VERBATIM NOTES
OF
COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN THE 1990s
HELD AT
THE JAMAICA PEGASUS HOTEL 81 KNUTSFORD BOULEVARD, KINGSTON 5
ON
TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2011

PRESENT WERE:

COMMISSIONERS

Mr. Charles Ross Mr. Worrick Bogle

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION

Hon. Justice Henderson Downer (Retired)

SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Mr. Fernando DePeralto

ATTORNEY MARSHALING EVIDENCE FOR THE COMMISSION

Miss Judith Clarke- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING JAMAICAN REDEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

Mrs. Sandra Minott-Phillips - Attorney-at-Law Mr. Gavin Goffe- Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING MR PATRICK HYLTON

Mr Dave Garcia - Attorney-at-Law

REPRESENTING FINSAC LIMITED

Mr. Christopher Samuda - Attorney-at-Law

GIVING EVIDENCE

DEBTOR

1		Tuesday May 3, 2011DEBTOR
2	COMM. BOGLE:	Good morning ladies and gentlemen, this
3		Enquiry is now in session. For the
4		record may we have the names of the
5		attorneys present.
6	MR. SAMUDA:	Good morning Commissioners. Christopher
7		Samuda of Samuda and Johnson. I am
8		representing FINSAC Limited.
9	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Sandra Minott-Phillips instructed by
10		Myers Fletcher and Gordon, representing
11		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation Inc.
12	MS. CLARKE:	Judith Clarke, appearing on behalf of
13		the Commission.
14	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Might we have the annotation please.
15	COMM. BOGLE:	She is marshaling the evidence on behalf
16		of the Commission.
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Thank you very much.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay. Last time we were here we had
19		DEBTOR. DEBTOR is to
20		continue this morning. DEBTOR, can
21		you please come to the desk.
22		(Witness Sworn)
23		I think the last time you were here
24		there was a Judgement that should have
25		been circulated.

1		I think, Mr. Secretary, you had promised
2		to copy it.
3	MR. DePERALTO:	Yes. That has been copied and another
4		document which we got from DEBTOR so
5		let me just check.
6	MR. SAMUDA:	May I crave the indulgence of the
7		Commission just to place on record that
8		Samuda and Johnson have been recently
9		retained to represent FINSAC at this
10		Enquiry and indicate that we will be
11		attending the sessions hereafter.
12	COMM. BOGLE:	Maybe in the interest of time we could
13		continue. We know that there were the
14		two documents to be circulated. Miss
15		Clarke?
16	MS. CLARKE:	In fact, Mr. Chairman, it is my
17		understanding that the documents; the
18		Judgement and the completed loan
19		agreement have in fact been circulated.
20		The latter one has come to my hand this
21		morning but I have not had the
22		opportunity of seeing the Judgement and
23		I am presuming that it has been
24		circulated to my learned friends as
25		well.

1		I believe on the last occasion we had in
2		fact gone some place into the evidence
3		relative to the second part, if you will,
4		of DEBTOR's testimony, i.e., that portion
5		having to do with DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and
6		DEBTOR COMPANY#2, but DEBTOR had
7		indicated, and in fact is still
8		indicating, that there are certain data
9		that are relevant to the first aspect of
10		his testimony that he would like to
11		introduce before we actually continue.
12		These documents based on my instructions,
13		are with respect to his concerns as they
14		relate to DEBTOR COMPANY#3 and DEBTOR
15		COMPANY#4. So perhaps before we continue,
16		DEBTOR could be allowed to indicate, with
17		your leave
1 0		19 Mr. Chairman,
just	what documents he	has
20		and what he intends to show by the
21		introduction of these documents.
22	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Before you do that, Mr. Chairman, with
23		respect, my friend made reference just a
24		while ago to a loan agreement that she
25		has received a copy of. I have only

1		received last night a copy of a Judgment
2		that the Commissions referred to on the
3		last occasion. I therefore am at a loss
4		as to what agreement she has received
5		and wonder whether in fact I might be
6		afforded a copy.
7	MS. CLARKE:	It is in fact incorporated as an exhibit
8		to the Affidavit but my friend would
9		have received it save that a page was
10		missing. So I believe what was copied
11		for us was the full document inclusive
12		now of page 18. That is the loan
13		agreement dated 9th November, 1989,
14		based on my instructions.
15	COMM. BOGLE:	Which exhibit was that?
16 17	MS. CLARKE: MRS. PHILLIPS:	I crave your indulgence, sir. For the record I could just say I am
18		joined by Gavin Goffe.
19	MS. CLARKE:	I believe it is DEBTOR; AS13, and
20		in fact I think on the last occasion it
21		was apparent that a portion of it was
22		missing. In fact what was included as a
23		page, as the last page, did not belong
24		there. So I think there has been some
25		attempt to remedy it just by the

1		admission of the last page, the page
2		numbered 18.
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, the page with the signature was
4		missing. AS13.
5	MS. CLARKE:	I am actually looking at mine.
6	MRS. PHILLIPS:	AS what?
7	COMM. BOGLE:	AS13.
8	MS. CLARKE:	It is the Schedule that is attached.
9	MRS. PHILLIPS:	The other pages are now available?
10	COMM. BOGLE:	We stopped at page 17 of the document.
11	MS. CLARKE:	There is one now in circulation with a
12		schedule attached.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	And there is a signature page?
14	MS. CLARKE:	I have not seen the signature page.
15	COMM. BOGLE:	The document is still without a
16		signature.
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I have not seen the circulated document
18		yet.
19	COMM. BOGLE:	Miss Clarke, are you saying that
20		document you have, that is now in
21		circulation, has been distributed?
22	MS. CLARKE:	That was my understanding based on my
23		interaction with the office. It was
24		handed to me a short while ago and it
25		was in fact one of the documents that

1		wanted some adjustments for completion
2		so in fact when I was submitting I
3		didn't have a look at the entire
4		document. It was just handed to me a
5		while ago and I was told it is one of
6		the documents DEBTOR has brought to
7		meet the request that was made.
8	MR. DePERALTO:	DEBTOR brought some documents
9		yesterday which have not been
10		introduced.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	What we are dealing with is exhibit AS13
12		and that had stopped at page 17 but the
13		document referred to a schedule and we
14		did not receive the schedule.
15		Miss Clarke is saying that she has got
16		that schedule now and she will now hand
17		it to you.
18	MS. CLARKE:	Correction, Mr. Chairman, I am not
19		saying I got that schedule. I now have a
20		document which admits to a page entitled
21		'Schedule'.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, the loan agreement page dated the
23		19th of November.
24	MR. DePERALTO:	We got that yesterday evening, it is
25		here.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	It is among the document there?
2	MR. DePERALTO: COMM. BOGLE:	Yes. Can we have that then so we can deal
4		with that matter?
5	MR. DePERALTO:	There were two documents to which
6		reference was made by DEBTOR; one was
7		the loan agreement and one was a
8		photocopy of the back of the cheque.
9	COMM BOGLE:	First one we are trying to get sorted
10		out would be
11	MR. SAMODA:	For the record, Commissioners, in fact
12		we have the document which is of course
13		in the witness statement but it is
14		incomplete and I understand that the
15		schedule and the signature pages are in
16		fact not appended to the document. That
17		I suspect will be furnished shortly.
18	MS. CLARKE:	So we move on then.
19	COMM. BOGLE:	What has been circulated now is loan
20		agreement dated the 9th day of November,
21		the only difference that I can see
22		between this document and the one that
23		was attached to the witness statement is
24		that a schedule that is now attached to
25		this document, there is still no

1		signature page attached to the document.
2	MR. SAMUDA:	May I be permitted, Commissioners, just
3		to indicate that Mr. Brian Moodie has
4		just joined us. He also is representing
5		FINSAC.
6	MR. MOODIE:	Morning Commissioners. Sorry to be
7		late.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay. The other document that we
9		received, we received as well a
10		document, Notice of Appeal, and the
11		Judgement that we were promised seems to
12		be attached.
13	MS. CLARKE:	Would that be the Judgement of
14		Mr. Justice James dated November 5, 7 and
15		April 3, 2009.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	This is Mr. Justice Jones.
17	MS. CLARKE:	And the last date there is April 3,
18		2009; there are three dates, sub-headed
19		'Heard'. The dates indicating the date
20		when the matter was heard.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	April 3, 2009. November 5, 7, 2007 and
22		April 3, 2007.
23	MS. CLARKE:	That is in fact the Judgement to which
24		the witness referred.
25	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Except that the copy of the Judgement

1		attached to the Notice and Grounds of
2		Appeal, appears to have a page misplaced
3		right after the first page. I know this
4		because a copy was sent to me by the
5		secretariat by e-mail and the one sent
6		to me by e-mail reads consecutively. In
7		other words paragraph two follows on
8		paragraph one of the Honourable Mr.
9		Justice Jones' Judgement and it
10		certainly does not have that second page
11		in that position. I am not saying it is
12		not a page, I have not had a chance to
13		read the whole Judgement yet but that
14		page is in the wrong place.
15	MS. CLARKE:	My understanding, Mr. Chairman, is that
16		this Judgement was in fact made
17		available to circumstance employees by
18		it. As a document not as part at notice
19		of Appeal and in fact I have been given
20		a copy of the entire Judgement of
21		Mr. Justice Jones standing alone.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	The Judgement surely was promised but
23		along with that many must common it's
24		notice of peal sect section adjournment
25		separately circulated by e-mail

1		yesterday:
2	MRS. PHILLIPS:	If you look through the Judgement,
3		Commissioners, you will see paragraph
4		numbers are all in square brackets. If
5		you look at that second page behind the
6		Notice and Grounds of Appeal you see it
7		starts at paragraph 6 at the top and
8		those paragraphs aren't in square
9		brackets. That page was not included in
10		what was circulated to us by e-mail. I
11		am just saying that, that page seems to
12		be misplaced in the attachments to the
13		Notice and Grounds of Appeal.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Oh yes, I see.
15		It seems that the first page two if one
16		may call it that, seems to be misplaced.
17	MR. DePERALTO:	Sorry, sir. The Judgement itself was
18		circulated yesterday via e-mail and that
19		as far as I am aware is intact. If that
20		is not available we can have copies done
21		in the office for circulation.
22	MRS. PHILLIPS:	It is fine, it is just that, that one
23		page seems to be stapled, I don't know.
24		I just wanted to alert the Commission
25		that, that page does not appear to be a

1		part of the Judgement.
2	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay. Can we move on then.
3	MS. CLARKE:	Am I to understand, Mr. Chairman that
4		these are documents to be marked as
5		exhibits?
6	COMM. BOGLE:	They may be presented as exhibits, yes.
7		In the first instance - let's go back a
8		bit - in the first instance the loan
9		agreement that is AS13, the part that
10		was missing - AS13, had referred to a
11		schedule and that this schedule now
12		attached to the loan agreement is being
13		put forward as the schedule that had
14		been missing.
15	MS. CLARKE:	I haven't heard the witness say that.
16		Perhaps for the benefit of all he maybe
17		permitted to look at it and enlighten us
18		all as to whether or not it does in fact
19		belong.
20	COMM. BOGLE:	Can you take him through that.
21	MS. CLARKE:	May the document be put in the witness's
22		hand, please, with the schedule
23		attached.
24		(Document given to witness)
25		DEBTOR, could you turn for me please,

1		your Witness Statement now, to the
2		document marked AS13 in your Witness
3		Statement.
4	A:	At which paragraph?
5	Q:	I don't know the particular paragraph,
6		to AS13, one of the exhibits in the
7		list, the one marked 13, AS13.
8		May I be permitted to assist him?
9 10	COMM. BOGLE: A:	Yes. Yes, ma'am.
11	MS. CLARKE:	On the last occasion you will recall
12		that it was pointed out that a schedule
13		to which this document refers was not
14		attached to that AS13; right DEBTOR?
15	A:	Yes.
16	Q:	So I going to ask you to look at this
17		document standing alone that was just
18		put in your hand that has at the top the
19		letter 'B'.
20	A:	Yes. That is not marked 'B' but I have
21		the document.
22	Q:	It is not marked B at the time top? At
23		the very top; this is the certificate
24		that this is a true and correct?
25	A:	Yes.

1	Q:	We just want to establish that, that is
2		in fact the same document that you
3		purport to refer to as ASI3 in your
4		Witness Statement. Is that correct?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	What you are holding in your hand - not
7		the Witness Statement now - it has a
8		last page that is headed up 'schedule'?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	You are looking at it?
11	A:	Yes.
12	Q:	Does this page marked 'schedule' in fact
13		represent the schedule referred to in
14		this loan agreement?
15	A:	Yes.
16	Q:	It is the schedule applicable to this
17		loan agreement?
18	A:	Yes.
19	Q:	Thank you, DEBTOR.
20	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Before it is admitted in evidence
21		Commissioner, if you look at ASI3 and
22		look at page four, and go to the bottom
23		of that page, you will see Item 6 in
24		clause 5.2 and if you go to page 6 in
25		the top clause you will see a reference

1		to Item 7 of the schedule?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	And if you go to page 9, the penultimate
4		paragraph, you will see Item 8 of the
5		Schedule and if you go to page 11 the
6		last sub paragraph being letter (i) you
7		see a reference to Item 9 of the
8		schedule. You will notice that what you
9		have been handed - there are perhaps
10		others, I am just checking as I am going
11		through but those are the ones that have
12		been picked. You will notice that what
13		has been presented to you stops at Item
14		5.
15 16	COMM. BOGLE: MS. CLARKE:	Yes. Based on the witness's evidence that,
17		that to him represents the schedule, I
18		would ask that it be admitted,
19		nonetheless. I am sure the Commission
20		will deal with it appropriately having
21		regard to the entirety of the data. On
22		the evidence this is what the witness
23		said that it is.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	I think we could accept this but
25		recognizing there seems to be on the

1		face of it additional pages not
2		represented.
3	MRS. PHILLIPS:	So we could designate it as the first
4		page of the schedule.
5	MR. SAMUDA:	I believe what would be the correct
6		procedure would be first page of the
7		schedule because it is incomplete.
8	MS. CLARKE:	My difficulty is that Commission the may
9		so find but we are now dealing with the
10		evidence of a witness. However flawed
11		it may turn out to be this is his
12		evidence. To the extent that it may
13		seem to speak for itself in that there
14		is an Item 1 at the top, incongruous as
15		it may sound, we don't know that this
16		represents the first page of the
17		schedule. The evidence as we have it so
18		far is not that it represents the first
19		page of the schedule. We don't have any
20		evidence from anywhere saying it
21		represents the first page of the
22		schedule. This, on the witness's
23		evidence is what to him, what based on
24		his evidence represents the schedule
25		that was attached to the agreement. It

1		may very well be that this is what he
2 3	COMM. BOGLE:	has. As I said we will accept this document.
4		We will also accept it as the first
5		page; based on the wording of the
6		schedule it would appear to be that and
7		we will move on from that.
8	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Much obliged. What number would that
9 10	COMM. BOGLE:	be, 13A? Yes, 13A.
11	MS. CLARKE:	To the extent, Mr. Chairman, that this
12		represents material relevant to the
13		second portion of his complaint and the
14		witness had in fact indicated to me
15		earlier that there are some matters that
16		he would wish to revisit, just for the
17		purpose of introducing the document I
18		wonder whether we could be allowed so he
19		could deal with it with some tidiness
20		and then we continue with the matter
21		touching and concerning Eagle because he
22		said he has some other DEBTOR COMPANY#3
data		
23		which we had for all intents and
24		purposes finished with already.

25 COMM. BOGLE: Okay. Yes go ahead.

1	MS. CLARKE:	DEBTOR, in relation to DEBTOR COMPANY#3,
and		
2		DEBTOR COMPANY#4, could
3		you just for the purposes of this
4		Commission itemize the documents that
5		you have that you are interested in
6		introducing additional to the ones that
7		were introduced already. Just itemize
8		them without giving any evidence on them
9		at first.
10	A:	Well, what I fear is that we get bogged
11		down with the details of documents and
13		12 we miss the core
14		of the evidence I would like to bring
15		before this Commission. supplied all of
16		these documents long ago and I think there
17		is a problem with getting the document
18		and the witness statement and matching
19		all of those and I have to apologise to
20		Miss Clarke because she set out the way
21		she would advise me to present the things
22		and she allowed me to do my Witness
23		Statement and I brought all of those, but
24		in between and the space you have there
		and all of that, there is a total

disarrangement of the documents and how

1		they are listed. I would hate to know
2		that we get bogged down in legalese and
3		court procedure and miss some of the
4		important things that I want and the
5		evidence I want to get before you.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	DEBTOR, you had a few days to get
7		your thoughts and papers in line and we
8		are being told that you have some
9		additional documents that you would like
10		to pass on to the Commission. What we
11		are asking you for is a list of those
12		documents because it is based on
13		documents that come before us that this
14		Commission will be doing its work.
15		Therefore if you have documents and you
16		wish to put them forward, can you please
17		give us a list of the documents and then
18		we can go through them.
19	A:	I'll give you a list for DEBTOR COMPANY#3
20		first and it has my Witness Statement,
21		then I have a page called Summary of
22 23	MS. CLARKE:	Financial Information. I have specially Hold on a minute, Is it that you are
24		saying the first document which you want
25		to refer to is a Witness Statement that

1		you gave at some other place?
2	A:	It is critical.
3	Q:	I am just asking you, in which case I
4		would ask you just to itemize - find the
5		document and give us the date of it
6		Witness Statement of DEBTOR dated
7		what date?
8	A:	The witness statement, the one that I am
9		now, the witness statement that is here.
10	COMM. BOGLE:	You are referring to the witness
11		statement in front of the Commission?
12	A:	This witness statement in front of the
13		Commission
14	COMM. BOGLE:	What about the witness statement.
15	A:	I am just saying that the documents I
16		want to put before you are in the
17		witness statement in a particular way.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	You mean they have been referred to in
19		the witness statement?
20	A:	Referred to, but there are documents and
21		there is a mix up between them, there
22		are documents that I want to highlight
23		before this Commission that are not so
24		specified in the witness statement and I
25		would like to the Commission to get this

1		list, the Table of Contents to the
2		witness statement that I prepared and
3		there are some very critical things that
4		you need to understand if you are going
5		to get to the root of what we are all
6		about here. And take for example
7	COMM. BOGLE:	DEBTOR, based on what you are saying,
8		we believe that we should continue where
9		we left off. When you have finished
10		that then we can come back to all that
11		you have. So let us continue where we
12		were at this stage and when you have
13		finished with that we will come back to
14		all of that.
15	A:	Only that Mr. Chairman, that this
16		document is part of what was presented
17		but did not have to page yet.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, but we will still leave that. We
19		will come back to that.
20		We will leave everything and I am going
21		to ask Miss Clarke to just pick up where
22		we left off the last time we were here
23		and come back to it.
24	MS. CLARKE:	For my benefit I will just ask, have we
25		been afforded a copy of the verbatim

1		notes to be reminded as what the last
2		thing was. My recollection is that we
3		were at paragraph 57, I just want to be
4		certain.
5	COMM. BOGLE:	My recollection, unfortunately I do not
6		have the notes but we were at 61. That
7		is my recollection.
8	MS. CLARKE:	I recall evidence having been given
9		relevant to paragraph 60 so perhaps you
10		are correct it's 61.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	61 is where we are at.
12	MS. CLARKE:	DEBTOR, could you just, following on
13		the order from the Chair continue from
14		paragraph 61 which is at page 9 of the
15		witness statement please, and read for
16		us paragraph 61 to 62.
17	DEBTOR:	"In February 1992 Eagle Merchant Bank
18		wrote to DEBTOR COMPANY#1
19		to say that the principal borrower was
20		in default of its debts. While
21		negotiations were taking place
22		XXX Limited which
23		is a guarantor made further payments
24		under protest as follows:
25		And they have the list there: 140, 40,

1		30, 90, 60, total of 360,000.
2	Q:	And paragraph 62, please.
3	A:	Manufacturers Merchant Bank approached
4		Eagle Merchant Bank on behalf of an
5		associate company and Eagle Merchant
6		Bank supplied a statement of debt owing
7		by DEBTOR COMPANY#1. This statement
8		included amounts subsequently identified
9 10		to be an unrelated the line of credit to $XXX\ Company.$ And See letter
11		dated May 19 1993 from Eagle Merchant
12		Bank to Manufacturers Merchant Bank.
13	Q:	Okay, that is referred to in the Witness
14		Statement as DEBTOR or AS 22. Could you
15		turn to the first one for us please,
		cain to the first one for as prease,
16		DEBTOR?
	A:	
16	A: Q:	DEBTOR?
16 17		DEBTOR? Yes.
16 17 18		DEBTOR? Yes. Before you look at that exhibit in
16 17 18 19		DEBTOR? Yes. Before you look at that exhibit in relation to paragraph 61, do you,
16 17 18 19 20		DEBTOR? Yes. Before you look at that exhibit in relation to paragraph 61, do you, DEBTOR, happen to have any data proving
16 17 18 19 20 21		DEBTOR? Yes. Before you look at that exhibit in relation to paragraph 61, do you, DEBTOR, happen to have any data proving the actual payments that you have listed
16 17 18 19 20 21		DEBTOR? Yes. Before you look at that exhibit in relation to paragraph 61, do you, DEBTOR, happen to have any data proving the actual payments that you have listed in paragraph 61. I have 140,000, 40,

1		with that?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	Do you have it here now?
4	A:	Yes. By the time I am finished looking
5		for these documents I am saying, I don't
6		even remember what it was that I was
7		saying and I really need to present the
8		thing in the order that I have it.
9	Q:	We can come back to that at some point.
10		If you have it
11	A:	Yes, I have all those documents.
12	Q:	I am sure you will be afforded the
13		opportunity to supply them.
14	A:	Yes, that's what I was trying to do,
15		having listed the documents that are
16		here that I have been supplied.
17	Q:	AS 22, that is the letter dated May 19,
18		1993, from Eagle Merchant Bank of
19		Jamaica Limited to Manufacturers
20		Merchant Bank Limited.
21	A:	Yes, I have that.
22	Q:	Which states that this statement
23		includes the amount subsequently
24		identified to be an unrelated line of
25		credit to DEBTOR COMPANY#1.

