 8 9 Maybe, but he has experience. A: 10 In running a plastic company? 0: 11 A: Running a manufacturing company. 12 Q: Plastic manufacturing is a specific type 13 of manufacturing company, as you learned 14 from Schoeller. Tell us about the 15 discussions with Mr. Schoeller or his 16 representatives. 17 Mr. Schoeller came to Jamaica, they had A: 18 a mold issue, I think you referred to it 19 earlier. The molds were being used for 20 the Desnoes and Geddes crates. The two 21 molds involved were in fact owned by Desnoes and Geddes and DEBTOR1COMPANY 22 23 had a licence to manufacture the crates 24 with those molds in Jamaica for Desnoes 25 and Geddes. In my recollection there

1 was a royalty fee to be paid to Schoeller and I couldn't find anything 2 3 in the Accountant's records.... 4 0: That's not part of the question. 5 Α: Pardon me? That's not part of the question. 6 Q: 7 You said I must tell you the whole thing Α: with Schoeller. 8 9 Did Schoeller tell you that plastic 0: 10 manufacturing was a technical, technical 11 thing to do and needed somebody who 12 knows what to do, not just a factory 13 manager? 14 He could have told me that. I don't A: 15 know if he even came to the island, I 16 don't recall meeting him. 17 Q: Did you suggest to the Schoeller 18 representatives - did the Schoeller 19 representatives suggest to you that you 20 should try to re-engage DEBTOR1 21 to do the manufacturing? 22 Well, I am afraid his track record A: 23 is.... 24 Pardon me? 0: 25 A: His track record was not very good on

1		that score.
2	Q:	Wasn't what?
3	A:	His track record wasn't very good on
4		that score. In fact, we had a
5		manufacturing man in there at the time.
6	Q:	Plastic manufacturing?
7	A:	Yes, DEBTOR1COMPANY really dealt with
8		plastic manufacturing, so would Creary.
9	Q:	Do these words, "I don't want any ghost
10		in there", remind you of anything?
11	A:	No, nothing.
12	Q:	It doesn't remind you that's what you
13		told them when they suggested
14	A:	Okay, DEBTOR1 told me. That's part
15		of it because I didn't want to engage
16		employees who had different loyalties
17		and somebody reporting back to people
18		outside the company things which were
19		going on and mischief being made of it.
20	Q:	Your interest in securing creditors,
21		did it extend beyond monies owing to NCB
22		and NCB Trust and Merchant Bank?
23	A:	The other security did not - you don't
24		want me to say no, but I will start with
25		the word 'no'. However, even the

1 unsecured creditors I had to deal with 2 in the way mandated by the law. So I 3 couldn't pay out anybody other than the preferential order for payment. So I 4 5 had to take the other secured creditors out as they needed to be under the law. 6 But you are addressing in your witness 7 0: 8 statement, you as Receiver and Manager 9 appointed by NCB and Recon Trust had 10 your responsibility to the companies and 11 to NCB and Recon Trust? 12 I had responsibilities to all the A: 13 creditors as well, for sure. 14 You suggest in the region that \$XXX Q: 15 Million was owed to the following: 16 Caldon Merchant Bank, Citizens Bank, 17 CIBC, Eagle Merchant Bank, Horizon 18 Merchant Bank, International Trust & 19 Merchant Bank, EXIM Bank, NIBJ, 20 Trafalgar Development Bank, NCB Trust & 21 Merchant Bank and National Commercial 22 Bank. I am putting it to you your 23 concern with these other secured 24 creditors because they were all 25 controlled except one, by FINSAC.

1 A: No, sir. There were secured creditors at 2 different entities and we had to deal 3 with them exactly on that basis. 4 You said, I also informed them in the Q: 5 said Report at Appendix 4.1 that DEBTOR1-6 COMPANY2's indebtedness to financial 7 institutions stood at \$XXX MILLION and 8 that this was owed to Caldon Merchant 9 Bank and International Trust & Merchant 10 Bank and National Commercial Bank, 11 How much was owed to National commercial 12 Bank? 13 By DEBTOR1COMPANY2? A: 14 0: Yes. A: 15 \$XX Million. 16 Q: So the amount owed to Caldon Merchant 17 Bank and International Trust & Merchant 18 Bank was relatively small? 19 A: Yes. 20 0: It seemed to have been of great concern 21 to you? 22 A: Sorry. 23 Q: It seemed to have been of great concern 24 to you. 25 What was of great concern to me? A:

1 Pardon me? Q: What was of great concern to me? 2 A : 3 To see these small amounts were owed to Q: two other FINSAC banks? 4 5 A: I don't know what you are talking about; 6 I don't understand you. 7 COMM. BOGLE: On that note we will have our 8 adjournment for the day. 9 Mr. Levy, you will be back here tomorrow morning at 9:30. It will be just for 10 11 half a day because Mr. Downer will be 12 leaving the island as we indicated 13 earlier. So we will be back here 14 tomorrow morning at 9:30. 15 MR. LEVY: Yes, sir. 16 COMM. BOGLE: Mr. Downer, we look forward to seeing 17 you tomorrow morning. 18 A: Yes, sir. 19 20 ADJOURNMENT 21 22 23 24 25