1	Q:	Could you point out the aspect of that
2		document that supports that assertion?
3	A:	You see a star beside it. Line of
4		Credit: xxxxx, Principal: Xm;
5		Interest to 31.5.93, Xk; Total:
6		Xm.
7	Q:	And it's unrelated to your
8	A:	Yes, when you look at the Witness
9		Statement of FINSAC people and you come
10		to look at the Statement of Claim you
11		will see that, that has been properly
12		omitted.
13	Q:	So are you saying it was not taken
14		account of, in the court matter that was
15		not taken account of in the computation
16		of your liability?
17	A:	This was not in the court matter. But
18		the point I am trying to make here is
19		the sloppiness of the accounting that
20		has come to be a part of the evidence
21 22	MS. CLARKE:	that went before the court. Yes. AS 22, Mr. Chairman, and the
23		witness has indicated that the aspect
24		that is relevant is at the asterisk on
25		the document, that line of credit xxxxx

1		and the items that follow horizontally.
2		Paragraph 63 DEBTOR, could you read
3		paragraph 63.
4	A:	Paragraph 63?
5	Q:	Yes, please.
6	A:	On June 4th 1993 Eagle Merchant Bank
7		wrote to the guarantor with statement
8		claiming that DEBTOR COMPANY#1 was in
9		default, AS 23. And on June 17,1993
10		Eagle Merchant Bank filed suit in the
11		Supreme Court claiming outstanding debt
12		owing to Eagle Merchant Bank, the
13		guarantors were named as defendants.
14	Q:	AS 23 Mr Chairman and Commissioners, is
14 15	Q:	AS 23 Mr Chairman and Commissioners, is a letter addressed to DEBTOR
	Q:	
15	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR
15 16	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR care of DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and
15 16 17	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR care of DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2. It's dated June 4,
15 16 17 18	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR care of DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2. It's dated June 4, 1993 indicating as outlined in the
15 16 17 18	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR care of DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2. It's dated June 4, 1993 indicating as outlined in the Witness Statement that the above
15 16 17 18 19	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR care of DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2. It's dated June 4, 1993 indicating as outlined in the Witness Statement that the above captioned borrower DEBTOR COMPANY#1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR care of DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2. It's dated June 4, 1993 indicating as outlined in the Witness Statement that the above captioned borrower DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2 has
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q:	a letter addressed to DEBTOR care of DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2. It's dated June 4, 1993 indicating as outlined in the Witness Statement that the above captioned borrower DEBTOR COMPANY#1 and DEBTOR COMPANY#2 has defaulted in payment of its account, and

1		because I believe there has been in the
2		foregoing testimony data, specific data
3		given relative to these figures. I
4		don't know Mr. Chairman, if you would
5		want the document to be read into the
6		evidence.
7	COMM BOGLE:	The statement you are referring to is
8		AS23?
9	MR. CLARKE:	So, there are two facilities that I see
10		on the document. It says:
11		Demand Loan #xxxx and;
12		Line of Credit #xxxx sorry, it should be
13		really 3. And then a third;
14		Line of credit #xxxxx with the interest
14 15		Line of credit #xxxxx with the interest figures.
	COMM BOGLE:	
15	COMM BOGLE: MS CLARKE:	figures.
15 16		<pre>figures. 3 facilities, I am sorry.</pre>
15 16 17	MS CLARKE:	<pre>figures. 3 facilities, I am sorry. 3 facilities.</pre>
15 16 17 18	MS CLARKE:	<pre>figures. 3 facilities, I am sorry. 3 facilities. And you will note that there were four</pre>
15 16 17 18	MS CLARKE:	figures. 3 facilities, I am sorry. 3 facilities. And you will note that there were four facilities in one of the claims made by
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS CLARKE:	figures. 3 facilities, I am sorry. 3 facilities. And you will note that there were four facilities in one of the claims made by the Eagle, the one that I referred to,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS CLARKE: A:	figures. 3 facilities, I am sorry. 3 facilities. And you will note that there were four facilities in one of the claims made by the Eagle, the one that I referred to, XXX Company.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS CLARKE: A: COMM BOGLE:	figures. 3 facilities, I am sorry. 3 facilities. And you will note that there were four facilities in one of the claims made by the Eagle, the one that I referred to, XXX Company. On May 19th, there were four facilities?

1		at the asterisk does not appear on this
2		recent letter, on the letter dated
3		June 4?
4	MS CLARKE:	Read from paragraph
5	COMM BOGLE:	So AS 23 accepted in evidence?
6	MS CLARKE:	That is so, Mr. Chairman. Could you read
7		paragraph 64 through to the end of 65.
8	A:	The Defendant's name in the suit
9		(including myself), followed a parallel
10		course by continuing negotiation with
11		Eagle Merchant Bank with the support of
12		a representative from Manufacturers
13		Merchant Bank and also applied to have
14		Eagle Merchant Bank add DEBTOR COMPANY#1
15		as a co-defendant. The latter
16		application lasted for over three years
17		up to the Court of Appeal where it was
18		finally ruled that Eagle Merchant Bank
19		need not add the principal borrower
20		Around May, 1994 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 gave
21		Eagle Merchant Bank an agreement to
22		sell its property at XXX Street
23		for the sum of J\$Xm. This
24		property formed part
25	COMM BOGLE:	Just a minute. I hear someone talking,

1		could you please be very quiet because
2		it's feeding back up here. If you need
3		to talk please vacate the room where you
4		can talk aloud.
5		Co ahead, DEBTOR.
6	A:	This property formed part of the
7		securities offered by DEBTOR COMPANY#1.
8		The deposit of \$Xk accompanied
9		the agreement which had a special
10		condition that the seller and purchaser
11		would pay all costs which meant that the
12		gross proceeds would go to Eagle
13		Merchant Bank. See copy of agreement
14		dated 16th September, 1994 DEBTOR 24.
15MS	CLARKE:	That document we are just going to
16		simply ask that it be admitted as
17		identified, as AS 24, if it pleases you,
18		Mr. Chairman. This is an agreement
19		dated 16th September 1994. Vendor,
20		DEBTOR COMPANY#1 & DEBTOR COMPANY#2
21		and the purchaser is
22		xxxx, AS 24.
23	A:	Only Mr. Chairman, if I had my way of
24		presenting, this document would be
25		included in the Discharge of Mortgage

1		and all the other documents including a
2		Memorandum of Complete Satisfaction to
3		give a complete picture. What we are
4		doing here is taking out the pieces as
5		it is in the statement.
6	COMM BOGLE:	We will get the picture as we go along.
7	A:	I look forward to that, sir.
8	COMM BOGLE:	We will get the picture. Okay, this
9		document AS 24 accepted in evidence.
10		Miss Clarke?
11	MS CLARKE:	Okay. DEBTOR I believe you are going
12		to read from paragraph 66 now, I think,
13		where perhaps based on what you just
14		said your way is probably going to be
15		reflected from paragraph 66 in terms of
16		the sequence and 68. Could you read for
17		us, please.
18	A:	Yes. "The Chairman of Eagle Merchant
19		Bank and his the Deputy executed a
20		Memorandum of Complete Satisfaction
21		"AS 25" which was subsequently filed
22		with the Registrar of Companies. Along
23		with mortgage discharged documents
24		("AS 26") there was the holding out by
25		Eagle Merchant Bank that the debt for

1		which the mortgage stood as security
2		(being \$Xm plus interest) was
3		fully satisfied. A computer printout
4		statement of account showing zero
5		balance "AS 27" was given to us in
6		about 1995.
7	Q:	All right, could you pause there and
8		could we just look at the document, the
9		Memorandum of Complete Satisfaction and
10		that computer printout which you
11		referred to as AS 25, 26 and 27 and the
12		discharge documents too.
13	A:	I don't see those in this thing now.
14	Q:	AS 25 is attached to your Witness
15		Statement, Memorandum of Complete
16		Satisfaction registered mortgage
17		DEBTOR COMPANY#1.
18	A:	Yes, 24.
19	Q:	You are looking at it and it actually
20		comes right after
21	A:	26.
22	Q:	You haven't seen 25 in your affidavit or
23		statement?
24	A:	Yes, I have 25.
25	Q:	Yes.

1	A:	And 26.
2	Q:	And it follows right after the agreement
3		that you have said you would have put
4		along with it at 24 if you had your way.
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	AS 26, the Discharge of Mortgage.
7	A:	Yes, 25, 26.
8	Q:	And 27.
9	A:	And 27.
10	Q:	Discharge of Mortgage would have some
11		attachments?
12	A:	Two attachments.
13	Q:	And AS 27 now would be that computer
14		printout which based on your evidence
15		shows zero balance?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	All right, could you for the benefit of
18		the record, I am going to ask the
19		witness Mr. Chairman if you will, to
20		read just the body of AS 25, the
21		Memorandum of Complete Satisfaction of a
22		registered mortgage.
23	A:	Yes, AS 25?
24	Q:	Yes, DEBTOR.
25	A:	Yes, ma'am it's a

1	Q:	Read from the portion that says Eagle
2		Merchant Bank of Jamaica Limited.
3	Q:	"Eagle Merchant Bank of Jamaica Limited,
4		a Company incorporated under the laws of
5		Jamaica and having its registered Office
6		at 24 to 26 Grenada Crescent, Kingston 5
7		in the parish of St. Andrew HEREBY GIVES
8		NOTICE that the registered charge
9		created by a MORTGAGE dated the 9th day
10		of January 1990 and made between
11		DEBTOR COMPANY#1 AND DEBTOR COMPANY#2
12		and EAGLE MERCHANT BANK
13		OF JAMAICA LIMITED the particulars
14		whereof were registered with the
15		Registrar of Companies on the 29th day
16		of January 1990 was wholly satisfied on
17		the 19th day of December 1994 the debt
18		for which the charge was given having
19		been paid or satisfied".
20		And this is signed by the Chairman of
21		Eagle Merchant Bank, the Deputy Chairman
22		of Eagle Merchant Bank and witnessed by
23		the Legal Officer of Eagle Merchant
24		Bank.
25	Q:	And you are saying DEBTOR, that this

1		document represented what in your mind?
2		This document represents what it says on
3		the face of it.
4	Q:	Which is?
5	A:	That it is a Memorandum of Complete
6		Satisfaction, that the debt for which
7		this mortgage was given, and there were
8		other mortgages, this was a collateral
9		mortgage as you will see.
10	Q:	You said it represented?
11	A:	The debt for which this mortgage was
12		given has been fully satisfied.
13	4:	The debt for which this mortgage has
14		been given. And what is the debt for
15		which this mortgage was given?
16	A:	The debt for this mortgage was given in
17		26, in the Discharge of Mortgage
18		Document.
19	Q:	And what would that be?
20	A:	Amount secured \$xM with interest
21		and further stamped to cover an
22		additional \$xxxM and that has
23		been satisfactied.
24	Q:	And you are saying that figure indicated
25	× -	the Discharge of Mortgage or the sum

1		with interest would have represented
2		your entire indebtedness to Eagle
3		Merchant Bank?
4	A:	That the sum that represented the
5		mortgage, amount on the mortgage
6		instrument and the amount
7	Q:	Okay, let me ask you again, are you
8		saying that the Memorandum of Complete
9		Satisfaction and the amount indicated in
10		the discharge would have represented
11		your entire indebtedness to Eagle
12		Merchant Bank?
13	A:	Yes. That is what that Memorandum of
14		Complete Satisfaction says and what the
15		fact is. And you if you look at the
16		pages behind 26 you will see that it was
17		a collateral mortgage, it's wasn't a
18		mortgage that was entered into to buy
19		that premises, it was a collateral
20		mortgage which was also part of the
21		debenture and part of other securities.
22	Q:	With your leave Mr. Chairman, I believe
23		this may be a point in which you could
24		introduce the Judgment of Justice Jones,
25		this is a document that the witness has

-1		
1		put forward, I don't know it has been
2		marked but I would like to just have the
3		witness give testimony to this
4		Commission relative to his sense as it
5		relates to a particular portion relative
6		to the evidence now being given.
7	A:	I would ask
8	Q:	Hold on please, DEBTOR. Have you
9		marked it?
10	COMM BOGLE:	Which one?
11	Q:	Judgement from Mr. Justice Jones.
12	COMM BOGLE:	AS 20.
13	MS CLARKE:	It's marked up here but I don't think
14		that's our mark so perhaps we could now
15		mark this.
16	COMM BOGLE:	27 A
17	MS CLARKE:	I have a difficulty, I was about to say
18		A27, that appears twice be marked
19		because we could mark this as 27 A.
20	COMM BOGLE:	27 A.
21	MS CLARKE:	I would like to read I believe the
22		witness indicated on the last occasion
23		that this is the judgment on which he
24		has appealed and has provided to the
25		Commission a copy of his Notice and

1		Grounds of Appeal to the extent that he
2		wants to bring to the attention of the
3		Commission, the substance of the
4		judicial determination as it relates to
5		this very matter that he was complaining
6		about. I would just like to read a
7		portion of this judgement as it relates
8		to how the court deals with the
9		Memorandum of Complete Satisfaction for
10		the Commission to benefit and for
11		DEBTOR.
12	A:	I don't want to be difficult or
13		contentious but I am representing myself
14		because Miss Clarke isn't representing
15		me. I would wish she would represent me
16		but she is representing the Commission
17		and therefore I would like to put my
18 19	COMM BOGLE:	evidence or else there would be Miss Clarke is marshalling the evidence
20		on behalf of the Commission which means
21		that she is taking your witness
22		statement, these statements were made by
23		you and she is simply taking you through
24		in some form arranged and organised
25		order and you will be allowed all the

1		time that you wish to add information to
2		whatever is here but we are trying to get
3		some order into what we are doing and so
4		there is a witness statement here which
5		you signed and what we are trying to do is
6		go through the Witness
7		Statement in some order. When the
8		Witness Statement is finished if you have
		other information that you would like to
9		put to the Commission the Commission will
10		be quite willing to hear
12		it.
13	A:	But Mister, please, it's the Witness
14		Statement of FINSAC rather than the
15		judge's reason and the Statement of
16		Claim that would make sense that we go
17		on to then we can go on to the Judge's
18		reasons for judgement because you would
19		have to see what FINSAC is saying, what
20		was before the Judge for him to make his
21		judgment before we look at what the
22		judgement says.
23	COMM BOGLE:	You see, DEBTOR, we are not here to
24		review what the court says. The court
25		has made a Judgment, you have appealed

1		that Judgment. You are applying to this
2		Commission to put forward a Witness
3		Statement to give your side of a story.
4		In other words, you are saying this is
5		what happened to me, these are the
6		things that took place. That is really
7		what we are trying to get from you.
8	A:	I understand.
9	Q:	Not necessarily what Justice Jones ruled
10		or his Judgment. What we want from you
11		are the documents and the information
12		that you have so that this Commission
13		can deal with the matter but we are not
14		here to review and to object to or to do
15		anything with the Judgment that was
16		given to you by Justice Jones.
17	A:	I understand that, Mr. Chairman. The key
18		to my evidence is the representation
19		thereof in the Witness Statement and the
20		Statement of Claim that went to the
21		court.
22	COMM BOGLE:	I understand that is something that the
23		court dealt with, the court dealt with
24		that. Was the Witness Statement that
25		you are referring to and the Statement

1		of Claim, were those documents brought
2		in front of the court?
3	A:	Yes, but it's not brought in front of
4		you yet and you are independent.
5	MS CLARKE:	Mr. Chairman, perhaps I can facilitate
6		us advancing somewhat. If it is
7		DEBTOR is saying that before the
8		particular dictum is put to him he would
9		like to have certain aspects of the
10		evidence which he has actually brought
11		put before the Commission, perhaps for
12		his comfort the document could be put
13		prior because I was dealing with the
14		particular portion that he has mentioned
15		just now that you have relative to the
16		Memorandum of Complete Satisfaction and
17		he has made available to Commission a
18		copy of the judgement so I was going to
19		just seek to tie the reference in the
20		judgement to that particular document
21		and ask him to comment from there but if
22		he is more comfortable with the witness
23		statement of FINSAC representative be
24		put before he Commission first
25	A:	And the Statement of Claim.

1	MS CLARKE:	I would be prepared with the Chair's
2		leave to pass for your attention a copy
3		of a Witness Statement that DEBTOR
4		has supplied recently. A witness
5		statement of Martin Gooden was used at
6		the trial, I believe it was circulated
7		on the last occasion but it was not
8		actually entered into evidence. That
9		was in fact the point that I think at
10		which we adjourned certainly in terms of
11		might have attention based on my
12		instructions from DEBTOR to put in
13		the Witness Statement of Mr. Martin
14		Gooden. Are these available?
15	COMM BOGLE:	Yes, they are available.
16	MS CLARKE:	So DEBTOR
17	COMM ROSS:	Sorry, one second.
18		(Chairman confers with members)
19	MS CLARKE:	If you would allow me Mr. Chairman, to
20		just ask DEBTOR something very
21		specific relative to this document in my
22		attempt to get back to the matter at
23		paragraph 66 of his Witness Statement, I
24		would seek to accommodate him by
25		asking

1	COMM BOGLE:	We have a problem here, the problem
2		here is this. This matter went before the
3		court, it was dealt with by the court,
4		the proper place for that to move to if
5		DEBTOR is dissatisfied is the Court
6		of Appeal. That he has done and
7		therefore I do not see that it's in our
8		purview to really sit here and go
9		through the evidence and assess the
10		evidence. What we have afforded
11		DEBTOR is an opportunity to come and
12		present to us how he felt he has been
13		dealt with by the financial
14		institutions, by FINSAC and/or Jamaica
15		Redevelopment Foundation after they got
16		involved. Now what DEBTOR is taking
17		us to is the Judgment. That we will not
18		go into, that was something that was
19		decided by the Court and he has appealed
20		and that is where we need to bring those
21		matters, the matters he believes the
22		Judgment or Court at the time did not
23		address that is surely his opinion and
24		his right and he can take that now to
25		the Court of Appeal but we will not,

1		this Commission will not be going
2		into, assessing or going into the
3		Judgment of the Court.
4	A:	But Mr. Chairman, you have asked for the
5		Judgement or the reason for the Judgment
6		to be put in evidence, I didn't ask for
7		that.
8	COMM BOGLE:	DEBTOR, a document was referred to,
9		we got the document, it's for us to make
10		use of the document as we see fit and we
11		are saying that this Commission of
12		Enquiry will not be going into the
13		Judgement of the Court, we will not be
14		discussing the Judgement of the Court,
15		The Court has handed down a Judgement,
16		you appealed that Judgement and all the
17		documents that you are now mentioning
18		that you believe the Court might have
19		not dealt with correctly or fairly that
20		is a matter for appeal and therefore 1
21		don't think it's for us to deal with
22		that matter here. And so we will not
23		and I am ruling on that, we will not be
24		dealing with that here.
25	A:	Well, Mr. Chairman. There is no point

1		in my testifying. I have evidence
2		which will show you what FINSAC, which
3		you are enquiring into, has falsified the
4		information and has distorted
5		information which led to a court action.
6		If you don't want to get into that
7	COMM BOGLE:	Hold on DEBTOR, we are dealing with
8		this and if the matter, the document
9		went to the court - were those documents
10		presented to the court? I am just
11		asking you, were those documents
12		presented to the court?
13	A:	I am finished with the court, I am not
14		talking about the court, I am talking
15		about FINSAC.
16	COMM BOGLE:	Okay, what about FINSAC?
17	A:	I am pointing out to you the Statement
18		of Claim made by FINSAC. That is not the
19		court document, that is the statement
20		from FINSAC. I am talking about the
21		Witness Statement from FINSAC and
22		falsification of facts in those.
23	Q:	The Witness Statement was presented to
24		the court?
25	COMM ROSS:	DEBTOR, I think the best thing for us

to do is as Chairman said, again we 1 could just finish up with your Witness 2 Statement. We are not here to go through 3 and review the decisions of the court. If you have evidence to support your 5 contention, what we really want to know 6 from you is what is your contention of 7 unfair treatment. How do you feel you were wronged either by the institution that you 9 dealt with or by FINSAC or whatever it is. 10 What is the wrong, injustice or unfair 11 treatment that you suffered and I think 12 that you would be best served in providing 13 us with the information and supporting 14 documents that you have to support your 15 contention. Taking us through the 16 Judgements of the court really is not 17 going to help in advancing your position 18 and it's not going to help us in our 19 Enquiry. So if we could ask you to focus 20 on the evidence that you have to produce 21 to support your contention and if you 22 could outline clearly to us what is your 23 contention of the unfair treatment or 24

25

1		the injustice which you have suffered,
2		that would help your case and it would
3		help us in our Enquiry.
4	A:	Yes, Mr. Commissioner, I wish I would
5		get a chance to do that. I wish I would
6		get a chance and not prescribed by
7		anything I am not presenting a case
8		of unfair treatment by the court. The
9		court acted on information provided by
10		FINSAC, I am saying that FINSAC
11		fraudulently distorted documents that
12		went before the court, and that is what
13		I want to present to you.
14	COMM. ROSS:	Please go right ahead and do that.
15	Q	If I had the witness statement and
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Go ahead and do that.
17	A	and the statement of claim I will
18		show you the method that is the heart of
19		your investigation.
20	COMM. BOGLE:	Do you have those documents?
21	А	Yes, I have presented them to the
22		Commission. The witness statement
23	MISS CLARKE:	DEBTOR is that the witness statement
24		of Mr. Martin Gooden that you are
25		referring to?

1	А	Yes, yes.
2	Q	I believe it was passed up on the last
3		occasion. That in fact is in the nature
4		of a court document, Mr. Chairman, filed
5		in the Civil Division of the Supreme
6		Court, and it's dated June 29 2007?
7	COMM. ROSS:	DEBTOR, it would help us greatly if
8		in making your debate, you avoid as far
9		as possible reference to the court
10		documents because those documents are
11		before the court and for the court to
12		decide on, so I would ask that you
13		concentrate on the documentation that
14		you have, the evidence that you have
15		governing your relationship between
16		Eagle Merchant Bank or whichever
17		institution, and try to illustrate to us
18		what your complaint is in that content.
19	A	My complaint is
20	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Before the witness answers, I must
21		interject. This Commission has ruled on
22		several occasions that the fact that a
23		matter is sub judice will not present it
24		from enquiring into the matter. There
25		are several judgments that have been put

1 before this Commission, judgments of court that have been put before this 2 Commission and admitted into evidence 3 and given exhibit numbers. In this particular case there are two judgments 5 that were given exhibit numbers on the 6 last occasion. I do not know by what means a document having been admitted into evidence and this third one has 10 just been given an exhibit number, a 11 document admitted into evidence, that 12 reference to it can be restricted 13 thereafter, I am not sure what legal 14 principle that is but I am certainly 15 entirely unfamiliar with it; it is 16 either that matters that are sub judice 17 should not properly be the subject of this Commission which is a point that 18 19 has been taken repeatedly and the Commission has said it does not matter 20 to it whether or not the matter is sub 21 22 judice or once the court documents come in, then there is free reference to 23 24 them. I don't see that there can be any つに half way house in that regard.

1 Mr. Chairman I would like to respond to MISS CLARKE: 2 my friend because I believe that there is in her submission a level of mis-3 representation insofar as from the time that I have been here as to how the 6 Commission has dealt with matters sub 7 judice. It is not that it is being said here, as I understand it, this is before 8 the Chairman rules, unless I don't 9 understand that, because this matter is 10 sub judice the Commission will not admit 11 the document or required it to be made, 12 because in truth and in fact this is not 1.3 what I understand the Chairman to be 14 saying. What has been canvassed from the 15 chair, from the time that I have been here 16 is that it doesn't matter that the 17 substance of your complaint has been the 18 subject of court proceedings prior, to the 19 extent that its substance falls within our 20 terms of reference, we are entitled to and 21 prepared to look into it. What you are now 22 saying 23 Mr. Chairman, as I understand it, is to 24 the extent that this witness now wishes

1	for the commission to deal with
2	specific aspects of the court's position
3	and determination of the matter, while it
4	is still on appeal, the Commission is not
5	prepared to hear him to the extent that
6	he now wishes to bring before the
7	Commission a complaint or a contention
8	relative to the propriety or the
9	rightness of the court's decision. It is
10	not that the Commission is saying that
11	matters that have been the subject of
12	judicial proceedings carte blanche cannot
13	be made subject of the
14	proceedings here or the document cannot
15	be put, I believe what is being said to
16	DEBTOR, if you intend for us to go into
17	the subject matter, the substance of the
18	evidence that was given and the essence
19	of the judge's ruling, we are not
20	prepared to go this far suffice it to
21	say the matter is on appeal.
22	Another thing which my friend has said
23	which, you know, I believe may lead us
24	all into error and I am not going to
	quote her verhatim. I think is has to do

つに

with her assertion that a document once in, you know, it cannot be limited as to for what purposes it is used. My friend well knows that even in more restricted quarters, a document maybe admitted and it may be expressly stated when a document is admitted into evidence that based on what has gone before, based on the major substance of this document, it is being tendered and admitted for this purpose and this purpose only, so you know in fact I am surprised to hear my friend says that because on occasions when certain documents were being put in blank and it was being canvassed that look, this has been the procedure before and it doesn't really matter, it is a concern that one has as to you know, how then, given the fact that it hasn't been authenticated, is anybody going to refer to it for any aspect of substance, so I don't believe that my friend is being as candid as to the proceedings so far because what the Chairman is saying is not that because it is the subject of

1		the judicial proceedings we won't hear
2		it, it is that we are not prepared at
3		this point to make any effort to divide
4		and analyze and assess the essence of
5		the outcome of any of the court
6		proceedings, especially in circumstances
7		where these very issues which you raised
8		in these court proceedings are on
9		appeal.
10	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, thank you. At this time I think
11		it is opportune for us to take ten
12		minutes break. So we will break at this
13		point.
14		BREAK
15		ON RESUMPTION (Proceedings started
16		without steno-writer)
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	because I have never been less than
18		candid with any tribunal, this or any
19		other in all my years of practice. I
20		would need further and better
21		particulars of that. I do not recall any
22		of these judgments being limited or
23		specifically demarcated to any purpose.
24		They are admitted and put on numbers, so
25		I would like to hear from my friend what

1		it is that I am supposed to have been
2		less than candid with this Commission
3 4	MISS CLARKE:	about and I would like to hear it now. Am I expected to respond Mr. Chairman?
5		Perhaps I would only seek to assist my
6		friend. Probably I should first
7		indicate that I should probably, from
8		where I sit, should be suitably
9		intimidated by more than veiled threat
L O		that was issued to me during the break
11		by my learned friend, but perhaps if she
12		wishes to have the further and better
13		particulars in terms of the specific
L 4		matters to which I referred in respect
15		of which I opined that having regard to
16		what has often been said and what the
L7		proceedings have been that the
L 8		contention is less than candid, perhaps
L 9		my friend would want to have the
20		verbatim notes read, I have no further
21		and better particulars to give to my
22		learned friend but I would repeat, as I
23		said, perhaps not repeating verbatim,
24		because I do not have that facility just
25		now, that insofar as my friend was

suggesting that the determination of 1 the chair not to permit DEBTOR to use the 2 judicial data in a certain way and relate 3 it to the rulings that have gone before, it is an appreciation of the proceedings 5 that is less than candid in terms of how 6 we all know that the data had hitherto to 7 have been used, suffice it to say that my 8 learned friend has some seniority in 9 these proceedings, if nowhere else, as it 10 relates to how these matters have 11 proceeded. I have no further and better 12 particulars to give save to attempt to 13 repeat what I have just said and to 14 indicate before this Tribunal that my 15 disappointment with the approach during 16 the break of my friend relative to how 17 she felt about the matter because I 18 would, in all of the dignity that my 19 training has afforded me, indicate to 20 this panel that in my approach to this 21 Commission, in my dealings with my 2.2 colleague, it's never personal. I attempt 23 on every occasion to stay with the issue 24 and I was dealing つに

1		with a particular issue, so if my friend
2		has taken personal offence to anything
3		that I have said, I will go as far as to
4		say with a recanter that I can muster
5		and as far as I mean it, that no
6		personal offence is intended and I am
7		staying with the issue. I don't know if
8		from hereon she wishes to make an
9		application for the further and better
10		particulars, which I am not able to
11		supply. I can only ask, if she insists,
12		that reference be made to the notes.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	As I said on that note we will continue
14		and the adviser, legal adviser of the
15		Commission will take us through the
16		points on which we adjourned.
17	JUSTICE DOWNER:	First of all, I would like to make a
18		clear distinction between certain
19		judicial rules and when some matter is
20		decisive the factor. So far as the sub
21		judicea rule is concerned, what it is
22		saying that there should be no comments
23		in fact when a matter is before the
24		court. As far as the res judicata rule
25		is concerned, it is stating that if a

1	matter has been decided by the
2	appropriate tribunal as this matter was,
3	then in fact the only remedy is to appeal
4	and had I known that there was a final
5	judgment as distinct from interlocutory
6	Judgement I would advise the Commission
7	not to hear DEBTOR at all. So far as the
8	other matters raised, so far as I am
9	concerned, it is not a matter of
10	completing submission or not completing
11	it if the matter has been decided by the
12	court binding on the whole world. So far
13	as judgments are concerned, there is no
14	necessity really to mark them as
15	exhibits. Any
16	Commission or tribunal should take note
17	of judgments of the court, so the fact
18	that they have been marked by exhibits
19	doesn't change their status as in fact
20	coming especially from the Superior Court
21	of Records which is the Supreme Court. So
22	far as the practice of the Commission is
23	concerned about judgments being put in, 1
24	emphasize that where there is an
) <u>F</u>	interlocutory judament, then

1		the Commission has a right to look at it
2		as they do have a right to look at final
3		judgments except that in the case of
4		final judgments there should be no
5		enquiry by the Commission. So if
6		DEBTOR does not have anything outside
7		the matter which has been decided in
8		court, I will advise the Commission not
9		to hear him any further.
10	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay DEBTOR, you heard what the
11		adviser to this Commission, the legal
12		adviser to this Commission has said and
13		what we are saying is what we have said
14		before that any matter outside of the
15		judgment of a court and the documents
16		which the court ruled on, then we will
17		surely be willing to continue to hear
18		your submissions but anything that has
19		to deal with the actual judgment of the
20		court, then such matters we will not be
21		dealing with at this point.
22	A	Mr. Chairman, I don't know where it came
23		from that I was dealing and asking the
24		Commission to deal with the judgment of
25		the court. I was making reference to

what the case of FINSAC is and I can 1 only make that reference if -- not from 2 what I am saying but from what their 3 document is saying and therefore by 4 reference to a document whether it was in 5 the court or not in the court, by 6 reference to those documents, are that 7 those documents represent the position of 8 FINSAC. Why it is taken that I am asking 9 the Commission to make judgment on a 10 court ruling? I have heard that before, 11 and I cannot understand why that line is 12 being followed. I have documents here 13 which will show that the modus operandi 14 of FINSAC has been to distort documents 15 and to make false statements and I am 16 prepared to prove that before this 17 Commission. If this Commission feels 18 that, that is out of your purview then so 19 be it but could you please say it. Don't 20 put it that I am asking you to deal with 21 the court ruling because I am not. I am 22 asking you to look at a flow of 23 information on how FINSAC operates and 24 the way they have been able つに

to do it is by first of all taking the 1 sloppiness of the banks and the poor record and sometimes of us as affected 3 parties, they take that knowing the facts, but nonetheless have sworn 5 statements to the court which falsify 6 those facts. Now if you can't look at that, you are not going to be able to find, I suggest, I have been with this 9 for very long, you are not going to find 10 out what is going on until you raise up 11 the rock and see what comes from 12 underneath it and how they have gone onto 13 put, to abuse our court system and that 14 is what the whole cover up was all about, 15 the abuse of the court system and how, for 16 example, I have here an affidavit that 17 says that there were amounts outstanding 18 at a particular time and that was the 19 subject of a promissory note. You have 20 been through the promissory note and that 2.1 is what goes to the court, that is what 2.2 the court sees, that mortgage granted so 2.3 and so has not been paid and at such a 24 time the amount

2 =

1		was so much. I have original bank
2		statement which shows that the amount of
3		\$xxxK
4		was in the
5		account, but what went before the court
6		was that we had overdraft facility of
7		\$xxx thousand.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	DEBTOR, may I suggest to you that at
9		the last, when we were last here, we
10		went through all of that, you provided
11		all of those information and that was
12		dealt with. So I can't understand when
13		you are saying you wish to present, when
14		that particular statement that you are
15		mentioning there you presented it to us
16		previously and that was dealt with. So
17		you are making a case to say we are not
18		allowing you to present which is not
19		right. You presented and we dealt with
20		that same matter the last time we were
21		here where you showed, provided us with
22		documents to indicate that there was
23		money owed to you, that was done on the
24		last occasion and we went through all of
25		that. Now what you are now doing, I

1		suggest, is that after doing that and
2		that was complete, you are now looking
3		at a comparison between that and you are
4		now going to say that went to the court
5		and whatever. Now, we are saying all of
6		that was dealt with the last time, that
7		was dealt with, so it is not right for
8		you to say we are not allowing you or we
9		have not allowed you to, that is not a
10		new document, we have it here and we
11		dealt with it so what we are saying,
12		your witness, in your witness statement
13		you gave evidence the last time that
14		covered all of that. Now to the extent
15		that you have additional areas in the
16		witness statement that you wish to cover
17		fine, we will allow you to, but going
18		back to that document is simply going
19		back over what we went through the last
20		time.
21	А	Well, with respect Mr. Chairman, if we
22		dealt with this the last time
23	COMM BOGLE:	We did, not if, we did.
24	A	My recollection is that Commissioner
25		Ross was flabbergasted that we have a

1		bank statement showing \$xxx
2		thousand and somebody of his
3		status and intellectual capacity is
4		flabbergasted and this is a public
5		hearing, then perhaps there are also
6		members of the public who are also
7		baffled that you could have a bank
8		statement showing credit balance of \$xxx
9		thousand,
10		and yet there is an
11		affidavit which goes to the court which
12		tells the court that you owe in respect
13		of this account \$xxx
14		thousand. Further, I could show you
15	COMM BOGLE:	DEBTOR, again I will say
16	А	You did not see the paid cheques last
17 18	COMM BOGLE:	time. Again I will say we saw the backs of
19		cheques and we had asked for them to be
20		copied, we know about all of that as
21		well, and I am saying we went through
22		all of that the last time. You mentioned
23		the fact that Mr. Ross was
24		flabbergasted, that proved that we went
25		through them and I am saying you have

1		been given amble time to go through what
2		you have to present and what we are
3		saying, if you have additional
4		information to present on new matters
5		which you were continuing in your
6		witness statement, let us continue on
7		your witness statement because going
8		back and lifting up that document and
9		talking about that document is not
10		bringing anything new to the Commission,
11		it is all recorded here from the last
12		time.
13	А	I was that Ray Charles, no disrespect
14		for the late gentleman's ability to
15		know, not just that we looked at it. I
16 17	COMM. BOGLE:	want the world to know what is going on. DEBTOR you want to continue or you
18		don't want to continue? If you are
19		going to keep going over and over then
20		we are going to call this sitting to an
21		end. I said we have dealt with that, it
22		is in the records, if you have new
23		information that you are going on to
24		please do so but we will not sit here and
		go back over what we went through

1		before. Tax payers are paying for us to
2		be here and there are a lot of people
3		who are talking about the cost of the
4		Commission, let us try and move on.
5	A	Let us try and give them the
6		information.
7	COMM BOGLE:	Not more than once, please move on, if
8		you have information give it, if not I
9		will adjourn this sitting.
10	A	I have more information, sir.
11	MS CLARKE:	Mr. Chairman, if I am to be of any
12		continued assistance to this witness,
13		perhaps, I would want to indicate to him
14		that we are just about to read Paragraph
15		67 of his witness statement.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, please proceed on that basis.
17	MS CLARKE:	Paragraph 67 DEBTOR.
18	A	Yes ma'am.
19	Q	Could you just read for us?
20	A	In September, 1996 EMB filed judgment
21		papers supported by an Affidavit of
22		Debt, (A DEBTOR 27) asserting that since
23		the filing of the claim nothing had been
24		paid on account of the debt and that the
25		full amount of the disbursed funds plus

1		interest subsisted. The judgment was
2		not entered. The matter eventually went
3		to trial.
4	A	Sorry, I believe Mr. Chairman, as you
5		can see from the reading that 27 appears
6		two times. The data is really marked 28
7		and perhaps ought properly to have been
8		so marked, I am not certain now that
9		this perhaps may throw out the numbering
10		somewhat, the exhibits are correctly
11		numbered, but the reference here is
12		wrong, in the sense that 27 is itemized
13		twice, two different documents. The
14		Affidavit of Debt to which this witness
15		referred is really A DEBTOR 28.
16 17	COMM. BOGLE: MS CLARKE:	The affidavit? Yes. Is there a comment you were making
18		in relation to this document DEBTOR?
19	A	Well, this is part of the pleading of
20		FINSAC and this Affidavit of Debt was
21		filed after the \$x million we just dealt
22		with was paid and this in effect says
23		that \$x million was not paid. It also
24		says that since the debt was incurred
25		nothing was paid. And I don't know if

1		you have it but we can show where on
2		the basis of this that nothing was paid,
3		whether from the disposal of the real
4		estate or before it went to court, the
5		argument that nothing was paid and
6		judgment papers were filed and FINSAC
7		hounded the Deputy Registrar of the
8		court to enter the judgment, reported
9		the Deputy Registrar to the Chief
10		Justice and all of those documents are
11		here, where they are saying that nothing
12		that was paid and that the court should
13		enter judgment.
14	Q	DEBTOR, just for the benefit of the
15		Commission, when was it that the real
16		estate was paid, what year?
17	A	It was May 1994, and this was filed May
18		1996, two years later.
19	Q	AS 28, Mr. Chairman. But you are saying
20		that the matter went to trial after this
21		affidavit was filed, the matter went to
22		trial?
23	A	Yes, it went to trial.
24	Q	In 2007. And at that point DEBTOR,
25		were any payments accounted for at the

1		time of the trial?
2	А	Yes, in the affidavit after AS 29 it now
3		admits what it denied in the previous
4		one, that the proceeds of the real
5		estate were received but again only in
6		part and not in full, but they now admit
7		and it is the trend that I am trying to
8		get across to the Commission so that
9		they can see what is taking place.
10	Q	So you want to read paragraphs 68 and
11		69?
12	A	Of the?
13	Q	Your witness statement.
14		Corrected
15	DEBTOR:	You said 60?
16	MS CLARKE:	68, 69.
17	A:	On or about
18	Q:	I am sorry 68 through to the end.
19	A:	On or about May 2002 an affidavit
20		attested by Kipcho West "AS 28" was
21		filed in response to our application to
22		have the claim struck out for want of
23		Prosecution and to have the counterclaim
24		heard. In this affidavit: It was
25		admitted that the proceeds of the sale

1	of real estate was received my Eagle
2	but that the amount was only received by
3	EMB against the debt and it was applied
4	to interest.
5	We filed an Amended Defence and
6	Counterclaim in July 2002 and Eagle
7	Merchant Bank filed a reply "AS 30"
8	which essentially maintained that
9	assertions made in the Statement of
10	Claim and other documents filed on its
11	behalf.
12	FINSAC continued the suit by Eagle
13	Merchant Bank despite the overwhelming
	evidence that Eagle Merchant Bank had
14	issued a Memorandum of Complete
15	Satisfaction, mortgage discharge
16	documents and statement showing nil
17	balances on the capital goods account
18	and the raw materials account which all
19	show that the debt has been settled.
20	Also in light of the documentary data,
21	the sustained assertions that nothing
22	had been paid on account of the debt was
23	wrong.
24	Mr. Martin Gooden in a witness Statement

つに

1		filed in 2007 eventually accepted that
2		amounts had been paid. These sums have
3		however not been accounted for in the
4		court's assessment of our indebtedness.
5	Q:	Mr. Chairman, I would just like to pause
6		to officially mark the exhibit that has
7		been mentioned from paragraph 68 to the
8		end. And I think that affidavit of
9		Kipcho West is properly - I think it has
10		been so marked "AS 29" in the affidavit
11		and that affidavit that I think the
12		witness is saying that this was an
13		acknowledgment that proceeds of sale of
14		certain properties have been applied
15		against the debt. Paragraph 69 the
16		pleadings are actually disclosed that
17		were filed in court and having regard to
18		the pursuing discussions I just ask that
19		the Amended Defence and counterclaim and
20		the reply were actually pleadings
21		properly so called filed in the related
22		judicial proceedings. There is a
23		mention of a witness statement of
24		paragraph 7 and paragraph 73 and I
25		believe I can safely say that this is

1		the witness statement that the witness
2		has been referred to before actually
3		getting there, but it has not been
4		marked as an exhibit, the witness
5		statement of Martin Gooden which has
6		been circulated to the Commissioners,
7		the Legal Adviser and my friends and 1
8		wonder whether Mr. Chairman, you would
9		wish to mark it at this stage insofar as
10		it is mentioned in the witness
11		statement. And I would want, with your
12		leave, to ask the witness to point out
13		the particular portion where he says the
14		witness eventually accepted that the
15		amount has been paid and that is where I
16		would want to leave it Mr. Chairman.
17	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, go ahead. We will mark this "AS
18		32 ".
19	MS CLARKE:	I just want to ask the witness, if you
20		would allow me, DEBTOR, just give me
21		a second please.
22	A:	The witness statement, could I have a
23		copy of the witness statement of Martin
24		Gooden.
25	COMM. BOGLE:	Was this particular matter appealed?

1	MS CLARKE:	The matter is in fact unappealed. The
2		subject matter of the Notice of the
3		Grounds of Appeal have been filed. My
4		understanding is that, that appeal is
5		still pending.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	My legal adviser is saying I should not
7		go any further on that.
8	MS CLARKE:	Very well, sir. I accept the ruling and
9		I fully appreciate it. Insofar as the
10		witness statement discloses, this - I am
11		speaking deliberately cautiously, Mr.
12		Chairman, seems to be the evidence of
13		this witness before the Commission. I
14		don't know if you Mr. Chairman, or the
15		other Commissioner, Mr. Ross, may have
16		any questions of this witness. But I
17		believe this is his evidence certainly
18		as represented on the written Statement.
19	COMM. ROSS:	DEBTOR, am I correct in understanding
20		that your complaint regarding DEBTOR
21		COMPANY#1 and Eagle Merchant Bank is that
22		you arrived at; your debt with the
23		company, you arrived at what you call a
24		settlement
25	A:	Not a settlement, we paid off the debt.

1	COMM. ROSS:	Let me finish the question. You arrived
2		at a settlement, property was sold,
3		proceeds went to the bank and your
4		understanding was that you retired your
5		obligation to that institution?
6		However, subsequently the debt was
7		pursued and the matter is now in court?
8	A:	That is partially so.
9	COMM. ROSS:	Well, if it is not so, could you flesh
10		it out for us please?
11	A:	We paid the debt that was incurred. We
12		paid it prematurely because Eagle
13		changed the interest rates and matters
14		like that. If we had gone through the
15		agreement and the Letter of Commitment
16		you would have seen the details there of
17		what we agreed to and the securities that
18		were offered, and that it was a five-and-
19		half year loan for the capital goods. We
20		paid it off in less than two years because
21		the interest rates began to creep up and
22		we paid it off. When we paid it off Eagle
23		Merchant Bank, the officers at Eagle
24		Merchant Bank - because when it came to
		the Chairman of

つち

the Bank the Chairman reversed all that and gave us the Memorandum of Complete Satisfaction. What was happening before was happening from the officers of the Bank, they said that the loan was not paid, nothing was paid. The essence of it is that they took the Agreement as at January 1990 and they made an entry for that Agreement which would have been a Memorandum entry, but when the disbursement took place, as you see in the witness statement, if you had looked at it, they agreed with us that it was a line of credit and it is not when the agreement was signed that a liability was incurred but it was when the actual disbursement, when the money was paid against the line of credit. So we have before us a Memorandum Account which was entered when the documents were signed and we have the disbursements based on the payment of letters of credit et cetera. They ignored the payment against the Invoices and all of that and the payments against those and then what

1		was brought to court in the Statement
2		of Claim dated the 9th of February was
3		the \$xM and say this is the debt
4		and they charged interest on it at their
5		current rate. I have evidence here to
6		show you that we had correspondence from
7		Eagle Merchant bank showing that the
8		interest rate was 24 percent then moved
9		up to the 28%, then it moved up to
10		34 percent and it was when it was moved
11		to 34%, we decided that we were going to
12		pay it off because it was creeping up
13		and we said we were going to pay it off
14		and they said no, we don't accept that
15		we are going back to February when the
16		Agreement was signed. And that was what
17		went to court.
18	COMM. ROSS:	One other question, DEBTOR. Do you
19		have anything to show us of the
20		statement or copy of the statement of
21		the loan account showing zero balances?
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	Did you get any statement for the
24		overall period of the loan showing
25		disbursements, payments, et cetera?

1	A:	We have two statements which started -
2		they are claiming that the loan was
3		disbursed on the 9th of February 1990.
4		The statement that we have starts on the
5		30th of June 1992 with an opening
6		balance. If that statement represented
7		the entry that was made which would have
8		been a Memorandum entry on the 9th of
9		February and then there were a few
10		charges which came to about \$xxxK,
11		but the \$x Million started on
12		the 9th of February, but was only picked
13		up in a historic accounting report on
14		the 30th of June 1990; and that is what
15		they sued us for. When that matter went
16		to the Chairman of Eagle Merchant Bank
17		he decided that, against the advice of
18		his lawyers and against the advice of
19		the Manager of Eagle Commercial Bank at
20		the time that were managing the thing
21		that he would - negotiations took place.
22		He sent a Consultant who was a former
23		Chief Accountant, Mr. O.C Wilson - and I
24		have a report of Mr. Wilson here - to
25		discuss the matter with me and to

propose a refinancing of the project 1 because this was an IFC matter, the 2 World Bank project which was supported 3 by the CEC and USA. It was a very 4 important export driven programme. He said that he would refinance the thing, 6 but we would have to pay. Instead of the 7 preferential rate of 24 percent and 28 we 8 would have to pay 50 and 9 55 percent and I decided that I wanted 10 out of that. I decided that we would sell 11 a piece of the real estate that formed a 12 part of the collateral, we would sell it 13 and the proceeds would go to Eagle 14 Merchant Bank. They would discontinue 15 this law suit and I would be able to get 16 on with my business. At that time I knew 17 that court takes a long time and costs a 18 lot, but I had no idea that the matter 19 would be 20 years in court, and can't 20 even be discussed in a Commission of 21 Enquiry seeking for the truth because it 22 is still in court twenty years after. I 23 decided that I would sell the real estate 24 and that was つに

1		agreed. The real estate was sold and
2		the proceeds went to Eagle, the gross
3		proceeds went to Eagle Merchant Bank. I
4		followed up and in the previous company
5		that we discussed we should have got
6		something from Century that the matter
7		was an error. In this case we had all
8		the documentation from Eagle Merchant
9		Bank that the debt was fully settled
10		with all the details and the discharged
11		documents. It however offered FINSAC an
12		opportunity to go back on that and
13		falsified information in witness
14		statements and affidavits to say what
15		was not true.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay, would you say that completes your
17		witness submission, DEBTOR?
18	A:	Not even half, sir, but I will not
19		burden you with anymore. I see you are
20		ready to hold me off on the conveyer
21 22	COMM. BOGLE:	belt. No, you will be here this afternoon.
23		But what I want to ensure is that the
24		important points come out and I think we
25		do have the important points. You have

1		two separate situations, one was with
2		Century in which case you had overpaid
3		and that they owed you \$xxxK and then the
4		other situation with Eagle Merchant Bank
5		where the amount was paid out, mortgage
6		was fully satisfied, you say, and
7		however, FINSAC still went back and said
8		it was not fully satisfied and the matter
9 10		went to court. Those were the two main
11	A :	situations that you have. Mr. Chairman
12	11.	with respect, you haven't got it right.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	I haven't?
14	A:	No. The \$xxxK was what was on my current
15	23.	account.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, I know that.
17	A:	There was another \$xxxK that was paid
18		off and
19	COMM. BOGLE:	It was paid off, but I am simply saying
20		that you have two different issues.
21	A:	No, I am talking about the same Century
22		that was paid off.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	The situation with two different banks.
24	A:	With Century there was the amount
25	COMM. BOGLE:	The demand loan, that's what I am
		saying.

1		
_		
2	A:	
3		Yes, sir, and the Demand Loan was paid
4		off and I have presented you with all the
5	COMM. BOGLE:	paid cheques.
6	A:	Yes, we have those.
7		The two of them together Century owe us
8		\$x Million and that is the case of
9		Century that the evidence that exists
10		there, but Errol Campbell, a McBride from
11		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation gave an
12		affidavit and this, you can't use this
13		sub judice rule because this one is an
14		interlocutory matter, going by your
15		rules. Errol Campbell of Jamaican
16	COMM. BOGLE:	Redevelopment Foundation
17	A:	I think you should get that corrected.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Errol Campbell Jamaican Redevelopment
19	A:	I think he maybe at FINSAC.
20		No, the affidavit he signed says that - I
21	COMM. BOGLE:	have the Affidavit he signed here. Anyway
22		as I said, we have gotten your submission
23	MS CLARKE:	and all of those matters Mr. Chairman,
24		I am sorry to interrupt you. There are
25		some documents that have been put in my
		hand purportedly coming

1		through this witness. I had begun my
2		submission today by purporting to permit
3		the witness to refer to some documents
4		relative to the first part of his
5		complaint. I don't want to be
6		inefficient in any way in not putting
7		what has been put before me. There are
8		some debit advices and some copies of
9		some canceled cheques re Century
10		National Bank Limited, I am not sure
11		whether these have been circulated?
12	COMM. BOGLE:	I think what happened Miss Clarke, the
13		debit advice and the cancelled cheques
14		we had before. However, this information
15		represents these documents here to
16		facilitate the back of the cheques.
17	MS CLARKE:	Very well, sir. Those are now at hand
18		and we just want for the record to
19		reflect that.
20	COMM. BOGLE:	We will accept this on the face of it
21		because the back of the cheques does not
22		in anyway indicate that he had to pay
23		for these cheques.
24	A:	We have the original cheques, we just
25		copied it from the original cheques.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	All I am saying is that I see a copy
2		here and it has a date stamp on it, it
3		says pay down Demand Loan, it doesn't
4		refer to a particular cheque, if you
5		look at it, but we will accept it and
6		make what use we can relative to the
7		cheques that we got before.
8	A:	But in line with that, Mr. Chairman, if
9		you take just the debit adviser loan in
10		that Schedule there, if you take just
11		the Debit Adviser loan plus admission by
12		FINSAC that \$xxxK was received, it
13		would have cleared off the debt in any
14		case. If you even ignore those cheques
15		that are without any notations, when you
16		are paying the cheque you have the
17		notation on the cheque, and the bank
18		having stamped those without any
19		comments about the notation that was the
20		thing. You just take the debit advice
21		that originated from the bank and from
22		the bank statement and the admission by
23		FINSAC and the current account balance
24		and you will see that the people from
25		FINSAC who have all this information

1		before them and yet filed affidavit
2		sworn statement to the court denying all
3		these; that is the heart of my
4		complaint.
5	COMM. BOGLE:	Okay DEBTOR, I am sure we got the
6		heart of your complaint.
7		On that note we will adjourn for lunch
8		and we will return at 2:00 o'clock. I
9		ask that we adjourn until 2:00.
10	A:	Mr. Chairman, that applies to me?
11	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes, you will return at 2:00 o'clock.
12	A:	Okay thank you.
13		LUNCHEON BREAK -11:45 A.M.
14		
15	COMM. BOGLE:	Ladies and gentlemen, this Enquiry is
16		now reconvened. DEBTOR.
17		(Witness called)
18		DEBTOR, let me remind you that you
19		are still under oath.
20	DEBTOR:	Yes, sir.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	We are now going into any
22		cross-examination that any of the
23		attorneys might have.
24	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, I just wish to bring to
25		the Commission' attention that at the

1		end of the last occasion I submitted
2		the extract from the Bills of Exchange
3		Act that deals with the definition of
4		Promissory Notes. The question had
5		arisen during the examination-in-chief.
6		I specifically draw your attention to
7		section 83 subsection one which states
8		that:
9		A Promissory Note is an unconditional
10		promise in writing made by one person to
11		another, signed by the maker, engaging
12		to pay, on demand or at a fixed or
13		determinable future time, a sum certain
14		in money, to or to the order of a
15		specified person or to bearer.
16		That fact that it is an instrument
17		defined in the Bills of Exchange Act, I
18		think you can accept that it is by
19		definition a bill of exchange.
20		Good afternoon DEBTOR.
21	A:	Afternoon, ma'am.
22	Q:	I just want to be sure that I am
23		following you correctly. My
24		understanding is that in 1988 or
25		thereabouts, you borrowed \$x million

1		dollars from Century national bank.
2		x million dollars - x million
3		dollars with
4		interest.
5	A:	That is not correct. if I could explain.
6	Q:	Just a moment. You are correct,
7		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 borrowed x million
8		dollars.
9	A:	That is not correct.
10	Q:	Alright. Maybe you can explain it to
11		your attorney.
12	A:	I don't have an attorney.
13	Q:	You can explain it when you are being
14		re-examined.
15 16		You did see the certificate of title for xxxxxxxxx?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	And xxxxxxx?
19	A:	Yes.
20	Q:	And I believe it was you who drew the
21		Commission's attention to the
22		endorsement of mortgages on those
23		titles, one of which was Mortgage
24		<pre>#xxxxxx, stamped to cover x million</pre>
25		dollars with

1		interest? You recall doing that?
2	A:	Stamped to cover \$xxx
3		thousand demand loan and \$xxx
4		thousand overdraft. So
5		that wasn't a total loan of \$x M it
6		was to cover overdraft facility and
7		demand loan. Only the demand loan for
8		\$xxx thousand was
9		disbursed, the overdraft facility was to
10		allow
11	Q:	We will come to that. You said in your
12		statement at numbered paragraph 8 that
13		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 title was used to cover
14		facilities totaling x million
15		dollars. Isn't that
16		what your statement said?
17	A:	Yes, the two amounts.
18	Q:	So the total was x million
19		dollars?
20	A:	That is the amount c.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	May I just interject here. At paragraph
22		8 there was an amendment to paragraph 8
23		In actual fact facilities totaling \$x
24		million was corrected to
25		be \$x million.

1		
2	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Yes, Commissioner, but that was taking
3		into account paragraph 7 where there was
4		an overdraft of \$xxx
5		thousand and an additional request of
6		\$xxx K making a total of \$xxx
7		thousand which when
8		added to the \$x M
9		produced
10	COMM. BOGLE:	I am simply saying that you quoted 8 at
11		\$x M but there was
12		a correction to that. So I am saying
13		the \$x M in
14		paragraph 8 no longer obtains, that
15		there was a correction to that. So I am
16		saying the \$x M
17		in paragraph 8 no longer obtains because
18		that was corrected to read
19		\$x M.
20	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Perhaps I can be clearer because it is
21		as at the end of December, 1990, but I
22		don't want us to feel that it is one
23		facility for one bank.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	I am just simply saying it was
25		corrected.

1	MRS.	PHILLIPS:	Yes, okay. I am obliged. It was so
2			corrected but I am trying to deal with
3			how we got there. So we had this
4			facility for \$x M
5			and then according to
6			you, you applied for - when I say you, T
7			mean DEBTOR CO.
8		A:	Yes, I understand.
9		Q:	Let me be specific; DEBTOR COMPANY#4.
10			
11		A:	Yes.
12		Q:	Applied for an extension of its
13			overdraft facility with Century National
14			Bank to extend the \$xxx
15			thousand dollars already in overdraft by
16			a further \$xxx K; correct?
17		A:	To \$xxx thousand, yes.
18		Q:	So at the
19		A:	To cover an overdraft facility.
20		Q:	So as at the end of December the total
21			then was X million dollars,
22			principal.
23		A:	\$xxx thousand for
24			overdraft facility and \$xxx
25			thousand for demand loan.

1	Q:	Now DEBTOR, a part of the payment to
2		Eagle Merchant Bank on account of the
3		debt owed by DEBTOR COMPANY#1, emanated
4		from Century National Bank.
5	A:	Yes, the first part of the loan.
6	Q:	And the amount of that payment was
7		\$xxx thousand
8		dollars?
9	A:	That is one payment, yes.
10	Q:	And that payment was sent by way of a
11		Century National Bank manager's cheque
12		to Eagle Merchant Bank?
13	A:	Yes.
14	Q:	On February 26, 1991, on or about
15		February 26, 1991?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	Now that manager's cheque of
18		\$xxx thousand that
19		was drawn on Century National Bank's
20		account and handed over to Eagle
21		Merchant Bank, that cheque was charged
22		against DEBTOR COMPANY#4 current account
23		with Century National Bank.
24	A:	Yes.
25	Q:	And that current account was already in

1		over draft at the time; correct?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	So this payment put it further into
4		overdraft?
5	A:	There were deposits and there were
6		payments.
7	Q:	Did you hear my question?
8	A:	There were deposits and there were
9		payments so
10	Q:	My question was, did this payment put it
11		further into overdraft?
12	A:	Put what?
13	Q:	Put the account of DEBTOR COMPANY#4
14		with Century National
15		Bank further into overdraft?
16	A:	It would only be put in overdraft
17		further - emphasizing `further' - if the
18		amount you lodge and what you draw, the
19		amount you lodged was less than the
20		amount that you drew the cheque for. if
21		the amount you lodge is more than you
22		draw the cheque for then it wouldn't put
23		it further into overdraft, the net affect
24		would be less, the overdraft would be
		less.

1	Q:	And in that instance weren't the cheques
2		and other debits on that account way in
3		excess of the deposits and credits on
4		that account?
5	A:	I don't have it before me but we have
6		the current account available so we know
7		what it overdraft was from time to time.
8	Q:	Okay. So would you look at exhibit 18A
9		let us see if we can be a little bit
10		more specific.
11	A:	You are talking about my witness
12		statement?
13	Q:	Yes I am.
14	A:	Could you let me know what paragraph you
15		are referring to?
16	Q:	I am referring to exhibit 18A.
17	MS. CLARKE:	For the benefit of the Commission and
18		the witness, that is not in fact
19		included in the compiled witness
20		statement, it was actually put in as a
21		document to which exhibit 18 is also
22		attached which is probably why the
23		witness will not be able to find it in
24		his actual witness statement. 18A is
25		attached to a document, a letter dated

1		February 26, 1991 which is in fact 18
2		and in the witness statement but it was
3		passed up separately now with 18A
4		attached which is the statement. It is
5		not going to be found in the compiled
6		witness statement.
7	A:	I am at 18, and could you assist me.
8	COMM. BOGLE:	DEBTOR, it is a loose leaf or one
9		attached to a letter.
10	A:	I haven't got that.
11	MS. CLARKE:	Sorry, may I allowed to show it to him.
12		(Document given to witness)
13	A:	Yes.
14	MRS. PHILLIPS:	You have it now?
15	A:	Yes ma'am.
16	Q:	Okay. This is the bank statement of
17		DEBTOR COMPANY#4 with
18		Century National Bank?
19	A:	Yes.
20	Q:	And this statement goes up to the end of
21		February, 1991?
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	Now, you see where it says statement at
24		the top third and there is a line going
25		across the top? You see immediately

1		below that the words 'Business
2		Checking'.
3	A:	You are looking at the statement for
4		February?
5	Q:	Yes. You see where the word statement
6		is in bold at the top third?
7	A:	The top third.
8	Q:	Do you see where the words statement is
9		in bold just by itself? DEBTOR?
10	A:	Yes, oh yes.
11	Q:	You are with me now?
12	A:	Yes, ma'am.
13	Q:	Good. Do you see immediately under that
14		the words 'Business checking'? Do you
15		see immediately under that the words
16		'Business Checking?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	Z take if from that, that this is a
19		business chequing account?
20	A:	It is.
21	Q:	Okay. And right under that you see your
22		previous balance on January 31, 1991
23		\$xxx thousand
24		dollars
25		overdrawn?

1	A:	Yes.
2	Q:	Plus deposits and other credits,
3		\$xx thousand?
4	A:	
5	Q:	Yes.
6		That is the total deposits for the
7	A:	month?
8	Q:	Yes.
	⊻•	Minus cheques and other debits xxx
9		thousand dollars.
10		Yes.
11		Equals your new balance, \$x million. I
12	A:	can read out the exact figure. \$x
13	Q:	million.
14		Yes.
15		So you agree with me that DEBTOR
16	A:	COMPANY#4 was carrying an overdraft in
17	Q:	
18		excess of the agreed overdraft of the
19		xxx thousand dollars?
20		No.
21		Look half way down the page and along
22	7. •	the extreme right hand side where it
	A:	says balances. Do you see minus signs?
23	Q:	
24		
25		

1		
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	Just listen to my question. Do you see
4		minus signs beside each and every litem
5		there?
6	A:	Which suggests that it's the overdraft
7		figure.
8	Q:	I didn't ask you what it suggests, I
9		just ask you if you see them.
10	A:	Yes I see them.
11	Q:	Now
12	A:	But you were asking whether that was
13		over the limit.
14	Q:	Just a minute, DEBTOR, just than
15		answer the question.
16	A:	I would like to answer the question
17		properly.
18	Q:	You have answered the question properly.
19		I asked you if you saw the minus signs
20		and you said yes.
21	A:	You asked if I was over the limit and
22		this does not mean, this February, 1991.
23		The limit for which I offered guarantee
24		was in December, 1990, more than a year
25		before and the limit keeps changing and

1		not all the limits that you have is
2		registered against the title.
3	Q:	Did you speak about your increased
4		overdraft limits in your statement or
5		did you just speak about the overdraft
6		of xxx thousand?
7	A:	I spoke about the overdraft which was
8		registered on the title because that is
9		the relevant one. This DEBTOR COMPANY#4
10		has nothing to do with the
11		title; the title is DEBTOR COMPANY#3.
12	Q:	Fine. Let's just see if you can deal
13		with my questions. Now you remember
14		that Neville Robinson & Associates
15		Auditor's Report that you put before the
16		Commissioners?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	And you remember that you had several
19		bank statement annexed to it?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	Can you find your copy?
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	Okay, now in those bank statements, a
24		number of them on the copy that 1 have
25		been given, the extreme right hand side

1		of the page trimmed so closely that you
2		cannot even see the last digit of the
3		figure. Is that the same with yours?
4 5	A: Q:	No, I can see them perfectly. Look at the statement for example April
6		30, 1992.
7	A:	Oh yes there is a blur on the cents.
8		You are concerned about the cents?
9	Q:	I am very concerned even about what
10		comes beside the cents. You agree with
11		me that you can't see the cents on that
12		copy?
13	A:	The c-e-n-t-s, yes.
14 15	Q:	Let's look at the one dated December 31, 1991 which is also cropped closely but
16		fortunately not quite as closely as that
17		one is cropped.
18	A:	December 31?
19	Q:	1991.
20 21	A: MRS. PHILLIPS:	I am missing that one it seems. Commissioners, do you have that one?
22	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
23	Q:	Do you see in the balances column at the
24		bottom, what looks like a dot beside
25		each number? I am suggesting to

1		DEBTOR that, that is in fact at minus
2		sign as in the one in exhibit 18A beside
3		each number.
4	MS. CLARKE:	Mr. Chairman, I have an objection to the
5		suggestion before it is even put. Is
6		the submission or the suggestion based
7		on Counsel's perception looking at the
8		document or based on evidence that has
9		gone before? Is Counsel suggesting that
10		as far as she can see it looks like or
11 12		is it that based on data that she has produced she is in a position to say
13		that this is a minus sign because I
14		think Counsel has inasmuch as said that
15		it is quite imperceptible as to what it
16		is so the basis of her submission should
17		at least be put; or suggestion I should
18 19	MRS. PHILLIPS:	say. I am sorry. I have referenced 18A before which shows
20		the format where there is as negative
21		balance. When you look at figures that
22		are not in negative balance, you don't
23		see any mark of any kind beside them
24		whatsoever.
25	A:	Counsel is correct. In December 1990

1		there was a negative balance.
2	Q:	Good. Thank you DEBTOR. So where
3		the side of the statement is cut off to
4		the point where we cannot see the cents
5		we are hamstrung in our ability to
6		construe the statement.
7	A:	Oh, you are saying the minuses to that
8		end that is cut off?
9	COMM. BOGLE:	I think though, if we do the Maths.
10	MRS. PHILLIPS:	If you do the Maths at the top, yes. But
11		if you go to Schedule 3, bearing in mind
12		that you were almost x
13		million overdrawn in February of 1991,
14		and you have said helpfully, that your
15		overdraft was increased after
16		December, 1990 beyond the xxx
17		thousand limit in December.
18	A:	I don't think you are understanding what
19		is there at all. The overdraft was
20		increased to xxx
21		thousand by the end of December, 1990.
22		xxx thousand
23		dollars that was from 1988 and another
24		xxx thousand in
25		1990 making a total xxx

1		thousand overdraft up to December,
2		1990.
3	Q:	I am understanding you, but the xxx K
4		was covered by the initial
5		mortgage of the x million
6	A:	No, no, and that is very fallacious
7		where you keep talking about a mortgage
8		of x million and you are talking about
9		overdraft facility and demand loan.
10	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Just a minute! Stop right there because
11		I have an allergic reaction to that word
12		fallacious when its used in relation to
13		me.
14		Would you look at exhibit AS1(a).
14 15	A:	Would you look at exhibit AS1(a). Exhibit what?
	A: Q:	-
15		Exhibit what?
15 16		Exhibit what? AS(1)(a). It is the Certificate of
15 16 17	Q:	Exhibit what? AS(1)(a). It is the Certificate of Title.
15 16 17 18	Q: A:	Exhibit what? AS(1)(a). It is the Certificate of Title. 1A is not the Certificate of Title.
15 16 17 18 19	Q: A:	Exhibit what? AS(1)(a). It is the Certificate of Title. 1A is not the Certificate of Title. I am not asking you DEBTOR, AS 1A is
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q: A:	Exhibit what? AS(1)(a). It is the Certificate of Title. 1A is not the Certificate of Title. I am not asking you DEBTOR, AS 1A is the Certificate of Title. That's not a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q: A: Q:	Exhibit what? AS(1)(a). It is the Certificate of Title. 1A is not the Certificate of Title. I am not asking you DEBTOR, AS 1A is the Certificate of Title. That's not a question.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q: A: Q:	Exhibit what? AS(1)(a). It is the Certificate of Title. 1A is not the Certificate of Title. I am not asking you DEBTOR, AS 1A is the Certificate of Title. That's not a question. The witness could be helped if he were

1		document that is supplied by Counsel
2		presently cross-examining the witness
3		and it was marked during the course of
4		the testimony. So it would be a loose
5		document in the form of a copy entitled,
6		marked AS 1A which I am not sure that
7		the witness would have retained for
8		himself because it was actually provided
9		by Counsel for JRF. So perhaps rather
10		than arguing with him he could be helped
11		to know that.
12	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Well, you better look at yours since I
13		have provided that to this Commission.
14	COMM BOGLE:	DEBTOR, there is a document that was
15		submitted, it's not attached to your
16		submission and it's the Certificate of
17		Title for volume xxxx and xxx.
18	A:	Yes, I have that.
19	Q:	You have that?
20	A:	Yes.
21	COMM BOGLE:	Right. That is the one that?
	MRS PHILLIPS:	All right, DEBTOR, could you look at
23		the last page of that title.
24 25	A: COMM BOGLE:	Yes, I am looking at the last page. We are being asked for lust a few
		<u>-</u>

1		minutes because the system has to be
2		rebooted, the system. So we will just
3		take a five minute break.
4 5	COMM BOGLE:	(Break) Okay, we are back. We have reconvened.
6	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Thank you, Commissioner. The last page
7		of the title, DEBTOR.
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	Second endorsement from the top.
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q:	Mortgage #xxxxxx registered 30th of
12		November 1988 to Century National Bank
13		Limited, 14-20 Port Royal Street,
14		Kingston. You see where the money is
15 16		mentioned in the mortgage to cover $x \ million$ with interest and another,
17		Volume xxxx Folio xxx. Now look at
18		paragraph 8 of your Witness Statement.
19	A:	Ugh-huh.
20	Q:	And you will agree with me that it says:
21		"At the end of December, 1990 DEBTOR
22		COMPANY#3 title was used to cover
23		facilities totaling \$x million, being
24		for the demand loan and \$xxx K for
25		overdraft facilities".

1	A:	Yes.
2	MS CLARKE:	Sorry, I believe my friend is reading
3		without the amendment.
4	Q:	And it was amended to total x M. But
5		my statement to you was that the
6		original overdraft amount was covered by
7		the mortgage.
8	A:	The
9	Q:	That's the statement that you called
10		fallacious?
11	A:	Yes. The fallacy
12	Q:	Do you agree that the original overdraft
13		amount was covered by the mortgage?
14	A:	The amount covered by the mortgage was a
15		mix of overdraft facility and demand
16		loan.
17	Q:	Yes, and the part of it that was
18		overdraft facility was covered by the
19		mortgage?
20	A:	Both were covered by the mortgage.
21	Q:	The part that was the overdraft facility
22		was covered by the mortgage, correct?
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	So my statement is not fallacious.
25	A:	The fallacy in your statement is

1	Q:	Now, DEBTOR moving on.
2	A:	You don't want to hear me?
3	MS CLARKE:	I believe if something is put to the
4		witness he ought to be afforded the
5		opportunity to respond.
6	A:	is that the statement is saying that
7		the bank disbursed \$x M when the
8		bank disbursed \$xxx K and we covered
9		an overdraft facility for xxx K. So
10		unless there is an overdraft to that
11		amount or more to say that the bank
12		disbursed xxx K is not only
13		fallacious, you know that it is false.
14		False. The Commissioners have read it
15		and we need go over it no more because
16		they get it. How come you don't get it?
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I don't know, the court and I seem to
18		have the same problem.
19	A:	Yes, because you see, I also go before
20		the court.
21	COMM BOGLE:	Let's see if we can get some order.
22		Question and answer, please.
23	MRS. PHILLIPS:	DEBTOR, so you had the x M
24		facility and then you had an additional
25		xxx K?

1	A:	Yes, ma'am.
2	Q:	But that was not the limit of your
3		indebtedness in the principal sense to
4		Century National Bank. Subsequent to
5		that there was the xxx K that was
6		applied against DEBTOR COMPANY#3 current
7		account in order to make the payment to
8		Eagle Merchant Bank in 1991, am I not
9		correct?
10	A:	That has nothing to do with DEBTOR
11		COMPANY#4 using a facility that is
12		afforded the bank.
13	Q:	Do you agree an overdraft is a debt?
14	MS CLARKE:	I am sorry, I am at a lost here. The
15		witness was in the middle of answering
16		something. Is the witness being asked
17		something different?
18	MRS. PHILLIPS:	The witness is not in
19		examination-in-chief Commissioner, the
20		witness is being cross-examined. I asked
21		a specific question. If my friend
22		wishes to add anything she is free at
23		re-examination.
24	COMM BOGLE:	There is an objection, may we hear the
25		objection, please.

1	MS CLARKE:	Thank you Mr. Chairman. I believe it
2		was of no moment when one considers
3		whether a witness ought to be afforded
4		the opportunity to complete his answer,
5		whether he is being examined in-chief or
6		he is cross-examined. The witness is in
7		the middle of responding to a question,
8		Counsel in the meantime is putting
9		another question. I am would simply ask
10		you, Mr. Chairman that the witness be
11		allowed to complete his answer to the
12		one question before the other question
13		is put, thank you.
14	MRS. PHILLIPS:	My response to that Mr. Chairman, is
15		that I have asked a specific question
16		and it has been answered. To the extent
17		that the witness wishes to say things
18		which are irrelevant to the question
19		that I have asked. If the counsel
20		marshaling the evidence wishes to
21		extract that in re-examination she is
22		free to do that is the purpose of it.
23		But cross-examination is to test credit
24		and to elicit facts which have been
25		omitted. It is not at large. it is at

1		large in the sense that I can ask what I
2		want to ask but he has to answer the
3		question that I ask him. It's not an
4		invitation for him to give a speech
5		every time I ask a question or else we
6		won't leave here today.
7	COMM BOGLE:	Proceed, Mrs. Phillips.
8	MRS PHILLIPS:	Thank you. Yes DEBTOR, do you
9		consider an overdraft to be a loan?
10	A:	No.
11	Q:	Well, I think we have come a long way in
12		finding out what is the difficulty that
13		this gentleman has, that answer alone.
14	MS CLARKE:	Is Counsel asking questions now in
15		relation to this issue? To whom is
16		Counsel speaking just now? Is Counsel
17		submitting as he goes along relative to
18		each answer?
19	A:	Because she asked a nonsensical question
20		and then moved on to comment on it.
21	COMM BOGLE:	DEBTOR, comments are not necessary
22		please. The questions are asked and you
23		do your best to answer the questions as
24		directly as possible so that we can move
25		on.

1	MS CLARKE:	In fairness to the witness you know,
2		Mr. Chairman, perhaps the alike statement
3		may be directed to Counsel because
4		cross-examination, is that what it says,
5		examination of the witness?
6	COMM BOGLE:	Can you direct your statements to me?
7	MS CLARKE:	Obliged, sir. The witness is being
8		questioned.
9	MRS PHILLIPS:	Now DEBTOR, did you put in evidence
10		AS12, a Fixed Date Claim Form with
11		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 as the claimant?
12	A:	Exhibit who?
13	Q:	AS 12?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	With DEBTOR COMPANY#3 as the claimant;
16		Financial Institution Services Limited
17		as first defendant, Jamaica
18		Redevelopment Foundation as the second
19		defendant and Dennis Joslin Inc as the
20		third defendant. You have also put in an
21		affidavit in support of the Fixed Date
22		Claim Form which is about three pages
23		behind that document?
24	A:	Yes.
25	Q:	And don't you see there are references

1		in the Affidavit to Exhibits AS1; AS2;
2		AS3 and AS4?
3	A:	AS1, 2, 3, 4.
4	Q:	You see those references in the
5		Affidavit?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	Wouldn't you agree with me that there is
8		no exhibit attached to the Affidavit,
9		correct?
10	A:	To this
11	Q:	This is the copy that you have put
12		before the Commission. Wouldn't you
13		agree with me that there is no exhibit
14		attached to it?
15	A:	I don't know if it's not attached here
16		or it wasn't attached, this is the
17		filing.
18	Q:	DEBTOR, the Affidavit that is here
19		that you put before the Commission, do
20		you agree with me that it is devoid of
21		the exhibit to which it refers?
22	A:	It is not here.
23	Q:	Thank you. Good. We are getting
24		somewhere.
25	A:	z am glad you know.

1	Q:	Now DEBTOR, the payment to Eagle -
2		the company, DEBTOR COMPANY#3 requested
3		Century National Bank to make available
4		to Eagle Merchant Bank the title for XXX
5		King Street to allow Eagle to register a
6		second mortgage behind Century's
7		mortgage?
8	A:	I don't know where you are seeing that.
9	Q:	I am asking you if that is not correct.
10	A:	To my recollection that's not correct.
11	Q:	Okay, let me see if I can assist your
12		recollection. Could you have a look at
13		that letter for me please, DEBTOR, it
14		is a letter dated November 14, 1991 from
15		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 to Manager,
16		Century National Limited, is that your
17		signature?
18		(Document handed to witness)
19	<i>A:</i>	Yes.
20 21	Q:	Do you now accept that on November 14, 1990 DEBTOR COMPANY#3 requested Century
22		National Bank Limited to loan the title
23		for xxx King Street, to the Eagle
24		Commercial Bank for them to register the
25		second mortgage on the title?

1	A:	Yes, that was later revoked.
2	Q:	Do you now accept
3	A:	That it was done at that time, yes.
4	Q:	DEBTOR, do you now accept that, that
5		is so?
6	A:	(No answer)
7	Q:	Yes or no?
8	A:	That it was done at a time.
9	Q:	May we mark this as AS $_{ t w}$ what would be
10		the next one?
11	COMM BOGLE:	Thirty-two.
12	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Obliged.
13		Now DEBTOR, when DEBTOR COMPANY#1
14		borrowed money from Eagle Merchant Bank
14 15		borrowed money from Eagle Merchant Bank in 1989
	A:	
15	A:	in 1989
15 16	A: Q:	in 1989 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 didn't borrow any money
15 16 17		in 1989 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 didn't borrow any money in 1989.
15 16 17 18		<pre>in 1989 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 didn't borrow any money in 1989. My question is not finished, could you</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	Q:	<pre>in 1989 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 didn't borrow any money in 1989. My question is not finished, could you just listen to my question?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q:	<pre>in 1989 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 didn't borrow any money in 1989. My question is not finished, could you just listen to my question? But the premise of your question starts</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q: A:	<pre>in 1989 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 didn't borrow any money in 1989. My question is not finished, could you just listen to my question? But the premise of your question starts with a falsehood.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q: A:	in 1989 DEBTOR COMPANY#1 didn't borrow any money in 1989. My question is not finished, could you just listen to my question? But the premise of your question starts with a falsehood. In November 1989, you accepted a

1		Jamaica Ltd, did you not represent
2		to them that in the security that would
3		be provided there would be a corporate
4		guarantee given by DEBTOR CO #3
5		supported by a first legal mortgage over
6		xxx King Street and xx Love Lane?
7	A:	Yes.
8	Q:	Do you know what first legal mortgage
9		is?
10	A:	I beg you pardon?
11	Q:	Do you know what a first legal mortgage
12		is?
13	A:	Yes, ma'am.
14	Q:	Okay. So at the time that you gave that
15		commitment you would have been aware
16		that DEBTOR COMPANY#3 had already given
17		a first legal mortgage over that
18		property to Century National Bank?
19	A:	Ugh-huh.
20	Q:	Now, DEBTOR, on or about November 22,
21		1990, DEBTOR COMPANY#4
22		acting through you, authorized Century
23		National Bank to up-stamp the first
24		mortgage on the property at xxx King
25		Street before releasing the title to

1		Eagle Merchant Bank for that institution
2		to register a second mortgage on the
3		property. Is that correct?
4	A:	That's a question? What is the question?
5	Q:	The question is, whether on November 22
6		1990 or thereabouts DEBTOR COMPANY#4
7		through you, authorized
8		Century National Bank to up-stamp its
9		first mortgage on the property before
10		releasing it to Eagle Merchant for that
11		bank to register a second mortgage on
12		the property?
13	A:	For the second bank, yes.
14	Q:	And did you subsequently seek to revoke
15		your authorization? Well, sorry let me
16		put it specifically. Did DEBTOR COMPANY#3
17		subsequently seek to revoke the
18		authorization given by DEBTOR COMPANY#4?
19		
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	And are you aware that Eagle Merchant
22		Bank registered a caveat on the title
23		because it could not register a first
24		legal mortgage in support of the loan
25		that it had disbursed on the commitment

1		given by you that it would be allowed
2		to do so because of Century's pre-
3		existing first legal mortgage?
4	A:	I am not aware of any caveat
5		pre-existing on that basis.
6	Q:	Are you aware that Eagle wanted to
7		register its mortgage as a first legal
8		mortgage and could not do so because of
9		the pre-existing first legal mortgage
10		caveat at Century National Bank?
11	A:	You want me to answer what Eagle wanted
12		to do?
13	Q:	No, I want you to answer if you were
14		aware that Eagle wanted to register its
15		mortgage and could not do so because of
16		the pre-existing first legal mortgage?
17	A:	And if you let me answer what I am aware
18		of, that when Eagle wanted to do that
19		the debt to Eagle was already paid off
20		including the manager's cheque that you
21		are trying to that Eagle up to now
22		says that they did not receive that
23		manager's cheque as part of their claim.
24	Q:	Is that the same debt that you told the
25		court was paid off?

1	A:	Which debt?
2	Q:	The Eagle Merchant Bank's debt. You just
3		said the debt was paid off?
4	A:	Yes, Eagle's debt was paid off and more
5		than paid off.
6	Q:	But the court did not agree with you?
7	A:	Of course not, because of the fraudulent
8		representation made by your client.
9	Q:	We have been given some guidance here
10		today that we are bound by the findings.
11	A:	I am only bound when it comes to what I
12		have to represent, it is a one-sided
13		binding, eh?
14	Q:	The court only heard one side?
15	A:	No, no. I am representing myself
16		Mr. Commissioner, she cannot take leave
17		of being counsel to make statements and
18		falsify statements and I can't answer.
19	COMM BOGLE:	DEBTOR, from what I picked up, Mrs.
20		Phillips stated, was the statement this
21		morning regarding the matter, it was in
22		front of the court and still is in front
23		of the court.
24	A:	When it gets in front of the court and
25		when it's not in front of the court when

1		I am answering or when she is asking,
2		I need to be guided by that.
3	COMM BOGLE:	DEBTOR, as was said this morning, the
4		matter is still in front of the court
5		and we will not be going into it.
6	A:	Thank you Mr. Chairman, could you make
7		sure that she hears that.
8 9	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Oh, I heard it and I heard that the finding was res judicata and the thing
10		was put to rest because it says, final
11		decision. And one of the findings in
12		that final decision is that the Eagle
13		Merchant Bank debt was not paid off.
14	A:	And one of the
15	Q:	In fact one finding was that the debt
16		was in excess of \$x m.
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	Okay. So let us proceed on the basis of
19		what a court that heard every side
20		decided.
21	A:	No, the court has not heard every side
22		and this Commission has not heard every
23		side because the Commission has denied
24		the admission of what was represented to
25		the court in making the decision. You

1		take what the court decision is but you
2		don't take the misrepresentations that
3		went to the court and how fair is that?
4	Q:	Are you aware, DEBTOR, since you
5		won't answer my previous question
6	A:	I will answer any question that you ask
7		me, ma'am. That is just a question that
8		I can answer.
9	Q:	Are you aware that Century National
10		Bank, Century National Bank wanted to
11		up-stamp its mortgage by an additional
12		\$xxxK to cover the debts, the
13		increase in the debts owed to it by
14		DEBTOR COMPANY#3, DEBTOR COMPANY#4
15		and DEBTOR?
16	A:	Would you point me to where those debts
17		are represented?
18	Q:	I had just showed you
19	A:	Could you assist me.
20	Q:	I just showed you a bank statement
21		showing an overdraft of \$xM.
22	A:	And that is what was represented in the
23		court?
24	Q:	You don't ask the questions here, Mr.
25		DEBTOR, you just answer.

1	A:	No, no, I need to understand the
2		question before I can answer it.
3	Q:	Well, if you don't understand, say you
4		don't understand but don't ask me any
5		questions.
6	A:	Mr. Chairman
7	Q:	Are you aware that Century National Bank
8		wanted to up stamp its mortgage and was
9		being prevented by Eagle's caveat from
10		doing so?
11	A:	No.
12	Q:	Okay. Mr. DePeralto, could you give the
13		witness one of that for me please.
14		(Document handed to witness)
15		Now DEBTOR, read this to yourself,
16		and before I take you to it, in March of
17		1992, you on behalf of DEBTOR COMPANY#3
18		had signed a promissory for x million?
19		
20		
21	A:	Yes.
22	COMM. BOGLE:	This letter, you are going to be
23		tendering?
24	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I am going to tender it but I want to
25		establish this point first. You had

1		signed a promissory note on behalf of
2		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 evidencing a debt of \$x
3		million because that is what the
4		promissory note does, you had given the
5		bank a mortgage for \$x million which was
6		up-stamped by \$xxx K and they now
7		wanted to additionally up stamp the
8		mortgage to secure the debt that you
9		acknowledged on behalf of DEBTOR
10		COMPANY#3 in the promissory note, do you
11		agree?
12	A:	That is wrong.
13	Q:	Okay let us look.
14	A:	And that is the essence of the
15		falsification.
16	Q:	Please you like that word and I am going
17		to ask you to just use it with restraint
18		if you have to at all.
19	A:	I wish I could.
20	Q:	Because you see, I have read all the
21		judgments that I have been provided with
22		and the only time that I see that word
23		used is in relation to you.
24	A:	Yes.
25	Q:	Now, DEBTOR, let me ask you again,

1		are you aware that Century National Bank
2		was being prevented by the caveat lodged
3		by Eagle Merchant Bank against the title
4		from up stamping its mortgage by a
5		further \$xxx K to reflect the agreed
6		indebtedness of DEBTOR COMPANY#3 as
7		evidenced by the promissory note?
8	A:	That is a misrepresentation of the fact.
9	Q:	May we mark this AS.
10	COMM. BOGLE:	33.
11 12	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Obliged. DEBTOR, the ISC loan which was originally at 180, is that correct?
13	A :	No, that is not correct.
	Q:	24%?
14	A:	Yes, that is correct.
15	Q:	24%. Okay, the Eagle Merchant
16		
17		Facility was divided in a number of
18		loans, the ISC loan being one?
19	A:	Yes.
20	Q:	There was an Eagle Merchant, part of it
21		that had an interest rate of 18%, add
22		on?
23	A:	Yes, add on over five years.
24	Q:	The fact that you had promised a first
25		mortgage to Eagle Merchant Bank, were

1		not able to deliver that before you were
2		already given a first mortgage to
3		Century National Bank created a problem
4		between Century National Bank and Eagle
5		Merchant Bank which they tried to sort
6		out between themselves, are you aware of
7		that?
8	A:	Is that your testimony?
9	Q:	It a question I am asking you, if you
10		are aware that, that was the case, you
11		can say yes, I am aware or no, I am not
12		aware?
13	A:	The question is based a false premise.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	Is either you agree with the question or
15		don't agree with the question, she's
16 17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	simply asking a question. Let me break it down, did you promise to
18		give Eagle Merchant Bank a first
19		mortgage?
20	A:	Originally, yes.
21	Q:	At the time had you already given a
22		first mortgage to Century National Bank?
23	A:	And Eagle knew that, Eagle knew that.
24	Q:	And the evidence of that is where?
25	A:	I can give you evidence of that.

1	Q:	There is a commitment letter with
2		your signature on it which states that
3		you promised and the agreement with
4		Eagle, as understood by Eagle is that
5		they would get a first mortgage?
6	A:	Yes, and there was a commitment by Eagle
7		that they would lend money.
8	Q:	I have not seen a letter correcting
9		that, if there is one then I would
10	A:	I can provide you with evidence.
11	Q:	You need to do that and provide it to
12		the Commission.
13	A:	Where Eagle Merchant Bank says they were
14		aware that there is a first mortgage.
15	Q:	Look at this for me DEBTOR.
16		(Document handed to DEBTOR)
17	A:	What is this? (Witness reads letter)
18	Q:	Do you agree that Century National Bank
19		and Eagle Merchant Bank were trying to
20		sort out the difficulties between
21		themselves in relation to you having
22		pledged the same property to them both
23		as first mortgages?
24	A:	I am seeing this for the first time.
25	Q:	That was not my question to you, my

1		question was whether you agree that Eagle
2		and Century were trying to resolve the
3		difficulties occasioned by your promises
4 5		to both of them of first legal mortgages
6	A:	over the same property? Mr. Chairman, how
7		do I know what Eagle and Century were
8		trying to do between themselves?
9	COMM. BOGLE:	If you don't know you don't know
10	COILI. DOCEE.	DEBTOR, if you do, you do.
11	A:	No, I don't know.
		AS 34?
12	MRS. PHILLIPS:	DEBTOR, the last letter here you read it,
13	COMM. BOGLE:	have you read it.
14		This is what I got.
15	A:	Have you read it?
16	COMM. BOGLE:	I read a letter to Eagle Merchant Bank
17	A:	from xxx.
18		IIOM XXX.
19	COMM. BOGLE:	Which is the one you have in your hand.
20	A:	Yes.
21	COMM. BOGLE:	I am asking you if you read it.
22	A:	Yes, I read it, sir.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	Mrs. Phillips.
24	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Crave your indulgence. I just wonder why am I reading it

1 because if I am supposed to say anything 2 about what is in there? MS CLARKE: 3 Mr. Chairman, I think for my part I have been trying to be constraint or restraint 5 but I feel constraint to put on record 6 again my own view that these documents that are not documents of the witness and 8 which have not been properly put in terms 9 of through their makers or anybody coming 10 to authenticate them ought at best to be 11 approached and taken with the greatest of 12 caution because this is a letter from 13 Century to Eagle, the witness has not 14 identified himself with it even in terms 15 of having seen a copy or knowing anything 16 about it and it is now in evidence. I am 17 therefore saying that when the Commission 18 comes to give weight, one way or another 19 to this letter, this is a matter that 20 ought to be taken into consideration in 21 terms of authenticity, that no foundation 22 has really been laid for these documents, 23 this document in particular, and I have 24 said it on a number of occasions and I 25

1		believe this is a time, aptly, to say it
2		again.
3	MRS. PHILLIPS:	May I say, Mr. Chairman
4	A:	The figures are incorrect.
5	Q:	DEBTOR please.
6	COMM. BOGLE:	Your objection or statement is noted.
7	MS CLARKE:	Thank you, sir.
8	MRS. PHILLIPS:	May I proceed Mr. Chairman?
9	COMM. BOGLE:	Yes.
10	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Obliged. Now DEBTOR, you would have no
11		difficulty accepting that DEBTOR
12		COMPANY#3 was at one time represented
13	7) .	by Randolph Williams, Attorney-at-law?
14	A:	Yes.
15 16	Q:	And on behalf of DEBTOR COMPANY#3 he
17		wrote to Mr. Patrick Hylton, the Managing
18		Director of FINSAC Limited on June 10,
19		1999. You recall that, presumably you
		would have told him to do so, you recall
20		telling Mr. Randolph Williams to write to
21 22		Mr. Patrick Hylton on behalf of DEBTOR
23	A:	COMPANY#3? I don't recall but it is
24	Q:	possible.
25	ו	Okay, it is possible, I want to be fair.
		(Letter handed to witness)

1		See if this refreshes your mind.
2		(Document handed to witness)
3		DEBTOR let me give you a chance to
4		read it. You read it now DEBTOR?
5	A:	I read it.
6	Q:	Now, first let's look at the first page,
7		numbered item two, that isn't the only
8		security that DEBTOR COMPANY#3 agreed to
let		
9		Eagle Merchant Bank have, the second
10		mortgage at xxx King St? You also
11		agreed to a first mortgage at xxx King
12		St., and xx whatever lane, you did,
13		didn't you?
14	A:	No, DEBTOR COMPANY#3 did own xxx King St.
15	Q:	I might have gotten the number wrong.
16	COMM. BOGLE:	While you search for that, we will
17		accept this as AS 35.
18	MRS. PHILLIPS:	AS 35. Sorry in paragraph 2 there,
19		numbered paragraph 2, it was in fact a
20		first legal mortgage on xxx King St.,
21		and xx and xx Love Lane?
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	Which DEBTOR COMPANY#3 agreed to grant
24		Eagle Merchant Bank, yet your lawyer is
25		here saying that it agreed to grant just

1		the second mortgage on xxx King St., you
2		see that?
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	That is not correct, is it?
5	A:	That is what I have been trying to say
6		that a lot of what you are saying is not
7		correct but how do I answer it in yes or
8		no.
9	Q:	DEBTOR, is it not you who brought
10		this agreement with Eagle Merchant Bank
11		dated November 15, 1989 signed by you as
12		evidenced before this Commission of the
13		content of this letter?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	Okay. This letter is at odds with this
16		letter in relation to security, agreed?
17	A;	No, not at the time.
18	Q:	All right, I will let the counsel
19		marshalling the evidence resolve that,
20		whatever discrepancies. Now turn
21		around, turn overleaf, you see item 7,
22		numbered item 7?
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	The indebtedness to EMB by DEBTOR
25		COMPANY#1 has been satisfied and I

1		enclose a copy of a memorandum of
2		satisfaction filed by EMB. EMB has not
3		however registered the discharge of the
4		security.
5		Isn't this the same debt that is the
6		subject of the judgment of the
7		Honourable Mr. Justice Jones?
8	A:	Yes, it is the same issue.
9	Q:	In which he concluded that your company
10		owes in excess of \$x million, yes?
11	A:	Based on the information that was before
12		him, yes.
13	Q:	Okay good, thank you. Which has not
14		been put before this Commission and as a
15		result of this, this incorrect claim
16		that the debt has been paid off, in
17		paragraph 8 then followed with the
18		allegations of negligence and breach of
19		duty by CNB, correct?
20	A:	I don't understand the question.
21	Q:	Paragraph 8 follows on from paragraph 7.
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	In fact numbered paragraphs 6 and 8
24		revolve around paragraph 7 but paragraph
25		7 is incorrect; as a matter of law,

1		given that we now have a judgment.
2	A:	You have a judgment and you have a
3		filing of Notice of Appeal and Grounds
4		of Appeal. Is that the end of it?
5	Q:	I am guided by the advice that I have
6		been given by the Commission's counsel
7		this morning. Now, DEBTOR, FINSAC
8		Limited responded to that letter of June
9		10 1999, are you aware of that?
10	A:	You are talking to me?
11	Q:	Yes, I am speaking to you, I am speaking
12		to you that FINSAC Limited responded to
13		your attorney Mr. Randolph Williams by
14		letter of July 1, 1992; did Mr. Randolph
15		Williams share their response with you?
16	A:	Where is that?
17	Q:	You don't have it yet because I have not
18		put it before the Commission but I am
19		about to do so?
20	A:	You want me to answer what it is and you
21		have not put it before me?
22	Q:	I did not ask you what was in it. You
23		listen to my question, we will get along
24		a lot more speedily. Were you aware
25		that FINSAC had responded to your

1		attorney Mr. Randolph Williams, I
2		asked whether Mr. Randolph Williams
3		shared that with you?
4	A:	I have seen responses from FINSAC, yes.
5	Q:	Okay. Will you look at this and tell me
6		if this is one. (Letter shown to
7		witness)
8	A:	Yes.
9 10	Q: COMM. BOGLE:	Can this be marked AS 36? 36.
11	MRS. PHILLIPS:	And that letter which I will call a
12		holding letter, that letter was followed
13 14		by the letter of December 17, 1999 from Mr. Gabriel Edwards, attorney-at-law,
15		writing on your instructions, which
16		letter was already admitted in evidence
17		as exhibit 10A, remember that, it wasn't
18		in your bundle but I happened to have a
19		copy and when the Commission asked if
20		they could have sight of the letter
21		dated December 17, 1999 and I was able
22		to provide them with a copy and it was
23		marked 10A, you recall that?
24	A:	I recall that a letter was introduced by
25		you.

1	Q:	Now, can he see the letter AS 10A that
2		was put in earlier.
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	This is a letter, you see, that he says
5		he is instructed by you in the very
6		first line?
7	A:	Yes.
8	Q:	On behalf of the two companies, that is
9	2.	DEBTOR COMPANY#3 and DEBTOR COMPANY#4?
10		
11	A:	Yes.
12		
	Q:	And you see at numbered Paragraph 8, it
13		says:
14		We categorically deny that DEBTOR
15 16		COMPANY#4 had any outstanding debt with Century National
17		Bank.
18		And at numbered paragraph 9
19		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 had a Demand Loan
20		account with Century National Bank
21		dating back to 1998. The confirmed and
22		agreed balance by way of letter dated
23		January 21, 1991 (copy attached) as
24		follows.
25		Demand Loan, \$xxx K

1		Interest outstanding, \$xx K
2		That Demand Loan was just a part of the
3		indebtedness to Century National Bank,
4		wasn't it DEBTOR?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Just a part?
7	A:	The demand loan was a part.
8	Q:	And this gives the impression that the
9		demand loan is something you had from
10		1988 and at January 21, the balance was
11		\$xxx K and that you are no longer
12		indebted to Century National Bank; there
13		is no mention of the additional
14	MS CLARKE:	Is that a question being put to the
15		witness or is the document being
16		analyzed, what is the question following
17		from this, this gives the impression
18 19	MRS. PHILLIPS:	that the question is going on and on. I can understand why you have a
20		difficulty with it but I will break it
21		down.
22	MS CLARKE:	That is besides the point.
23	MRS. PHILLIPS:	DEBTOR, paragraph 9, do you agree
24		with me that somebody reading this would
25		think that DEBTOR COMPANY#3's

1		indebtedness to Century National Bank
2		was limited to \$xxx K?
3	A:	Do I agree that somebody reading this
4		would believe that DEBTOR COMPANY#3's
5		indebtedness was limited to \$xxx K?
6	Q:	Plus interest of \$xx K.
7	A:	Somebody who is knowledgeable reading
8		this and who would note that the other
9		part was overdraft would just look at
10		the bank statement and see whether there
11		is an overdraft outstanding or not. If
12		the overdraft is paid off and there is
13		credit in the account, then all you
14		would be talking about is the demand
15		loan; there is no overdraft.
16	Q:	There is no overdraft mentioned in the
17		letter?
18	A:	There is no need to mention the
19		overdraft in the letter because this is
20		not the overdraft that we are talking
21		about. There is no need to say; you
22		just have to go to your bank statement
23		and see if there is an overdraft on the
24		bank statement.
25	Q:	In relation to number item 7 where you

1		speak about the demand, you call it a
2		demand note but
3	A:	Demand note?
4	Q:	Your lawyer called it a demand note?
5	A:	What is that?
6	Q:	At item 7 of that same letter which the
7		Century National Bank had passed on to
8		you, the outstanding demand loan for
9		\$x M.
10	A:	It is a promissory note.
11	Q:	Thank you. I think so too that it is a
12		Promissory Note. You don't have any
13		legal implications of that?
14	A:	The only implication of that - Mr.
15		Chairman, I am here alone except for
16		Counsel trying to help me to marshal the
17		evidence. There are four representatives
18		over there, you cannot allow her to just
19		badgering me with things without my
20		being able to correct the errors that
21		are in it or else you would never get to
22		the truth.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	DEBTOR, questions are being asked of
24		you, if you can answer the question you
25		answer it, if you cannot you don't

1		answer. One attorney is at the moment
Τ		addressing you; you are being questioned
2		by one atternor not by four atternors
3		by one attorney, not by four attorneys,
4		and when asked a question it is for you to
		answer whether or not you know the answer.
5		If you don't know the answer,
7		then you don't know the answer. No one
8		is badgering you with anything at all.
9	A:	Mr. Chairman, I don't know if I am
10		getting off my head but she asked the
11		question and then she went into a long
12		argument and making reference.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	In which case if you don't understand
14		the question
15	A:	It is not understand I don't understand
16		the question you know.
17	COMM. BOGLE:	You can ask her to rephrase the
18		question.
19	A:	I understand the question very well.
20	COMM. BOGLE:	If you understand the question, then you
21		answer it.
22	A:	It is the editorial that I am talking
23		about that she goes off into without my
24		being able to, that I sit here alone and
25		not being able to

1	Q:	It is a similar editorial that you
2		are now going into. So therefore may I
3		suggest
4	A:	But you are not stopping her.
5	COMM. BOGLE:	DEBTOR, we are not going to carry
6		this thing to another day. Could you
7		please answer the questions when asked
8		so that we can proceed. As I said
9		before, if you can't answer you indicate
10		so; if you can then you answer.
11	A:	I answer the question, I would just like
12		to answer the editorial as well.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	No, answer the questions.
14	MS CLARKE:	Am I to understand, Mr. Chairman, that
15		the witness is being asked or is being
16		encouraged to ignore the editorial and
17		just answer the question as he sees it
18		as a question?
19	COMM. BOGLE:	Answer the question.
20	MS CLARKE:	Thank you.
21	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Now, DEBTOR, this Promissory Note
22		that is mentioned at Item 7 of this
23		letter of December 17, 1999 this is the
24		same Promissory Note that bore an
25		interest rate of 7% over and above

1		Century National Bank's rate, prevailing
2		rate of 69% percent?
3	A:	I will identify that Promissory Note for
4		you. It is the same Promissory Note
5	Q:	I just want to confirm if it is the same
6		thing?
7	A:	You asked me about the Promissory Note
8		and its characteristics and that's what
9		I am answering. It is the same
10		Promissory Note that covered \$xxx K
11		from Bank of Nova Scotia and \$xxx K
12		for performance bond for Jamaica
13		Telephone Company, now LIME and
14		\$x M overdraft, that is the
15		same Promissory Note. And it is in my
16		witness statement, it has nothing to do
17		with overdraft or demand loan.
18	Q:	Would those be the things that were set
19		out at Schedule 3 of the accountant's
20		report?
21	A:	I think it is Schedule 3, yes. And
22		referred to in the Auditors' Report from
23		a fellow of the Institute of Chartered
24		Accountants.
25	Q:	The same Robinson fellow?

1	A:	I beg you pardon.
2	Q:	The same Robinson person?
3	A:	Mr. Robinson, yes.
4	Q:	Okay. Well, you could find it.
5	A:	And it is the same Promissory Note in
6		the evidence presented that has the
7		letter from me re: the Telephone
8		Company, the same Promissory Note that
9		has the Debit Advice, taking 23% from
10		\$xxx K, the same Note that is
11		covered by the Guarantee to Bank of Nova
12		Scotia with the penultimate paragraph
13		saying:
13		It is understood that the company's
14		It is understood that the company's
14 15		It is understood that the company's securities hypothecated to the bank may
14 15 16		It is understood that the company's securities hypothecated to the bank may also be held as security for the
14 15 16 17	Q:	It is understood that the company's securities hypothecated to the bank may also be held as security for the liability.
14 15 16 17 18	Q:	It is understood that the company's securities hypothecated to the bank may also be held as security for the liability. That is the same Promissory Note.
14 15 16 17 18	Q:	It is understood that the company's securities hypothecated to the bank may also be held as security for the liability. That is the same Promissory Note. While you have diverted us to Schedule 3
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q:	It is understood that the company's securities hypothecated to the bank may also be held as security for the liability. That is the same Promissory Note. While you have diverted us to Schedule 3 of Mr. Robinson's document, could I ask
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q:	securities hypothecated to the bank may also be held as security for the liability. That is the same Promissory Note. While you have diverted us to Schedule 3 of Mr. Robinson's document, could I ask if it is complete, if Mr. Robinson's
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q: A:	securities hypothecated to the bank may also be held as security for the liability. That is the same Promissory Note. While you have diverted us to Schedule 3 of Mr. Robinson's document, could I ask if it is complete, if Mr. Robinson's document that you have provided to the

1	A:	Not that I can recall.
2	Q:	On Schedule 3, because I am not
3		experienced in these things as I am in
4		legal matters, so maybe you could assist
5		me since you are an experienced business
6		person, could you point me to where I
7		could find the notes a b c and d, I keep
8		looking for them. Perhaps they are here
9		and I am just overlooking them, Schedule
10		3, there is a reference to note a, note
11		b. note c and note d.
12	A:	Yes, ma'am.
13	Q:	Just tell me quickly where I can find
14		those notes?
15	A:	I will try to find it quickly because I
16		am delighted that you want to see them.
17		The Promissory Notes are here.
18	Q:	I saw the Promissory Note.
19	A:	Well, this is the Promissory Note, the
20		Promissory Note xxxxxxxxxx.
21	Q:	I have found the Promissory Note, I am
22		asking for the notes to the Financial
23		Statements?
24	A:	The Notes?
25	Q:	Perhaps I am misreading.

1	A:	Those are what I just read to you.
2		These are the documents, the letter to
3		Telephone Company, to Century National
4		Bank asking to set up the Guarantee, the
5		Performance Bond. The Guarantee from
6		Scotia Bank for the US\$xxx K and to
7		tell you how long we had paid that back,
8		it was \$8.00 for the US\$1 at that time.
9	Q:	And just help me because you know - as I
10		said
11	A:	I will help you.
12	Q:	Yes, thank you so much. Special
13		Investigation DEBTOR COMPANY#3 &
14		DEBTOR COMPANY#4,
15		that's how the Auditor's Report is
16		headed.
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	Here on page 22 we have
19	A:	Page 22 of what?
20	Q:	I have some page numbers at the top, I
21		don't know if you have page numbers at
22		the top of yours. I see a 22 which
23		tells me to find it. I see a thing here
24		called DEBTOR COMPANY#4,
25		Schedule of Demand Loan Payments?

1	A:	Yes.
2	Q:	Is there a similar Schedule for
3		DEBTOR COMPANY#3?
4	A:	I am sorry you haven't been following
5		this closely. The demand loan was to be
6		for DEBTOR COMPANY#4, DEBTOR COMPANY#3
7		was the Guarantor, DEBTOR COMPANY#3 and
8		I were the Guarantors.
9	Q:	The Promissory Note, isn't it executed
10		by DEBTOR COMPANY#3?
11	A:	No.
12	Q:	Okay.
13	A:	The Promissory Note was executed by
14		DEBTOR COMPANY#4 and DEBTOR COMPANY#3
15		guaranteed, issued the same Note
16		for the same amount, the same date as
17		Guarantors.
18	Q:	The Promissory Note was by DEBTOR
19		COMPANY#3?
20	A:	The Promissory Note was by DEBTOR
21		COMPANY#4 and the guarantor
22		DEBTOR COMPANY#3.
23	Q:	DEBTOR, DEBTOR COMPANY#4
24		is a different corporate entity

1	A:	Yes.
2	Q:	What you have here is a Schedule of
3	3	Demand Loan Payment for DEBTOR COMPANY#4,
4	ł	Career correct?
	ā A:	Yes.
(5 Q:	DEBTOR COMPANY#3 was also a primary
-	7	debtor to Century National Bank, agreed?
3	A:	No, never, it was always the guarantor,
Ğ)	but the loan was designated in DEBTOR
10)	COMPANY#3 name and you would see my
11	-	statement that in January 1991 DEBTOR
12	2	COMPANY#4 provided its own
13	3	guarantee by CDs and that the loan and
14	ł	the debt was serviced by DEBTOR
15	5	COMPANY#4. And if you look at the
16	5	Schedule of Payments, even going back to
17	7	the beginning of 1990 the debt was
18	3	always paid by DEBTOR COMPANY#4.
19)	I gave you a batch of paid
20)	cheques and you will see that they are
21	-	all DEBTOR COMPANY#4, I don't
22	2	know if the Commissioners have it.
23	3	\$\$xxx K DEBTOR COMPANY#4,
24	1	\$xxx K DEBTOR COMPANY#4 and
25		all the payments. The demand loan, the

1		Debit Advice right through are all
2		paying the same account, 2542.
3	Q:	DEBTOR, one of the documents you put
4		in was Amended Defence of Second and
5		Third Defendant which you appended to
6		your "AS 12"?
7	A:	I don't know if I appended it, but I
8		gave a copy to the
9	Q:	Yes, you did.
10	A:	I gave a copy to the office.
11	Q:	It is marked "AS12". I don't believe it
12		was put in by me, it was put in by you.
13	A:	I didn't mark it.
14	Q:	I didn't say you marked it, that is the
15		mark that it bears.
16	A:	So I can't identify it as "AS 12".
17	Q:	I believe I could even find it in the
18		table of contents that you so helpfully
19		appended to your witness statement, you
20		remember that?
21	A:	If I have a copy of it?
22	Q:	"AS 12" copy of Court Documents filed in
23		Claim No. xxx of 2003, list of
24		Exhibits of DEBTOR.

1	Q:	So you put it in?
2	A:	The difficulty I am having
3	Q:	Just a minute. Let us look at numbered
4		paragraph 11 of the Amended Defence of
5		Second and Third Defendants Jamaican
6		Redevelopment Foundation Inc., numbered
7		paragraph 11 (a) .
8	A:	In my with witness statement?
9	Q:	It is appended to your witness
10		statement.
11	A:	I am looking for Amended
12	Q:	It is right behind the one that says
13		Defence?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	Go down to paragraph 11(a).
16		In or around the year 1998 DEBTOR
17		COMPANY#4, DEBTOR COMPANY#1
18		and DEBTOR COMPANY#2,
19		and the Claimant, all related companies,
20		were customers of the Bank and were
21		afforded loan facilities which included
22		overdraft facilities as well as a line
23		of credit for the importation of raw
24		materials into the island.
25		The Claimant, who is the Claimant there,

1		isn't it DEBTOR COMPANY#3, yes?
2	A:	Yes, the Claimant is DEBTOR COMPANY#3.
3	Q:	So they were all primary debtors?
4	A:	Whose statement is this?
5	Q:	This is the statement of Jamaican
6		Redevelopment Foundation and Dennis
7		Joslin which was introduced into
8		evidence by you? You have your facts as
9		you understand them.
10	A:	And you have the facts
11	Q:	And the defendants have the facts as
12		they understand them and this is what
13		the defendants were putting out in
14		response to what you put out.
15	A:	No, the defendants have the facts
16		according to how they falsified them.
17	Q:	Paragraph (b) says.
18		During September 1998 the Claimant
19		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 requested and was
20		afforded, loan facilities in the sum of
21		\$x M by the Bank, which said
22		sum was secured by the said Mortgage
23		referred to in paragraph 2 hereof. A
24		further sum of \$xxx K
25	A:	Could you help me to catch up. You are

1		at 8?
2	Q:	I am at 11(b).
3	A:	11(b) of the
4	Q:	Of the Amended Defence that you put
5		before this Commission.
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	(c) Further security was subsequently
8		requested by the Bank for the
9		outstanding principal and interest due
10		to the Bank and on July 9, 1991 the
11		Claimant, DEBTOR COMPANY#3's principal,
12		DEBTOR, duly executed an
13		Instrument of Guarantee, the terms of
14		which provided his unlimited guarantee
15		to the Bank for the Claimant's
16		Liabilities.
17		(d) In around March 1992 the sum due and
18		owing to the Bank on the said loans
19		amounted to \$x M and DEBTOR COMPANY#3 at
20		the Bank's request, executed a Promissory
21		Note dated March 27, 1992. And it goes
22		on. And so DEBTOR COMPANY#3 was a primary
23		debtor to Century National Bank, I am
24		suggesting to you DEBTOR.
25	A:	And you are using this as proof?

1	4:	I am making a suggestion, you can accept
2		it or you can reject it.
3	A:	I would like Mr. Commissioner
4	4=	Do you accept my suggestion that
5		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 was a primary debtor? Do
6		you want to know where that is, you can
7		look at three pages from the back of your
8		Accountant's Report, it is a Promissory
9		Note where it is stamped by DEBTOR
10		COMPANY#3and signed by you. DEBTOR
11		COMPANY#3 is a primary debtor, DEBTOR.
12		The point I am making here is that this
13		is a statement of loan payments of DEBTOR
14		COMPANY#4. I am asking you
15		where in this and in another statement
16		in relation to DEBTOR COMPANY#3 a
17		separate legal entity, where are those
18		a, b, c and d to which the Accountant
19		did not segregate one company from
20		another.
21	MS CLARKE:	Mr. Chairman, I am not hearing the
22		answer, I am hearing questions. Was
23		there an answer to the suggestion that
24		was put?
25	COMM. BOGLE:	We have a number of questions. I agree

1		with you.
2	A:	The answer to the question if DEBTOR
3		COMPANY#3was a primary debtor, it is no.
4		And having answered that and given the
5		editorial that followed it I need to
6		explain.
7	COMM. BOGLE:	Go ahead DEBTOR.
8	A:	Let us look at the same Amended Defence
9		of the 2ND and 3RD Defendant which is
10		making these statements.
11	COMM. BOGLE:	That is now in support of your answer
12		that DEBTOR COMPANY#3 is not a primary
13		debtor? A: Not a primary debtor. I would
14		like to clarify the point made by Counsel
15		which would help to clarify the matter
16		for the Commission where she mistakenly
17 18	COMM. BOGLE:	termed an overdraft. $N_{\rm O}, no$ that is not where you are,
19		DEBTOR, don't go there DEBTOR. The
20		answer you gave, you said that DEBTOR
21		COMPANY#3is not a primary debtor. Now,
22		that is what I am asking you to address.
23		We are not going to go into the matter of
24		the differentiation between a loan and a
25		demand loan right now. We are going to

1		deal with that matter now. The matter
2		is, you said DEBTOR COMPANY#3 is not a
3		primary debtor. You indicated that you
4		are going to provide evidence to prove
5		that, that is where we are. You further
6		said you would find it from the same
7		document that you referred to. I don't
8		know if you didn't see it, but if you
9		have the information then give us the
10		information to support your statement
11		that DEBTOR COMPANY#3 is not a primary
12		debtor. A: Is a guarantor of the debt a
13		primary debtor? That's what I am having
14	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, I agree that it is a
15		guarantor of the debt you know, but it
16		is also a primary debtor.
17	A:	Maybe Counsel could assist me to show
18		where did DEBTOR COMPANY#3 incur debt
sepa	rate	
19		from what it guaranteed?
20	Q:	DEBTOR, first page of what was just
21		handed out, it is a document signed by
22		you, whose signature is underneath
23		yours?
24	A:	I. DEBTOR CO OFFICER.

154

25 Q: I who?

1	A:	I. DEBTOR CO OFFICER.
2	Q	
3	•	And what position he or she held in your
4	A:	company?
5	Q	He was a director at the time.
6	А :	Of which company?
7	Q	Of both,
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Both DEBTOR COMPANY#3 and DEBTOR
	7.	COMPANY#4?
9	A :	Yes.
10	Q ·	Who was the registered owner of 149822
11		and 146557?
12	A:	DEBTOR COMPANY#3.
13	Q	
14		Who is the registered owner of those
15		properties, DEBTOR COMPANY#3 isn't that
16	A:	correct, DEBTOR?
17		No, no, those are not DEBTOR
18	Q:	COMPANY#3 properties.
19	Α.	Pardon me, DEBTOR?
		822 and 557 are DEBTOR COMPANY#3
20		properties, Oh yes, I am sorry, those are
21		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 properties.
22	Q •	So this is a document being executed by
23		yourself and DEBTOR CO OFFICER?
24	A:	DEBTOR CO OFFICER.
25	Q:	DEBTOR CO OFFICER on behalf of DEBTOR
		COMPANY#3

1		because they are speaking about
2		the property owned at Volume xxxx Folio
3		xxx and Volume xxxx Folio xxx and it is
4		authorising the bank to grant you a loan
5		of \$x Million and you confirmed
6		having executed a legal Mortgage of
7		<pre>\$x Million that's a Mortgage debt of</pre>
8		<pre>\$x Million?</pre>
9	A:	What is the date on this?
10	Q:	You see a date there?
11	A:	No.
12	Q:	You see your signature there, though?
13	A:	(No answer).
14	Q:	Are you denying that you signed it?
15	A:	I don't know what it is.
16	Q:	Are you denying that you signed it?
17	A:	I don't know what this is.
18	Q:	Turn the page. You see a demand loan, a
19		Promissory Note for \$xxx K and
20		there is another one for \$x Million
21		where the impressed stamp is very faint
22		on this one. The signature below the
23		x M, is that the same DEBTOR CO OFFICER
24		person?
25	A:	I really don't refer to people as

1		DEBTOR CO OFFICER person.
2	Q:	DEBTOR, let us go to the next
3		document because time is moving and you
4		are not being very co-operative here.
5	A:	No, no.
6	Q:	The next one is Instrument of Guarantee.
7		You see an Instrument of Guarantee the
8		next document there issued by DEBTOR
9		COMPANY#3, sorry issued by you for the
10		debts of DEBTOR COMPANY#3, Unlimited
11		Guarantee of DEBTOR to Century
12		National Bank for the debt of DEBTOR
13		COMPANY#3, you see that you guaranteed
14		the debt at the time?
15	A:	Guaranteed the debt of DEBTOR COMPANY#3,
16		yes.
17	Q:	Okay. So you are the Guarantor of
18		DEBTOR COMPANY#3, the borrower in this
19		document here? Let's look at the next
20		document, Mortgage Under the
21		Registration of Titles Act. Do you see
22		that? Who is it executed by?
23	A:	Mr. Chairman
24	Q:	Who is it executed by? Is there
25		something objectionable about that

1		question?
2	A:	This is dated 27th of December 1988.
3		Yes. Who it is executed by?
4	A:	All of these are 1988.
5	Q:	Turn the next page. DEBTOR, I am not
6		dealing with the date now, I am asking
7		who executed that mortgage?
8	A:	Yes, I executed it.
9	Q:	Isn't it DEBTOR COMPANY#3? You see the
10		stamp there, your signature and the
11		other signature beside it?
12	A:	What DEBTOR COMPANY#3 was doing was
13		authorising Century to use its title to
14		secure the loan. This is not necessarily
15		that the loan was given to DEBTOR
16		COMPANY#3, it was authorising them to use
17		its property to secure the loan. These
18		are not documents of loan disbursements,
19		these are documents of Securities being
20		offered. Q: This is not a Mortgage by
21		way of guarantee, it is a Mortgage under
22		the Registration of Titles Act.
23	A:	How else would you register a mortgage
24		on a property that is offered as
25		guarantee, even if it is somebody else

1		borrowed it?
2	Q:	The person who owns the land borrowed
3		the money.
4	A:	Who says that?
5	Q:	These documents show that.
6	A:	Show me where the documents say that.
7	Q:	The first one, this document on the
8		first page says that.
9	A:	This document on the first page I
10	Q:	The Instrument of Mortgage says that.
11	A:	The Instrument of Mortgage?
12	Q:	And right behind that we have a
13		Resolution Passed at a Director's
14		Meeting of DEBTOR COMPANY#3 September
15		22, 1988, Borrowing Resolution by
16		DEBTOR COMPANY#3.
17	A:	Mr. Chairman, if you look at these
18		things, and that's why she wants to pass
19		on from the date so rapidly, that on the
20		11th of August 1988 there was a note
21		here for \$xxx K. Added on to that
22		is one on the 27th of March 1992 which
23		is four years after you have a
24		Promissory Note.
25	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, can this bundle of

1		Securities be marked as AS whatever it
2		is?
3	COMM. BOGLE:	"AS 37".
4	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Obliged. I think they speak for
5		themselves.
6		So DEBTOR, going back to your
7		Accountant's Report, you would agree
8		with me that there is no schedule of the
9		loans of DEBTOR COMPANY#3 as distinct
10		from the loans of DEBTOR COMPANY#4?
11		
12	A:	There is no loan to DEBTOR COMPANY#3.
13	Q:	You would agree with me that there is no
14		apparent inconclusion here of those,
15		A, B, C, and D referenced in Schedule 3.
16	A:	I am sorry, could you repeat that.
17	Q:	Do you agree with me that there is no -
18		since you have not been able to show me
19		where you I can find it, no A, B,C, and D
20		referenced in Schedule 3?
21	A:	The notes are the supporting evidence
22		which shows that these were guarantees
23		to Bank of Nova Scotia and to Telephone
24		Company; performance bonds and guarantee
25		which are now cleverly clipped together

1		with documents four years previously.
2	Q:	Well if the documents speak for
3		themselves. DEBTOR, your attorney
4		Mr. Gabriel F Edwards, he was written to
5		by FINSAC's Attorney, Mr. Alfred
6		McPherson on January 19, 2000 - oh, this
7		was exhibited already. This was
8		exhibited already Mr. Chairman, so I'll
9		pass over it.
10		DEBTOR, on June 12, 2003 you received
11		a demand letter for payment of
12		indebtedness of xx
13		million dollars owed to Jamaican
14		redevelopment foundation. Do you recall
15		that, you recall getting that letter?
16	A:	I recall having a statement from them
17		yes.
18	Q:	It is not a statement it is as demand
19		letter by registered mail?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	And on the same day there was another
22		letter, that one - sorry can I number
23		this one.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	38, AS38.
25	MRS. PHILLIPS:	This one is to DEBTOR c/o

1		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 and DEBTOR,
2		Kingston is it?
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	Should there be an N there, DEBTOR?
5		Kingston.
6		There was also on the same day issued by
7		Dennis Joslin Jamaica Inc, a letter of
8		demand dated same June 17, 2003 issued
9		to DEBTOR COMPANY#3; DEBTOR COMPANY#3
10		at its various addresses: xxx king
11		Street, xx Church Street and Shop #xx
12		Kingston Mall, xx Ocean Boulevard.
13	A:	I never lived at this address but I have
14		copies of this.
15	Q:	Sorry?
16	A:	I have never lived at that address.
17	Q:	You have never what?
18	A:	I have never lived at Kingston. 19
20	Q:	What about the other address, you lived
21		there?
22	A:	Which one is that? There were two
23		addresses on that previous letter.
24	Q:	You see letter there dated 17 June 2003,
25		that same date, same amount being

1		demanded. You agree, DEBTOR?
2	A:	Yes.
3	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I ask that this be marked AS39.
4		Then DEBTOR, you recall that we had
5		two Interlocutory Judgements which were
6		marked, you recall that from the last
7		occasion?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	That case DEBTOR, as you can see that
10		a firm other than my firm represented
11		the second and third defendants in that
12		matter but could you tell me whether
13		this matter proceeded to final hearing
14		or trial?
15	A:	I am glad to tell you that, that was
16		filed in 2003/4.
17	Q:	2003.
18	A:	An until now we haven't reached Case
19		Management Hearing yet.
20	Q:	Yes?
21	A:	That is the power those people have.
22	Q:	Who are you calling 'those people'?
23	A:	Those people you advocate for.
24	Q:	My clients? I only advocate for my
25		clients.

1		DEBTOR, have a look at this for me.
2		Now, DEBTOR, you are the claimant in
3		this matter, DEBTOR COMPANY#3?
4		DEBTOR COMPANY#3 is your company is it
5		not, DEBTOR?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	And it is the claimant in this matter,
8		is it not?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	And it is the claimant who has
11		responsibility to advance the matter, is
12		it not?
13	A:	1 didn't know that. I was told
14	Q:	Okay. You are not a lawyer. Just flip
15		over the first page of what you have.
16		You see an order for seizure and sale of
17		goods signed by the Registrar of the
18		Supreme Court (Acting) in this same
19		matter?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	For costs awarded to the second and
22		third defendants, Jamaica Redevelopment
23		Foundation Inc. and Dennis Joslin
24		Jamaica Inc. in the sum of \$xxx K
25		together with interest thereon at 12%

1		per annum from the 14th December, 2008
2		until payment.
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	Maybe it is 2005, I am not sure. Until
5		payment. Yes?
6	A:	That is the point I was making, that the
7		fixed claim was filed in December,
8		2004.
9	Q:	No, it was filed in 2003.
10	A:	2003. We have had a hearing where the
11		injunction was refused. We brought
12		evidence to show that the loan was, the
13		Promissory Note was signed by DEBTOR
14		COMPANY#4. We had a retired Senior
15		Superintendent of Police to say, one,
16		the claim that was made out was
17		incorrect. We went through that and we
18		had a second hearing which went against
19		us and we went to the Court of Appeal
20		and until today, the claim has not come
21		up for hearing. So the evidence that I
22		am presenting here has not been before
23		the court and I have no
24	Q:	DEBTOR please, one step at a time,
25		one step at a time. what does this cost

1		order relate to? It says Claim No.
2		H.C.V.xxxx of 2003. And this is the
3		same claim in which we have the
4		Judgement of Mrs. Sinclair-Haynes and
5		the Honourable Miss Justice Mangatal,
6		both of which have been to the Court of
7		Appeal and been disposed of by the Court
8		of Appeal. We have the final orders by
9		the Court of Appeal attached to the
10		Judgement submitted.
11		We know that Jamaican Redevelopment and
12		Dennis Joslyn prevailed on Appeal in
13		relation to both Appeals, but this order
14		for cost is not a Cost Order made in the
15		Court of Appeal, this is a cost order
16		made in the Supreme Court.
17	MS. CLARKE:	Is this a question being put to the
18		witness?
19	MRS. PHILLIPS:	No. This is a Cost Order made in the
20		Supreme Court. What are these Cost
21		Orders payable in relation to? What
22		aspect of claim No. 2003 HCV xxxxx were
23		you ordered to pay cost on?
24	A:	As far as I am concerned I am waiting to
25		be able to get evidence before the court

1		on my claim and where I can point out
2		the false assertions made by Joslin,
3		Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation and
4		FINSAC. The false statements which if
5		the Chairman will allow me, you have put
6		into evidence or we have put into
7		evidence the amended defence of the
8		defendants and we have it here. And Mr.
9		Chairman, you told me repeatedly that
10		you got it, and those affidavits that
11		have been put into court are
12		diametrically opposed to the facts that
13		you got and you heard.
14	COMM. BOGLE:	DEBTOR, we have been provided with
15		the record, right?
16 17	A: COMM. BOGLE:	Yes. So we will adduce it when we are ready.
18		At the moment what we are doing now is
19		just trying to get additional
20		information and some clarity. So if you
21		have answered the question we have the
22		information already, we have the
23		information in all the documents that
24		you are talking about. So let's see if
25		we can proceed. Your sitting there and

1		talking the next two hours is not
2		going to help your cause or the
3		Commission's cause, believe me. Let us
4		see if we can get questions answered so
5		that it can help the Commission's cause
6		and your cause.
7	A:	I am trying to advance the Commission's
8		cause.
9	COMM. BOGLE:	No, no, the Commission will advance its
10		cause?
11	A:	That's why I am here. I am trying to
12		enlighten you as to what is happening.
13	COMM. BOGLE:	Right now you are not enlightening us,
14		you are confusing us so if you allow the
15		questions to be asked and answer then we
16		will review the situation and come to a
17		conclusion?
18	A:	The questions are designed to confuse
19		and I am trying to clarify.
20	COMM. BOGLE:	No, we are not confused.
21	A:	You wouldn't know, sir, because you
22		don't have the facts.
23	COMM. BOGLE:	Anyhow. Go ahead, Mrs. Phillips.
24	MRS. PHILLIPS:	May this batch of documents relating to
25		the cost order made by the Supreme Court

1		in this action on the 9th of February
2		2006, filed 9th of February, 2006 dated
3		March 15,2006, may this batch of
4		documents be labeled AS
5	MS. CLARKE:	I am not sure what batch of documents
6		because the Judgement is in fact in
7		already, both Judgements as 12A and 12B,
8		I believe. I am not certain as to which
9		batch of documents my friend is
10		referring.
11	MRS. PHILLIPS:	This that I just handed to you with a
12		letter on the front of it from Piper and
13		Samuda to the Bailiff for seizure and
14		sale and appended to that are the
15		Bailiff's Reports culminating in his
16		visit to DEBTOR with his comments
17		that he visited Kingston,
18		
19		where the Defendant's Manager lives.
20		DEBTOR claimed that his business
21		is closed and that he has no money to
22 23	MS. CLARKE:	settle the debt. That batch is AS40. If I may Mr. Chairman, and this is not
24		by way of an objection. One would want
25		to indicate, and I believe it is for

1		clarity as well, in light of the fact
2		that the witness seems unable to answer
3		as to what the cost order was for, I
4		think all my friends will bear me out
5		that in relation to two interlocutory
6		evidence Judgements that have been put
7		into evidence, orders for cost were made
8		in one case on the Appeal and in one in
9		the hearing below that cost be awarded
10		against the witness and/or his company.
11		So perhaps we would want to know with
12		some clarity that the cost would have
13		arisen based on Interlocutory Hearing
14		and at that stage costs were awarded
15		upon the conclusion of the interlocutory
16		proceedings against the witness.
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, I am sure your Counsel
18		will guide you in relation to this. In
19		an Interlocutory matter even if costs
20		are ordered they are recoverable until
21		the end of the matter unless the Court
22		specifically said they are to be taxable
23		immediately. In the orders that I am
24		looking at in the Interlocutory matters
25		here that are appended to the Notice of

1		Appeal, there is nothing here saying
2		that the cost ordered by the judges that
3		first instance on the Interlocutory
4		matters were taxable immediately. Costs
5		are usually taxable at the end of a
6		proceeding and that is why I submit the
7		Cost Orders, those are not Cost Orders
8		taken out in the Court of Appeal in
9		relation to the Appeal; these are Cost
10		orders ordered in the Supreme Court. So
11		I am just wondering, it raises the
12		question as to whether there is a final
13		Judgement.
14		This gentlemen says no. My firm was not
15		on record, I do not know. I asked him
16		what the Cost Order is related to, he is
17		unable to assist us, but the fact that
18		there is a Cost Order is a signal that
19		there probably is a final Judgement in
20		that matter.
21	A:	Those costs are not related to the
22		Supreme Court Hearing, they are related
23 24	MRS. PHILLIPS:	to the Interlocutory matter. The Interlocutory matters were in the
25		Supreme Court, both of them.

1		If you look Mr. Chairman at auhihit
1		If you look, Mr. Chairman, at exhibit
2		AS12(A); 12A is the Interlocutory
3		Judgement of the Honourable Mrs. Justice
4		Sinclair-Haynes.
5	A:	That was in our favour.
6	Q:	Yes, and the appeal was allowed.
7	A:	Yes, the evidence did not reach the
8		court.
9	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, you have that document and
10		you will see that it was heard the 16th
11		of March, 2004, that's the second date.
12		You always refer to the last date as the
13		date of the Judgement. And you will see
14		on the next page - since it is an
15		Interlocutory Judgement my understanding
16		is I can refer to its content and if you
17		look at the penultimate paragraph it
18		said DEBTOR COMPANY#3 Limit sought an
19		injunction restraining the defendants
20		from selling or otherwise disposing of
21		the land subject of the mortgage.
22		This application was refused by the
23		Honourable Mr. Justice Anderson on the
24		5th of February, 2004.
25		Since the refusal of the injunction by

1	the Honourable Mr. Justice Anderson
2	DEBTOR COMPANY#3 has obtained an opinion
	of Karl Mingo Major a Consultant
3	Document Examiner who asserts the seal
4	impressed on the Promissory Note was in
5	
6	fact that of DEBTOR COMPANY#4 and not
7	that of DEBTOR COMPANY#3. DEBTOR
8	COMPANY#3 is again seeking an interim
9	injunction.
10	So they apply for an injunction; it's
	refused. Less than one month later they
11	apply for the same injunction again from
12	the Supreme Court.
13	-
14	And in the middle of the next page you
15	see DEBTOR COMPANY#3 has leveled
16	allegations of fraud against the
17	defendants. That includes my client by
18	the way.
	And at the end of that paragraph,
19	penultimate paragraph you see the line:
20	It is the contention of DEBTOR COMPANY#3
21	that the moneys owed on the said mortgage
22	
23	were fully repaid.
24	And then you see submissions by Mr.
25	David Johnson who was the attorney for

1	my client and submission 41 on the next
2	page, page 4, he says: The evidence upon
3	which the claimant sought to rely in
4	support of his application is the same as
5	is now advanced in support of the present
6	application.
7	So Mr. Johnson, my friend was saying
8	that this is just a rehashing of the
9	same application that was before Mr.
10	Justice Anderson and was refused.
11	Then on the next page you see that the
12	main issue the court was concerned with,
13	being the first issue to be determined,
14	was whether the claimant was seeking to
15	litigate the same issues that were
16	litigated before by the Honourable Mr.
17	Justice Anderson.
18	And then it goes on and at the end of
19	the Judgement, the learned judge finds
20	on page 9, that the submissions of Dr.
21	Randolph Williams that special
22	circumstances exist, they are
23	compelling, the Justice of the case
24	demands a rehearing as DEBTOR COMPANY#3
25	has now put forward a prima facie case of

fraud.

1	And then on the next page you see she
2	says Mr. David Johnson submits that the
3	allegations of fraud ought to be struck
4	from the affidavit since they were not
5	pleaded in any claim, and at the end she
6	says:
7	Accordingly the preliminary objection -
8	that is, Mr. Johnson's objection - is
9	dismissed and the claimant is at liberty
10	to proceed with the application for the
11	interim injunction.
12	Leave to appeal is then granted. They
13	go to the Court of Appeal and you see
14	the Notice of Appeal immediately
15	follows. The details of the Order of
16	Appeal are: The preliminary objection is
17	dismissed and the claimant is at liberty
18	to proceed with the application for
19	interim injunction.
20	That is what is appealed and then the
21	Grounds of Appeal are set out and at page
22	3 of the Notice and Grounds in numbered
23	paragraph 4, you see the orders sought
24	are that the order made by the learned
25	trial judge should be set aside

and the claimant's application for 1 interim injunction dismissed. Cost of the 2 appeal to be the appellant's, etc. Any 3 interim injunction subsequently granted 4 in the respondent's favour against the 5 appellants on the identical affidavit 6 evidence is to be discharged. And then 7 you see a copy of the Order of Mrs. 8 Justice Sinclair-Haynes which you 9 attached to the Notice and Grounds of 10 Appeal, that is the Order that is being 11 appealed, and then you flip over and you 12 see the Certificate of the Result of the 13 Appeal. The Appeal came on for hearing on 14 the 15th and 22nd of June and on the 25th 15 and 26th of July, 2005. It was heard 16 before the Honourable Mr. Justice Forte, 17 then President of the Court of Appeal, 18 the Honourable Mr. Justice Panton, then 19 Justice of Appeal now President of the 20 Court of Appeal, the Honourable Mrs. 21 Justice McCalla, then Acting Justice of 2.2 Appeal, now Chief Justice of Jamaica. And 2.3 the Order that is made is: - Appeal 2.4 allowed. Cost to the つに

1		Appellants to be agreed or taxed.'
2		So my client's Appeal was allowed, Order
3		of Mrs. Justice Sinclair-Haynes was set
4		aside and there was no further
5		injunction here.
6	MS. CLARKE:	Costs were awarded.
7	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Costs were awarded in the Court of
8 9	MS. CLARKE:	Appeal. On appeal, which makes it more
10 11	MRS. PHILLIPS: MS. CLARKE:	And then the next Judgement AS12B I am sorry, Mr. Chairman, I have a
12		difficulty in terms of, having regard to
13		where we were at when the witness is on
14		the witness stand, what would be the
15		purpose in reading through these
16		documents. I believe the last question
17		put to the witness was as it relates to
18 19	MRS. PHILLIPS:	the costs. The last thing the witness said was that
20		his client succeeded before Mrs. Justice
21		Sinclair-Haynes. I don't know if
22		anybody heard it other than me, and I
23 24	MS. CLARKE:	said I am sorry. Insofar as it relates to a
25		question; insofar as it relates to a

1		question, we are not now at this point I
2		believe, attempting to read through and
3		refuse what the witness said.
4		Insofar as it relates to a question, I
5		would like to know what the last
6		question was and what the response was
7		and if there was a question after that.
8	MRS. PHILLIPS:	The last question, the last statement
9	MS. CLARKE:	If I may hear it from the record,
10		please.
11	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Chairman, I have before drawn the
12		Tribunal's attention to salient parts of
13		Judgements on many occasions before. I
14		have had a ruling this morning that says
15		that in an interlocutory matter I may do
16		so. I am now doing so in accordance with
17		the ruling.
18	COMM. BOGLE:	I don't think the objection is to your
19 20	MS. CLARKE:	using the information thereof. Not at all, sir.
21	MRS. PHILLIPS:	Can we proceed then because to stop to
22		go back to the question is a waste of
23		time.
24	COMM. BOGLE:	I think as a matter procedure, was there
25		a question related to this?

1	MS. CLARKE:	Which has given way to this exhaustive
2		reading of the data.
3	COMM. BOGLE:	Which is what we are trying to
4		establish. We have read but was there a
5		question to the witness relating to
6 7	MRS. PHILLIPS:	this? There was a question that led it. I
8		think there was a question as to whether
9		the costs were ordered in the
10		interlocutory matter or whether the
11		costs were ordered in the Court of
12		Appeal.
13	MS. CLARKE:	So may we hear the answer to that.
14	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I have looked at the Judgement, the
15		Judgement has said that there were costs
16		awarded.
17	MS. CLARKE:	Is Counsel supplying the answer?
18	COMM. BOGLE:	Please!
19		But the answer has been put to the
20 21	MRS. PHILLIPS:	witness. No, I indicated to the Tribunal that
22		they would need the assistance of their
23		Counsel because the Cost Order which has
24		just been exhibited is a Cost Order made
25		in the Supreme Court.

1	MS. CLARKE:	And that I appreciate so even now the
2		exhaustive reading from the entirety of
3		the document, if we are dealing with the
4		matter of cost and the Cost Order.
5	MRS. PHILLIPS:	First of all it is not an exhaustive
6		reading.
7	MS. CLARKE:	How could this have arisen in terms of
8		reviews and
9	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I understand why my friend does not like
10		what is here, I really understand that
11		because
12	MS. CLARKE:	It is not what Counsel understands, but
13		that's not the point.
14	MRS. PHILLIPS:	both appeals went in favour of my
15		client.
16	MS. CLARKE:	Relevance to the issue, Counsel.
17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	It is very relevant to the issue because
18		the issue here is whether or not
19		DEBTOR is speaking the truth when he
20		says that his debts to Century were
21		repaid and there was no debt in
22		existence when the matter transferred
23		from Century to FIS and from FIS to JRF.
24	MS. CLARKE:	Mr. Chairman, Counsel doesn't like some
25		words, you know.

1	COMM. BOGLE:	Could we have one person speaking.
2	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I understand why my friend is upset when
3		I take to these Judgements not just one,
4		Justice Anderson; Not just two, the
5		Court of Appeal's allowing of my
6		client's appeal of the Honourable Mrs.
7		Justice Sinclair-Haynes' Judgement but
8		the Judgement now of Miss Justice
9		Mangatal, which is even more to the
10		point and which was upheld by the Court
11		of Appeal when DEBTOR appealed. All
12		these issues were raised.
13		Now if it is that there is no final
14		Judgement and since these are
15		Interlocutory Judgements, then my
16		understanding of the ruling this morning
17		is that I am at liberty to speak to them.
18		If it is that this Commission finds that
19		the Cost Order must mean that there was a
20		final Judgement, then since those costs
21		were awarded to my client then we can
22		assume that, that Judgement would have
23		been in favour of my client and if we are
24		applying that principle, then we can not
		hear any further

1		evidence from DEBTOR and just read
2		the Judgements.
3	COMM. BOGLE:	As far as this Commission is concerned
4		there was as ruling this morning that we
5		can refer to interlocutory decisions.
6		However, at the same time, there must be
7		relevance and the relevance must be
8		regarding the statement of the witness
9		and you are supposed to be
10		cross-examining and I am just trying to
11		understand myself the question as I
12		said, the answer or no answer and what
13		clarification is being given. Now, based
14		on what you have said, I think that we
15		can now move on. I think all the
16 17	MRS. PHILLIPS:	clarification has been given already. Thank you Mr. Chairman.
18		I will now refer to AS12B which is the
19		Judgement of the Honourable Miss Justice
20		Mangatal. On page 3 at numbered
21		paragraph 3 he says
22	MS. CLARKE:	I am sorry, when Mr. Chairman, you said
23		we can now move on because the
24		clarifications have been given, I am not
25		certain if you were referring to or

1		giving some leverage to this.
2	COMM. BOGLE:	Mrs. Phillips, we still have the witness
3		in the stand. If you are
4		cross-examining, then I would like you
5		to continue the cross-examination. If
6		you are summing up then that is
7		different, but please address questions.
8	MRS. PHILLIPS:	I understand.
9		DEBTOR, did you say to the court that
10		the Promissory Note in question was not
11		executed by DEBTOR COMPANY#3?
12	A:	Yes, I did.
13	Q:	And what did the court find in relation
14		to that?
15	A:	I don't think the court found either
16		way. From what I recollect the court
17		didn't address who executed the
18		Promissory Note at all
19	Q:	Did you say to the court that there was
20		fraud?
21	A:	so it wasn't a new matter and we
22		didn't plead fraud.
23	COMM BOGLE:	You did answer.
24	MRS PHILLIPS:	Did you say to the court that fraud was
25		perpetrated against DEBTOR COMPANY#3?

1	A :	
2	Q	And I still maintain that.
3	А :	Did you say that to the court?
4		No, I didn't speak to the court, my
		lawyers spoke to the court.
5	Q:	Did you in the document filed by you
6		claim that a fraud had been perpetrated
7		against DEBTOR COMPANY#3 by the
8		defendants?
9	A:	Yes, I still maintain that, and the
10		
11	Q:	evidence is here.
12		And am I correct DEBTOR, that the court
13		found that there was no sufficient
		pleading of fraud to justify the
14		allegation made by you?
15	A:	Legal technicality.
16	Q:	Am I correct that, that is what the
17		court found?
18	A:	
19	Q	I don't know what the court found.
20	- А :	Miss Justice Mangatal?
21		I don't think she spoke to that, that was
		what was presented by the counsel on the
22		other side that it was not pleaded. Is it
23	Q:	also correct DEBTOR, that the Court
24		found, the Court, that is, The Honourable
25		
		Miss Justice Mangatal found

1		that you, meaning DEBTOR COMPANY#3,
2		had not demonstrated that there is a
3		substantial question to be determined
4		at the final hearing?
5	A:	(No answer)
6	Q:	Did she find that?
7	A:	Not to my knowledge.
8	Q:	Okay, let us look at page 4, numbered
9		paragraph 5.
10	A:	What am I looking at?
11	Q:	You are looking at the Judgment of The
12		Honourable Miss Justice Mangatal.
13	A:	I don't think I have it, you know.
14	Q:	Well, I am sure Mr. DePeralto can
15		provide you with a copy.
16		That's a one page document?
17		Everybody got a copy on the last
18		occasion. Can I just borrow somebody
19		else's copy. Just look at this copy for
20		me DEBTOR, since you are having
21 22		difficulty finding yours. (Documents handed to witness)
23	A:	Yes, I have it here. Uh-huh.
24	Q:	Look at numbered paragraph 5. Paragraph
25		5.

1		"In my view, the Claimant has not
2		demonstrated that there is a substantial
3		question to be determined at the final
4		hearing. This is so based on:
5		(a) the state of the pleadings,
6		statement of case;
7		(b) the nature of the evidence alleging
8		that the promissory note dated March 27,
9		1992 was not signed by the Claimant; and
10		(c) the nature of the evidence suggested
11		that there is no indebtedness of the
12		Claimant to the Defendants entitling it
13		to enforce the power of sale under the
14		mortgages in question".
14 15		mortgages in question". Do you see that finding?
	A:	
15	A: Q:	Do you see that finding?
15 16		Do you see that finding? I see that.
15 16 17		Do you see that finding? I see that. Look at page 5. Remember you said that
15 16 17 18		Do you see that finding? I see that. Look at page 5. Remember you said that you were maintaining that there was a
15 16 17 18		Do you see that finding? I see that. Look at page 5. Remember you said that you were maintaining that there was a fraud perpetrated against your company
15 16 17 18 19	Q:	Do you see that finding? I see that. Look at page 5. Remember you said that you were maintaining that there was a fraud perpetrated against your company and you made that known to the court?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q:	Do you see that finding? I see that. Look at page 5. Remember you said that you were maintaining that there was a fraud perpetrated against your company and you made that known to the court? Yes, because the Promissory Note - there
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q: A:	Do you see that finding? I see that. Look at page 5. Remember you said that you were maintaining that there was a fraud perpetrated against your company and you made that known to the court? Yes, because the Promissory Note - there were two Promissory Notes.

1		you see a statement starting "To date"?
2	A:	That we have no allegation in the
3		pleadings.
4	Q:	Do you see a sentence starting, "To
5		date"?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	"To date, the Statements of Case have no
8		allegations of fraud against the
9		Defendants and the Statements of Case
10		remain in the same state that they were
11		when Justice Anderson heard the matter.
12		I do not regard the allegation raised at
13		paragraph 9 of DEBTOR's Further
14		Affidavit as being sufficient."
15	A:	Yes.
16	Q:	Now, DEBTOR do you recall the court
17		repeating that there was no substantial
18		issue to be determined at the trial?
19		Look at paragraph 8
20	A:	At the trial?
21	Q:	Yes.
22	A:	There was a trial?
23	Q:	Look at paragraph 8 for me, numbered
24		paragraph 8: "The Issue as to
25		Indebtedness."

1		Didn't you raise the issue of the
2		indebtedness before the court, before
3		Justice Anderson, before the Chief
4		Justice, before Justice Miss Mangatal,
5		before the Court of Appeal, Past
6		President, Present President, Chief
7		Justice? Who have you not raised it
8		before?
9	MS CLARKE:	What's the question?
10	MRS PHILLIPS:	Do you see numbered paragraph 8? This
11		Judgement has been upheld by the Court
12		of Appeal?
13	A:	Of course.
14	Q:	Okay, good.
15		"I am not satisfied that the Defendant
16		has raised any substantial issue to be
17		determined at trial regarding its
18		indebtedness to the Defendants under the
19		mortgages. It is trite that when it
20		comes to monetary indebtedness, it is
21		not enough to make bare denials, which
22		are easy to make. One must furnish proof
23		of payment, or repayment of sums loaned.
24		Indeed, the process of summary judgment,
25		where issues are determined without the

1		need for trial against this is the most
2		frequent application in the area of
3		loans and monetary indebtedness".
4	A:	Yes, I said that. The Schedules of
5		Payment and the
6	Q:	Just a minute.
7	A:	Promissory notes, all of those
8		things.
9	Q:	Just a minute not finished. Skip down
10		four lines. Do you see a sentence which
11		starts, "The Claimant has not satisfied
12		me"?
13	A:	What page, where is that?
14	Q:	That same page where she spoke about
15		Summary Judgment. Skip down four lines
16		on page V.
17	A:	Page 7.
18	Q:	After the citation of "Odgers'
19		Principles of Pleadings and Practice'",
20		Do you see a sentence which starts:
21		"The Claimant has not satisfied me"?
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	Let's look and see what is the court's
24		view.
25		"The Claimant has not satisfied me to

1		the necessary degree of probability that
2		he will have success at trial, or raise
3		a substantial issue of whether money is
4		owed to the Defendants under the
5		mortgages. I accept the submissions of
6		Mr. Kelman for the 1st Defendant
7		that means FISin this regard. It is
8		not disputed that the mortgages were
9		continuing securities and it is agreed
10		that they were unstamped upon two
11		separate occasions i.e. in 1990 and in
12		1993. 1993 of course being the \$xxx K.
13		The Defendants say that the unstamping
14		was to deal with further loan disbursed
15		at the request of the Claimant. Indeed
16		the titles bear expressed notations that
17		the unstamping was to cover further
18		indebtedness of \$xxx K and
19		\$ xxx K respectively
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	in 1990 and in 1993. The reasons put
22		forward by the Claimant in the
23		Affidavits and by way of argument
24		attempting to account for the unstamping
		are just not cogent, or are at any rate

1		certainly far less cogent than the
2		reasons put forward by the Defendants
3		and go no way towards satisfying me that
4		at the trial there will be a real
5		question between the parties as to the
6		indebtedness.
7	MS CLARKE:	Is the witness being asked if he has
8		seen that?
9	MRS PHILLIPS:	Yes.
10	MS CLARKE:	Is that the question?
11	A:	Mr. Chairman, Counsel has gone through
12		great details in the court and you have
13		allowed me no time at all to deal with
14		these matters to
15	COMM BOGLE:	DEBTOR, these documents were put in
16		during your presentation.
17	MS CLARKE:	No, I am sorry.
18	A:	What's that?
19	MS CLARKE:	Mr. Chairman, this document was actually
20		put in not by it was put in during
21		the presentation but it wasn't actually
22		put in without clue from Counsel for
23		JRF.
24	MRS PHILLIPS:	No, no, Mr. Chairman.
25	MS CLARKE:	Without clue from Counsel

The that I asked
that I
ıl asked
.ng
done
ou may
wish and
wish at
nation.
ıdgment
nt speaks
n in the
from
3 did
ourable
out to the
1 1 1

25 MRS PHILLIPS: I am speaking to the Commission. And the

next document is the formal order of the 1 Honourable Miss Justice Mangatal being 2 attached to the Notice of Appeal and the 3 very last document in the exhibit is certificate of the result of DEBTOR 5 COMPANY#3's appeal against that Judgement and it is the same panel that heard the 7 other appeal: Past President, Present 8 President and Present Chief Justice and 9 the result of the appeal is that the 10 appeal against Miss Mangatal's Judgment 11 is dismissed, cost thrown away to the 12 first respondent, that is to FIS, 13 including one day hearing cost to be 14 agreed at. Cost thrown away to the third 15 respondents to be agreed or a chance. 16 Second and third respondents being my 17 clients. 18 Mr. Chairman, the last document I wish 19 to take the witness to is, DEBTOR, did 20 you get a registered notice from my 21 clients on the 5th? DEBTOR, do you 22 recall getting a registered notice from 23 my client dated the 5th day of 24 March 2008 in relation to the つ に

1		indebtedness secured by the mortgages
2		over Volume xxxx Folio xxx and Volume
3		xxxx Folio xxx?
4	A:	No.
5	Q:	Perhaps this will refresh your memory.
6		Mr. Deperalto could you
7		(Document shown to witness)
8	A:	I think I have seen this, yes.
9	Q:	These are the notices in respect of
10		mortgages, DEBTOR, that you have said -
11		did I hear you correctly say you have
12		seen it?
13	A:	I think I have seen it, yes.
14	MRS PHILLIPS:	
15	COMM BOGLE:	May we mark this notice AS
16	MRS PHILLIPS:	41.
17	COMM BOGLE:	41?
18	MRS PHILLIPS:	That is what I have.
19		Now DEBTOR, you agree with me that my
		client, Jamaican Redevelopment Foundation
20		Inc., is not a party to your action
21		against sorry, is not a party to the
22		action brought against you by Eagle
23		Merchant Bank?
24	A:	Eagle Merchant Bank?
25	Q:	Yes.

1	A:	No, they are not.
2	Q:	They are not. And Financial
3		Institutions Services Limited is not
4		party to the action brought against you
5		by Eagle Merchant Bank, right?
6	A:	No.
7	MRS PHILLIPS:	Unless I can be of any further
8		assistance to the Tribunal that ends the
9		issue of the cross-examination on behalf
10		of the Jamaican Redevelopment
11		Foundation.
12	COMM BOGLE:	Okay. Any other attorney, no?
13	MR. MOODIE:	We have no questions of this witness at
14 15	COMM BOGLE:	this time. Okay, thank you. Miss Clarke?
16	MR. CLARKE:	I have my own difficulty. Having regard
17		to the fact that these documents are
18		being put and in light of the witness's
19		posture I do not believe I have any
20		questions for re-examination at this
21		time.
22	COMM BOGLE:	Okay, thank you. Do you have any
23		questions, Mr. Ross?
24	DEBTOR:	Mr. Chairman
25	COMM BOGLE:	Just a minute, DEBTOR.

1	COMM. ROSS:	Mrs. Minott-Phillips, the last document
2		that you tendered
3	MRS PHILLIPS:	The Registered Notice?
4	COMM. ROSS:	refers to a mortgage of xxx King
5		Street. There was reference earlier to
6		a property that was sold, I thought it
7		was the property on King Street, is that
8 9	MRS. PHILLIPS:	correct? $No,\text{or it was a confusing}$ - there is no
10		reference to this property being sold.
11		There was another property at xxx King
12		Street which was owned by DEBTOR
13		COMPANY#1, that property was sold and the
14		proceeds of sale attached to Eagle
15		Merchant Bank. This is a neighboring
16		property which was mortgaged to Century
17		National Bank.
18 19	COMM. ROSS: COMM BOGLE:	Okay. All right, DEBTOR, you wanted to say
20		something?
21	A:	Yes, sir.
22	COMM BOGLE:	You have two minutes and I am limiting
23		you to two minutes because it's now
24		almost 5 o'clock and you have had one
25		full day plus today.

1 2	A: COMM BOGLE:	All that time by her was my day. No, no, this is not the first day
3		DEBTOR. So you have two minutes if you
4		have anything to say that you have not
5		said before.
6	A:	Mr. Chairman, I want to say that I don't
7		believe I am fairly treated by this
8		Commission. That you have allowed length
9		of time for counsel to testify and to
10		bring up matters in the case and to show
11		the results from that but I have not
12		been able to counter-balance that with
13		the fact that that Judgement against me
14		was obtained on false representation.
15		And that I have put before this
16		Commission evidence where the debt has
17		been paid to the extent that I became
18		barred and was restrained from making it
19		clear that the debt was paid. The
20 21		Promissory Note relates not to this debt but relates to the guarantees by Scotia
22		Bank and by Telephone Company and that -
23		we gave you a schedule where the demand
24		loan has been paid off. You have got it,
25		they have got it but what they

Τ		represented to the court is false
2		information which shows that they misled
3		the court. That case was brought in
4		2003 and it's now 2011 and they have in
5		a way, I don't know how they managed
6		that, we have not had a trial where all
7		the evidence can be presented. They have
8		gone through the court several rounds up
9		to the Court of Appeal and a fixed case
10		that was filed we have not had a case
11		management hearing on that fixed case,
12		we have not heard it.
13	COMM BOGLE:	Thank you, DEBTOR, all that you have
14		said a while ago we heard before.
15	A:	Yes.
16	COMM BOGLE:	Therefore, I take it there is nothing
17		new before this Commission and therefore
18		thank you ladies and gentlemen.
19		This Commission of Enquiry is now
20		adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:30
21		a.m. Thank you very much.
22		
23		ADJOURNMENT TAKEN AT 5:05 P.M.
24		